1 Global ecological predictors of the soil priming effect

2

Felipe Bastida^{1,*}, Carlos García¹, Noah Fierer^{2,3}, David J. Eldridge⁴, Matthew A.
Bowker⁵, Sebastián Abades⁶, Fernando D. Alfaro⁶⁻⁸, Asmeret Asefaw Berhe⁹, Nick A.
Cutler¹⁰, Antonio Gallardo¹¹, Laura García-Velázquez¹¹, Stephen C. Hart⁹, Patrick E.
Hayes¹²⁻¹⁴, Teresa Hernández¹, Zeng-Yei Hseu¹⁵, Nico Jehmlich¹⁶, Martin Kirchmair¹⁷,
Hans Lambers¹², Sigrid Neuhauser¹⁷, Víctor M. Peña-Ramírez¹⁸, Cecilia A. Pérez⁷, Sasha
C. Reed²⁰, Fernanda Santos⁹, Christina Siebe¹⁸, Benjamin W. Sullivan²¹, Pankaj
Trivedi²², Alfonso Vera¹, Mark A. Williams²³, José Luis Moreno¹, Manuel DelgadoBaquerizo²⁴.

- ¹CEBAS-CSIC. Department of Soil and Water Conservation. Campus Universitario de
 Espinardo, 30100, Murcia, Spain.
- ²Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado,
- 16 Boulder, CO, United States.³Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology,
- 17 University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, United States.
- ³Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder,
 CO 80309, United States
- ⁴Centre for Ecosystem Science, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental
- 21 Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, 2052, Australia
- ⁵School of Forestry, Northern Arizona University, 200 E. Pine Knoll Dr., Box 15018,
- 23 Flagstaff, AZ 86011, United States
- ⁶GEMA Center for Genomics, Ecology & Environment, Universidad Mayor, Camino
 La Piramide 5750, Huechuraba, Santiago, Chile.
- ⁷Instituto de Ecología and Biodiversidad (IEB), Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile.
- ⁸Centro de Biodiversidad y Genética (CBG), Facultad de Ciencias y Tecnología,
- 28 Universidad Mayor de San Simón, Sucre y Parque La Torre, Cochabamba, Bolivia
- ⁹Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, and Sierra Nevada Research Institute
 University of California, Merced, CA 95343, United States
- ¹⁰School of Geography, Politics and Sociology, Newcastle University, UK
- ¹¹Departamento de Sistemas Físicos, Químicos y Naturales. Universidad Pablo de
 Olavide, 41013 Sevilla, Spain
- ¹²School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, 6009,
 Australia
- ¹³Centre for Microscopy, Characterisation and Analysis, The University of Western
- 37 Australia, Perth, WA, 6009, Australia

¹¹

- ¹⁴Crop, Livestock and Environment Division, Japan International Research Centre for
- 39 Agricultural Sciences, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8656, Japan
- 40 ¹⁵Department of Agricultural Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
- 41 ¹⁶Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research UFZ, Department of Molecular
- 42 Systems Biology, Permoserstrasse, 15, 04318, Leipzig, Germany.43
- ¹⁷Institute of Microbiology, University of Innsbruck, Technikerstrasse 25, A-6020
 Innsbruck, Austria
- ¹⁸Instituto de Geología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad
 Universitaria, México D.F. CP 04510, México.
- ¹⁹Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad, Las Palmeras 3425, Santiago, Chile.
- 49 ²⁰U.S. Geological Survey, Southwest Biological Science Center, Moab, UT, USA.
- ⁵⁰ ²¹Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Science & The Global Water
- 51 Center, University of Nevada, Reno, 1664 N. Virginia Street, Reno, NV 89557, USA.
- ²²Department of Bioagricultural Sciences and Pest Management, Colorado State
 University, Fort Collins, United States
- ²³School of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Virginia Tech, United States
- ²⁴Departamento de Biología y Geología, Física y Química Inorgánica, Escuela Superior
- 56 de Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Calle Tulipán
- 57 Sin Número, Móstoles 28933, Spain.
- 58 *Corresponding author. Felipe Bastida. Email: fbastida@cebas.csic.es
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- -
- 64
- 65
- 66

- 68
- 69
- 70

Soil priming, the change in the microbial decomposition of soil organic carbon 71 (SOC) in response to fresh carbon (C) inputs, is expected to influence C cycling 72 73 globally. However, the global ecological predictors of priming remain elusive. Soil 74 priming has two components: apparent priming, which is due to microbial biomass turnover, and real priming, which corresponds to the change in soil organic matter 75 76 mineralization. Here, we conducted a global survey of soils from 86 locations, 77 spanning six continents and a wide range of climates, vegetation, microbial community composition, and soil conditions, and evaluated the apparent soil 78 priming effect using ¹³C-glucose labeling for 16 days under potential conditions of 79 temperature and water content. The magnitude of the positive apparent priming 80 effect (increase in CO₂ release through the accelerated microbial biomass turnover) 81 was negatively associated with SOC content and microbial respiration rates. Our 82 statistical modeling explained ~80% of the global variation in apparent soil priming 83 and suggested that, in more mesic sites associated with higher SOC contents, 84 apparent soil priming effects are more likely to be negative. In contrast, a single-85 input of labile C caused positive apparent priming effects in more arid locations, 86 associated with low SOC contents. Our results suggest that the SOC content plays 87 critical role in regulating apparent priming effects globally, with important 88 89 implications for the prediction of priming-derived C fluxes under global change 90 scenarios and for the improvement of global C cycling models.

Soil contains more C than the atmosphere and aboveground plant biomass combined (the 91 top three metres of soil stores more than 2300 Pg C) 1,2 . Carbon dioxide (CO₂) efflux from 92 soils is one of Earth's largest fluxes of C to the atmosphere¹. An important part of such 93 efflux can result from the turnover of the soil microbial biomass, which is sensitive to 94 environmental changes^{3,4} and is estimated to contain up to 23.2 Pg C within the first top 95 100 cm of soil². Soil priming, the change in the microbial decomposition of soil organic 96 97 carbon (SOC) in response to fresh carbon (C) inputs, is a key component of global carbon C cycling⁵⁻⁷. Priming is divided in two components: apparent priming corresponds to 98 change in the CO₂ evolved from microbial biomass turnover after the input of easy-99 available substrates, and the real priming effect which corresponds to the change in the 100 CO_2 release from soil organic matter ^{7,8}. These two components of priming are difficult 101 to distinguish, however, apparent priming tends to occur shortly after adding readily 102 availably substrates (first days and weeks), while real priming takes longer ^{7,9}. 103

Overall, soil priming is a complex phenomenon that is regulated by multiple mechanisms, 104 involving abiotic and biotic factors (including, but not limited to, nutrient availability, catabolism of different organic matter pools)^{6,7,10,11}. Soil priming has been postulated to 105 106 be a major determinant of the capacity of soils to function as sources or sinks of 107 atmospheric CO_2^{12} . Consequently, inputs of fresh organic matter to the soil can cause an 108 accelerated microbial biomass turnover (apparent priming). Alternatively, a negative 109 priming due to reduced SOC mineralization or attenuated microbial biomass turnover can 110 111 occur when labile C is added to soil⁶. Recent modelling developments suggest that soil priming is a strong candidate for inclusion in models to predict global distributions of C 112 because of the important role of priming in determining the exchange of C between soils 113 and the atmosphere ^{5,13}. However, we lack a unifying ecological context and an integrative 114 115 approach to understanding soil priming effects globally, which would allow us to determine how the direction of the priming effect varies across different ecosystems and 116 why this variation exists. 117

