### Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

#### www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

# **ARTICLE TYPE**

## Intumescent multilayer hybrid coating for flame retardant cotton fabrics based on layer-by-layer assembly and sol-gel process

Xin Wang,<sup>a</sup> Manuel Quintero Romero,<sup>a,b</sup> Xiu-Qin Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Rui Wang<sup>c</sup> and De-Yi Wang<sup>\*a</sup>

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

An intumescent coating composed of nitrogen-modified silane hybrid (SiN) and phytic acid (PA) was deposited on cotton fabric through layer-by-layer assembly in order to reduce flammability. SiN was synthesized via sol-gel process and characterized by <sup>29</sup>Si-nuclear magnetic resonance. This intumescent coating system lowered the thermal stability of the cotton due to the catalyzed effect on degradation, but

- <sup>10</sup> significantly improved the char formation. In a vertical flame test, fabrics coated with 15 bilayers (BLs) of SiN-PA extinguished the flame immediately upon removing the ignition source, while untreated cotton was completely burned out. Cone calorimeter data revealed that 15BL-coated cotton resulted in a 31% and 38% reduction in peak heat release rate and total heat release, respectively, relative to those of the uncoated control. This superior fire retardant performance is believed to be attributed to the formation of
- <sup>15</sup> intumescent char layer on fibers that could effectively inhibit the oxygen and heat permeation when burning. In addition, as evidenced by thermogravimetric analysis-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy results, the increased amount of inflammable gases and the decreased amount of flammable gases during the degradation of coated cotton fabrics was another important factor to improve the flame resistance. These results demonstrate the combination of layer-by-layer assembly and sol-gel method will provide an
   <sup>20</sup> effective alternative to current flame retardant treatments.

#### Introduction

The fire statistics in the past several years illustrate that fire accidents occurred in civilian homes have led to considerable fire-related fatalities and amount of property damage.<sup>1,2</sup> Ignition

- <sup>25</sup> of household textiles is one of the most common fire reasons accounting for the residential home fires, particularly with respect to upholstery and mattresses. Therefore, various textiles fire safety regulations and legislation have been made in order to save lives and protect property. Various strategies have been
- <sup>30</sup> developed to obtain fire retardant fabrics: surface photo-induced grafting treatment,<sup>3</sup> fire-retardant additives<sup>4</sup> or co-monomers<sup>5</sup> in synthetic fabrics, fiber blending,<sup>6</sup> etc. More recently, layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly has been applied as a surface treatment to endow fabrics with flame resistance.<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>35</sup> LbL assembly is a simple and versatile method by alternately exposing a substrate to positively and negatively charged solutions that are adsorbed as nanolayers. LbL has been used as a novel flame retardant platform technology for cotton fabrics,<sup>1,2</sup> polyester fabrics,<sup>7,8</sup> polyamide<sup>9</sup> and flexible polyurethane
- <sup>40</sup> foams.<sup>10,11</sup> Among these investigations, various polymers, colloids, or molecules have been incorporated to form the coating on the substrates.

Another effective alternative to fire protection is achieved through the sol–gel technique that leads to the formation of 45 organic–inorganic hybrid coatings. Silicon hydrogel derived from sol-gel process could provide fire protection by inorganic barrier formation (silica), demonstrating self-extinguishing behavior on cotton fabrics.<sup>12</sup> Besides the silicon hydrogel, phosphorus- and/or nitrogen-based compounds have been exploited for flame <sup>50</sup> retardant treatments of fabrics through forming the intumescent char layer.<sup>13</sup> In this way, phosphorus- and/or nitrogen-based compounds could catalyze the dehydration and carbonization of matrix, reduce the amount of combustible gases and thus improve the fire resistance.<sup>14</sup> However, the current intumescent flame <sup>55</sup> retardant coatings are mostly mixture of multi-components. Integrating phosphorus-, nitrogen- and silicon-based species into one component is an interesting work.

