1	The gut microbiota - brain axis of insects
2	
3	Joanito Liberti ^{1,2,*} & Philipp Engel ^{1,*}
4	
5	
6	
7	¹ Department of Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne, 1015, Lausanne,
8	Switzerland
9	² Department of Ecology & Evolution, University of Lausanne, 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland
10	
11	* Correspondence: Joanito Liberti: joanito.liberti@unil.ch; Philipp Engel:
12	philipp.engel@unil.ch
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- Highlights The gut microbiota is emerging as a regulator of neurophysiology and behavior. Similar processes may govern the gut microbiota - brain axis across mammals and insects. The honey bee allows disentangling microbial effects on behavior in a eusocial animal. Abstract Research on the connections between gut microbes and the neurophysiology and behavior of their animal hosts has grown exponentially in just a few years. Most studies have focused on mammalian models as their relevance to human health is widely established. However, evidence is accumulating that insect behavior may be governed by molecular mechanisms that are partly homologous to those of mammals, and therefore relevant for the understanding of their behavioral dysfunctions. Social insects in particular may provide experimentally amenable models to disentangle the contributions of individual bacterial symbionts to the gut microbiota - brain axis. In this review, we summarize findings from recent research on the neurological and behavioral effects of the gut microbiota of insects and propose an integrated approach to unravel the extended behavioral phenotypes of gut microbes in the honey bee.

49 Introduction

Research on symbiotic microorganisms associated with eukaryotic hosts has expanded 50 51 dramatically in recent years, because advances in sequencing technologies allow rapid 52 characterization of unculturable – and thus previously unknown – microbial diversity. An 53 emerging avenue in this field is that of the neurophysiological consequences of microbial 54 symbionts, which is rapidly changing the way we understand key aspects of symbiosis and animal behavior. Such interdisciplinary research operating at the interface of neuroscience, 55 microbiology, and medicine is becoming a major subfield of biology, holding promise for the 56 57 treatment of diseases affecting millions worldwide [1].

58

The gut microbiota has well-established roles in animal nutrition and immunity [2,3]. 59 60 However, gut microorganisms also hold a previously underestimated potential to contribute to 61 host processes beyond those occurring in the intestinal tract. For example, they can produce neuroactive compounds that influence brain function and behavior [4], with numerous 62 implications for disorders of the central nervous system [1,5-7]. Research on the gut 63 microbiota - brain axis in mammalian models (i.e. rodents) is unraveling contributions of 64 bacterial taxa to the etiology of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer [8] and 65 66 Parkinson's disease [9] and in the modulation of emotional states, including anxiety and depression (reviewed in [6]). Recent studies also suggest a link between the gut microbiota 67 68 and social behavior, connecting microbial dysbiosis in the gut with social dysfunctions, such 69 as autism-spectrum disorders (ASD) [10,11] and schizophrenia [12].

70

So far, experimental investigations of the connections between gut bacterial strains, their
metabolic output, the induction of gene expression in the host brain, and the ensuing effects

on behavioral traits, have mostly focused on a few established vertebrate model organisms 73 74 (mostly mice and rats) [6,13]. This implies that the evolutionary history of the gut microbiota 75 - brain axis has remained elusive, and we lack knowledge about the conservation of the 76 underlying mechanisms by which hosts and microbes interact. Moreover, animals vary 77 substantially in the diversity and stability of their microbial gut communities, as well as in the 78 extent to which they engage in social behavior. Little is known about how these traits are regulated along the gut microbiota - brain axis, i.e. how microbial community structure 79 80 impacts host brain and behavior and how social interactions shape the assembly of microbial 81 communities in return. Insects provide experimentally amenable models that vary 82 tremendously in the characteristics of their gut microbiota as well as in degree of sociality, but research in this field is still in its early stage. The exploitation potential of the gut microbiota -83 84 brain axis to manage invertebrate species of economic interest, and the suitability of insect 85 species as pharmacological models for microbiota-induced neurological and behavioral dysfunctions have thus remained largely unexplored. Filling such knowledge gaps is now 86 87 feasible owing to technological breakthroughs in DNA sequencing, genome engineering, 88 metabolomics, and behavioral tracking, and the amenability of a few insect model organisms to manipulation of their gut microbiota composition. 89

90

Social insects in particular hold promise for disentangling the contributions of individual
bacterial strains and their synergistic effects on social behavior. Recent discoveries suggest
that homologous molecular mechanisms may underlie responsiveness to social stimuli across
bees and humans [14,15] (Figure 1). Honey bee workers that do not engage in brood care and
defense of the hive, and solitary individuals in a halictid bee species characterized by a social
polymorphism, both show brain gene expression differences compared to their social

97 counterparts for genes implicated in ASD in humans [14,15]. This implies that social insects
98 could provide excellent model organisms to understand the role of gut microbes on the
99 evolution of social behavior and its dysfunctions.

100

In this review, we will summarize recent investigations on microbially-induced alterations of neurophysiology and behavior across insects and propose an integrated approach to characterize the gut microbiota - brain axis in the honey bee, a social insect in which the understanding of brain physiology and social behavior [16], as well as the composition and function of the gut microbiota [17-20], are well-advanced. Further, a suite of assays to track cognitive performance and social interactions in social insects, including honey bees, has recently become available [21-24] (Box 1 and Figure 2A).