A growing body of literature has identified nutrient availability, climate, soil type, 118 or plant and microbial attributes¹⁴⁻¹⁸ as potentially important drivers of priming⁷. For 119 example, soil texture has been demonstrated to be an important factor controlling the soil 120 priming effect, and plants, through the amount and composition of rhizodeposits, also 121 play a key role in mediating priming effects⁴. Furthermore, climatic factors such as mean 122 annual temperature are related to soil priming effects¹¹. However, in spite of the elevated 123 amount of C within microbial biomass², a comprehensive understanding of the drivers of 124 the apparent priming effect across major biomes and gradients at the global scale is 125 lacking. This knowledge will shed light on how environmental factors regulate the 126 microbial biomass turnover and its contribution to CO₂ fluxes under global change 127 scenarios^{19,20}. Moreover, a better understanding of the ecological predictors of priming 128 129 will improve our ability to predict how CO₂ fluxes might shift in response to human and global change factors that influence the quality and quantity of fresh C inputs to soil and 130 soil microbial responses¹², such as afforestation²¹, changes in plant C allocation to soil 131 due to the elevated levels of atmospheric CO_2^{12} , the addition of organic amendments to 132 soil²², nitrogen (N) deposition²³, warming²⁴ and changes in land use²⁵. 133

Herein, we conducted a soil survey of 86 locations across six continents, spanning 134 multiple climates (tropical, temperate, polar, arid and continental) and ecosystem types 135 (e.g., forest, grasslands and croplands; SI Appendix, Fig. S1). We aimed to identify the 136 major global ecological predictors of the apparent soil priming effect. Apparent priming 137 138 was determined using a soil incubation of 16 days coupled with ¹³C-labeled glucose. Ecological predictors included wide environmental gradients of mean annual 139 temperature, aridity, vegetation types, plant cover, soil chemical and physical properties, 140 141 and microbial attributes (microbial respiration, biomass and original soil community 142 composition of bacteria and fungi). Moreover, information on the microbial populations potentially associated with the apparent priming effects remains limited¹⁸. Therefore, 143 144 considering microbial attributes, as we have done here, is critical in evaluating the 145 environmental factors predicting the apparent priming effect.

Given that SOC is widely correlated with microbial biomass²⁶, we hypothesized 146 that the effect size and the direction of the apparent priming effect is regulated by SOC 147 148 content, which, in turn, is modulated by the environmental and ecological context of each 149 soil^{27,28}. Thus, we hypothesized that soils with lower SOC content, including soils from arid sites with sparse plant cover where microbial biomass is strongly limited by C^{29} , will 150 be more responsive to the inputs of labile C, ultimately stimulating microbial turnover 151 and the resulting apparent priming-mediated CO_2 release (positive priming)⁷. Conversely, 152 we expected that the apparent priming effect would be negative in soils from mesic 153 154 regions with greater plant cover and higher litter and root inputs to soil where microbial 155 biomass and soil microbial respiration are less limited by the availability of C.

156 **Results and Discussion**

157 Considering that incubation with ¹³C-glucose lasted 16 days, our results mainly reflect 158 the patterns of the apparent priming effect^{7,8}. It corresponds to changes in CO₂ release as 159 a consequence of microbial biomass turnover shortly after adding fresh-available 160 substrates^{7,8}. Our findings indicate that the apparent soil priming effect is a globally 161 ubiquitous phenomenon and provide new insight into its major ecological predictors, in 162 spite the extreme heterogeneity of soils and incubation limitations, as described below.

163 We found contrasting responses of apparent priming associated with different globally 164 distributed ecosystem types. In some soils, a single-pulse of labile C accelerated the

turnover of microbial biomass (positive apparent priming). Conversely, the addition of 165 labile C can lead to reductions in microbial turnover in other soils (negative apparent 166 167 priming; Fig. 1A-B). For instance, positive apparent priming effects were associated with 168 shrub- and forb-dominated ecosystems, croplands and cold forests (Fig. 1A). In some ecosystems (i.e. croplands, forblands and shrublands), the release of CO₂ due to positive 169 170 apparent priming represented more than 20% of the basal microbial respiration rate (Figs. 171 1C-D). Nevertheless, the magnitude of the positive apparent priming effect was low as a fraction of the total SOC pool (with a maximum of the 0.13% of the SOC being 172 mineralized due to priming in cold forests; SI Appendix, Fig. S3) which likely 173 174 corresponds to the CO₂ released by acceleration of microbial turnover. As mentioned previously, the aim of this study was not to determine the absolute values of priming 175 176 effects *per se*, but we would have expected even greater priming responses in a longer incubation experiment⁹, that would probably account for real priming effects, or under 177 178 field conditions. In contrast, we found negative apparent priming effects in grasslands, 179 and particularly, in soils with very high SOC contents (e.g., volcanic soils from Hawaii) (Dataset, Supporting Information). These findings suggest that apparent priming 180 responses are ecosystem dependent. In other words, the importance of the apparent 181 182 priming-derived CO₂ in soils with the highest organic C content, such as those in tropical ecosystems³⁰, is typically lower than in other ecosystems supporting lower levels of soil 183 C such as drylands and croplands³¹ (Fig. 1C-D). 184

Our work is consistent with the results of previous studies showing that priming occurs 185 in most soils^{14,17,18}. Previous studies have demonstrated that priming is modulated by 186 plants and rhizodeposits¹⁷, microbial diversity¹⁸ and warming²⁴. Here, we decipher the 187 188 ecological context that regulates the apparent priming effect by considering a large range of soils that varied in their abiotic and biotic factors. Our study suggests that a single pulse 189 190 of labile C can cause contrasting responses of apparent priming (microbial turnover) across a wide gradient of soil and ecosystem types. These results have implications for 191 the prediction of C fluxes under forecasted global change and for the improvement of 192 global C cycling models. Nevertheless, we acknowledge some limitations of our study. 193 194 First, the size of the incubation (1 g of soil) did not sufficiently account for the presence of macroaggregates. However, it is known that soil aggregates are critical for C 195 sequestration^{32,33} and that aggregate disruption through sieving can influence priming 196 197 effect patterns³⁴. Given their connection with C sequestration, further models of priming should also consider the content of aggregates. Second, incubation conditions in our study 198 199 differed from those likely experienced in the field (i.e. different temperature and soil 200 water content). Consequently, our results should be interpreted as potential patterns of 201 apparent priming. Even if our experimental incubation does not fully replicate in situ 202 conditions, such experimental data can be used to evaluate assumptions underlying 203 microbially-explicit soil biogeochemical models, and help to identify how microbial 204 processes and edaphic factors can drive apparent priming at the global scale.

