Better and Faster Hyperparameter Optimization with Dask-ML

Scott Sievert





Tom Augspurger

Matthew Rocklin



Tweet by @stsievert under #SciPy2019 tag https://github.com/stsievert/talks

• What is a hyperparameter?

- What's hyperparameter optimization?
- What new opportunities can Dask enable?
- How should the chosen algorithm be used, and how does it perform?

Train data



What is a hyperparameter?

A free parameter not learned from data.

Typically used to define model structure.

Model: polynomials of degree d







How to Use t-SNE Effectively

MARTIN WATTENBERG Google Brain FERNANDA VIÉGAS Google Brain

IAN JOHNSON Oct. 13 Google Cloud 2016

13Citation:6Wattenb

Wattenberg, et al., 2016



:

1. Those hyperparameters really matter

Let's start with the "hello world" of t-SNE: a data set of two widely separated clusters. To make things as simple as possible, we'll conside clusters in a 2D plane, as shown in the lefthand diagram. (For clarity, the

perplexity early_exaggeration metric learning_rate n_iter init



Original

What's hyperparameter optimization?

Finding the *best* set of hyperparameters

Dask-ML will find the best set of hyperparameters quickly

What other algorithms solve the "hyperparameter optimization" problem?

Popular algorithm for hyperparameter optimization

Scikit-learn's RandomizedSearchCV:

- 1. Randomly pick hyperparameters
- 2. Create models with those hyperparameters
- 3. Train model to completion
- 4. Report validation score



RandomizedSearchCV







Computation will be significant for any complicated hyperparameter search*.

 * Bergstra, Bardenet, Bengio, & Kégl. (2011). Algorithms for hyper-parameter optimization.
 <u>https://github.com/stsievert/talks</u>

Hyperparameter optimization + Dask-ML

What algorithm is implemented in Dask-ML?

Why is it well-suited for Dask?



Dask natively scales Python

Dask provides advanced parallelism for analytics, enabling performance at scale for the tools you love



RandomizedSearchCV has nice features, but can have excessive computation

How can the computation be limited?

Early stopping of low performing models

With early stopping

Dask already has an implementation of RandomizedSearchCV

by Jim Crist, @jcrist



This naturally requires partial_fit or warm_start

Hyperband

Principled early stopping scheme for random hyperparameter selection. Hyperband will* return high performing models with minimal training:

Number of partial_fit calls

Corollary 1. (informal presentation of $[LJD^+18$, Theorem 5] and surrounding discussion) Assume the loss at iteration k decays like $(1/k)^{1/\alpha}$, and the validation losses v approximately follow the cumulative distribution function $F(v) = (v - v_*)^{\beta}$ with optimal validation loss $v_* = ith v - v_* \in [0, 1]$.

Then for all $T \in \mathbb{N}$, let \hat{i}_T be the empirically best performing model when models are stopped early according to the infinite horizon Hyperband algorithm when T resources have been used to train models. Then with probability $1 - \delta$, the empirically best performing model \hat{i}_T has loss

$$\mathbf{v}_{\hat{i}_T} \leq \mathbf{v}_* + c \left(\frac{\overline{\log}(\mathbf{1})^3 \cdot a}{T}\right)^{1/\max(\alpha, \beta)}$$

for some constant c and $a = \overline{\log}(\log(T)/\delta)$ where $\overline{\log}(x) = \log(x\log(x))$.

By comparison, finding the best model without the early stopping Hyperband performs (i.e., randomized searches and training until completion) after T resources have been used to train models has loss $(1-\alpha/2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{(\alpha+\beta)}$

$$v_{\hat{i}_T} \leq v_* + c \left(\frac{\log(1) \cdot a}{T}\right)^{1/(\alpha+1)}$$

Close to the lower bound on the "number of resources" required

[PDF] Hyperband: A novel bandit-based approach to hyperparameter optimization

<u>L Li, K Jamieson, G DeSalvo, A Rostamizadeh</u>... - arXiv preprint arXiv ..., 2016 - jmlr.org Performance of machine learning algorithms depends critically on identifying a good set of hyperparameters. While recent approaches use Bayesian optimization to adaptively select configurations, we focus on speeding up random search through adaptive resource ...

 $\cancel{2}$ $\cancel{9}$ Cited by 224 Related articles All 14 versions $\cancel{8}$

[PDF] jmlr.org

*with high probability https://github.com/stsievert/talks

Hyperband: intuition



Hyperband architecture

Hyperband is an early stopping scheme for randomized search.

Number of models is reduced



Hyperband





Effectively limits computation for complicated search spaces



Hyperband in Dask-ML

Dask enables better performance.

from dask_ml.model_selection import HyperbandSearchCV

What inputs are required?

How does it perform?

