



COMMUNITY OF PRACTICE CO-CREATION TOOLKIT – Version 1.2

Deliverable D2.3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Project acronym: | ACT |
| Project full title: | Communities of PrACTice for Accelerating Gender Equality and Institutional Change in Research and Innovation across Europe |
| Type of action: | Coordination and Support Action |
| Duration: | 1 st May 2018 – 30 th April 2021 (36 months) |
| Contract number: | Grant Agreement Number 788204 |
| Programme: | Horizon 2020 – Science with and for Society (SwafS-2017-1) |
| Deliverable number: | D2.3 |
| Work package: | WP2 |
| WP lead partner: | LoU (Task leaders: LoU, TUB & FLACSO) |
| Other partners involved: | SFI/UBA/DESY/CNRS/CRG/JU/ZRC SAZU/UoI/FUOC |
| Dissemination level: | Public |
| Document version: | 1.2 |
| Authors: | Aleksandra Thomson; Kathrin Rabsch |
| Due date: | April 30, 2019 (M12) |
| Submission date: | April 30, 2019 (M12) |



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 788204

OBJECTIVES OF THE DELIVERABLE

The objective of this deliverable is to present the Communities of Practice (CoPs) co-creation toolkit.

Firstly, the draft briefly defines what co-creation activities are, the aim of the toolkit, and the end users of the toolkit. It then presents the theoretical underpinning of the toolkit. This is to ensure that the resources are linked to how communities of practice (CoP) develop, operate and what they need to become successful. The theoretical underpinning includes the CoP success factors, lifecycle phases, and the primary areas of activity.

Secondly, the document also presents how EIGE guidelines on GEPs inform the toolkit and moreover it suggests eight critical points CoP Members and Facilitators may want to consider when implementing a gender equality project.

Thirdly, the draft describes the participatory and visual methods in detail, along with printer friendly templates for some of the methods.

Lastly, the document lists the online tools for CoPs available to use which is the Knowledge Sharing Hub built in connection with GenPORT.

It is important to emphasise that this toolkit is a living document which will be continuously tested, improved and adapted according to the CoP Facilitators' and Members' feedback to ensure it addresses their needs, their communities, the practice and the domain.

CONSORTIUM

The ACT consortium consists of 17 partners: Fundació per a la Universitat Oberta de Catalunya (project coordinator, Spain), Portia (UK), NOTUS (Spain), Joanneum Research Forschungsgesellschaft MBH (Austria), Advance HE (formerly Equality Challenge Unit) (UK), Loughborough University (UK), Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales (Costa Rica¹), Technische Universität Berlin (Germany), Karolinska Institutet (Sweden), Science Foundation Ireland (Ireland), Umweltbundesamt (Germany), Stiftung Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (Germany), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (France), Fundació Centre de Regulació Genòmica (Spain), Uniwersytet Jagiellonski (Poland), Znanstvenoraziskovalni Center Slovenske Akademije Znanosti in Umetnosti - ZRC SAZU (Slovenia), and Haskoli Islands (Iceland).

TERMS OF USE

This document has been developed within ACT, a Coordination and Support Action project funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme.



The work contained in this document is subjected to a Creative Commons license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>).

¹ The action will be carried out in the department of UNESCO Regional Chair on Women, Science and Technology in Latin America FLACSO, located in Buenos Aires, Argentina.

These specifications may be freely used, copied, and distributed, provided that full authorship credit is given, that they are not used for commercial purposes and that they are shared under the same license.

To address questions and comments please contact: jmuller@uoc.edu.

AUTHORS AND CONTRIBUTORS

| FIRST NAME | LAST NAME | ORGANIZATION | CONTRIBUTION |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Aleksandra (Ola) | Thomson | LoU | Main author 1 |
| Kathrin | Rabsch | TUB | Main author 2 |
| Tarek M | Hassan | LoU | Contributor |
| Andy | Dainty | LoU | Contributor |
| Sarah | Barnard | LoU | Contributor |
| Gloria | Bonder | FLACSO | Contributor |
| Blas | Fernández | FLACSO | Contributor |
| María José | Romano | FUOC | Contributor |

KEYWORDS

Co-creation activities, participatory methods, CoP lifecycle phases, CoP success factors, CoP main areas of activity, online infrastructure

ACRONYMS

| ACRONYM | MEANING |
|---------|--|
| CoP | Community of Practice |
| EIGE | European Institute for Gender Equality |
| GE | Gender Equality |
| GEP | Gender Equality Plans |
| HE | Higher Education |
| R&I | Research and Innovation |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| OBJECTIVES OF THE DELIVERABLE | 2 |
| CONSORTIUM..... | 2 |
| TERMS OF USE | 2 |
| AUTHORS AND CONTRIBUTORS | 3 |
| KEYWORDS | 3 |
| ACRONYMS..... | 4 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | 5 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION..... | 8 |
| 1.1 WHAT ARE CO-CREATION ACTIVITIES?..... | 8 |
| 1.2 WHAT IS THE AIM OF THE TOOLKIT? | 8 |
| 1.3 WHO IS THE TOOLKIT FOR? | 9 |
| 1.4 WHAT IS THE TOOLKIT’S CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK? | 9 |
| 1.5 NAVIGATING THROUGH THE TOOLKIT | 10 |
| 2. EIGE GEP GUIDE INFORMING THE TOOLKIT | 12 |
| 3. COP SUCCESS FACTORS INFORMING THE TOOLKIT..... | 15 |
| 3.1 COMMUNITY INTERACTION..... | 17 |
| 3.2 SHARING BEST PRACTICE | 18 |
| 3.3 SUPPORTING TOOLS AND RESOURCES | 18 |
| 3.4 MUTUAL CULTURE, VALUES, BELONGING | 18 |
| 3.5 KNOWLEDGE PRODUCTION AND ACCESS TO KNOWLEDGE | 19 |
| 3.6 LEARNING | 19 |
| 3.7 LEADERSHIP..... | 19 |
| 3.8 ILLUSTRATING RESULTS AND PERFORMANCE..... | 20 |
| 3.9 STRATEGY | 20 |
| 4. COP LIFECYCLE PHASES | 21 |
| 5. COPS’ PRIMARY AREAS OF ACTIVITY | 23 |
| 5.1 BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS..... | 23 |
| 5.2 LEARNING AND DEVELOPING PRACTICE | 23 |
| 5.3 TAKING ACTION AS A COMMUNITY | 24 |
| 5.4 CREATING KNOWLEDGE IN THE DOMAIN | 24 |
| 6. EIGHT TIPS FOR GENDER EQUALITY PROJECTS | 26 |
| 7. PARTICIPATORY METHODS: TIPS & THE QUICK GUIDE | 30 |
| 7.1 1-2-4-ALL | 41 |
| 7.2 ARGUMENT MAPPING | 46 |
| 7.2.1 FOR/AGAINST MAPPING (SIMPLE) | 47 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 7.2.2 ARGUMENT MAPPING (COMPLEX)..... | 48 |
| 7.3 BRAINSTORMING | 54 |
| 7.3.1 THE OPEN BRAINSTORM | 54 |
| 7.3.2 THE CLOSED BRAINSTORM..... | 55 |
| 7.4 CRITICAL UNCERTAINTIES (THEORY OF CHANGE) | 60 |
| 7.5 FISH BOWL..... | 65 |
| 7.6 FIVE-MINUTE FAVOUR | 69 |
| 7.7 FOCUS GROUPS | 71 |
| 7.8 FOUR QUADRANTS..... | 74 |
| 7.9 FUTURE WORKSHOP | 78 |
| 7.10 HEART, HAND, MIND..... | 82 |
| 7.11 INTERVIEWS | 87 |
| 7.12 MATURE YOUR IDEAS | 91 |
| 7.13 MENTORING CIRCLES..... | 94 |
| 7.14 NINE WHYS | 97 |
| 7.15 PLAN OF CHANGE (THEORY OF CHANGE)..... | 101 |
| 7.16 SMART CRITERIA / GOALS..... | 107 |
| 7.17 SWOT AND PESTEL | 112 |
| 7.18 W3: WHAT, SO WHAT, NOW WHAT | 119 |
| 7.19 WHAT I NEED FROM YOU | 123 |
| 7.20 THE WORLD CAFÉ | 126 |
| 8. VISUAL METHODS..... | 128 |
| 8.1 INFOGRAPHICS | 128 |
| 8.2 PHOTO DOCUMENTATION..... | 131 |
| 8.3 STORYBOARDS..... | 136 |
| 9. ONLINE TOOLS FOR COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE..... | 138 |
| 10. REFERENCES..... | 140 |