205 Here, we used structural equation modeling (SEM; a priori model in SI Appendix, Fig. S4) to provide integrative information on the major ecological predictors of apparent 206 207 soil priming across a broad range of soil types from different ecosystems and climates (SI 208 Appendix, Fig. S1; see Material & Methods). SEM is particularly useful in large-scale 209 studies, as it allows us to partition causal influences among multiple variables, and to separate the direct and indirect effects of the predictors included in the model³⁵. Further, 210 SEM is capable of accounting for continuous and categorical variables. Our model 211 212 included important geographical and ecological factors such as climate (aridity [ARI], calculated as 1- the Aridity Index, which is negatively related to mean annual 213

precipitation and mean annual temperature [MAT]), variables related to soil C (basal 214 microbial respiration rates and total organic C), soil properties (Olsen phosphorus [soil 215 P], pH, clay + silt and salinity), plant cover, dominant vegetation type (forests, 216 shrublands, grasslands and croplands), and important microbial features such as microbial 217 biomass (via substrate-induced respiration [SIR]), and the relative abundance of selected 218 219 microbial taxa from the original microbial community in our soils (see Methods). Before 220 conducting our SEM, we checked for potential multicollinearity among the selected ecological predictors. None of the predictors included in our SEM suffer from 221 multicollinearity (r < 0.8), and therefore, multicollinearity issues were not expected in this 222 223 model. Note that our SEM did not examine an explicit direct effect of aridity and mean annual temperature (MAT) on either apparent priming or respiration rates (as soils were 224 225 incubated under controlled laboratory conditions). However, we included these climatic factors in our SEM to evaluate the indirect effects of climate on apparent priming via 226 227 changes in SOC and plant cover, which we measured under field conditions, therefore 228 providing an ecological context to our results.

In spite of the difficulties for predicting the soil priming effect at the global scale, 229 our SEM approach explained a large portion of the variation in the apparent priming effect 230 worldwide (~80%; Fig. 2), and provided strong evidence that SOC content (ranging from 231 0.1 to 38%) and basal microbial respiration were directly and negatively associated with 232 apparent priming effects (Figs. 2-4). Importantly, our model goodness-of-fit was strong, 233 234 indicating that it represents a causal scenario consistent with the data. Strikingly, soil microbial biomass (estimated using substrate-induced respiration, SIR), which has been 235 postulated to be a major ecological predictor of priming effects⁷, was not a significant 236 237 predictor of apparent priming in the wide variety of soils tested here (Fig. 2). In other 238 words, our results suggest that the initial content of SOC ultimately regulates the apparent soil priming effect. Soils with greater C content (therefore, less limited by C) are more 239 240 likely to exhibit negative or minimal apparent priming. Importantly, the negative relationships between SOC content and apparent priming (Fig. 3A), and between basal 241 respiration and apparent priming (Fig. 3B) were maintained even after tropical soils (the 242 243 soils with the highest SOC content) were removed (SOC content vs apparent priming 244 without tropical soils: r = -0.27; p = 0.015; basal respiration vs apparent priming: r = -0.67; *p* <0.001). 245

By using amplicon sequencing approaches, we could further investigate 246 associations between soil microbial community composition and the direction of the 247 apparent soil priming effect. We found that soils having higher relative abundance of 248 249 Basidiomycota and Armatimonadetes had higher positive apparent priming effects. Conversely, soils with higher relative abundances of Verrucomicrobia and 250 Chytridiomycota tended to have lower or negative apparent priming effects (Fig. 3; SI 251 Appendix, Table S1). However, in our SEM, only the relative abundance of 252 Basidiomycota had significant direct effects on the apparent priming effect after 253 considering multiple environmental factors simultaneously (Fig. 2-4). Basidiomycota are 254 dominant and widely-distributed fungi³⁶ that play important roles as decomposers of 255 plant-derived organic matter ³⁷. Further, *Basidiomycota* have been reported to become 256 active through the utilization of glucose and to then change their substrate preference to 257 258 native SOC compounds, which also include microbial necromass as a fundamental component^{38,39}, once glucose or other labile C compounds are depleted¹¹. This 259 mechanism might support the positive apparent priming effects reported here. Further, 260 we highlight the fact that soil was sieved through 2 mm prior to incubation (see Material 261 262 & Methods) and it might be possible that *Basidiomycota* hyphae were fragmented,

although their DNA can be still present in soil as relic DNA⁴⁰. The subsequent microbial 263 decomposition of fungal hyphae fragments during the incubation could contribute to the 264 265 apparent positive priming in soils with greater abundance of *Basidiomycota*. Moreover, Basidiomycotal spores and fragments of hyphae (diameter of 4-6 µm vs. sieving at 2000 266 μ m) can resist sieving and develop during the incubation, contributing to the observed 267 priming results. We found 1118 phylotypes classified as *Basidiomycota* in our globally 268 269 distributed soils. Among these taxa, we selected the most common (present in >10% of all locations) and conducted Random Forest analyses (as described in Delgado-Baquerizo 270 et al. 2016⁴¹) to identify the most important *Basidiomycota* taxa associated with the 271 magnitude of the apparent priming effect across biomes. We found that taxa associated 272 to apparent positive priming effects belonged to unidentified Agaricomycetes phylotypes 273 274 (Fig. S5).

275 Previous studies have suggested that the total content of N and phosphorus (P), as well as C:N and N:P ratios of the soil organic matter (SOM), play a major role in the 276 direction of priming¹⁸. For instance, Chen et al. 2014⁴² found that the interactions between 277 278 C and N availability influenced the extent of the priming effects. Moreover, other authors 279 have found that priming can be more significant in N- and P-limited soils because microbes need to mine the SOM for such elements in nutrient poor environments^{9,16,43}. In 280 contrast, recently novel dual isotope approaches (¹³C- and P-¹⁸O tracers) have revealed a 281 stronger priming effect in soils with larger P contents than in soils with smaller P 282 contents⁴⁴. In our study, which centered on apparent priming effect, soil N content was 283 284 highly correlated with SOC content (r = 0.88; p < 0.001), and was therefore not included in our statistical modeling to avoid multicollinearity. Further, available soil P (Olsen P) 285 content did not correlate significantly with the apparent priming effect (r = -0.27; p =286 287 0.81). In this respect, our study suggests that, across broad gradients in soil P availability, available soil P might have a relatively small role in driving the microbial turnover 288 responsible on the apparent priming effects. Moreover, soil elemental stoichiometry, not 289 included in our *a priori* model, was not correlated with the apparent priming (total N: 290 291 available soil P: r = -0.07; p = 0.533 and total organic C: total N: r = -0.15; p = 0.181). Similarly, physical factors such as soil texture, which has also been proposed as a factor 292 regulating soil priming effects⁴⁵, was not a significant factor across the broad range of 293 294 soils tested here. Other soil properties such as pH, available soil P content and salinity did 295 not show any direct effect on the apparent soil priming, but these factors indirectly 296 affected soil microbes (Fig. 2), and salinity had a total negative significant effect on priming^{46,47}. 297