108

109 The extended behavioral phenotypes of symbiotic microorganisms in insects

The first appreciation that symbiotic microorganisms can alter the behavioral repertoire of 110 111 their insect hosts derived from studies looking into how microbes manipulate their hosts to 112 enhance their own transmission. Examples include Wolbachia bacterial symbionts modifying 113 the mating preferences of their hosts [25], or Ophiocordyceps parasitic fungi turning infected 114 ants into "zombies" that abandon their maternal nest to die where conditions are most 115 favorable for fungal sporulation [26]. More recently, researchers have started investigating the 116 specific neurological and behavioral effects of the bacterial communities associated with the 117 intestinal tract of insects, identifying their contributions in numerous processes, including 118 chemical communication, development, cognition, and social interactions.

119

120 Gut microbes can alter the odorant profiles and the olfactory behavior of their insect hosts 121 [27], consequently regulating how individuals interact through chemical communication, 122 aggregate in social groups, or make decisions about foraging and mating. For example in the 123 lower termite Reticulitermes speratus, conspecific intruders are more easily recognized and 124 aggressed when they are colonized by foreign gut bacteria promoting unfamiliar scents [28]. 125 In Acromyrmex echinatior leaf-cutting ants, suppression of the gut microbiota seemingly 126 promotes aggression between non-nestmates, possibly through changes in the cuticular 127 hydrocarbon profiles (CHCs) [29]. German cockroaches that lack gut bacteria have lower amounts of volatile carboxylic acids in their feces, which mediate aggregation responses. 128 129 These feces become less attractive to conspecifics than those from conventionally colonized 130 or re-inoculated (after antibiotic treatment) individuals [30]. Similarly, the production of the 131 pheromone guaiacol by gut microbes mediates the aggregation of locusts into swarms [31]. In 132 Drosophila, gut microbes influence olfactory-guided foraging decisions by making hosts prefer food patches seeded with specific (beneficial) bacterial strains, although these decisions 133 134 are traded against the need to balance the flies' nutritional intake [32,33]. Similarly, when 135 Bactrocera dorsalis oriental fruit flies are depleted of their gut microbes, they prefer food 136 containing a full complement of amino acids over other less nutrient-rich options even when 137 this food is less readily accessible [34].

138

The gut microbiota can have profound effects on the neurophysiological development of the
host [35], aiding in cognition by potentiating its capacity to learn and memorize. Axenic *Drosophila* flies perform worse in an aversive phototactic assay of learning and memory than
flies reared with a conventional gut microbiota [36]. The co-inoculation of two commensal
microbes, *Lactobacillus* and *Acetobacter* (but neither of those in mono-inoculations), is

required and sufficient to recapitulate the cognitive performance of fully-colonized flies [36].
Likewise, several cognitive-enhancing effects of the gut microbiota have been described in
rodent models (reviewed in [6]). For example antibiotic-treated rats suffer reduced spatial
memory abilities, which can be reversed by gut colonization of *Lactobacillus fermentum* NS9 [37].

149

150 Recent findings also show that insect models may be appropriate for understanding the 151 development of neurodegenerative diseases and the potential for their probiotic treatment. Drosophila null mutants of the parkin gene (a gene whose mutations are strongly associated 152 153 with early onset of Parkinson's disease in humans) have 5-fold higher bacterial loads and an 154 altered community structure in their guts compared to wild-type control flies [38]. These flies 155 are also more sensitive to paraquat (a neurotoxin whose chronic exposure increases the risk of 156 developing Parkinson's disease) as compared to germ-free parkin mutants [38]. Selective RNAi knockdown of parkin in gut enterocytes increases bacterial load but does not cause 157 158 changes in paraquat sensitivity. However, sensitivity to paraquat is altered if the knockdown 159 occurs throughout the entire fly, suggesting that dysbiosis of the gut microbiota can influence 160 sensitivity to toxins in distal tissues [38]. These results suggest that *parkin* regulates microbial 161 homeostasis in the gut of fruit flies, and conversely, that the gut microbiota impact fruit fly 162 traits that are associated with Parkinson's disease in humans. These findings are intriguing 163 because recent studies in mice have linked the gut microbiota with the etiology of this disease 164 [9] and suggest that at least some forms of Parkinson's disease may represent autoimmune 165 diseases starting in the gut years before any motor deficit occurs [39].

166

167	Three recent studies also linked the gut microbiota with markers of Alzheimer's disease in a
168	Drosophila model. Together these studies show that dysbiosis results in exacerbated
169	progression of the disease as modeled in the fly [40] and that probiotic supplementation with
170	distinct Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium strains can ameliorate several symptoms [41],
171	possibly mediated by the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) such as acetate [42].
172	Gut dysbiosis and associated changes in SCFA abundance in the gut are common markers of
173	Alzheimer's disease in mammals, including humans (reviewed in [8]). Further, initial
174	therapeutic attempts with probiotics composed of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium strains
175	had positive effects on disease symptoms [43,44].
176	
177	A recent study [45] showed that <i>Drosophila</i> are hyperactive in axenic conditions compared to
178	conventionally-inoculated flies. These effects could be reversed by colonization
179	with Lactobacillus brevis, a common gut symbiont of fruit flies, but not Lactobacillus
180	plantarum. The study gained some mechanistic understanding of these interactions by
181	showing that xylose isomerase was responsible for the locomotor effects by modulating
182	trehalose levels, and that thermogenetic activation of octopaminergic neurons or exogenous
183	administration of octopamine abrogated its effects, implicating octopaminergic neurons as
184	mediators of cues from the gut microbiota. Mice lacking a microbiota are similarly
185	hyperactive [35] and have increased anxiety-like behavior [46]. Moreover, recent studies
186	showed that ASD symptoms in mice [10,11] and human children [47] can be improved
187	through microbiota transplantations. ASD symptoms include hyperactivity (i.e. attention
188	deficit hyperactivity disorder) and anxiety, in addition to gastro-intestinal and autoimmune
189	disorders, depression and obsessive-compulsive disorder [48,49]. Therefore, it has been
190	suggested that there could be a mechanistic link between these results in Drosophila and