In this work, a novel intumescent coating system was developed based on layer-by-layer assembly and sol-gel process <sup>60</sup> in order to improve the fire resistance of fabrics. Firstly, the nitrogen modified silicon hydrogel cationic solution was prepared through sol-gel method; subsequently, the multi-layered coating composed of nitrogen modified silicon hydrogel and phytic acid was deposited on the surface of cotton using LbL assembly. The <sup>65</sup> fire resistance and the related mechanism were investigated by cone calorimeter and thermogravimetric analysis-infrared spectrometry technique. The work reported here will broaden the application of silicon hydrogel as a promising candidate for LbL assembly of flame retardant coating system.

#### 70 Experimental section

#### Materials

Cotton fabric with a density of 800 g m<sup>-2</sup> was kindly supplied by Beijing Institute of Fashion Technology. 3-Aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APTES), 3-triethoxysilyl propyl isocyanate (TESPI), 1,3,5-tris(2-hydroxyethyl)isocyanurate (THEIC), phytic 5 acid (PA), hydrochloric acid (37%) and ethanol were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and used as received. Deionized water is

#### Preparation of cationic solution through sol-gel method

used for all experiments unless otherwise stated.

The cationic solution for the cotton fabrics' impregnation was <sup>10</sup> synthesized by the sol–gel technique, as shown in Scheme 1. 0.06 mol of TESPI and 0.02 mol of THEIC were introduced into a three-necked round bottom flask equipped with the nitrogen inlet. Subsequently, the mixture was heated to 150 °C until THEIC totally dissolved and maintained for 5 h under nitrogen <sup>15</sup> atmosphere. A transparent and viscous intermediate named TES-IC was obtained. Then, 0.02 mol of TES-IC and 0.02 mol of APTES were added into a mixture of ethanol and water (1:1) and adjusted to pH 4 with 2 M hydrochloric acid. The mixture was stirred for 4 h to allow hydrolysis and pre-condensation to form a 20 cationic sol named SiN.

# Preparation of coated cotton fabrics by layer-by-layer technology

The anionic medium used was 4.0 wt% phytic acid aqueous solution, and its pH was adjusted to 7 with 1 M NaOH solution 25 prior to deposition. As shown in Scheme 1, a typical sample preparation procedure was as follows: a piece of cotton fabric was first impregnated into the 4.0 wt% SiN solution for 5 min, washed with deionized water, squeezed out to remove excess SiN solution and dried with air; subsequently, the cotton fabric was 30 immersed into the 4.0 wt % PA solution for 5 min, washed with deionized water, squeezed out to remove excess PA solution and dried with air. This process was a complete deposition cycle for one bilayer (BL) of SiN and PA. Such a cycle was repeated by alternately immersing cotton fabric into the cationic and anionic 35 solutions. Initial immersions were 5 min each, while following immersions were 1 min. Finally, when 5, 10 and 15 BLs of coating was deposited, fabrics were dried at 80 °C in an oven for 12 h before testing.



40 Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of (a) synthesis of nitrogen-modified silane hybrid; (b) layer-by-layer assembly of SiN and PA on cotton fabrics.

#### Characterization

<sup>1</sup>H-, <sup>13</sup>C- and <sup>29</sup>Si-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE-500 NMR spectrometer operating in the Fourier transform mode using DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> as solvent. FT-IR transmittance <sup>45</sup> spectra were recorded using an iS50 FT-IR spectrophotometer

- (Nicolet Instruments, USA). The range was set from 4000 to 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> with 16 scans and a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Surface morphology of un-coated and coated cotton fabrics before and after burning was observed with a scanning electron microscopy (SEM\_EVO\_MA15\_72iga\_Corman). All the complex ware
- <sup>50</sup> (SEM, EVO MA15, Zeiss, Germany). All the samples were coated with a conductive layer of gold prior to SEM observation. The thickness of the coating on the silicon wafer was measured by M-2000DI discrete wavelength ellipsometer (Microphotonics,

J. A. Woollam Co.) with the HeNe laser (632.8 nm). <sup>55</sup> Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the samples were performed on a Q50 thermal analyzer (TA Instruments, USA) from 30 to 700 °C at a heating rate of 20 °C min<sup>-1</sup> in nitrogen atmosphere. The vertical burning characteristics of the samples were measured according to ASTM D 1230-94 standard method.