Our SEM provides an ecological context for apparent priming effects across a 298 wide range of soils. Soils with greater plant cover located in more mesic ecosystems had 299 higher soil C contents and basal microbial respiration rates that were associated with a 300 301 greater likelihood of negative apparent priming effects (Figs. 2-4). A priori, the microbial 302 community in these soils is expected to be adapted to greater C inputs from plants. In 303 these communities, inputs of fresh substrate could be used by microbes to support growth, 304 assimilating C in microbial biomass and thus limiting the release of CO_2 to the 305 atmosphere, explaining the negative apparent priming effect in these soils. Conversely, our results suggest that positive apparent priming is likely greater in soils under drier 306 climates (i.e. shrublands) and with land use (e.g., croplands) with low SOC contents^{28,31} 307 308 (Figs 1C and D, 2, and 3). A previous study using an herbaceous savannah soil, also 309 revealed that positive priming effects were more likely to be observed in nutrient-limited soils¹⁶. The microbial community of these soils is likely not adapted to the input of fresh-310 311 organic C and might respond with an intense turnover to glucose addition. An additional

explanation can be the fact that some of these soils (i.e. soils under arid or semiarid climates) are not adapted to the soil water content utilized in the incubation (50% of the water-holding capacity) and microbial turnover could be stimulated in such conditions, contributing to the release of CO_2^{48} . These findings have important implications for the future of C cycling in drylands, which are predicted to expand by up to 23% during this century ⁴⁹, and cropping areas, which are expected to increase to support a growing human population.

319 Together, our work provides a comprehensive perspective on the ecological predictors underpinning the direction of apparent priming effects across a wide range of 320 321 soils from different ecosystems and climates. The identification of the major ecological 322 predictors of apparent soil priming across such a broad spatial scale and the consistency of variation for this phenomenon in an ecosystem-dependent manner, significantly 323 324 improves our understanding of the potential turnover of microbial biomass and its 325 contribution to CO_2 fluxes in soil. In agreement with the suggested hypothesis, our findings highlight the fact that the apparent priming effect is globally ubiquitous and 326 controlled by the SOC content. Importantly, we place priming within an ecological 327 context, showing that apparent soil priming is positive (accelerated microbial biomass 328 turnover after glucose input) in soils with high aridity and relative abundance of 329 Basidiomycota, and low plant cover, SOC content and basal microbial respiration rates. 330 Further, our results indicate that salinity is an important negative driver of the apparent 331 332 soil priming effect worldwide. These findings help elucidate the predictors of apparent soil priming in terrestrial ecosystems, with important implications for the study of C 333 fluxes under forecasted climate change and for the improvement of global models of soil 334 335 C dynamics. Further studies should extend the mechanistic understanding of priming, 336 including more functional aspects of the microbial diversity (i.e. through the use of stable 337 isotope labelling) and the chemical composition of organic matter, not only in terrestrial 338 ecosystems, but also in aquatic ecosystems where priming effects also have been demonstrated to be important ¹⁰. 339

340

341 Methods

342 *Soil sampling*

343 Soil and vegetation data were collected between 2016 and 2017 from 86 locations in six continents (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). These locations include a wide range of globally 344 distributed soil, vegetation (including grasslands, shrublands, forests and croplands) and 345 346 climate (tropical, temperate, continental, polar and arid) types. Sampling was designed to obtain wide gradients of edaphic characteristics across soil formation stages while 347 constraining climate^{50,51}. Mean annual temperature ranged between -1.8 and 21.6 °C, and 348 Aridity Index between 0.08 and 4.33. Soils utilized in this study belong to a global 349 collaborative network of soil chronosequences⁵². Field surveys were conducted according 350 to a standardized sampling protocol⁵³. In each location, we surveyed a 50 m \times 50 m plot. 351 Three parallel transects of the same length, spaced 25 m apart were added. The cover of 352 353 perennial vegetation was measured in each transect using the line-intercept method⁵³. 354 Plant cover ranged between 0 and 100%. One composite topsoil (five 0-10 cm soil cores) sample was collected under the dominant ecosystem features across our plots (e.g., trees, 355 356 shrubs, grasses, croplands). Following field sampling, soils were sieved (<2 mm) and frozen at -20 °C. 357

358 Soil chemical and physical analyses

359 For all soil samples, we measured electrical conductivity, pH, texture, SOC content and available P (Olsen P) content. Soil properties were determined using standardized 360 protocols⁵³. Soil pH was measured in all the soil samples with a pH meter, in a 1: 2.5 361 362 mass: volume soil and water suspension. Soil texture (% of fine fractions: clay + silt) was determined according to Kettler et al. (2001)⁵⁴. Total N was obtained using a CN analyzer 363 (LECO CHN628 Series, LECO Corporation, St Joseph, MI USA). The content of Olsen 364 365 P was determined from bicarbonate extracts using colorimetric analyses as explained in Olsen and Sommers (1982)⁵⁵. SOC content ranged between 0.1 and 38%, available P 366 between 0.5 to 72 mg P kg⁻¹ soil, pH between 3.8 to 9.1 and the % of clay + silt varied 367 368 between 0.3 and 86%, respectively.

369 Experimental incubation

As sugars are the most abundant organic C compounds in the biosphere and are 370 presumably linked to priming effects⁵⁶, we use a low-molecular weight and highly 371 372 available carbohydrate (glucose) as a trigger-molecule in our priming experimental incubations. Glucose is the most frequently released sugar during rhizodeposition⁵⁷ and a 373 universal substrate for heterotrophic microbes. Given the wide spatial scale of our study, 374 375 one sole source of a ubiquitous fresh organic matter (glucose) in one conventional dose was utilized. Glucose mineralization never reached 100% (always below 11% of the 376 added glucose-C, Fig. S2) in any soil likely due to the capacity of organo-mineral 377 complexes for stabilizing carbon into the soil⁵⁸. Further, because plants were not used in 378 the microcosms given the large variety of ecosystems, our simplified approach allowed 379 us to remove the natural variation in root exudates and the consequent C inputs. Glucose 380 was applied per soil weight, and not standardized by microbial biomass or SOC content. 381 382 The reason is that our global survey includes soils with wide ranges in SOC and microbial 383 biomass, but also in many other factors that can regulate the soil priming effect (i.e. clay content, available C content, plant and microbial communities, etc.)^{7,17,18,24,45,59}. Thus, 384 385 unlike in local studies where glucose addition can be standardized, we posit that the most 386 reasonable approach to evaluate a priming effect at the global scale is adding glucose per unit of soil mass weight. 387