Figure 1. ACT CoP Core Facilitation 9

Figure 2. Theoretical Framework Supporting the Toolkit 9

Figure 3. Method Information Card 10

Figure 4. CoP Lifecycle Phases. Adapted from Cambridge et al., 2005. 21

Figure 5. CoPs' Primary Areas of Activity (Images by Gerd Altmann from Pixabay). 23

Figure 6. Acker's eight contradictions in GE projects..... 26

Figure 7. Argument Map “Shale Gas Production” by Argumentenfabriek (2012) 48

Figure 8. The syntax of Argument Mapping - the basics (Ostwald 2007). Reproduced with the author's permission. 49

Figure 9. Smoking is bad for you (Ostwald 2007). Reproduced with the author's permission. 53

Table 1. EIGE Six Steps Guide and Suggested Methods 13

Table 2. CoP Success Factors Review 17

Table 3. CoP Lifecycle Phases and Available Tools 22

Table 4. CoPs' Four Primary Areas of Activity and Available Tools..... 25

1. INTRODUCTION

This document presents the ACT Co-creation Toolkit for ACT Communities of Practice (CoP) and their Facilitators. It also shows how and with the use of which tools and methods the toolkit can help CoPs operate, develop, implement gender equality plans (GEP), gender equality (GE) measures and activities, and facilitate institutional change in relation to GE in HE and R&I.

The draft consists of the toolkit's theoretical framework which includes the EIGE roadmap to GEPs, CoP lifecycle phases, CoP success factors, the four areas of CoP activity, and tips for gender equality projects. At the same time, the theoretical framework provides the structure for the design of the toolkit.

Based on the guidance drawn out of the theoretical frameworks, the toolkit contains participatory methods for co-creation to support the CoPs, as well as tips for visual and documenting methods and online tools.

1.1 WHAT ARE CO-CREATION ACTIVITIES?

Co-creation activities within the toolkit are described as “practices where actors engage collaboratively in activities through interactions within a specific social context” (Frow et al., 2015: 26). The aim of co-creation is to collaborate, “create together”, cooperate and share ideas, knowledge, practice, and build on the existing stocks to develop and them further. Co-creation in this toolkit thrives on an equal contribution from the members and from incorporating the diversity of voices and perspectives.

There are significant benefits of co-creation and these include: harnessing the active involvement of participants in co-creating, sharing resources and knowledge, enhancing innovation processes, providing network solutions, and contributing to the well-being of the service system (Frow et al., 2015). As co-creation is founded on participation and collaboration, the ACT Co-creation Toolkit is based on a **variety of participatory methods** for consensus building, sharing experiences and mentoring.

Given that much communication and idea and solution generation may occur online due to the time and space constraints, we have provided some tips on how to adapt the activities to online interactions. This will never be preferable to face-to-face communication, as physical interactions are more organic and spontaneous, however these online adaptations could help structure the online meetings and improve communication, and help to reach your meeting goals. Where activities are adaptable online, there is an icon to identify this.


 A circular icon with a grey background and white text that reads "ADAPTABLE ONLINE".

1.2 WHAT IS THE AIM OF THE TOOLKIT?

The aim of providing the ACT Co-creation Toolkit for CoPs is to help them successfully operate and self-develop, with a view to implementing gender equality plans, strategies, actions and measures in their institutions and across other institutions to improve gender equality, as well as to promote institutional change for gender equality. Therefore, the design of the toolkit is partially informed by the European Institute for Gender Equality (EIGE) guide for establishing a GEP (EIGE, 2019). The suggested six steps in these guidelines will be used as a springboard to identifying and designing the best participatory activities to support CoPs in GEP implementation and institutional change.

1.3 WHO IS THE TOOLKIT FOR?

The toolkit is designed to be used by the ACT Communities of Practice through two layers of interactions. Firstly, CoP Facilitators can engage and collaborate with their corresponding CoP Members to promote institutional change, and secondly, the CoP Members can then independently use these methods within their own institutions and communities.

Therefore, the toolkit strives to address both the inner circle of the ACT CoP consisting of its CoP Members, and also the efforts of the CoP Members within their own institutions.




Figure 1. ACT CoP Core Facilitation

1.4 WHAT IS THE TOOLKIT'S CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK?

The choice of the tools is primarily guided by the literature on:

- 1) CoPs success factors (various literature)
- 2) CoPs lifecycle phases (McDermott 2000);
- 3) CoPs' 4 primary areas of activity (Cambridge et al. 2005).

Apart from providing a selection of activities that are built around the four areas of knowledge above, the toolkit will also provide **learning material** and some **background information** about those concepts to educate CoPs and raise awareness of their potential needs and how they can take ownership of their own development.




Figure 2. Theoretical Framework Supporting the Toolkit

This means the ACT CoPs can become aware of which lifecycle stage they are at, what can make them successful, but also what the most important activities in which they can engage their community are.

Additionally, based on Joan Acker's (2000) paper outlining eight gender contradictions in organisational equality projects and Jackson et al.'s (2016) paper on a process called *Dialogues*, the toolkit contains eight tips on how to emphasise inclusive and participatory departmental interactions.

This toolkit is also available online and is designed in the following way:

1. Adapted to the Cambridge et al.'s (2005) **four areas of activity**, which have been developed from the Wenger et al.'s (2002) original CoP concept and its elements of

domain, practice and community, i.e. I want to... build relationships; learn and develop practice; take action; and create knowledge. Each button on the webpage will suggest and provide a set of tools which are best for addressing the particular CoP “need”.

2. Adapted to the **lifecycle phases** by McDermott’s (2000), and each stage will populate a different set of suggested tools. For instance, if your CoPs is at the Prototype and Grow phases, *relationship building* and *learning* might be core (Cambridge et al., 2005), therefore, activities, such as ice-breakers, and skills and knowledge sharing activities might be suggested.
3. The **success factors** identified as conducted in the mini review of the available literature. Again, each success factor will have a set of suggested reading material about each factor plus some activities to help develop this factor.

1.5 NAVIGATING THROUGH THE TOOLKIT

To help you navigate through the toolkit and quickly choose which method to use, each method description is preceded by an information card.

Each card informs which of the three CoP concepts the method addresses, the size of the group, how complex the method is to conduct, how much time is needed, and how much preparation both you and the participants need. It also briefly describes the methods and highlights the additional resources available.

| Method: | Example |
|--------------------------|--|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Taking action as a community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Design |
| CoP Success Factor: | Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 3: Setting up a GEP Step 4: Implementing a GEP |
| Group Size: | 4 groups with 4-6 people each |
| Difficulty Level: | |
| Time Needed: | |
| Facilitator Preparation: | |
| Participant Preparation: | |
| Description: | This method can help a group to |
| More Information: | www.....com |


Figure 3. Method Information Card

Icon Legend:

Difficulty Level: difficulty of implementation and/or facilitation:



Time Needed: how much time you require to conduct the method:



Facilitator Preparation: pre-event effort required to conduct the method:



Participant Preparation: pre-event effort required to conduct the method:



2. EIGE GEP GUIDE INFORMING THE TOOLKIT

A roadmap to GEPs implementation in research and higher education institutions has been designed by EIGE in 2016. As part of the GENERA project, a roadmap to the implementation of GEPs with similar 6 steps based on EIGE has also been developed in 2017².

EIGE's online tool is targeted at all staff such as research and teaching staff; human resources, administrative and support staff; middle and top-level management; whereas, GENERA's roadmap is aimed more at implementation managers (GENERA "Roadmap for the implementation of customized Gender Equality Plans", see page 3). Therefore, the EIGE guide's target audience is closely related to the members of ACT CoPs, which might comprise a variety of stakeholders at different seniority levels.

EIGE GEP Guide is a step-by-step guide on the process of setting up, implementing, monitoring and evaluating GEPs. The guide also contains hints about obstacles and challenges, along with suggestions on how to overcome them (EIGE, 2019).