<sup>60</sup> Five parallels for each sample were processed and an average of the data was used for interpretation. The burning behaviour of the samples was also evaluated using dual cone calorimeter (Fire Testing Technology, UK) according to ISO 5660. Samples with the size of  $100 \times 100 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$  were mounted into aluminium foil <sup>65</sup> (with frame and grid) and irradiated horizontally at a heat flux of 35 kW m<sup>2</sup>. Measurement was done in triplicate and the average data were reported. Thermogravimetric analysis-infrared spectrometry (TG-IR) was carried out on a Q50 thermal analyzer which was coupled with the iS50 FT-IR spectrophotometer through a stainless steel transfer pipe. The pipe and gas cell were 5 kept at 300 and 250 °C, respectively, to avoid the condensation of

the volatile products.

#### **Results and discussion**

Fig. 1 shows FTIR spectra of TESPI and TES-IC. The absorption bands at 2980 and 2870 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to the stretching <sup>10</sup> vibration of –CH<sub>3</sub> and –CH<sub>2</sub>– groups, respectively; the strong absorption band at 2270 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to –NCO group; the intense absorption band at 1088 cm<sup>-1</sup> is ascribed to Si-O-C bond in the organo-silane. In the FTIR spectrum of TES-IC, some new characteristic bands are observed: the stretching vibrations of the

- <sup>15</sup> N–H groups occur at 3345 and 1530 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which together with the carbonyl bands at 1698 cm<sup>-1</sup> are indicative of the existence of urethane moieties; the bands at 1454 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to the skeleton vibration of the isocyanuric ring. Additionally, the characteristic band of–NCO disappears for TES-IC, indicating the successful
- 20 reaction between TESPI and THEIC.



Fig. 1 FT-IR spectra of TESPI and TES-IC.

To further validate the successful occurrence of reaction between TESPI and THEIC, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR <sup>25</sup> measurements are employed to characterize TES-IC, as shown in Fig. 2. Composition of TES-IC is determined by integration of <sup>1</sup>H-NMR peaks at 3.9-4.1 and 3.5 ppm corresponding to a, b methylenes of THEIC units, respectively, and the signal at 2.9, 1.4 and 0.5 ppm corresponding to d, e and f methylenes of TESPI

- <sup>30</sup> unit. The resonance signals at 3.7 and 1.1 ppm is also attributed to the protons (labeled g and h) of methylene and methyl group in the siloxane structure. Additionally, one resonance signal at 6.8 ppm (labeled c), assigned to the protons of secondary amine originated from TESPI, indicating the successful reaction <sup>35</sup> between TESPI and THEIC. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR signals also
- correspond well with the structure of TES-IC.



Fig. 2 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (top) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (bottom) spectra of TES-IC recorded in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.

<sup>40</sup> The sol-gel process was monitored by <sup>29</sup>Si-NMR measurement, as shown in Fig. 3. TES-IC exhibits a single signal, indicating silicon atom only exists in one chemical environment. In contrast, the <sup>29</sup>Si-NMR of SiN hybrid shows two resonance signals at -59.5 and -68.5 ppm, which are corresponding to di-substituted (T2) <sup>45</sup> and tri-substituted (T3) siloxanes, respectively.<sup>15</sup> The appearance of T2 and T3 siloxanes suggests the complete hydrolysis and condensation between TES-IC and APTES. In addition, the results reveal that T3 are the predominant microstructures compared to T2, demonstrating the formation of strongly cross-<sup>50</sup> linking networks.



Scanning electron microscopy was employed to observe the morphological features of the untreated and coated cotton fabrics, <sup>5</sup> as shown in Fig. 4. The control fiber shows a smooth surface and <sup>5</sup> BL-coated cotton seems very similar in terms of fiber's structure and shape. When the BL number increases to 10, the rougher surface can be clearly observed, which suggests that silicon-containing compounds and phytic acid have been <sup>10</sup> successfully deposited on the surface of the cotton. At higher magnification, some fibers appear linked together. As for 15 BL-coated cotton, the roughness of the fibers becomes more obvious and the gaps between fibers gradually disappear due to the increased amount of coatings.



15



Fig. 4 SEM images of the control, cotton-5BL, cotton-10BL and cotton-15BL at different magnification.