191 mammals [50]. One potential mechanism has been recently identified. Reducing the 192 expression of histone demethylase KDM5 genes in Drosophila (whose loss-of-function mutations are associated with ASD in humans and mice) causes intestinal barrier dysfunction 193 and induces changes in gut microbiota composition and social behavior that can be partly 194 195 rescued by feeding a Lactobacillus strain [51]. KDM5 histone demethylases regulate 196 transcription of genes in the immune deficiency signaling pathway [51]. The functions of 197 these enzymes are evolutionary conserved, indicating that they may play a key role in 198 maintaining gut microbial homeostasis across a wide range of host species [51]. Epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation and histone modifications are broadly implicated in 199 200 neurodegenerative diseases in humans [52], so future comparative work should detail the 201 extent to which these processes are conserved.

202

203 An interesting aspect emerging from this body of research is that, in spite of gut communities 204 being comprised of substantial bacterial diversity, in several instances mono-inoculations with individual bacterial strains appear to be sufficient to recapitulate the cognitive, social, and 205 206 locomotor abilities of fully-colonized individuals [11,36,45]. This may point towards general 207 mechanisms of host-microbe interaction that are redundant across multiple gut symbionts. 208 Indeed empirical evidence so far suggests that several neurophysiological effects of gut 209 microbes can be induced by molecules that are broadly produced via bacterial fermentation in both insects and mammals, such as SCFAs [9,42,53], or by the activity of enzymes encoded 210 211 by genes present across multiple bacterial genomes [45]. Taken together the recent studies on 212 insects are encouraging, as they provide support for the hypothesis that homologous processes 213 underlie the regulation of neurodevelopmental diseases by the gut microbiota across 214 mammals and insects. If this hypothesis will be substantiated by additional empirical

215 evidence, it would suggest that these diseases are deeply rooted in evolution and represent by-216 products of ancient and complex interactions between gut microbes and the host nervous 217 system. However, a full appreciation of homology in these interactions will require a much 218 better mechanistic understanding of the extended phenotypes of gut bacteria on their insect 219 hosts. Most studies have so far focused on the fruit fly gut microbiota, which consists of few 220 bacterial species that for the most part only transiently colonize the gut [reviewed in 54, but 221 see 55]. While *Drosophila* provides a good model to dissect the proximate mechanisms that 222 mediate host responses to bacterial colonization, it is sub-optimal to understand how more 223 complex and persisting bacterial communities impact neural functioning and regulate the 224 interaction dynamics of host social networks, questions that are highly relevant for human 225 psychology and medicine.

226

227 A research primer to characterize the gut microbiota - brain axis in the honey bee The honey bee is a promising model to investigate the neurological and behavioral effects of 228 229 bacterial symbionts for a number of reasons. The gut microbiota is well characterized and 230 known to consist of eight to ten predominant bacterial phylotypes (clusters of bacterial strains 231 sharing \geq 97% sequence identity in the 16S rRNA gene; Figure 1), five of which represent the 232 core microbiota found in every honey bee worker, independently of sub-species and 233 geography [56]. This represents a remarkably simple gut community that can be easily 234 manipulated (see Box 1) compared to vertebrate models, yet that is both more complex and 235 stable than that of a fruit fly [54]. The bacterial lineages present in the honey bee gut are 236 comprised of several sequence-discrete populations (SDPs, which can be considered as bacterial species [20,57]), each of which contains high levels of strain diversity [20] (Figure 237 238 2B). Each bee harbors a unique combination of strains, indicating that the functional

239 repertoire of the gut community varies across bees even within the same hive [20]. Distinct 240 behavioral groups characterized by division of labor coexist within the hive, and these show 241 differences in gut microbiota composition and structure [58-60]. This system therefore 242 represents a unique opportunity to understand how gut bacterial diversity affects variation in 243 individual cognition and behavior and how the cumulative effect of these microbe-host 244 interactions shapes the colony's social network structure. Communication between host and 245 microbes is bi-directional and social interactions can have profound effects on how gut 246 bacteria are distributed between hive members and how the microbiota assembles in 247 individual bees. These dynamics could be investigated using tracking technologies as recently 248 done to assess how ants modify social interaction to slow down transmission of a fungal 249 pathogen [23]. These technologies are already applicable to honey bees [24].