Two parallel sets of 1 g dry soil samples were placed in 20-ml glass vials at 50% of the 388 water-holding capacity, sealed with a rubber septum and pre-incubated for one week at 389 390 28 °C in the dark. During this time, microorganisms readapted to the water conditions and released a pulse of CO₂ due to the new moisture conditions⁶⁰. Similar incubation times 391 were utilized in other priming studies^{18,61,62}. Subsequently, glass vials were opened and 392 the atmosphere was refreshed. This standardization was necessary in order to homogenize 393 conditions after the global sampling and storage at -20°C. After the pre-incubation, 394 glucose mineralization was assayed by adding ¹³C-glucose (99 atom% U-¹³C, Cambridge 395 Isotope Laboratories, Tewksbury, Massachusetts, US) dissolved in water to one of the 396 vial series at a dose of 240 µg of glucose-C per gram of soil. This dose was considerably 397 high but in the range of previous priming studies and affect the growth and structure of 398 399 the microbial community^{14,24,57}. In parallel, the second sample set was subjected to the same procedure adding water without glucose; this sample set was used for measuring 400 basal microbial respiration rates. A total of 172 incubations were conducted in this study 401 402 (86 soils x two treatments). Then, soils were incubated for 16 days at 28°C in the dark. 403 Incubations were maintained for more than two weeks because previous studies have revealed that the major part of CO₂ release from soil tends to occur a few days or weeks 404

after substrate addition⁷. Longer incubation time was not used as we want to avoid CO₂ 405 406 saturation in the vials of C-rich soils. We are aware that our incubation conditions were 407 outside the range for the mean temperature and water content of soils and, consequently, we estimated the potential apparent priming at the global scale. However, we were 408 interested to know how soil edaphic conditions could influence the direction of apparent 409 410 priming effects worldwide, and the legacy effects of climate (which would be modified by incubation conditions) are interpreted as indirect effects in our SEM, as discussed 411 below. After incubation, 4 ml of headspace gas from each vial were transferred to pre-412 evacuated glass vials (Labco Limited, Lampeter, Wales, UK) and the quantity and 413 414 isotopic composition of released CO₂ was then determined. The δ^{13} C isotope analysis was performed using a Thermo Scientific GasBench-PreCon trace gas system coupled to a 415 Delta V Plus IRMS (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The final delta values used 416 for the ¹³C calculations were expressed relative to international standards of V-PDB 417 418 (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite; 63). The isotopic ratio of CO₂ was used to calculate the 419 percentage of CO₂-C derived from the added glucose or from the soil ⁶⁴. Given the shortterm nature of the incubation (16 days), the CO₂ release was interpreted as derived from 420 the microbial biomass turnover, so called apparent priming effect⁷⁻⁹. This was defined as 421 the increase or decrease in the CO₂ derived from the microbial biomass turnover 422 423 following substrate addition. It was calculated as the total soil respiration following glucose addition minus the amount of C respired from the added ¹³C-glucose and from 424 control soil without glucose amendment 65 ; Equation (3)). This was expressed as the extra 425 CO₂-C (µg) released from soil. 426

427 Priming effect =
$$(total CO_2 - substrate derived CO_2) - total CO_2$$
 (1)

428 The first component (total CO_2 – substrate derived CO_2) refers to the soil amended with 429 substrate and second component (total CO_2) refers to the unamended soil. Moreover, our 430 metric of priming effect (µg CO_2 -C g⁻¹ soil day⁻¹) was strongly correlated with priming 431 per unit of soil organic C (µg CO_2 -C g⁻¹ soil C day⁻¹; $\rho = 0.82$; p < 0.001; n = 86).

432

433 Microbial biomass and community composition

Microbial biomass was estimated using the substrate induced respiration approach using 434 Microresp® as described in Campbell et al. (2003)⁶⁶. The composition of bacterial and 435 fungal communities was measured via amplicon sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq 436 437 platform. Ten grams of frozen soil (per sample) were ground using a mortar and liquid 438 nitrogen to homogenize soils and obtain a representative soil sample. Soil DNA was 439 extracted using the Powersoil® DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A portion of the bacterial 16S (V3-440 441 V4 region) and eukaryotic 18S (V9 region) rRNA genes was sequenced using the 341F/805R and Euk1391f/EukBr primer sets, respectively. Bioinformatic processing was 442 performed using a combination of QIIME⁶⁷, USEARCH⁶⁸ and UNOISE3⁶⁹. The relative 443 abundance of microbial phyla was obtained from these analyses. 72/86 samples for fungi 444 and 82/86 samples for bacteria were successfully sequenced and used for statistical 445 analyses below. These samples include soils from all climates and ecosystem types. 446

447

448 Statistical analyses

⁴⁴⁹ *PERMANOVA*

We first tested for significant differences in priming effect across major ecosystem types 450 using one-way non-parametric Permutational ANalysis Of Variance (PERMANOVA). In 451 452 these PERMANOVA, each plot is considered a statistical replicate. Put simply, in our study we are using Earth as a grid across which we are collecting data from different plots 453 or sites (replicates) from different ecosystem types. Having more than one sample within 454 455 each plot would have been considered pseudo-replication as our question was related to 456 comparing the priming effect across different ecosystem types globally (e.g., tropical vs. temperate forests) rather than comparing priming effect across plots within a given 457 ecosystem type (e.g., two temperate forests). Further, gradient designs, as we have used, 458 459 are powerful tools for detecting patterns in ecological responses to continuous and interacting environmental drivers as they generally outperform replicated designs in terms 460 461 of prediction success of responses⁷⁰.

462 Structural Equation Modeling

We then used structural equation modeling (SEM)³⁵ to evaluate the direct and indirect 463 relationships between abiotic (pH, salinity, SOC content, soil P content and texture), 464 465 biotic (dominant vegetation types, plant cover, respiration rate, SIR-microbial biomass, and relative abundance of bacterial and fungal phyla) and climatic (MAT and aridity) 466 environmental factors on apparent priming effect based on expectations of an a priori 467 model (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Due to the large number of potential microbial taxa 468 469 predicting soil priming, prior to conducting the SEM, we first used Spearman correlations 470 to identify a negative or positive correlation between the apparent priming and the relative 471 abundance of microbial phyla. Only four taxa were significantly correlated with apparent 472 soil priming (Armatimonadetes, Verrucomicrobia, Basidiomycota and Chytridiomycota; SI Appendix, Table S1); thus only these taxa were included in our SEM. Of these taxa, 473 we found a significant effect of Basidiomycota only. Our SEM was conducted with the 474 475 69 soil samples including matching information for bacterial and fungal community composition. Climate factors (MAT and aridity) are used here as proxies of legacy effects, 476 as incubations for priming effects are done under controlled laboratory conditions, with 477 478 similar and constant soil water and temperature across all soils²⁷. Because of this, we did not include the direct effect of climate on the apparent priming effects and respiration 479 480 rates. However, we were interested in assessing the indirect effects of climate on priming 481 via changes in SOC content and plant cover, aiming to provide an ecological context to 482 our findings. After attaining a satisfactory model fit, we introduced composite variables into our model. The use of composite variables does not alter the underlying SEM model, 483 but collapses the effects of multiple conceptually-related variables into a single composite 484 effect, aiding interpretation of model results. Soil C and basal soil microbial respiration 485 were included as a composite variable, because together they determine the amount of 486 initial SOC content which is respired by microbial communities. Since some of the 487 variables introduced were not normally distributed, the probability that a path coefficient 488 489 differs from zero was tested using bootstrap tests. Bootstrapping tests do not in such cases 490 assume that the data match a particular theoretical distribution.