The guidelines present **six main steps** to develop a Gender Equality Plan: getting started; analysing and assessing the state-of-play in the institution; setting up a Gender Equality Plan; implementing a Gender Equality Plan; monitoring progress and evaluating a Gender Equality Plan; and what comes after the Gender Equality Plan. It is important to note, that all the information is available on the [EIGE website](#), and this document does not duplicate this work. However, as one of the aims of the toolkit is to facilitate CoPs in GEP implementation, it is important that the toolkit's design reflects these necessary steps as recommended by EIGE. Therefore, the toolkit will aim to identify **useful participatory methods** to assist CoP members in GEP implementation at each step of this process.

While these six steps focus on developing gender equality plans, the information, instructions and ultimately the respective methods can also be used for the development and implementation of specific actions and strategies towards more gender equality and institutional change. Table 1 illustrates how the toolkit addresses this.

² https://genera-project.com/portia_web/D4.2_Roadmap_for_the_implementation_of_customized_Gender_Equality_Plans_rev1.pdf

| EIGE SIX STEPS GUIDE | SUGGESTED METHODS |
|---|---|
| Step 1: Getting started | 1-2-4-All Argument Mapping Brainstorming Critical Uncertainties Five-Minute Favour Four Quadrants Future Workshop Heart, Hand, Mind Mature your Ideas Mentoring Circles Nine Whys Plan of Change SMART Criteria SWOT |
| Step 2: Analysing and assessing the state-of-play in the institution | Argument Mapping Fish Bowl Focus Groups Interview Nine Whys SWOT and PESTEL W3: What, so What, now What What I Need From You |
| Step 3: Setting up a GEP | Critical Uncertainties Future Workshop Plan of Change SMART Criteria |
| Step 4: Implementing a GEP | Critical Uncertainties Fish Bowl Focus Groups Infographics Photo Documentation Plan of Change Storyboards What I Need from You World Café |
| Step 5: Monitoring progress and evaluating a GEP | Fish Bowl Focus Groups Infographics Interviews Photo Documentation Plan of Change |
| Step 6: What comes after the GEP | Brainstorming Critical Uncertainties Future Workshop Infographics Mature Your Ideas Mentoring Circles Nine Whys Plan of Change Storyboards W3: What, so What, now What |

Table 1. EIGE Six Steps Guide and Suggested Methods

EIGE Six Steps to Gender Equality Plan Implementation³:

Step 1 is about getting started and remembering the importance of context. It advises GEP implementers to ask which actions would work best in their own institution, considering its objectives and relevant regional and national contexts. It also recommends finding support through involving gender experts, potential allies at different levels within and outside the institution and investigating possible funding opportunities for the gender equality work that needs to be undertaken.

Therefore, the toolkit identifies a selection of useful methods to facilitate this step, such as [1-2-4-All](#), [Argument Mapping](#), [Brainstorming](#), [Critical Uncertainties](#), [Five-Minute Favour](#), [Four Quadrants](#), [Future Workshop](#), [Heart, Hand, Mind](#), [Mature your Ideas](#), [Mentoring Circles](#), [Nine Whys](#), [SMART Criteria](#), [SWOT](#).

Step 2 concerns the assessment of the state-of-play of the institution which will provide insight which measures need to be implemented. The comprehensiveness of this initial analysis will depend on the available resources about sex-disaggregated data about staff and students; identifying the existing measures promoting gender equality; and reviewing relevant legislation and policies in the particular country. Engaging with the relevant informants and stakeholders is of key importance.

Here, the toolkit suggests methods such as [Argument Mapping](#), [Fish Bowl](#), [Focus Groups](#), [Interview](#), [Nine Whys](#), [PESTEL](#), [Storyboards](#), [SWOT and PESTEL](#), [W3](#), and [What I Need From You](#), among others.

Step 3 involves setting up a GEP which needs to be holistic and integrated. The GEP needs to address a variety of issues relevant for the whole community and organisational system. The basic actions to be taken into consideration in the process of setting up a GEP include:

- ✓ getting inspiration from measures implemented by other organisations, but adapting these measures to the specificities of own context;
- ✓ defining [SMART](#) objectives and measures;
- ✓ defining the timeframe of the GEP and its implementation with monitoring periods;
- ✓ promoting the participation of actors through participatory approaches to help define meaningful measures and enhance the actors' willingness to implement the measures in the GEP;
- ✓ identifying and utilising existing resources when planning the measures;
- ✓ agreeing 'who is responsible for what and when';
- ✓ building alliances in stakeholders at all levels. Taking time to explain what the GEP implies for all targeted stakeholders;
- ✓ ensuring the sustainability of gender equality actions, and embedding practices in the normal routines, policies and procedures of the organisation.

Therefore, the toolkit suggests a number of activities and methods to facilitate this complex step: [Critical Uncertainties](#), [Future Workshop](#), [SMART Criteria](#), [Plan of Change](#), [Storyboards](#).

³ https://eige.europa.eu/sites/default/files/gear_roadmap_01_shortguide_0.pdf

Step 4 describes how to implement a GEP through embedding and institutionalising measures and actions. It is important to organise regular meetings with the responsible actors to design and plan activities in a participatory way, and to discuss the progress, main achievements and aspects to be improved. Continuing to engage stakeholders and giving visibility to the GEP are also of key importance. Making adaptations and adjustments may be required, as well as having to face obstacles and resistances (see section about [the eight contradictions](#)).

The toolkit contains various participatory activities for these purposes, such as [Critical Uncertainties](#), [Fish Bowl](#), [Focus Groups](#), [Plan of Change](#), [Storyboards](#), [What I Need from You](#), and [World Café](#).

Step 5 concerns monitoring progress and evaluating a GEP. Gender expertise (possibly external) may need to be considered in monitoring and evaluation processes, potentially along with other expertise on change dynamics or other specific issues tackled by the GEP. Indicators should be implementation-oriented and adapted to the purposes of the action. Monitoring does not mean looking only at figures and data; other underlying, qualitative aspects also need to be considered.⁴

Here, the toolkit could facilitate this step through methods such as [Fish Bowl](#), [Focus Groups](#), [Interviews](#), [Photo Documentation](#), [Plan of Change](#).

Plan of Change **Step 6** encourages consideration of starting a new cycle, as it is likely that the sustainability of some measures and procedures is already ensured, whereas others may still require further action, or new areas of attention may have been identified. This is the point where a decision needs to be made on how to continue the efforts undertaken so far and what any new GEP should address.

Therefore, the toolkit contains activities, such as [Future Workshop](#), [Infographics](#), [Mentoring Circles](#), [Nine Whys](#), [W3](#), [Plan of Change](#), and [Brainstorming](#).

3. COP SUCCESS FACTORS INFORMING THE TOOLKIT

The existing literature in relation to CoP success factors is diverse in terms of the contexts and CoPs' characteristics. However, there is a trend in the literature pointing to a recurring set of factors needed for successful operation and growth of CoPs. This draft will present the success factors most relevant to the ACT CoPs and will suggest how the toolkit can address these CoPs needs. The most recurring factors in the conducted short literature review have been grouped in Table 2.

⁴ A [monitoring and evaluation tool](#) has been developed in the GENERA project to test the progress of gender equality.