250

251 The physiological impact of honey bee gut symbionts has recently been investigated. So far, the focus has mostly been restricted to roles for nutrition [18,61] and immunity [62-64] in gut 252 253 tissues. However, these first explorations are encouraging as they also suggest that the gut 254 microbiota alters worker behavior towards increased sugar intake, likely by modulating insulin sensitivity [61] (Figure 1), and that specifically *Bifidobacterium asteroides* induces 255 256 juvenile hormone III and prostaglandins in the host gut [18], which may be instrumental for 257 gut - brain communication. The study of the neurophysiological effects of gut microbes is still 258 in its infancy, but as honey bees are major pollinators of invaluable importance to secure food 259 production, it could make vital contributions to ensure hive health.

260

261 Conclusions

262 Studies of the extended behavioral phenotypes of microbial gut symbionts have implications 263 across biological and medical disciplines. They are also contributing to a shift in perspective 264 of organismal function to one in which the behavioral repertoires of animals result from 265 interactions between symbiotic species spanning multiple domains of life. So far our proximate and ultimate understanding of these interactions has been limited by the use of only 266 267 a handful of model organisms, rodents for the most part. This has precluded understanding when and how such gut microbe - brain interactions evolved, as well as the generality of the 268 269 proximate mechanisms involved. To fully appreciate the role of bacterial symbionts in the 270 evolution of the social brain, future research should contrast these interactions across multiple 271 taxa representing different degrees of sociality. Nevertheless, encouraging first investigations 272 have begun to suggest that homologous gut microbiota - brain interactions in mammals and 273 insects may exist, pointing to a deep evolutionary origin of the gut microbiota - brain axis. 274 Establishing the role of gut microbes in cognition and behavior as well as the suitability of 275 probiotic supplementation as a mean to adjust behavioral traits of species of strategic 276 importance has the potential to open up a different perspective on how bees and other insects 277 will be managed in the future.

278

279

280 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Koos Boomsma for his mentorship and contribution to the
development of the ideas that prompted this review. We thank Lucie Kešnerová for the
drawings of gut bacteria and the photo of tagged bees shown in Figure 2a and Tomas Kay for
providing the social interaction network model depicted in Figure 2c. This work was funded
by the University of Lausanne, the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation

286	programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement BRAIN (No 797113) to
287	Joanito Liberti, and by a HFSP Young Investigator grant (RGY0077/2016), an ERC Starting
288	Grant (MicroBeeOme), and a Swiss National Science Foundation grant (31003A_160345) to
289	Philipp Engel.
290	
291	Declaration of interest: none.
292	
293	Figure captions
294	
295	Figure 1 Comparative summary of studies that previously investigated the gut microbiota -
296	brain axis in mammals (including humans) and the physiological responses to gut microbes in
297	honey bees, highlighting parallels between these systems, as well as knowledge gaps for
298	honey bees (in bold) and recently discovered expression overlap with brain genes involved in
299	autism spectrum disorders (ASD).
300	
301	Figure 2 Schematic summary of experimental approaches to investigate the effect of gut
302	microbes on the neurophysiology and behavior of the honey bee host. (A) The gut microbiota
303	composition can be manipulated in any desired way (see Box 1), after which colonized and
304	microbiota-depleted bees can be used in gene expression, metabolomics, brain imaging, or
305	behavioral tracking experiments with 'fiducial' ARTags - unique matrix-like markers that are
306	glued to the thorax of each bee. (B) Each bee harbors a unique combination of gut microbe
307	strains [20] and the panel depicts a hypothetical example of strain distributions across bees,
308	whose presence is shown by gray quadrants on top of orange and purple dashed lines
309	separating bees belonging to distinct behavioral groups. Interactions between bees are shown

by gray arcs towards the top. The distinct behavioral groups (e.g. foragers and nurses,
depicted in different node colors) cluster separately in a hypothetical social interaction
network (C), where nodes represent individual bees and gray edges report interactions
between bees, with edge width being proportional to the number of interactions between
individuals through time. SDPs = sequence-discrete populations, as defined in [20,57].

316 Box 1 Research approaches to characterize the gut microbiota - brain axis in the honey bee. 317 The production of gnotobiotic honey bees is rather simple, as bees can be deprived of gut 318 symbionts via elimination of their oral-anal transmission route by isolating mature pupae in 319 sterile rearing boxes and allowing adults to emerge in incubators [18]. This avoids the 320 potentially confounding effects of the antibiotic exposure often required to produce germ-free 321 individuals in other organisms and results in bees colonized only by transient, environmental 322 bacteria at very low abundance, which are referred to as microbiota-depleted (MD) [56]. All 323 bacterial strains associated with the honey bee can be cultured in the laboratory and re-324 inoculated in MD bees by the simple addition of bacterial cultures to the food or by 'pipette-325 feeding' defined quantities of bacteria in sugar water, producing bees colonized by any 326 combination of bacterial strains [18]. The bees whose microbiota composition has been 327 experimentally manipulated can be subjected to neurotranscriptomic analyses to identify brain 328 gene expression changes upon bacterial colonization, and metabolomics studies to track bacterial metabolites [18,61] as they travel through the host body and possibly reach the brain, 329 330 also with the aid of stable-isotope labeling. Brain regions and neuronal populations involved 331 in the interactions can be identified via fluorescence *in situ* hybridization and microscopy. 332 Phenotypic effects on behavior can be quantified by assays of learning and memory abilities 333 [21], flight performance and responses to sensory stimuli [65]. Moreover, advanced tracking

- technologies that allow the full quantification of social interactions in observation boxes are
- now available [22-24] and can be used to quantify whether gut bacteria influence the position
- 336 of each bee in the hive interactome and the number of times each bee interacts with other
- individuals and engages in more complex behaviors such as nectar/pollen handling, brood
- 338 rearing, or trophallaxis.
- 339

340 References

341 1. Cryan JF, Dinan TG: Mind-altering microorganisms: the impact of the gut microbiota
342 on brain and behaviour. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2012, 13:701-712.