491 Data availability

The complete dataset associated with this paper has been deposited in figshare:
https://figshare.com/s/56430026ba793775983f (10.6084/m9.figshare.7054265).

494 **References**

495 1 Jobbagy, E. G., Jackson, R.B. The Vertical Distribution of Soil Organic Carbon and Its 496 Relation to Climate and Vegetation. Ecological Applications 10, 423-436 (2000). 497 2 Xu, X., Thornton, P. E. & Post, W. M. A global analysis of soil microbial biomass carbon, 498 nitrogen and phosphorus in terrestrial ecosystems. Global Ecology and Biogeography 499 **22**, 737-749, doi:10.1111/geb.12029 (2013). 500 3 Allison, S. D., Wallenstein, M. D. & Bradford, M. A. Soil-carbon response to warming 501 dependent on microbial physiology. Nature Geoscience 3, 336, doi:10.1038/ngeo846 502 https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo846#supplementary-information (2010). 503 4 Singh, B. K., Bardgett, R. D., Smith, P. & Reay, D. S. Microorganisms and climate change: 504 terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8, 779, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2439 (2010). 505 506 5 Guenet, B. et al. Impact of priming on global soil carbon stocks. Glob Chang Biol 24, 507 1873-1883, doi:10.1111/gcb.14069 (2018). 508 6 Kuzyakov, Y. Review of mechanisms and quantification of priming effects. Soil Biology & 509 Biochemistry 32, 1485-1498 (2000). 510 7 Kuzyakov, Y. Priming effects: Interactions between living and dead organic matter. Soil 511 *Biology and Biochemistry* **42**, 1363-1371, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.04.003 (2010). 512 8 Blagodatskaya, E. & Kuzyakov, Y. Mechanisms of real and apparent priming effects and 513 their dependence on soil microbial biomass and community structure: critical review. 514 Biology and Fertility of Soils 45, 115-131, doi:10.1007/s00374-008-0334-y (2008). 515 9 Luo, Z., Wang, E. & Sun, O. J. A meta-analysis of the temporal dynamics of priming soil 516 carbon decomposition by fresh carbon inputs across ecosystems. Soil Biology and 517 Biochemistry 101, 96-103, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.07.011 (2016). 518 10 Bengtsson, M. M., Attermeyer, K. & Catalán, N. Interactive effects on organic matter 519 processing from soils to the ocean: are priming effects relevant in aquatic ecosystems? 520 Hydrobiologia 822, 1-17, doi:10.1007/s10750-018-3672-2 (2018). 521 11 Fontaine, S., Mariotti, A. & Abbadie, L. The priming effect of organic matter: a question 522 of microbial competition? Soil Biology and Biochemistry 35, 837-843, 523 doi:10.1016/s0038-0717(03)00123-8 (2003). 524 12 Bradford, M. A. Soil carbon: A leaky sink. Nature Climate Change 7, 475-476, 525 doi:10.1038/nclimate3332 (2017). 526 13 Sulman, B. N., Phillips, R. P., Oishi, A. C., Shevliakova, E. & Pacala, S. W. Microbe-driven 527 turnover offsets mineral-mediated storage of soil carbon under elevated CO2. Nature 528 Climate Change 4, 1099-1102, doi:10.1038/nclimate2436 (2014). 529 14 Bastida, F. et al. Can the labile carbon contribute to carbon immobilization in semiarid 530 soils? Priming effects and microbial community dynamics. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 531 57, 892-902, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.037 (2013). 532 15 Bradford, M. A., Fierer, N., Reynolds, J.F. Soil Carbon Stocks in Experimental Mesocosms 533 Are Dependent on the Rate of LabileCarbon, Nitrogen and Phosphorus Inputs to Soils. 534 Functional Ecology 22, 964-974, doi:10.HH/j.1365-2435.2008.01404.x (2008). 535 16 Fontaine, S., Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L. & Mariotti, A. Carbon input to soil may decrease 536 soil carbon content. Ecology Letters 7, 314-320, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00579.x 537 (2004). 538 17 Lloyd, D. A., Ritz, K., Paterson, E. & Kirk, G. J. D. Effects of soil type and composition of 539 rhizodeposits on rhizosphere priming phenomena. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 103, 540 512-521, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.10.002 (2016). 541 18 Razanamalala, K. et al. Soil microbial diversity drives the priming effect along climate gradients: a case study in Madagascar. ISME J 12, 451-462, doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.178 542 543 (2018).

- 54419Bond-Lamberty, B., Bailey, V. L., Chen, M., Gough, C. M. & Vargas, R. Globally rising soil545heterotrophic respiration over recent decades. *Nature* 560, 80-83, doi:10.1038/s41586-546018-0358-x (2018).
- 547 20 Dorrepaal, E. *et al.* Carbon respiration from subsurface peat accelerated by climate 548 warming in the subarctic. *Nature* **460**, 616-619, doi:10.1038/nature08216 (2009).
- 54921Lal, R. Forest soils and carbon sequestration. Forest Ecology and Management 220, 242-550258, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.08.015 (2005).
- 55122Bastida, F., Hernández, T., Albaladejo, J. & García, C. Phylogenetic and functional552changes in the microbial community of long-term restored soils under semiarid climate.553Soil Biology and Biochemistry 65, 12-21, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.04.022 (2013).
- 55423Liu, W., Qiao, C., Yang, S., Bai, W. & Liu, L. Microbial carbon use efficiency and priming555effect regulate soil carbon storage under nitrogen deposition by slowing soil organic556matterdecomposition.557doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.07.008 (2018).
- 55824Hopkins, F. M. *et al.* Increased belowground carbon inputs and warming promote loss559of soil organic carbon through complementary microbial responses. *Soil Biology and*560*Biochemistry* **76**, 57-69, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.028 (2014).
- 56125Moreno, J. L., Torres, I. F., García, C., López-Mondéjar, R. & Bastida, F. Land use shapes562the resistance of the soil microbial community and the C cycling response to drought in563a semi-arid area. Science of The Total Environment 648, 1018-1030,564doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.214</u> (2019).
- 56526Fierer, N., Strickland, M. S., Liptzin, D., Bradford, M. A. & Cleveland, C. C. Global patterns566in belowground communities. *Ecology Letters* **12**, 1238-1249, doi:10.1111/j.1461-5670248.2009.01360.x (2009).
- 56827Delgado-Baquerizo, M. *et al.* Soil microbial communities drive the resistance of569ecosystem multifunctionality to global change in drylands across the globe. *Ecol Lett* 20,5701295-1305, doi:10.1111/ele.12826 (2017).
- Maestre, F. T., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Jeffries, T.C., Eldridge, D.J., Ochoa, V., Gozalo, B.,
 Quero, J.L., García-Gómez, M., Gallardo, A., Ultich, W., Bowker, M.A., Arredondo, T.,
 Barraza-Zepeda, C., Bran, D., FLorentino, A., Gaitán, J., Gutiérrez, J.R., Huber-Sannwald,
 E., Jankju, M., Mau, R.L., Miriti, M., Naseri, K., Ospina, A., Stavi, I., Wang, D., Woods,
 N.N., Yuan, X., Zaady, E., Singh, B.K. Increasing aridity reduces soil microbial diversity
 and abundance in global drylands. *PNAS* 122, 15684-15689, doi:10.6084/ (2015).
- 57729Bastida, F. *et al.* The active microbial diversity drives ecosystem multifunctionality and578is physiologically related to carbon availability in Mediterranean semi-arid soils.579Molecular Ecology 25, 4660-4673, doi:doi:10.1111/mec.13783 (2016).
- 580 30 Lal, R. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. *Geoderma* 123, 1-22, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.01.032 (2004).
- 582 31 Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Eldridge, D.J., Maestre, F.T., Karunaratne, S.B., Trivedi, P., Reich,
 583 P.B., Singh, B.K. Climate legacies drive global soil carbon stocks in terrestrial ecosystems.
 584 Science Advances 3, 1-7 (2017).
- 58532Six, J., Elliott, E. T. & Paustian, K. Soil macroaggregate turnover and microaggregate586formation: a mechanism for C sequestration under no-tillage agriculture. Soil Biology587and Biochemistry **32**, 2099-2103, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00179-6588(2000).
- 58933Six, J. & Paustian, K. Aggregate-associated soil organic matter as an ecosystem property590and a measurement tool. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 68, A4-A9,591doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.06.014</u> (2014).
- 59234Tian, J. et al. Aggregate size and their disruption affect 14C-labeled glucose593mineralization and priming effect. Applied Soil Ecology 90, 1-10,594doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.01.014</u> (2015).