| SUCCESS FACTOR | AUTHORS | TOOLS |
|---|---|---|
| Community interaction | Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari, 2015; Fontainha and Gannon-Leary, 2008; Jagasia, Baul and Mallik, 2015; Martos, 2012; McDermott, 2002 (Cambridge et al., 2005); Probst and Borzillo, 2008; Pyrko; Dörfler and Eden 2017; | 1-2-4-All Fish Bowl Five-Minute Favour Four Quadrants Future Workshop Heart, Hand, Mind Mentoring Circles Nine Whys W3: What, So What, What Now? What I Need from You World Café |
| Sharing best practice | Hong, 2017; Probst and Borzillo, 2008; Retna and Ng, 2011; | 1-2-4-All Brainstorming Fish Bowl Five-Minute Favour Focus Groups Infographics Interviews Mature Your Ideas Mentoring Circles Photo Documentation SWOT and PESTEL W3: What, So What, What Now? What I Need from You |
| Supporting tools and resources | Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari, 2015; Fontainha and Gannon-Leary, 2008; Hong, 2017; | Five-Minute Favour Infographics Mentoring Circles Storyboards SWOT and PESTEL What I Need from You |
| Mutual culture, values, belonging | Fontainha and Gannon-Leary, 2008; Martos, 2012; Pyrko; Dörfler and Eden 2017; Retna and Ng, 2011; | Five-Minute Favour Four Quadrants Future Workshop Heart, Hand, Mind Mentoring Circles What I Need from You |
| Knowledge production and access to knowledge | Hong, 2017; Martos, 2012; Probst and Borzillo, 2008; | Argument Mapping Focus Groups Infographics Interviews Mentoring Circles Photo Documentation Storyboards World Café |
| Learning | Hong, 2017; Martos, 2012; Probst and Borzillo, 2008; | Fish Bowl Five-Minute Favour Focus Groups Interviews Mentoring Circles SWOT and PESTEL |
| Leadership | Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari, 2015; Martos, 2012; Retna and Ng, 2011; | Argument Mapping Critical Uncertainties Fish Bowl |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | | Future Workshop Nine Whys Plan of Change SMART Criteria What I Need From You |
| Illustrating results and performance | Hong, 2017; Martos, 2012; Probst and Borzillo, 2008; | Fish Bowl Infographics Photo Documentation Storyboards W3: What, So What, What Now? |
| Strategy | Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari, 2015; Hong, 2017; Probst and Borzillo, 2008; | Argument Mapping Brainstorming Critical Uncertainties Future Workshop Mature Your Ideas Plan of Change SMART Criteria SWOT and PESTEL W3: What, So What, What Now? |

Table 2. CoP Success Factors Review

This review will be used as part of the learning material available in the toolkit for community members to develop their knowledge about CoPs.

3.1 COMMUNITY INTERACTION

Community support and people factors (Jagasia, Baul and Mallik, 2015), engagement and participation in the community (Martos, 2012), regular interaction and communication (Fontainha and Gannon-Leary, 2008) as well as mutual engagement and regularity of interaction (Pyrko, Dörfler and Eden 2017) are all linked to the success of the social actors engaged within the CoP and their mutual relations.

Community interaction and communication between the CoP members is identified as a crucial element of success of any CoP. Communication is also defined as fundamental for the development of the community and is most easily made possible by face-to-face interaction (Fontainha and Gannon-Leary, 2008). Jagasia, Baul and Mallik (2015) also identify communication as a success factor of CoPs. They recommend supporting the communication between CoP members with the help of a facilitator. They also suggest that successful CoPs facilitate communication by for instance providing communication channels. Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari (2015) identified the optimisation of interaction as a success factor of a CoP. This means that if communication and interaction is being facilitated, knowledge can be shared more easily, and it leads to higher dedication and commitment of the CoP members. Probst and Borzillo (2008) argue similarly to Jagasia, Baul and Mallik (2015) and emphasise the importance of providing communication channels and supporting the interaction between CoP members. If there is a lack of interaction, this will result in less commitment and enthusiasm for the CoP and its objectives.

The central argument of the paper written by Pyrko, Dörfler and Eden (2017) about the success factors of CoPs is “thinking together” which is, according to the authors, one of the key elements of the success of a CoP. Hong (2017) argues in a similar way that building regular contacts is a very important aspect for a CoP to be successful. Communication, interaction and thinking together can be summarised into one concluding recommendation: All of the aspects mentioned above are essential for the success of a CoP because they

enable commitment, knowledge sharing and a sense of belonging. Nonetheless, communication and interaction need support from time to time.

3.2 SHARING BEST PRACTICE

Sharing best practice leads not only to the development of a successful CoP but foster economic benefits. Probst and Borzillo (2008) state that sharing best practices between CoP members will result in saving resources like time and money. In consequence, this results in a more active participation of the CoP members, as they notice and experience the benefits of using best practices. Retna and Ng (2011) discuss that CoPs consist of different domains of knowledge. The success of a CoP is based on the fact that those domains are dynamic and strategic which means that they exchange and share their knowledge among each other. This exchange leads to better effectiveness than one single domain could achieve. Furthermore, Hong (2017) determines that sharing of knowledge results in personal learning and identifying experts, as well as developing best practices.

3.3 SUPPORTING TOOLS AND RESOURCES

Supporting tools and resources are identified as a factor of success of CoPs by various researchers and authors. Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari (2015) found that the provision of infrastructure and supporting tools for knowledge creation, communication and forming a CoP is an important part of the success in sharing knowledge in a CoP. The provision of technology and the ability of the CoP members to use it is also one central aspect in the paper written by Fontainha and Gannon-Leary (2008). They explain that (especially for virtual CoPs) technology facilitates communication. This again is one factor without a CoP cannot succeed over time (Fontainha and Gannon-Leary, 2008). Hong also points out the importance of providing the necessary infrastructure and support (Hong, 2017). This could be IT support, communication tools, but also just having the infrastructure to get together, meet and interact. Hong is hereby referring to McDermott (2002, cited in Cambridge et al., 2005) who points out that communication tools can be used to share knowledge (Hong, 2017). Hong continues to emphasise the importance of strategic support of IT. The use of communication tools and other IT support is especially helpful if it is not possible for the CoP members to meet regularly or in case of virtual CoPs (Fontainha and Gannon-Leary, 2008). In this case communication (e.g. online meetings) can be facilitated by those communication tools.

3.4 MUTUAL CULTURE, VALUES, BELONGING

Mutual culture, values, belonging, as obvious as it might seem, form a big part of the success or failure of a CoP. Retna and Ng (2011) state that a shared culture or a shared vision leads to deeper interest and commitment to the domain and CoP. Pyrko, Dörfler and Eden (2017), who suggest the most important aspect of a CoP is thinking together, explain that it is a necessity to have mutual engagement. Mutual engagement again stimulates “belonging” which is essential for CoP members to commit and participate in general. Furthermore, they discuss the role of mutual identification which is also a result of thinking together and working towards the same objective. Trust, common values, shared understanding, sense of belonging and cultural awareness are all success factors named by Fontainha and Gannon-Leary. All of those factors determine and influence the level of commitment and how much one invests in the CoP (Fontainha and Gannon-Leary, 2008). Sanz Martos (2012) leans toward a very similar direction as she states that a CoP needs to create a new and own culture as well as its own values. She furthermore explains the importance of building a sense of belonging in the members of the community. As explained before those aspects ultimately lead to a higher commitment of the CoP members as well as a deepened identification of them with their CoP.

Those aspects are also part of Fontainha and Gannon-Leary's (2008) argumentation. Besides common values and a shared understanding, they argue that trust between the CoP members is also an important aspect for a CoP being successful. They also suggest that a sense of belonging is beneficial for the success and health of a CoP.

3.5 KNOWLEDGE PRODUCTION AND ACCESS TO KNOWLEDGE

Knowledge production and access to knowledge are discussed by Probst and Borzillo (2008). Their research showed that it is helpful for CoPs to import knowledge from experts outside the CoP. They can be from different institutions, organisations as well as various positions (e.g. researchers or practitioners). If experts are invited to CoP meetings, they share ideas, experience, knowledge best practices and insights. This means that CoP members get access to knowledge and can produce knowledge as well as they get access to new approaches and can advance their existing best practices. Furthermore, they point out the role of promoting access to other networks. By stepping over their boundaries, CoP members get access to other people working on the same problem and experts. Contact with people outside the CoP and in other networks have the same effects as mentioned above: Not only does this open access to knowledge but by sharing and exchanging knowledge, ideas and experiences new knowledge is being produced (Probst and Borzillo, 2008). Hong also emphasises the role of knowledge and identifies knowledge and understanding the value of knowledge as a success factor for CoPs (Hong, 2017).

3.6 LEARNING

One important aspect for a successful CoP is to keep on *learning* and include new knowledge, findings and perspectives. One way to make sure to gain new perspectives and knowledge is to always open up to and include external expertise. By joining regular meetings or ad hoc meetings CoPs share ideas, experience, insights and knowledge which keep the learning process going, as the input from external experts trigger new ways of thinking or looking at a problem. To get access to new knowledge and therefore keep on learning does not mean that only external experts can set new courses. Learning can also happen when knowledge is exchanged in the same organisation. If access to intra-organisational networks is promoted and facilitated, CoP members can learn from other colleagues and experts (Probst and Borzillo, 2008). This success factor is intimately connected with the success factor of "knowledge production and access to knowledge". It is often being discussed that successful CoPs need a culture for sharing and creating knowledge (Lave and Wenger, 1991). A strategy to achieve this is to construct a learning organisation. This aspect implies the importance of learning for the success of a CoP. Knowledge sharing leads not only to learning processes on a CoP level, but also on an individual level and thus to identifying new experts (Hong, 2013).