A comprehensive review focusing on the roles of the gut microbiota in stress, cognition and
behavior, also providing a general framework to investigate the impact of the gut microbiota
on the central nervious system.

346

2. Levy M, Kolodziejczyk AA, Thaiss CA, Elinav E: Dysbiosis and the immune system. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2017, 17:219-232.

349

3. Valdes AM, Walter J, Segal E, Spector TD: Role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and
health. *BMJ* 2018, 361:k2179.

- 353 4. Johnson KVA, Foster KR: Why does the microbiome affect behaviour? *Nat. Rev.*354 *Microbiol.* 2018, 16:647-655.
- A review on the adaptive significance of the gut microbiota brain axis for both hosts and gut microorganisms, suggesting that these interactions can arise as a by-product of natural selection on microorganisms to colonize and thrive within the host, and selection on hosts to become increasingly dependent on their gut symbionts.
- 359
- 360 5. Quigley EMM: Microbiota-brain-gut axis and neurodegenerative diseases. *Curr.*
- 361 Neurol. Neurosci. Rep. 2017, **17**:94.
- 362
- 363 6. Vuong HE, Yano JM, Fung TC, Hsiao EY: The microbiome and host behavior. *Annu.*364 *Rev. Neurosci.* 2017, 40:21-49.
- A review on the roles of the gut microbiota in modulating anxiety, learning and memory,
- and social behavior through chemical communication. The review further summarizes the
- 367 effects of the microbiota on different regions of the mammalian brain focusing on brain
- 368 microstructure, gene expression and neurochemical metabolism, as well as the links between

dysbiosis of the gut microbiota and behavioral dysfunctions. The authors also focus on a few 369 recent discoveries in insects. 370 371 372 7. Davidson GL, Cooke AC, Johnson CN, Quinn JL: The gut microbiome as a driver of 373 individual variation in cognition and functional behaviour. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B 2018, 374 **373**:20170286. 375 8. Shen L, Ji HF: Associations between gut microbiota and Alzheimer's disease: current 376 377 evidences and future therapeutic and diagnostic perspectives. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 2019, 378 **68**:25-31. 379 380 9. Sampson TR, Debelius JW, Thron T, Janssen S, Shastri GG, Ilhan ZE, Challis C, Schretter 381 CE, Rocha S, Gradinaru V, et al.: Gut microbiota regulate motor deficits and 382 neuroinflammation in a model of Parkinson's disease. Cell 2016, 167:1469-1480. 383 10. Buffington SA, Di Prisco GV, Auchtung TA, Ajami NJ, Petrosino JF, Costa-Mattioli M: 384 Microbial reconstitution reverses maternal diet-induced social and synaptic deficits in 385 386 offspring. Cell 2016, 165:1762-1775. 387 388 11. Sgritta M, Dooling SW, Buffington SA, Momin EN, Francis MB, Britton RA, Costa-Mattioli M: Mechanisms underlying microbial-mediated changes in social behavior in 389 mouse models of autism spectrum disorder. Neuron 2019, 101:246-259. 390 391 392 12. Zhu F, Guo R, Wang W, Ju Y, Wang Q, Ma Q, Sun Q, Fan Y, Xie Y, Yang Z, et al.: 393 Transplantation of microbiota from drug-free patients with schizophrenia causes schizophrenia-like abnormal behaviors and dysregulated kynurenine metabolism in 394 395 mice. Mol. Psychiatry 2019, in press. doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0475-4. 396 397 13. Sherwin E, Bordenstein SR, Quinn JL, Dinan TG, Cryan JF: Microbiota and the social 398 brain. Science 2019, 366:eaar2016. • A recent review summarizing findings on the effects of the gut microbiota on social 399 400 behavior and its disorders in mammalian models. The authors discuss the possibility that gut 401 microbes played a role in the evolution of the social brain and emphasize the need for 402 comparative analyses across multiple taxa to assess commonalities in the proximate 403 mechanisms of interaction and to elucidate the evolutionary history of the gut microbiota -404 brain axis. 405 406 14. Shpigler HY, Saul MC, Corona F, Block L, Cash Ahmed A, Zhao SD, Robinson GE: Deep evolutionary conservation of autism-related genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2017, 407 114:9653-9658. 408