- 59535Grace, J. B. Structural Equation Modeling and Natural Systems. (Cambridge University596Press, 2006).
- 597
 36
 Tedersoo, L. *et al.* Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science* **346**, 1078-+,

 598
 doi:10.1126/science.1256688 (2014).
- 59937Fontaine, S. *et al.* Fungi mediate long term sequestration of carbon and nitrogen in soil600through their priming effect. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43, 86-96,601doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.09.017 (2011).
- 60238Crowther, T. W. *et al.* Environmental stress response limits microbial necromass603contributions to soil organic carbon. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 85, 153-161,604doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.03.002 (2015).
- Liang, C. & Balser, T. C. Microbial production of recalcitrant organic matter in global soils:
 implications for productivity and climate policy. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 9, 75,
 doi:10.1038/nrmicro2386-c1 (2010).
- 60840Carini, P. *et al.* Relic DNA is abundant in soil and obscures estimates of soil microbial609diversity. *Nature Microbiology* 2, 16242, doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.242

610 <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/nmicrobiol2016242#supplementary-information</u> (2016).

- 611 41 Delgado-Baquerizo, M. *et al.* Differences in thallus chemistry are related to species612 specific effects of biocrust-forming lichens on soil nutrients and microbial communities.
 613 *Functional Ecology* 29, 1087-1098, doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12403 (2015).
- 614 42 Chen, R. *et al.* Soil C and N availability determine the priming effect: microbial N mining
 615 and stoichiometric decomposition theories. *Global Change Biology* 20, 2356-2367,
 616 doi:10.1111/gcb.12475 (2014).
- 61743Dijkstra, F. A., Carrillo, Y., Pendall, E. & Morgan, J. A. Rhizosphere priming: a nutrient618perspective. Frontiers in microbiology 4, 216-216, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00216619(2013).
- 62044Gross, A. & Angert, A. Use of 13C- and phosphate 18O-labeled substrate for studying621phosphorus and carbon cycling in soils: a proof of concept. Rapid Commun. Mass622Spectrom. **31**, 969-977, doi:10.1002/rcm.7863 (2017).
- 62345Huo, C., Luo, Y. & Cheng, W. Rhizosphere priming effect: A meta-analysis. Soil Biology624and Biochemistry 111, 78-84, doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.04.003</u> (2017).
- 625 46 Cardelli, R. & Di Puccio, R. Impact of Salinity on Soil Biological Activities: A Laboratory
 626 Experiment AU Saviozzi, Alessandro. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*627 42, 358-367, doi:10.1080/00103624.2011.542226 (2011).
- Wichern, J., Wichern, F. & Joergensen, R. G. Impact of salinity on soil microbial
 communities and the decomposition of maize in acidic soils. *Geoderma* 137, 100-108,
 doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.08.001</u> (2006).
- 48 Placella, S. A., Brodie, E. L. & Firestone, M. K. Rainfall-induced carbon dioxide pulses
 632 result from sequential resuscitation of phylogenetically clustered microbial groups.
 633 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 10931-10936,
 634 doi:10.1073/pnas.1204306109 (2012).
- Huang, J. P., Yu, H. P., Guan, X. D., Wang, G. Y. & Guo, R. X. Accelerated dryland
 expansion under climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 6, 166-+,
 doi:10.1038/nclimate2837 (2016).
- 63850Egli, M., Favilli, F., Krebs, R., Pichler, B. & Dahms, D. Soil organic carbon and nitrogen639accumulation rates in cold and alpine environments over 1Ma. *Geoderma* 183-184, 109-640123, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.03.017 (2012).
- 51 Vitousek, P. M. & Howarth, R. W. NITROGEN LIMITATION ON LAND AND IN THE SEA 642 HOW CAN IT OCCUR. *Biogeochemistry* 13, 87-115 (1991).
- 64352Delgado-Baquerizo, M. et al. Changes in belowground biodiversity during ecosystem644development. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 201818400,645doi:10.1073/pnas.1818400116 (2019).