The mass increased versus the number of bilayers plots for the coated cotton fabrics are shown in Fig. 5. It is found that <sup>25</sup> sequential deposition of cationic SiN and anionic PA on the cotton fabrics confirms the linear growth trend. The mass increased for 5, 10, and 15BL-coated cotton fabrics is 13.2%, 22.1% and 31.4%, respectively. SiN-PA bilayers were deposited on silicon wafers for thickness measurement. The thickness of <sup>30</sup> this bilayer sequence also grows linearly as a function of the number of bilayers deposited (inset of Fig. 5).



Fig. 5 Mass and film thickness (inset) as a function of the number of bilayers deposited.

Thermogravimetric analysis was carried out to assess the thermal degradation behaviors of the untreated and coated cotton fabrics, as shown in Fig. 6. The typical degradation temperature, such as the temperature at which the mass loss is 5% ( $T_{5\%}$ ) and

- $_{\rm 5}$  the temperature at the maximum mass loss rate (T\_{max}), and the char residue percentage are summarized in Table 1. TG analysis of untreated cotton sample in nitrogen shows the onset degradation temperature at about 310 °C, accompanying with its maximum mass loss rate at about 375 °C due to depolymerization
- <sup>10</sup> by trans-glycosylation reactions.<sup>16</sup> The cotton fabric treated with 5 bilayers of SiN-PA coatings shows a lower onset degradation temperature, reaching the maximum mass loss rate at 343 °C. The reduced thermal stability is attributed to the earlier degradation of the phosphorus-containing compounds that could catalyze the
- <sup>15</sup> decomposition of cotton to form the intumescent char. The intumescent char could shield the fabrics from flame; therefore, the lowered decomposition temperature is likely necessary rather than a defect of the system. The fabrics treated with 10 and 15 BL of SiN-PA coatings show a similar trend with the lowered
- <sup>20</sup> degradation temperature. However, the char residue at 700 °C is improved significantly, from 4.6% (untreated cotton) to about 31.0% (cotton-5 BL), 36.1% (cotton-10 BL) or 39.9% (cotton-15 BL). In addition, from DTG profiles, it can be seen that the maximum mass loss rate of SiN-PA treated cottons is much lower
- <sup>25</sup> than that of the control sample, meaning the suppressed thermal degradation process.

As is well known, the char yield reflects the flame retardancy of polymeric materials.<sup>17</sup> In our formulations, the phosphoruscontaining compound (phytic acid) catalyzes the dehydration of

- <sup>30</sup> cellulose to form the remarkable char yield. For this reason the reduction in the onset degradation temperature facilitates the carbonaceous residue formation. The silicon-containing compounds convert into silica when burning which stabilizes the char toward the thermal degradation process, producing a higher
- <sup>35</sup> amount of char. This increased char yield serves as thermal insulating barrier that could effectively inhibit the mass and the heat transfer between the cotton and the surroundings.



Fig. 6 TG and DTG profiles of untreated cotton and coated cotton under nitrogen atmosphere.

 
 Table 1 Thermogravimetric analysis data of untreated and coated cottons in nitrogen

Samples	T <sub>5%</sub> (°C)	T <sub>max</sub> (°C)	Residue at 700 °C (%)
Cotton	310	375	4.6
Cotton-5BL	277	343	31.0
Cotton-10BL	286	333	36.1
Cotton-15BL	242	312	39.9

The flame retardant properties of the treated cotton fabrics are <sup>45</sup> evaluated by vertical flame tests, and the images during the flammability test were taken, as shown in Fig. 7. After removing the ignition source, the un-coated cotton burns very vigorously and completely consumes at 30 s. With 5 bilayers, the cotton fabric still cannot stop the fire spread and finally the fabric burns <sup>50</sup> to the top. However, the weave structures of the cotton-5BL were well maintained after combustion. 10 BL fabric burns similarly to the fabric coated with 5 BL, but the flame propagation rate on the fabric decreases. As for the 15 BL-coated cotton fabric, the flame extinguishes immediately upon removing the ignition source, <sup>55</sup> leaving behind a small charred area. This phenomenon means that

the char formed in cotton-15BL is durable enough to inhibit additional cotton from decomposition and starve the flame of fuel.

## Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

#### www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

# **ARTICLE TYPE**



Fig. 7 Snapshots showing vertical flame tests of the control and coated cotton recorded at 5, 10, 20 and 30 s.