3.7 LEADERSHIP

Leadership is an aspect of CoPs closely connected to other success factors such as mutual culture and supporting tools and resources. According to Retna and Ng (2011) leadership contributes to creating and maintaining a culture or values. It is also part of the responsibilities of a leader to provide the infrastructure and support needed e.g. premises. It is also fruitful seeing that the leader (e.g. CEO of a company) is committed to the CoP as well. This can inspire the employees to follow their example. Furthermore, if the CEO or the leader is invested in the CoP, they are more willing to provide resources and give the employees the time needed to invest in the CoP. Leaders are a very important part of facilitating a culture of learning and sharing (Retna and Ng, 2011). Interaction as another success factor is connected to leadership, too. Similar to the arguments of Retna and Ng, Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari

(2015) recognise the importance of leadership support. They argue that a supportive leadership leads to optimised interactions. This shows that the different success factors are often interlinked. Hong (2017) also argues that if the CEO or leader advocates and supports the cultivation of CoPs, they are more likely to be fruitful and successful. This leads to another aspect of leadership that Hong (2017) discusses: When leaders cultivate CoPs as an integral part of the company, they contribute to the success of the company.

3.8 ILLUSTRATING RESULTS AND PERFORMANCE

Illustrating results and performance aims to have an impact on the motivation of the CoP members (Probst and Borzillo, 2008). The idea is that CoP members can post their experiences in a reporting system and talk about the process, implementation and results. This should not only have an impact on the motivation of CoP members as such but to motivate them to participate by showing that their efforts do have a real impact on their organisation or company (Probst and Borzillo, 2008). In addition, Probst and Borzillo argue that another way to illustrate results is to subdivide the overall goal or objective into smaller goals and sub-objectives. That allows to see what has been achieved already (Probst and Borzillo, 2008). In this context, it can also be helpful to evaluate the performance of CoPs. Such evaluations lead to better effectiveness and motivation by showing the strengths and weaknesses of the performance. This leads to an increased effort to improve if the performance has weaknesses, or serves as a push and further motivation if the performance is already strong (Hong, 2017).

3.9 STRATEGY

Strategy and long-term goals are discussed as further success factors by Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari (2015). By providing programmes and policies, organisations or institutions can support the motivation and willingness to create and share knowledge. Strategies have to be clear and understandable to motivate people to participate in a CoP. According to the research conducted by Akhavan, Marzieh and Mirjafari (2015), having a strategy and specific goals has the highest impact on CoPs. Probst and Borzillo (2008) state that it helps CoPs if there is a clear and concrete direction to follow. This direction is given by the definition of clear and measurable goals and objectives. They furthermore explain that as part of a strategy it is helpful to divide the objectives into smaller topics and sub-goals that the CoP or its members need to achieve (Probst and Borzillo, 2008).

4. COP LIFECYCLE PHASES

As proposed by McDermott (2002, cited in Cambridge et al., 2005) CoPs have lifecycles and they begin, grow, and have life spans. Specific design, facilitation, and support strategies exist to help reach the goals of the CoP during each lifecycle phase and elevate it into the next stage of development. If the CoP is successful, the energy, commitment, and visibility of the CoP will grow until the CoP becomes institutionalised as a core value-added capability of the sponsoring organisation (Cambridge et al., 2005: 2). The different development stages are inquire, design, prototype, launch, grow and sustain. They will be briefly described in turn (see Figure 4).

Inquire is the phase in which through a process of exploration and inquiry the audience, the goals and the vision for the CoP will be determined.

This phase is followed by **design** which implies the definition of activities, processes and different roles that support the goals of the community.

After those aspects are defined the community is ready to take the first step. In the **prototype** phase commitment is gained, strategies are being refined, assumptions are tested, and a success story is established.

The **launch** phase can then happen if everything is set and the community can present itself to a broad audience and engage more actors over a period of time.




Figure 4. CoP Lifecycle Phases. Adapted from Cambridge et al., 2005.

Once established the community starts to **grow** by engaging more members, participating in events and reaching the first goals. The main characteristics are learning collaboratively, sharing knowledge, engaging in group projects and networking events, while creating an increasing cycle of participation and contribution.

To make sure that the community survives and keeps on going it is important to strengthen the community by assessing what they achieved. In the **sustain** phase new goals are being set and new strategies developed.

Each CoP lifecycle phase requires a different set of activities and methods, which are suggested in Table 3.

| LIFECYCLE PHASE | Inquire | Design | Prototype | Launch | Grow | Sustain |
|-----------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| TOOLS | 1-2-4-All Argument Mapping Brainstorming Fish Bowl Five-Minute Favour Focus Groups Four Quadrants Future Workshop Heart, Hand, Mind Interviews Mature Your Ideas Nine Whys SWOT/PESTEL What I Need From You | 1-2-4-All Brainstorming Critical Uncertainties Five-Minute Favour Future Workshop Plan of Change SMART Criteria Storyboards The World Café What I Need From You | Critical Uncertainties Five-Minute Favour Future Workshop Plan of Change What I Need from You | Infographics Mentoring Circles The World Café | Argument Mapping Fish Bowl Four Quadrants Infographics Photo Documentation Mentoring Circles Storyboards W3: What, So What, Now What The World Café | Critical Uncertainties Fish Bowl Infographics Mentoring Circles Nine Whys Photo/Video Doc. Storyboards SWOT/PESTEL W3: What, So What, Now What |

Table 3. CoP Lifecycle Phases and Available Tools

5. COPS' PRIMARY AREAS OF ACTIVITY

Cambridge et al. (2005) propose that beyond the above CoP lifecycles, each community is characterised by their unique goals, purpose and the members' characteristics and needs. Therefore, it is important that all social and technical design choices are primarily driven by purpose and the context of the CoP. Communities that succeed and last are characterised by focused and well-defined purposes that are linked to the strategic mission of the sponsoring organisation. The most effective way to define a CoP's purpose is to assess how this initiative will benefit the community's stakeholders and also what specific needs are to be met by the community.

CoP purposes are categorised into four areas of activity: building relationships, learning and developing practice, taking action as a community and creating knowledge in the domain.




Figure 5. CoPs' Primary Areas of Activity (Images by Gerd Altmann from Pixabay).

5.1 BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS

This area of activity is built on the premise of interacting with and developing a wider network of peers, and instilling commitment necessary for strong communities. This purpose might not be the end goal in itself for a CoP, but a means to an end. This is because community activities are contingent on a safe environment of mutual trust, respect, openness, and listening, which is needed if we want to encourage idea sharing, exposing one's ignorance, and asking challenging questions.

The toolkit thus encourages a **continuity** and **depth** of **interactions** between members. Therefore, the participative methods should be enjoyable, attractive, fulfilling and rewarding. Moreover, they should help to develop a **shared understanding** of the community's **domain** and an **approach** to **practice**.

Suggested activities include [Five-Minute Favour](#), [Focus Groups Four Quadrants](#), [Heart, Hand, Mind](#), [Interviews](#), [Mentoring Circles](#), [What I Need From You](#).

5.2 LEARNING AND DEVELOPING PRACTICE

The purpose of learning and developing a shared practice, based on an existing body of knowledge underscores this area of activity. The community helps to evolve the practice as a collective product, which becomes integral to members' work and is reflective of their perspectives. It is important to balance the production of documents and tools through practice and deep learning experiences for community members.

The toolkit facilitates **accessing** the community's knowledge representations for existing practice, and supporting **deeper learning and knowledge sharing** for community members.

Suggested activities include [1-2-4-All](#), [Brainstorming](#), [Fish Bowl](#), [Five-Minute Favour](#), [Focus Groups](#), [Future Workshop](#), [Interviews](#), [Mature Your Ideas](#), [Mentoring Circles](#), [Nine Whys](#), [Storyboards](#), [SWOT and PESTEL](#), [W3](#), [What I Need from You](#), and [the World Café](#).