This is the first Hyperband implementation with an advanced job scheduler*

* to my knowledge https://github.com/stsievert/talks

Dask-ML implementation:

Example 1

Laptop w/ 4 cores

Scikit-learn model

Synthetic simulation

Use case: initial exploration on data scientist's personal laptop.

Model

Scikit-learn's neural network MLPClassifier

params = {

from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier

model = MLPClassifier(solver="sgd", ...)

Search space

Discrete hyperparameters: 50 unique choices

"momentum":, # co "learning_rate_init	ontinuous ":, # continuous
}	
hyperband-comparison	https://ait
k-	<pre>"momentum":, # co "learning_rate_init } k-hyperband-comparison</pre>

••• 9

5 choices

tinuous

•

continuous

2 choices

5 choices

Dataset



HyperbandSearchCV usage

n_examples = 50 * len(X_train)
n_params = 299

X_train, y_train = rechunk(X, y, chunks=n_examples // n_params)
max_iter = n_params

sear	ch = HyperbandSearchCV(
	model, params,	Default aggressiveness=3.
max_iter=n_params.	aggressiveness=4 because this	
	aggressiveness=4,	hyperparameter search is initial

search.fit(X_train, y_train)

```
Edit View Run Kernel Tabs Settings Help
File
Example-Scikit-learn-Copy
       × D
                           C
                                Code
                                                   Python 3
8
    +
                        V
           from dask_ml.model_selection import HyperbandSearc
     [22]:
           search = HyperbandSearchCV(
               model,
               params,
               max_iter=max_iter,
               aggressiveness=4,
               verbose=True,
               random_state=42,
           %%time
     [*]:
           search.fit(
               X_train,
               y_train,
               classes=[0, 1, 2, 3]
           [CV, bracket=2] train, test examples = 39999, 1000
           1
           [CV, bracket=2] creating 16 models
           [CV, bracket=1] train, test examples = 39999, 1000
           1
           [CV, bracket=1] creating 6 models
           [CV, bracket=0] train, test examples = 39999, 1000
           1
           [CV, bracket=0] creating 3 models
     []:
```



```
Progress -- total: 222, in-memory: 63, processing: 11, waiting: 64, erred: 0
```

partial_fit	10 / 50	finalize	
om-value-g	32 / 36	array-original	
oncatenate	<mark>32</mark> / 36		
create_model	25 / 25		
score	5 / 25		
add-from-val	18 <mark>/ 18</mark>		
sub	16 / 18		
array	6/6		

search.metadata

~

Å

围

4

7

search.best_estimator_
search.best_params_

How do HyperbandSearchCV and RandomizedSearchCV perform?



The worst of the hyperband runs performs better than 50% of the passive runs.



In these experiments, HyperbandSearchCV...

- finds high performing hyperparameters with high confidence
- requires 1/3rd less data than RandomizedSearchCV to reach a particular validation accuracy

200 runs with different random seeds. https://github.com/stsievert/dask-hyperband-comparison

How does Dask help Hyperband?

Dask assigns higher priority to models with higher scores.



Serial environments benefit the most from this.

Dask implementation:

Example 2

Deep learning model with PyTorch

Cluster w/ up to 32 workers

Parallel experiment

Use case: many computational resources, trying to optimize hyperparameters



Model

Custom built neural network with PyTorch (with wrapper Skorch)

}

from autoencoder import Autoencoder from skorch import NeuralNetRegressor

model = NeuralNetRegressor(Autoencoder, ...)



Search space

- 4 discrete hyperparameters w/ 160 unique combos
- 3 continuous hyperparameters

```
params = {
                        : ..., # 4 choices
                  •
                        # 4 choices
                      #
                        5 choices
               : ..., # 2 choices
                    entum": ..., # continuous
                         # continuous
                     ••• 9
                        # continuous
                  •
```

Parallel experiment

How does HyperbandSearchCV behave when the number of workers is varied?



In this experiment, HyperbandSearchCV speedups saturate around 16–24 workers



time required to train one model

Benefits of using Dask-ML for hyperparameter optimization

To find the best hyperparameters, Dask-ML will...

- return high scoring models with certainty
- require ~1/3rd of the data RandomizedSearchCV requires in a serial environment
- require ~1.5x the time required for one model in a parallel environment

Dask-ML's hyperparameter optimization finds high performing hyperparameters quickly.



This code is available Dask-ML, Dask's machine learning library.

Dask-ML documentation: <u>https://ml.dask.org/</u> Installation: <u>https://ml.dask.org/install.html</u>

Thanks!

Questions?

Future work

Extend to case where models don't need partial_fit.

This will treat dataset size as the scarce resource, not number of partial_fit calls.

There is an asynchronous version of Hyperband. Is that part of future work?

No. Dask's advanced task scheduling eliminates the need for that algorithm.

Specifically, the asynchronous variant is designed to reduce time to solution when brackets are run *in serial*.