409 410 411 412 413	•• The study evaluated differences in gene expression in the mushroom body region of the brain between sister honey bees that engaged or not in the social tasks of guarding and brood caring. Comparison of differentially expressed genes with those associated with ASD in humans highlighted a significant overlap, implying that conserved genetic mechanisms affect the encoding of social behaviour across different domains of social evolution.
414 415 416	15. Kocher SD, Mallarino R, Rubin BER, Yu DW, Hoekstra HE, Pierce NE: The genetic basis of a social polymorphism in halictid bees. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 2018, 9 :4338.
417 418 419 420	16. Zayed A, Robinson GE: Understanding the relationship between brain gene expression and social behavior: lessons from the honey bee. <i>Annu. Rev. Genet.</i> 2012, 46 :591-615.
421 422 423	17. Engel P, Martinson VG, Moran NA: Functional diversity within the simple gut microbiota of the honey bee. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 2012, 109 :11002-11007.
424 425 426	18. Kešnerová L, Mars RAT, Ellegaard KM, Troilo M, Sauer U, Engel P: Disentangling metabolic functions of bacteria in the honey bee gut . <i>PLoS Biol</i> . 2017, 15 :e2003467.
427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434	•• Using untargeted metabolomics, the authors compared colonized and microbiota-depleted honey bees, identifying the pollen-derived substrates utilized by the gut microbiota and the subsequent break-down products. They then used mono-inoculations and recapitulated their findings using <i>in vitro</i> cultures, which identified the contributions of individual community members. About 80% of the identified metabolic changes were also observed in mono-colonized bees, with Lactobacilli being responsible for the largest share of the metabolic output. <i>Bifidobacterium asteroides</i> in particular stimulated the production of host hormones known to impact bee development, with potential implications for brain function.
435 436 437	19. Kwong WK, Medina LA, Koch H, Sing KW, Soh EJY, Ascher JS, Jaffe R, Moran NA: Dynamic microbiome evolution in social bees . <i>Sci. Adv.</i> 2017, 3 :e1600513.
438 439 440	20. Ellegaard KM, Engel P: Genomic diversity landscape of the honey bee gut microbiota. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 2019, 10 :446.
441 442 443 444 445 446	•• Using metagenomics approaches, the authors showed that honey bees harbor a high degree of cryptic diversity in gut microbiota composition. In spite of a relatively simple gut community at the phylotype level, each bacterial symbiont can be subdivided in sequence- descrete populations (SDPs), each of which contains multiple strains that are assembled in distinct ways across sister bees belonging to the same hives. This suggests that the overall functional potential of the gut microbiota varies between individual bees.
447 448	21. Vergoz V, Roussel E, Sandoz JC, Giurfa M: Aversive learning in honeybees revealed

449 by the olfactory conditioning of the sting extension reflex. *PLoS One* 2007, **2**:e288.

450

- 451 22. Mersch DP, Crespi A, Keller L: Tracking individuals shows spatial fidelity is a key
 452 regulator of ant social organization. *Science* 2013, 340:1090-1093.
- 453
- 454 23. Stroeymeyt N, Grasse AV, Crespi A, Mersch DP, Cremer S, Keller L: Social network
 455 plasticity decreases disease transmission in a eusocial insect. *Science* 2018, 362:941-945.

456 •• Using a combination of tracking technologies, qPCR and simulations, the authors showed
457 that exposure to a fungal pathogen induces behavioral changes in ant colonies that allow ants
458 to contain the disease by reinforcing properties of the colony's interaction network (such as
459 the density or modularity of the interactions) that inhibit pathogen transmission. The study
460 shows just how powerful tracking technologies can be to determine complex changes in social
461 behavior in more realistic settings than one-on-one encounters.

462

- 463 24. Blut C, Crespi A, Mersch D, Keller L, Zhao L, Kollmann M, Schellscheidt B, Fulber C,
 464 Beye M: Automated computer-based detection of encounter behaviours in groups of
- 465 honeybees. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7:17663.

466

- 467 25. Miller WJ, Ehrman L, Schneider D: Infectious speciation revisited: impact of
 468 symbiont-depletion on female fitness and mating behavior of *Drosophila paulistorum*.
- 469 *PLoS Path.* 2010, **6**:e1001214.

470

- 471 26. Andersen SB, Gerritsma S, Yusah KM, Mayntz D, Hywel-Jones NL, Billen J, Boomsma
- JJ, Hughes DP: The life of a dead ant: the expression of an adaptive extended phenotype. *Am. Nat.* 2009, 174:424-433.

474

- 475 27. Carthey AJR, Gillings MR, Blumstein DT: The extended genotype: microbially
- 476 mediated olfactory communication. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 2018, **33**:885-894.

477

- 478 28. Matsuura K: Nestmate recognition mediated by intestinal bacteria in a termite,
 479 *Reticulitermes speratus*. Oikos 2001, 92:20-26.
- 480
- 481 29. Teseo S, van Zweden JS, Pontieri L, Kooij PW, Sorensen SJ, Wenseleers T, Poulsen M,
- Boomsma JJ, Sapountzis P: The scent of symbiosis: gut bacteria may affect social
 interactions in leaf-cutting ants. *Anim. Behav.* 2019, 150:239-254.

484

30. Wada-Katsumata A, Zurek L, Nalyanya G, Roelofs WL, Zhang A, Schal C: Gut bacteria
mediate aggregation in the German cockroach. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2015,
112:15678-15683.

488

489 31. Dillon RJ, Vennard CT, Charnley AK: Exploitation of gut bacteria in the locust. *Nature*490 2000, 403:851.