5.3 TAKING ACTION AS A COMMUNITY

This area of activity is built on the purpose of making things happen through tasks and projects. For example, establishing small group projects could help members create close relationships and also design and pool the resources for practice development and knowledge generation.

The toolkit facilitates **collaborative efforts**, and **working with others**, but also **recognising** and **rewarding members** for their contributions.

Suggested activities include [Future Workshops](#), [Critical Uncertainties \(Theory of Change\)](#), [Mentoring Circles](#), [Nine Whys](#), [Plan of Change \(Theory of Change\)](#), [SMART Criteria](#), [SWOT and PESTEL](#), [W3: What, So What, Now What](#).

5.4 CREATING KNOWLEDGE IN THE DOMAIN

This area of activity fulfils the purpose of generating and discovering new knowledge. It concerns members going beyond what is currently practiced and exploring the cutting edge of the domain in order to innovate. Fulfilling this purpose may necessitate redefining the CoP's boundaries and membership to facilitate boundary crossing and engaging with external communities to explore new ideas and practices.

The toolkit should help a **cross pollination of ideas** and **spreading leading-edge knowledge**, outside engagement.

Suggested activities include [Argument Mapping](#), [Fish Bowl](#), [Focus Groups](#), [Infographics](#), [Mentoring Circles](#), [Photo Documentation](#), [Storyboards](#) and [the World Café](#).

| <i>Building Relationships</i> | <i>Learning and Developing Practice</i> | <i>Taking Action as a Community</i> | <i>Creating Knowledge</i> |
|---|--|--|--|
| <u>Five-Minute Favour</u> <u>Focus Groups</u> <u>Four Quadrants</u> <u>Heart, Hand, Mind</u> <u>Interviews</u> <u>Mentoring Circles</u> <u>What I Need From You</u> | <u>1-2-4-All</u> <u>Brainstorming</u> <u>Fish Bowl</u> <u>Five-Minute Favour</u> <u>Focus Groups</u> <u>Future Workshop</u> <u>Interviews</u> <u>Mature Your Ideas</u> <u>Mentoring Circles</u> <u>Nine Whys</u> <u>Storyboards</u> <u>SWOT and PESTEL</u> <u>W3</u> <u>What I Need from You</u> <u>the World Café</u> | <u>Future Workshops</u> <u>Critical Uncertainties</u> <u>Mentoring Circles</u> <u>Nine Whys</u> <u>Plan of Change</u> <u>SMART Criteria</u> <u>SWOT and PESTEL</u> <u>W3: What, So What, Now What</u> | <u>Argument Mapping</u> <u>Fish Bowl</u> <u>Focus Groups</u> <u>Infographics</u> <u>Mentoring Circles</u> <u>Photo Documentation</u> <u>Storyboards</u> <u>the World Café</u> |

Table 4. CoPs' Four Primary Areas of Activity and Available Tools

6. EIGHT TIPS FOR GENDER EQUALITY PROJECTS

One of the ways you can **prepare yourself and your communities** for accelerating gender equality in their institutions is to raise your awareness about what obstacles and contradictions you may have to face. Joan Acker (2000) elaborates why gender equality projects conducted in organisations do not succeed and she identifies **eight possible reasons for failure**.

She suggests that this situation may result from gender-equality projects adopting uncritical stances to organisational hierarchies. Moreover, she suggests that some projects do not recognise gender as tied to the organisation’s most fundamental values and practices, which in turn exacerbate inefficient decision-making, a lack of clarity of expectations and excessive controls. She argues that there has been a limited success in gender equality projects, because the change actors face eight contradictions between theirs *and* the organisation’s goals and methods that are both targets and tools of change (2000).

The following tips included in the toolkit are informed by Acker’s work, but also by Jackson et al.’s (2016) paper about a process called *Dialogues* the authors designed to emphasise inclusive and participatory interactions between departments by deliberately shaping iterative conversations and activities. This process was designed to address Acker’s critique, and each contradiction informs the implementation of *Dialogues*. Therefore, the tips proposed in the toolkit are inspired and informed by the above two papers.

The figure below illustrates the eight steps.



Figure 6. Acker's eight contradictions in GE projects.

Contradiction #1: POWER: Change Agents have to accept to engage with multiple levels of an organisation while negotiating power differentials.

What does it mean? The research/activist project begins with a mixed message. The project should to “challenge the authority of long-established patterns and practices but could not even begin without the legitimacy conferred by long-established authority” (Acker, 2000: 626).

TIP: Elicit and demonstrate support from the top. Involve the leaders and members with a high level of political capital across the institution. Gather powerful allies. Reward the person in power who was convinced to take action on GE by informing wider community of their actions.

Contradiction #2: ROLES: Change Agents occupy different roles and may hold less power within an organisation than those who are trying to encourage to adopt change practices.

What does it mean? Employee positions “do not have the direct control of work organisation and practices that line positions routinely involve. Thus, staff could not themselves implement changes, but would have to work through others to reach their goals” (Acker, 2000: 627).

TIP: Involving a selection of different participants may diversify the characteristics of the implementation team. It might be useful to involve external consultants, but also internal participants who work both inside and outside of the targeted department. This would help Change Agents to maintain a dual insider/outsider role. As the “insiders”, Change Agents share an institutional history and organisational roles similar to their institutional fellow members. As the “outsiders”, Change Agents can maintain objectivity, which might to some extent neutralise the role/power issues.

Contradiction #3: DUAL AGENDA: Dual agenda weakens gender equality goals.

What does it mean? Dual agenda approach to gender equality projects means that such projects can be successfully implemented only if gender equality can be linked to something else the organisation values, such as increased performance, profit, competitiveness, productivity, etc. Thus, such projects have twofold aims: gender equality and another organisational goal(s). However, this creates a catch-22: in order to reach equality goals, the Change Agents have to address organisational goals, but by focusing on organisational goals they obscure and slow down the gender ones.

TIP: Identify possible opportunities in the above challenge, by emphasising that in order to achieve the overall institutional mission gender equality, inclusivity, and diversity need to be instilled in the organisational departments. Demonstrate that gender equality will directly benefit those who hold power and contribute to the productivity or performance of their micro-contexts, such as individual faculties and divisions. Strategically gain buy-in; constantly raise awareness (campaign); report regularly to institutional authority; ethics/RRI as evaluation criteria – creating synergies with ethics goals, RRI goals. *RRI (Responsible Research and Innovation).

Contradiction #4: CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The lack of congruence between the interests of various organisational members.

What does it mean? Not everyone will benefit from a change to the current system. Gender equality redistributes power, rewards and resources; therefore, it won't be in everyone's interest to support the project.

TIP: Ensure that the gender equality goal processes are embedded in activities that are contained in the annual reviews, or evaluation systems of those who may not directly benefit from a gender equality agenda. Again, emphasise other goals linked to solving business problems to secure allegiance to the project.

Contradiction #5: POWER/CLASS: Gender is embedded within power/class structures.

What does it mean? People in power, such as managers, may support gender equality generally, but as this would lead to employee empowerment, they may fear that the underlying power and class structures could become destabilised, and thus they might lose out.

TIP: Encourage representatives of both powerful/powerless groups to participate in the community and the project and do not separate them or differentiate them. Address both power/class and gender structures that cause inequalities. Explain to the manager the benefits of achieving GE goals; get influential allies/stakeholders to convince managers; understand

the threat for managers (conflict resolution techniques). Experience shows that organisational change is mostly lip-service but not structural. Focus on structural change.

Contradiction #6: TIMING & RHYTHMS: The timing and rhythms of gender equality plans may not fit the timing and rhythms of organisational operations.

What does it mean? Gender equality projects require time to reflect, to experiment, and to examine assumptions. This is very different to the rhythms and timing of doing business, which are often based on swiftness and a high tempo, rather than on a contemplative and judicious deliberation. As a result, Change Agents might be perceived as incompatible with the organisational senses of time and rhythm.

TIP: Align the gender equality project timeframe with the organisational strategy and aim to produce and accommodate your goals into the organisational goals. Consider that there are different timeframes:

- The timeframe of the members' institutions with their own agendas, action plans etc. They began before ACT and they will end after ACT.
- The timeframe of ACT and CoPs: this time is dedicating to sharing, co-designing, creating new knowledge; it is not the time of implementation of GEPs.
- The timeframe of the CoP: the CoP is developed during the ACT project; maybe it will go on after ACT, maybe with another support, maybe not.
- Be realistic in your demand.