- 64653Maestre, F. T. *et al.* Plant Species Richness and Ecosystem Multifunctionality in Global647Drylands. Science 335, 214-218, doi:10.1126/science.1215442 (2012).
- 648 54 Kettler, T. A., Doran, J.W., Gilbert, T.L. Vol. 305 (Publications from USDA-ARS/UNL
 649 Faculty, 2001).
- 650 55 Olsen, S. R., Sommers, L.E. in *Method of Soil Analysis* (ed A.L. Page, Miller, R.H., Keeney,
 651 D.R) 403 (American Society of Agronomy, 1982).
- Gunina, A. & Kuzyakov, Y. Sugars in soil and sweets for microorganisms: Review of origin,
 content, composition and fate. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **90**, 87-100,
 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.07.021 (2015).
- 65557Derrien, D. *et al.* Does the addition of labile substrate destabilise old soil organic matter?656Soil Biology and Biochemistry **76**, 149-160, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.030 (2014).
- 657 58 Chenu, C. & Plante, A. F. Clay-sized organo-mineral complexes in a cultivation
 658 chronosequence: revisiting the concept of the 'primary organo-mineral complex'.
 659 *European Journal of Soil Science* 57, 596-607, doi:doi:10.1111/j.1365660 2389.2006.00834.x (2006).
- 66159Rasmussen, C. *et al.* Beyond clay: towards an improved set of variables for predicting662soil organic matter content. *Biogeochemistry* **137**, 297-306, doi:10.1007/s10533-018-6630424-3 (2018).
- 66460Borken, W. & Matzner, E. Reappraisal of drying and wetting effects on C and N665mineralization and fluxes in soils. Global Change Biology 15, 808-824,666doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01681.x (2009).
- 66761Morrissey, E. M. *et al.* Bacterial carbon use plasticity, phylogenetic diversity and the668priming of soil organic matter. *The Isme Journal* **11**, 1890, doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.43
- 669 <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/ismej201743#supplementary-information</u> (2017).
- 62 Pascault, N. *et al.* Stimulation of Different Functional Groups of Bacteria by Various Plant
 671 Residues as a Driver of Soil Priming Effect. *Ecosystems* 16, 810-822, doi:10.1007/s10021672 013-9650-7 (2013).
- 673 63 Coplen, T. B. *et al.* New guidelines for delta C-13 measurements. *Anal. Chem.* **78**, 2439674 2441, doi:10.1021/ac052027c (2006).
- 64 Waldrop, M. P. & Firestone, M. K. Altered utilization patterns of young and old soil C by
 676 microorganisms caused by temperature shifts and N additions. *Biogeochemistry* 67,
 677 235-248, doi:10.1023/b:biog.0000015321.51462.41 (2004).
- 678 65 Blagodatskaya, E. V., Blagodatsky, S. A., Anderson, T. H. & Kuzyakov, Y. Priming effects
 679 in Chernozem induced by glucose and N in relation to microbial growth strategies.
 680 Applied Soil Ecology **37**, 95-105, doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.05.002 (2007).
- 66 Campbell, C. D., Chapman, S. J., Cameron, C. M., Davidson, M. S. & Potts, J. M. A Rapid
 682 Microtiter Plate Method To Measure Carbon Dioxide Evolved from Carbon Substrate
 683 Amendments so as To Determine the Physiological Profiles of Soil Microbial
 684 Communities by Using Whole Soil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 69, 3593685 3599, doi:10.1128/aem.69.6.3593-3599.2003 (2003).
- 686 67 Caporaso, J. G. *et al.* QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing
 687 data. *Nature Methods* 7, 335, doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- 688 <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.f.303#supplementary-information</u> (2010).
- 689 68 Edgar, R. C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics*690 (*Oxford, England*) 26, 2460-2461, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461 (2010).
- 691 69 Edgar, R. C. UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon 692 sequencing. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/081257 (2016).
- 69370Kreyling, J. *et al.* To replicate, or not to replicate that is the question: how to tackle694nonlinear responses in ecological experiments. *Ecology Letters* **21**, 1629-1638,695doi:10.1111/ele.13134 (2018).

697 Acknowledgements

696

698 This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and 699 innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 702057. F.B., J.L., A.V., C.G., T.H. thank the Spanish Ministry and FEDER funds for the CICYT 700 project AGL2017-85755-R, the CSIC project 201740I008 and funds from "Fundación 701 702 Séneca" from Murcia Province (19896/GERM/15). M.D-B. acknowledges support from 703 the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Actions of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme H2020-MSCA-IF-2016 under REA grant agreement n°702057. S.A and F.D.A were 704 supported by FONDECYT 1170995. C.A.P is grateful to IAI-CRN 3005. C.A.P and 705 F.D.A were supported by PFB-23 (from CONICYT) and P05-002 (from Millennium 706 Scientific Initiative) to the Institute of Ecology and Biodiversity, Chile. N.A.C is grateful 707 708 to Churchill College (University of Cambridge) for financial support and to Dr. Vicki Parry for fieldwork assistance. S.R acknowledges support from the Department of Energy 709 710 Terrestrial Ecosystem Sciences Program (DESC-0008168) and the USGS Ecosystems Mission Area. A.A.B. and F.S. acknowledge support from Jennifer Harden and Sebastian 711 712 Doetterl for prior works and information about sites along the Merced Chronosequence and from Benjamin Sulman for help during sampling. The Arizona research sites were 713 714 established with the support of an EPA \square STAR Graduate Fellowship (U \square 916251), a 715 Merriam-Powell Center for Environmental Research Graduate Fellowship, an Achievement Rewards for College Scientists (ARCS) Foundation of Arizona 716 717 Scholarship, and McIntire Stennis appropriations to Northern Arizona University and 718 the State of Arizona. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes 719 only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

720

721 Author contributions

F.B and M.D-B designed the research and analyzed data. M.D-B. designed the field study
and coordinated all field and laboratory operations. Field data were collected by all
authors except N.F., P.T. and F.B. F.B and A.V performed laboratory incubations and
calculated CO₂ fluxes, and M.D-B developed models in consultation with M.A.B. F.B,
J.L.M, C.G and T.H contributed with reagents and materials. All the rest authors provided
soil samples. The paper was written by F.B and M.D-B, edited by N.F., and the rest of
the co-authors contributed to improve it.

729 Competing interests

- 730 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 731
- 732
- 733



Figure 1. Apparent soil priming effects across globally distributed ecosystems. (A) Priming effect across major biomes. Different letters in this panel indicate significant differences among ecosystems (p = 0.007). (B) Histogram showing data distribution for the apparent priming effect. (C) Percentage of CO₂ from apparent priming vs. basal soil microbial respiration rates (p = 0.50). (D) Histogram showing data distribution for the apparent priming vs. soil respiration rates. Number of samples in brackets (n = 86). Ecosystems are defined using major vegetation types and the Koppen classification. Number of sites is indicated in parentheses. Error bars are standard error of the mean.



Figure 2. Ecological predictors of the apparent soil priming effect. Structural 751 752 Equation Model (SEM) describing the effects of multiple ecological predictors on the apparent soil priming effect (n= 69). Numbers adjacent to arrows are indicative of the 753 effect size (p < 0.05) of the relationship. R² denotes the proportion of variance explained. 754 Climate, soil properties and vegetation predictors are included in our models as 755 independent observable variables; however, we group them in the same box in the model 756 for graphical simplicity. Soil carbon (C) associated variables (soil microbial respiration 757 758 and soil organic C content) are included as a composite variable in our model (hexagon). 759 F =forest. G =Grasslands. SHR = Shrublands. C+S = Clay + silt. EC = Salinity. Resp = Basal microbial soil respiration. Basidio = % of Basidiomycota. Verruco = % of 760 *Verrucomicrobia*. Armati = % of *Armatimonadetes*. Chytridio = % of *Chytridiomycota*. 761 762 Pcov = % of plant cover. ARI = Aridity (i.e., 1-ARI). Locations with a higher aridity also support lower water availability). MAT = Mean annual temperature. There was a non-763 significant deviation of the data from the model ($\chi 2 = 3.97$, df = 2; p = 0.14; RMSEA p =764 765 0.18).

766

767

768





Figure 3. Selected relationships from SEM between apparent priming effect andenvironmental predictors.



Figure 4. Standardized total effects (STE) from the Structural Equation Model (SEM). Sum of direct and indirect effects of multiple ecological predictors on the apparent soil priming effect (n= 69). Soil carbon (C) represents the sum of the standardized effect of soil organic C (SOC) and microbial respiration rates, which reflects SOC which is respired by microbes. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.