SEM images of the post-burn samples after vertical burning tests are shown in Fig. 8. As can be observed, the control sample <sup>5</sup> exhibits a loose and cracked residue after burning, as an indicative of its high flammability. The heat and oxygen could easily penetrate through these holes and gaps so that the control sample burned out. Fibers in the 5 BL fabrics retain their integrity after burning, and fibers with the 10 BL coating also maintain the <sup>10</sup> same shape and size, very similar to the fibers before burning. When the BL number increases to 20, the morphology of the

after-burn cotton seems quite different. The surface of the fibers looks very rough, and there are lots of bubbles on top and in the gap between fibers, which is attributed to swelling and expansion <sup>15</sup> of the coating due to the intumescent effect. The intumescent layer protects the fibers from further burning, preserving woven structure and fiber integrity, which is responsible for the selfextinguishing phenomenon of cotton-15 BL.



Fig. 8 SEM images of control fabric and fabric coated with 5, 10, and 15 BL of SiN-PA after burning.

### Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

#### www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

Control, 5, 10, and 15 BL of SiN-PA-coated cotton fabrics were measured with the cone calorimeter for evaluating the flammability behavior. There is a major reduction in peak heat release rate (PHRR) and total heat release (THR) for coated <sup>5</sup> fabrics, as shown in Fig. 9 and Table 2. Comparing the uncoated control to 5 BL of coated cotton fabrics, the PHRR decreases from 186 to 145 kW m<sup>-2</sup>, corresponding to an approximately 22% reduction. The THR also drops significantly, going from 10.04 down to 7.34 MJ m<sup>-2</sup>. With the 10 and 15 BL coated fabrics, both

- <sup>10</sup> the PHRR and the THR further decrease. From Table 2, it can be found that incorporation of SiN-PA has an obvious improvement on the time-to-ignition (TTI) of the coated fabrics, which is probably attributed to that the presence of intumescent coatings delays the release of flammable degradation gaseous products.
- <sup>15</sup> Comparing the uncoated control to 5, 10, 15 BL of coated cotton fabrics, the mean mass loss rate (MLR) is suppressed and, consequently, the residual mass after burning is increased from 8.7 to 28.0, 30.5 and 36.4 wt%, respectively, which is also observed in TGA testing. The improved char yield indicates that
- <sup>20</sup> more cotton participate in the carbonization process due to the presence of coatings. Therefore, less degradation products that serve as "fuel" go into the gas phase; as a result, the PHRR and THR values are dramatically reduced. The fire growth rate index

# **ARTICLE TYPE**

(FIGRA) was calculated by the ratio of PHRR and time to PHRR. <sup>25</sup> The FIGRA value of the control cotton is 2.7 kW m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, whereas that for 5, 10, 15 BL coated cotton is 2.1, 1.7 and 1.4 kW m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The reduced FIGRA value means the suppressed fire spread and possible ignition of the material.<sup>18</sup>



Fig. 9 The heat release rate versus time curves of untreated and coated cotton fabrics.

Sample	TTI	PHRR (kW m <sup>-2</sup> )	THR (MJ m <sup>-2</sup> )	Time to PHRR (s)	Mean MLR (g s <sup>-1</sup> )	FIGRA (kW m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-</sup>	Residual Mass (wt %)
	(s)	[%Reduction]	[%Reduction]	[%Increment]	[%Reduction]	<sup>1</sup> ) [%Reduction]	[%Increment]
Cotton	26	186 ± 2 [-]	$10.04 \pm 0.11$ [-]	68 [-]	$0.050 \pm 0.001$ [-]	2.7 [-]	8.7% [-]
Cotton-5 BL	40	$145 \pm 2 [22]$	$7.34 \pm 0.32$ [27]	70 [3]	$0.047 \pm 0.001$ [6]	2.1 [22]	28.0% [222]
Cotton-10 BL	61	138 ± 2 [26]	$7.60 \pm 0.43$ [24]	80 [18]	$0.041 \pm 0.003$ [18]	1.7 [37]	30.5% [250]
Cotton-15 BL	77	$128 \pm 7$ [31]	$6.26 \pm 0.35$ [38]	90 [32]	$0.040 \pm 0.003$ [20]	1.4 [48]	36.4% [318]