Contradiction #7: GENDER NEUTRALITY: There is a persistent cultural representation of organisations as gender neutral.

What does it mean? Organisations are perceived as neutral, objective structures. They are believed to be goal-oriented and instrumental, and rewards are built around job demands, performance and seniority, but not gender. This understanding facilitates an individualistic view of success, influence, dedication, and performance. Any opposing view suggesting that a lack of success and recognition for women may be linked to gendered practices is treated with caution and suspicion. As a result, many women do not want to participate in gender equality projects, as they do not want to be seen “complaining” and want to succeed only on their own merits (Acker, 2000).

TIP: When setting up groups with participants, try to avoid dividing targeted groups by categories and drawing attention to the minorities. Try not to design groups by gender, race, rank, or any other factor. Shift the conversation away from the “needs” of some groups to the environment and decision-making processes of the institution/department as a group to benefit all.

Strategy: be open about the fact that the rules are always constructed, and they are not simply objective. Disillusionment: empower women facing this challenge; challenge people who claim the rules are objective; acknowledge what we are dealing with; be self-reflective; celebrate the small steps in general; think big, but appreciate the small steps.

Contradiction #8: IDEAL WORKER NORMS: People of all genders are generally evaluated for success according to their ability to display stereotypically male behaviours and characteristics.

What does it mean? People might be rewarded for demonstrating the ability to control, to be forceful, strong, assertive, eloquent, and results-oriented. These stereotypes help to continue

systematic difficulties for organisations to embrace gender equality projects, but they also continue to be the favoured identities. Men, who themselves display these characteristics, may not be enthusiastic about projects that question these stereotypes, as they may not perceive them as dysfunctional. Gender equality projects, therefore, tend to be occupied mostly with women, as they have the capacity to be more mindful than men of the negative side of the stereotypical and gendered nature of organisational images of successful identities (Acker, 2000: 631).

TIP: Focus on group level processes rather than individual traits. For example, encourage the input of ideas from all groups and if helpful, use a variety of formats. Balance out opportunities for anonymous input with time for speaking to the group, as this will allow everyone to contribute regardless of their comfort levels of speaking. In participatory methods and activities, divide the process for individual reflection, small group interaction, and large group consensus testing.

7. PARTICIPATORY METHODS: TIPS & THE QUICK GUIDE

What: Participatory methods include various activities and tools which all have the same goal: to facilitate that everyone can take over an active and influential part in decision-making, planning and implementation. They aim at making sure that everyone can share his or her opinion, which is really being heard and to influence the outcome or future activities in their organisation. Most important to keep in mind is actively involving people in decision-making that do not belong to the actual circle of decision-makers of an organisation. Depending on the context this could mean involving average citizens in e.g. policy processes or co-workers in a project. Participatory methods are valuable because they take into account the worth of knowledge and experience exchange which is one aim of this toolkit: promoting knowledge and experience exchange between CoP members and across CoPs by facilitating their communication, participation and exchange.

Who: Participatory methods are a great tool if one wishes to play a more active role and to be more involved, as well as if one wants to include people e.g. from other departments. Sometimes participatory methods are the chosen approach if new input is needed because the organisation, project or idea got stuck at one point or if further information is missing. Furthermore, participatory methods can be helpful to benefit from actively engaging diverse perspectives in decision-making or project planning processes. Participatory methods therefore are a helpful tool for CoPs who plan activities or measures on enhancing gender equality.

Why: The answer to the question why one should use participatory methods can be tackled from different sides. Firstly, the demand for more participation rose in different areas like companies, institutions or policy-making. Secondly, the benefits of participation of different actors or stakeholders in decision-making processes are well known. The benefits of increasing participation vary from an improvement of the quality of decisions, satisfaction of the demand for more participation as well as the inclusion of diverse perspectives. When addressing an issue or problem as much knowledge, experience and insight as possible regarding the issue or problem is always supporting. To get access to this knowledge and those insights it is fundamental to facilitate the participation of everyone who can contribute to finding solutions and planning the future.

When: Participatory methods can be used at all stages of a project or process. But they are also useful as tools for strengthening engagement, holding the powerful to account, to get new ideas and input as well as strengthening and ensuring greater commitment on the part of all those involved. They also support learning processes as well as knowledge exchange in case there is more expertise and know-how needed. They also lay the foundation for a decision to be accepted and understood at the end.

This section provides the categorisation of the methods as a quick reference guide, general information, detailed content of the toolkit, descriptions of the activities with activity templates, and online adaptations. Each activity will be linked to a particular primary area of activity, a lifecycle stage, and a success factor if relevant. This toolkit and especially the participatory methods aim to support the CoPs in knowledge exchange, getting new input, strengthening engagement of the CoP members etc.

⁵ Adapted from Slocum, N. (2003), *Participatory methods toolkit. A practitioner's manual*.

General information: To have a successful and fruitful session and to achieve the desired results, there are different things to consider beforehand. This starts with the infrastructure and ends with aspects of feeling comfortable. In the table below the most important things to take into account when organising a session / meeting which includes participatory methods have been collected. The toolkit serves as a source for possible methods and their descriptions. To make it a success it is important though to be willing to work with the framework given by the toolkit and adapting it to the needs of the CoP. It is often necessary to modify and adapt the methods slightly depending on the context, the group and what wants to be achieved as it may not be possible to achieve the desired results if the methods are adopted one-to-one.

| Useful tips for participatory methods | |
|---|--|
|  | <p>Time is a crucial factor when using participatory methods. Therefore it is helpful to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use an alarm during the use of a method • Chose a topic based on the time available • Define timeframes for the different steps of a method |
|  | <p>Depending on the method, seating arrangements might need to be changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do it beforehand so that the session can start right away • Or invite the participants to do it together as a first step of engagement or as a small energiser |
|  | <p>In order to avoid an unsuccessful session it is important to prepare all the material needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which materials are needed to conduct the method? • It might be possible that participants need additional materials (Post-its, pens, marker etc.) • Even if it doesn't say so explicitly it is always better to have different materials, shapes, colours etc. ready |
|  | <p>When many people spend a lot of time in a room it is important to make sure that there is always enough fresh air in order to avoid participants getting tired and dizzy.</p> |
|  | <p>When choosing a location it is important to take into account different aspects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Size of the group • Does the method require special seating arrangements or enough space to split up the group in smaller groups • What kind of furniture is needed and how much? |
|  | <p>To keep participants motivated it is important to include breaks in the program. Benefits of breaks are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication and exchange between participants • Participants can recharge and continue with more energy • By using short exercising breaks participants will be more awake and active |



Another success factor for participatory methods is knowing your target group / participants. Knowing your target group goes along with:

- Adapting the methods to the needs and context of the target group
- Know their level of experience with those formats
- Defining and knowing the desired goals of the meeting / session