491 492 493 494	32. Wong AC, Wang QP, Morimoto J, Senior AM, Lihoreau M, Neely GG, Simpson SJ, Ponton F: Gut microbiota modifies olfactory-guided microbial preferences and foraging decisions in <i>Drosophila</i> . <i>Curr. Biol.</i> 2017, 27 :2397-2404.
495 496 497	33. Qiao H, Keesey IW, Hansson BS, Knaden M: Gut microbiota affects development and olfactory behavior in <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> . J. Exp. Biol. 2019, 222 :jeb192500.
498 499 500 501	34. Akami M, Andongma AA, Chen ZZ, Nan J, Khaeso K, Jurkevitch E, Niu CY, Yuval B: Intestinal bacteria modulate the foraging behavior of the oriental fruit fly <i>Bactrocera</i> <i>dorsalis</i> (Diptera: Tephritidae). <i>PLoS One</i> 2019, 14:e0210109.
502 503 504 505	35. Diaz Heijtz R, Wang S, Anuar F, Qian Y, Bjorkholm B, Samuelsson A, Hibberd ML, Forssberg H, Pettersson S: Normal gut microbiota modulates brain development and behavior . <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA</i> 2011, 108 :3047-3052.
506 507 508	36. DeNieu M, Mounts K, Manier M: Two gut microbes are necessary and sufficient for normal cognition in <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> . <i>bioRxiv</i> 2019:593723.
509 510 511 512	37. Wang T, Hu X, Liang S, Li W, Wu X, Wang L, Jin F: <i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i> NS9 restores the antibiotic induced physiological and psychological abnormalities in rats. <i>Benef. Microbes</i> 2015, 6 :707-717.
513 514 515	38. Feltzin V, Wan KH, Celniker SE, Bonini NM: Role and impact of the gut microbiota in a <i>Drosophila</i> model for parkinsonism. <i>bioRxiv</i> 2019:718825.
516 517 518 519	39. Matheoud D, Cannon T, Voisin A, Penttinen A-M, Ramet L, Fahmy AM, Ducrot C, Laplante A, Bourque M-J, Zhu L, et al.: Intestinal infection triggers Parkinson's disease-like symptoms in Pink1-/- mice . <i>Nature</i> 2019, 571 :565-569.
520 521 522	40. Wu SC, Cao ZS, Chang KM, Juang JL: Intestinal microbial dysbiosis aggravates the progression of Alzheimer's disease in <i>Drosophila</i> . <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 2017, 8:24.
523 524 525 526	41. Westfall S, Lomis N, Prakash S: A novel synbiotic delays Alzheimer's disease onset via combinatorial gut-brain-axis signaling in <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> . <i>PLoS One</i> 2019, 14:e0214985.
527 528 529 530	42. Kong Y, Jiang BC, Luo XC: Gut microbiota influences Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis by regulating acetate in <i>Drosophila</i> model. <i>Future Microbiol.</i> 2018, 13 :1117-1128.

- 531
- 43. Kobayashi Y, Sugahara H, Shimada K, Mitsuyama E, Kuhara T, Yasuoka A, Kondo T,
- Abe K, Xiao J-Z: Therapeutic potential of *Bifidobacterium breve* strain A1 for preventing cognitive impairment in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7:13510.
- 535
- 44. Akbari E, Asemi Z, Daneshvar Kakhaki R, Bahmani F, Kouchaki E, Tamtaji OR, Hamidi
 GA, Salami M: Effect of probiotic supplementation on cognitive function and metabolic
- 538 status in Alzheimer's disease: a randomized, double-blind and controlled trial. *Front.*
- 539 Aging Neurosci. 2016, 8:256.
- 540

541 45. Schretter CE, Vielmetter J, Bartos I, Marka Z, Marka S, Argade S, Mazmanian SK: A gut
542 microbial factor modulates locomotor behaviour in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 2018, 563:402543 406.

•• The authors demonstrated that the gut microbiota of *Drosophila melanogaster* influences 544 545 the fruit fly's locomotor behavior by interacting with the central nervous system. To do this, 546 they compared fruit flies with and without their conventional gut flora showing that germ-free 547 flies are hyperactive, and went on to test flies that were only inoculated with individual 548 Lactobacillus strains, showing that Lactobacillus brevis but not Lactobacillus plantarum can 549 recapitulate the effects of the conventional gut flora. Using single-gene mutation experiments, 550 they identified xylose isomerase (Xi) as the enzyme mediating these effects by modulating 551 trehalose levels. By selective activation of specific neuronal populations the authors showed 552 that L. brevis and Xi downregulated neuronal pathways for octopamine production and that 553 the addition of octopamine to conventional flies or L. brevis increased fly activity to the levels of axenic flies, gaining in-depth understanding of the proximate mechanisms by which hosts 554 and microbes interact. 555

556

557 46. Nishino R, Mikami K, Takahashi H, Tomonaga S, Furuse M, Hiramoto T, Aiba Y, Koga

- 558 Y, Sudo N: Commensal microbiota modulate murine behaviors in a strictly
- 559 contamination-free environment confirmed by culture-based methods.
- 560 *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 2013, **25**:521-528.

561

47. Kang D-W, Adams JB, Gregory AC, Borody T, Chittick L, Fasano A, Khoruts A, Geis E,
Maldonado J, McDonough-Means S, et al.: Microbiota Transfer Therapy alters gut
ecosystem and improves gastrointestinal and autism symptoms: an open-label study. *Microbiome* 2017, 5:10.

- 48. Guerrera S, Menghini D, Napoli E, Di Vara S, Valeri G, Vicari S: Assessment of
- 568 psychopathological comorbidities in children and adolescents with autism spectrum
- **disorder using the child behavior checklist**. *Front. Psychiatry* 2019, **10**:535.
- 570
- 49. Ferguson BJ, Dovgan K, Takahashi N, Beversdorf DQ: **The relationship among**
- 572 gastrointestinal symptoms, problem behaviors, and internalizing symptoms in children
- **573** and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Front. Psychiatry* 2019, **10**:194.