 Table 2 Collected data of untreated and coated cotton fabrics by cone calorimetry

Note: [% change] is the percent reduction in a given parameter for coated cotton relative to the control

<sup>35</sup> Based upon the fire behavior aforementioned, it is believed that the formation of intumescent char layer is responsible for suppressing fire propagation at this heat flux, or greatly inhibiting the amount of flammable gases available for combustion. In order to prove it, the volatile components of the control and cotton-

- <sup>40</sup> 15BL are investigated by TG-FTIR technique. The 3D FTIR diagrams of the control and cotton-15BL are displayed in Fig. 10. It can be observed that the deposition of SiN-PA coatings does not alter the position of FTIR bands significantly, suggesting the similar decomposition products of the control and cotton-15BL.
- <sup>45</sup> Some of the gaseous degradation products of the cotton are unambiguously identified by the characteristic FTIR signals: the peaks at the wavenumber range of 3450-3600 cm<sup>-1</sup> are ascribed to the vibration absorption of hydroxide groups, indicating the release of water vapor;<sup>19</sup> the peaks at 2876-2982 cm<sup>-1</sup> are ascribed
- <sup>50</sup> to the aliphatic C-H bonding deriving from various alkanes;<sup>20</sup> the sharp peak at 2360 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to the absorbance of CO<sub>2</sub>;<sup>21</sup> the intense peak at 1740 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to the absorbance of stretching vibration of C=O group;<sup>22</sup> the absorption peak at 1086

 $\mbox{cm}^{\text{-1}}$  is owing to the stretching vibration of C-O-C bond from  $_{55}$  ethers.  $^{23}$ 



Fig. 10 The 3D diagrams of the gaseous volatiles during decomposition process of the control and cotton-15BL.

To further understand the mechanism of the suppressed fire 5 hazards by SiN-PA coating, the absorbance of the selected degradation products for the control and cotton-15BL versus temperature is revealed in Fig. 11. As can be seen, the degradation products could be mainly divided into two categories: one is the inflammable gases, such as water vapor and CO2; the 10 other is the flammable gases, such as carbonyl compounds and esters. The maximum absorbance intensity of the inflammable gas products for cotton-15BL is much higher than that for the control, which could dilute the concentration of the flammable gases. Meanwhile, the maximum absorbance intensity of the 15 flammable gas products for cotton-15BL is much lower compared to that for the control. The reduced amount of the carbonyl and ether compounds indicates less "fuel" to be fed back to flame, and thus the reduced heat release rate and total heat release are observed in cone calorimeter testing.



20

25

Fig. 11 Intensity of characteristic peaks for pyrolysis products of the control and cotton-15BL.

It is clear that the use of intumescent coating significantly reduces the flammability of cotton fabric under a 35 kW m<sup>-2</sup> heat

flux. In this coating formulation, the phosphorus and nitrogen <sup>35</sup> species catalyze the formation of the char layer, and thereby less

30

flammable gases degraded from cotton feed back to flame as "fuel"; meanwhile, the presence of silicon element is beneficial to that the chars formed here are thermally stable enough that a heat flux of 35 kW m<sup>-2</sup> cannot maintain fire spread.

#### 5 Conclusion

In conclusion, an intumescent coating composed of nitrogenmodified silane hybrid and phytic acid was successfully deposited on cotton fabric through the combination of sol-gel process and layer-by-layer techniques. By applying this intumescent coating 10 on cotton fabric, flame was immediately extinguished on fabric

- coated with 15 BL of SiN-PA. Post-burn chars were observed with SEM and the images showed that the weave structure, and fiber shape and structure, were well preserved after coating SiN-PA. Especially for the 15 BL char, bubbles were formed on the
- <sup>15</sup> surface of the fibers during burning due to an intumescent effect. From cone calorimetry data, the peak heat release rate and total heat release of 15 BL-coated fabric exhibited a 31% and 38% reduction compared to the control fabric. The enhanced fire resistance by the presence of the intumescent coating was mainly
- <sup>20</sup> attributed to two key factors: for one thing, the amount of flammable gases was reduced while that of inflammable gases was increased, which cannot support the fire propagation; for another, the chars formed were thermally stable enough to shield the underlying materials from ignition. This study demonstrates
- <sup>25</sup> the combination of layer-by-layer assembly and sol-gel method opens an effective and environmentally friendly route to current flame-retardant treatments.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors want to acknowledge "AMAROUT II EUROPE"

<sup>30</sup> Marie Curie COFUND action, partially funded by the European Union's 7th Framework Programme under Grant Agreement n° 291803. Also, this work is partly funded by the European Project COST Action MP1105 "FLARETEX", and Ramón y Cajal grant (RYC-2012-10737).

#### 35 Notes and references

Technology, Beijing 100029, P. R. China

<sup>a</sup>Madrid Institute for Advanced Studies of Materials (IMDEA Materials), C/Eric Kandel, 2, 28906 Getafe, Madrid, Spain. E-mail: deyi.wang@imdea.org; Fax: +34 915503047; Tel.: +34 915493422-1055. <sup>b</sup>School of Aeronautics and Space Engineering, Universidad Politécnica

<sup>40</sup> de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain Beijing Key Laboratory of Clothing Materials R & D and Assessment, School of Materials Science & Engineering, Beijing Institute of Fashion

- 45 1 Y. C. Li, S. Mannen, A. B. Morgan, S. Chang, Y. H. Yang, B. Condon and J. C. Grunlan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 3926.
- 2 G. Laufer, C. Kirkland, A. B. Morgan and J. C. Grunlan, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, **13**, 2843.
- 3 L. Yu, S. Zhang, W. Liu, X. Zhu, X. Chen and X. Chen, *Polym.* Degrad. Stabil., 2010, **95**, 1934.
- 4 E. Kandare, A. K. Chukwunonso and B. K. Kandola, *Fire Mater.*, 2011, **35**, 143.
- 5 M. Tsafack and J. Levalois-Grützmacher, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2006, **200**, 3503.
- 55 6 H. Yang and C. Q. Yang, J. Fire Sci., 2007, 25, 425.
- 7 F. Carosio, G. Laufer, J. Alongi, G. Camino and J. C. Grunlan, *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.*, 2011, 96, 745.

- 8 J. Alongi, F. Carosio and G. Malucelli, *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.*, 2012, **97**, 1644.
- 60 9 K. Apaydin, A. Laachachi, V. Ball, M. Jimenez, S. Bourbigot, V. Toniazzo and D. Ruch, *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.*, 2013, 98, 627.
  - 10 Y. C. Li, Y. S. Kim, J. Shields and R. Davis, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 12987.
- 11 G. Laufer, C. Kirkland, A. B. Morgan and J. C. Grunlan, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2013, **2**, 361.
- 12 J. Alongi, M. Ciobanu and G. Malucelli, *Carbohyd. Polym.*, 2011, 85, 599.
- 13 G. Brancatelli, C. Colleoni, M. R. Massafra and G. Rosace, *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.*, 2011, 96, 483.
- E. Devaux, M. Rochery and S. Bourbigot, *Fire Mater.*, 2002, 26, 149.
   C. F. Kuan, W. J. Chen, Y. L. Li, C. H. Chen, H. C. Kuan and C. L.
  - Chiang, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2010, 71, 539.
    F. Shafizadeh, A. G. Bradbury, W. F. DeGroot and T. W. Aanerud, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev., 1982, 27, 97.
- 75 17 M. Espinosa, M. Galia and V. Cadiz, J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem., 2004, 42, 3516.
  - 18 B. Biswas and B. K. Kandola, Polym. Adv. Technol., 2011, 22, 1192.
  - 19 N. Huang and J. Wang, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 2009, 84, 124.
- 20 Y. Y. Dong, Z. Gui, Y. Hu, Y. Wu and S. H. Jiang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2012, **209**, 34.
- 21 S. Gaan, P. Rupper, V. Salimova, M. Heuberger, S. Rabe and F. Vogel, *Polym. Degrad. Stabil.*, 2009, 94, 1125.
- 22 X. L. Chen, L. Huo, C. M. Jiao and S. Li, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 2013, 100, 186.
- 85 23 B. Ghosh, K. V. Chellappan and M. W. Urban, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 14473.