574 575 50. Krajmalnik-Brown R: A fruitful discovery: can gut bacteria control hyperactive 576 behavior? Mol. Cell 2019, 73:395-397. 577 578 51. Chen K, Luan XT, Liu QS, Wang JW, Chang XX, Snijders AM, Mao JH, Secombe J, Dan 579 Z, Chen JH, et al.: Drosophila histone demethylase KDM5 regulates social behavior 580 through immune control and gut microbiota maintenance. Cell Host Microbe 2019, 581 **25**:537-552. 582 •• The authors discovered that histone demethylase KDM5 genes in the host interact with the 583 Drosophila melanogaster gut microbiota and that these interactions affect the fruit fly's social behavior. Fruit flies deficient in KDM5 have altered gut microbiota and abnormal social 584 585 behavior and immune activation. The administration of a single strain of Lactobacillus plantarum could ameliorate the social dysfunctions. Because loss-of-function mutations in 586 KDM5 histone demethylases are associated with intellectual disability and ASD in humans, 587 the study provides a candidate proximate mechanism potentially mediating host-microbe 588 589 interactions that regulate social behavior and its dysfunctions. 590 591 52. Rani H, Mahadevan V: Histone and DNA methylome in neurodegenerative, 592 neuropsychiatric and neurodevelopmental disorders. In The DNA, RNA, and Histone 593 Methylomes. Springer, Cham; 2019:63-102. 594 53. Dalile B, Van Oudenhove L, Vervliet B, Verbeke K: The role of short-chain fatty acids 595 596 in microbiota-gut-brain communication. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2019, 16:461-597 478. 598 599 54. Buchon N, Broderick NA, Lemaitre B: Gut homeostasis in a microbial world: insights from Drosophila melanogaster. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2013, 11:615-626. 600 601 602 55. Pais IS, Valente RS, Sporniak M, Teixeira L: Drosophila melanogaster establishes a species-specific mutualistic interaction with stable gut-colonizing bacteria. PLoS Biol. 603 604 2018, **16**:e2005710. 605 606 56. Bonilla-Rosso G, Engel P: Functional roles and metabolic niches in the honey bee gut 607 microbiota. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2018, 43:69-76. 608 57. Jain C, Rodriguez RL, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S: High throughput ANI 609 analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. Nat. Commun. 610 611 2018, 9:5114. 612 58. Tarpy DR, Mattila HR, Newton IL: Development of the honey bee gut microbiome 613

614 throughout the queen-rearing process. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2015, 81:3182-3191.

- 615
- 616 59. Jones JC, Fruciano C, Marchant J, Hildebrand F, Forslund S, Bork P, Engel P, Hughes
- 617 WOH: The gut microbiome is associated with behavioural task in honey bees. Insectes 618 Soc. 2018, 65:419-429.

619

- 620 60. Kešnerová L, Emery O, Troilo M, Liberti J, Erkosar B, Engel P: Gut microbiota
- 621 structure differs between honeybees in winter and summer. The ISME journal 2019, in press. doi:10.1038/s41396-019-0568-8. 622
- 623

624 61. Zheng H, Powell JE, Steele MI, Dietrich C, Moran NA: Honeybee gut microbiota promotes host weight gain via bacterial metabolism and hormonal signaling. Proc. Natl. 625 626 Acad. Sci. USA 2017, 114:4775-4780.

627 • Comparing microbiota-depleted and colonized bees, the authors show that the gut 628 microbiota induces physiological changes in the host related to nutrition and hormonal 629 signaling and that colonized bees become more sensitive to sugar in the proboscis extension 630 assay. The gut microbiota was also shown to change metabolic profiles in gut compartments

- 631 and hemolymph. Further, the data suggest that microbial metabolism reduces the pH and 632 redox potential of the gut, likely through the production of short-chain fatty acids.
- 633
- 634 62. Engel P, Bartlett KD, Moran NA: The bacterium Frischella perrara causes scab 635 formation in the gut of its honeybee host. mBio 2015, 6:e00193-15.
- 636
- 637 63. Emery O, Schmidt K, Engel P: Immune system stimulation by the gut symbiont
- Frischella perrara in the honey bee (Apis mellifera). Mol. Ecol. 2017, 26:2576-2590. 638
- 639
- 64. Kwong WK, Mancenido AL, Moran NA: Immune system stimulation by the native gut 640
- 641 microbiota of honey bees. R. Soc. Open Sci. 2017, 4:170003.

- 65. Liberti J, Görner J, Welch M, Dosselli R, Schiøtt M, Ogawa Y, Castleden I, Hemmi JM, 643
- 644 Baer-Imhoof B, Boomsma JJ, et al.: Seminal fluid compromises visual perception in
- 645 honeybee queens reducing their survival during additional mating flights. eLife 2019, 8:e45009.
- 646
- 647
- 648

Regulate insulin sensitivity and appetite, Affect behaviour Implicated in ASD, schizophrenia, Parkinson's and Alzheime's diseases



Gut bacteria:

500-1000 phylotypes Produce SCFAs Regulate insulin sensitivity and sucrose response Effects on behaviour and brain function not assessed



Gut bacteria: 8-10 phylotypes Produce SCFAs