| QUICK GUIDE Participatory Methods | What For | Group Size | Face-to-face / Online | Page |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| <u>1-2-4-ALL</u> | Learning and Developing Practice Inquire Design Community Interaction Sharing Best Practice EIGE Step 1: Getting Started | Unlimited | Face-to-face | 39 |
| <u>ARGUMENT MAPPING</u> | Creating Knowledge Inquire Grow Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge Leadership Strategy EIGE Step 1: Getting Started EIGE Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play | Unlimited | Face-to-face | 44 |
| <u>BRAINSTORMING</u> | Learning and Developing Practice Inquire Design Community Interaction Sharing Best Practice Strategy EIGE Step 1: Getting Started EIGE Step 6: What Comes After the GEP | 3-6 per group | Face-to-face / Online | 52 |
| <u>CRITICAL UNCERTAINTIES (THEORY OF CHANGE)</u> | Taking Action as a Community Design Prototype Sustain Leadership Strategy EIGE Step 1: Getting Started EIGE Step 3: Setting up a GEP EIGE Step 4: Implementing a GEP EIGE Step 6: What Comes After a GEP | Four groups with 4-6 people each | Face-to-face | 58 |
| <u>FISH BOWL</u> | Creating Knowledge Learning and Developing Practice Inquire Grow | Unlimited | Face-to-face / Online | 63 |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|----|
| | <p>Sustain Community Interaction Learning Leadership Sharing Best Practice Illustrating Results and Performance EIGE Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play EIGE Step 4: Implementing a GEP EIGE Step 5: Monitoring Progress and Evaluating a GEP</p> | | | |
| <u>FIVE MINUTE FAVOUR</u> | <p>Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice Inquire Design Prototype Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging Sharing Best Practice Learning EIGE Step 1: Getting started</p> | Unlimited | Face-to-face / Online | 67 |
| <u>FOCUS GROUPS</u> | <p>Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice Creating Knowledge Inquire Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge Learning EIGE Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play EIGE Step 4: Implementing a GEP EIGE Step 5: Monitoring Progress and Evaluating GEP</p> | Up to 12 per Focus Group | Face-to-face / Online | 69 |
| <u>FOUR QUADRANTS</u> | <p>Building relationships Inquire Grow Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging EIGE Step 1: Getting started</p> | Up to 30 | Face-to-face / Online | 72 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| <u>FUTURE WORKSHOP</u> | Learning and Developing practice Taking Action as a Community Inquire Design Prototype Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging Leadership Strategy EIGE Step 1: Getting started EIGE Step 3: Setting up a GEP EIGE Step 6: What comes after a GEP | 5-20 | Face-to-face | 76 |
| <u>HEART, HAND, MIND</u> | Building Relationships Inquire Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging EIGE Step 1: Getting started | 5-15 | Face-to-face | 80 |
| <u>INFOGRAPHICS</u> | Learning and Developing Practice Creating knowledge Grow Sustain Sharing best practice Supporting tools and resources Knowledge production and access to knowledge EIGE Step 6: What comes after the GEP | N/A | Face-to-face / Online | 127 |
| <u>INTERVIEWS</u> | Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice Inquire Sharing Best Practice Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge Learning EIGE Step 2: Analysing and assessing the state-of-play in the institution EIGE Step 5: Monitoring progress and Evaluating the GEP | 5-8 key informants | Face-to-face / Online | 85 |
| <u>MATURE YOUR IDEAS</u> | Learning and Developing Practice Inquire Sharing Best Practice | 3-6 per group | Face-to-face | 89 |

| | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| | Strategy EIGE Step 1: Getting started EIGE Step 6: What Comes After the GEP | | | |
| <u>MENTORING CIRCLES</u> | Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice Creating Knowledge Taking Action as a Community Launch Grow Sustain Community Interaction Sharing Best Practice Supporting Tools and Resources Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging Learning Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge EIGE Step 1: Getting started EIGE Step 6: What Comes after the GEP | 6-8 people per mentoring circle | Face-to-face / Online | 92 |
| <u>NINE WHYS</u> | Learning and Developing Practice Taking Action as a Community Inquire Sustain Community Interaction Leadership EIGE Step 1: Getting Started EIGE Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play EIGE Step 6: What Comes After the GEP | Unlimited | Face-to-face | 95 |
| <u>PHOTO / VIDEO DOCUMENTATION</u> | Learning and Developing Practice Creating Knowledge Grow Sharing Best Practice Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge EIGE Step 5: Monitoring Progress and Evaluating the GEP | N/A | N/A | 130 |
| <u>PLAN OF CHANGE (THEORY OF CHANGE)</u> | Taking Action as a Community Design Prototype | 8-25 | Face-to-face | 99 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------|-----|
| | Leadership Strategy EIGE Step 1: Getting Started EIGE Step 3: Setting up a GEP EIGE Step 4: Implementing a GEP EIGE Step 5: Monitoring Progress and Evaluating a GEP EIGE Step 6: What Comes After the GEP | | | |
| <u>SMART CRITERIA / GOALS</u> | Taking Action as a Community Design Strategy EIGE Step 1: Getting Started EIGE Step 3: Setting up a GEP | 1-8 | Face-to-face / Online | 105 |
| <u>STORYBOARDS</u> | Learning and Developing Practice Creating Knowledge Design Grow Supporting Tools and Resources EIGE Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play in the Institution EIGE Step 4: Implementing a GEP | Unlimited | Face-to-face / Online | 135 |
| <u>SWOT AND PESTEL</u> | Learning and Developing Practice Taking Action as a Community Inquire Sustain Learning Sharing Best Practice Supporting Tools and Resources Strategy EIGE Step 1: Getting Started EIGE Step 2: Analysing the State-of-Play in the Institution | 8-12 people | Face-to-face / Online | 110 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------|------------|
| <p><u>W3: WHAT, SO WHAT, NOW WHAT</u></p> | <p>Learning and Developing Practice Taking Action as a Community Grow Sustain Community Interaction Illustrating Results and Performance Sharing Best Practice Strategy EIGE Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play in the Institution EIGE Step 6: What Comes after the GEP</p> | <p>Unlimited number of groups, 5-7 people per group</p> | <p>Face-to-face</p> | <p>117</p> |
| <p><u>WHAT I NEED FROM YOU</u></p> | <p>Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice Inquire Design Prototype Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging Sharing Best Practice Supporting Tools and Resources Leadership EIGE Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play in the Institution EIGE Step 4: Implementing a GEP</p> | <p>3-7 groups. The size of the groups is not limited</p> | <p>Face-to-face</p> | <p>121</p> |
| <p><u>THE WORLD CAFÉ</u></p> | <p>Learning and Developing Practice Creating Knowledge Design Launch Grow Community Interaction Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge EIGE Step 4: Implementing a GEP</p> | <p>Large groups (12 people or more)</p> | <p>Face-to-face</p> | <p>124</p> |

Method: 1-2-4-All

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Learning and Developing Practice |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Design |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Sharing Best Practice |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting Started |
| Group Size: | Unlimited |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | The <i>1-2-4-All</i> activity engages every individual in searching for answers. The size of the group is not important for this activity. The knowledge and imagination that exists in unknown places can be explored. |
| More Information: | www.liberatingstructures.com/1-1-2-4-all www.vimeo.com/93869810 |

7.1 1-2-4-ALL⁶

1. Short description

The *1-2-4-All* activity engages every individual in searching for answers. The size of the group is not important for this activity. The key advantage of this method is that we can explore the knowledge and imagination that exists in unknown places or which is yet unseen or undetected.

2. When to use

This method is especially helpful to generate new ideas but also to build consensus. By exchanging knowledge and information between various people more knowledge can be generated and new perspectives on an issue come up and help finding different approaches and solutions to tackle a problem.

3. How to

A: Brief explanation

This method is based on an issue that is presented in the beginning of the meeting / conference etc. Then, everyone takes some time to write his or her ideas / thoughts down. In pairs (or small groups, depending on the size of the overall group) the ideas are being shared. In this conversation they may find some mutual themes, new ideas can emerge from the conversation, or their ideas may fit together in a synergy. Each pair then joins another pair to discuss the ideas and learning in a group of four. Next, all participants return to a discussion in the whole group.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

1-2-4-All starts off by asking a question related to the presentation of a problem/issue, or a proposal/plan/project that has been suggested. There is no limit on how many groups can form part of this activity. What is important is to have enough room for all the participants to pair up. The ideas and insights of the participants can be recorded on paper. Everyone can participate and is equally expected/invited to contribute.

When starting this activity, everyone works alone. Next, they pair up, join another pair and in the end the whole group works together.

The process of the activity can be described as follows: Each participant starts out by reflecting quietly on a shared experience, challenge or task that has been presented in the beginning. This reflection is based on questions like: What ways and possibilities do YOU identify/have in mind to support making progress regarding the broached issue? What ideas do you have? The next step is to develop and discuss ideas in pairs on the basis on what each participant has been working on in the self-reflection phase. Those ideas will be shared and further developed in groups of four (two pairs). The activity finishes in asking which of the ideas that have been discussed was the most prominent one? Every group presents the idea that they

⁶ Adapted from Liberating Structures, <http://www.liberatingstructures.com/1-1-2-4-all/>.


find is the most important. This cycle can be repeated if necessary. The 1-2-4-All activity can also end in the whole group discussing the different ideas/strategies.

4. Additional ideas / information:

- If the group is very large it might help limiting the number of the ideas that are being shared and to include maybe only the top 4 choices into the discussion. On the other hand it really depends on what the users of this method want to achieve: If the goal is to get new input and as many ideas as possible a limitation would be hindering. But if the goal is to agree on something or to find a solution for one issue then a limitation can be helpful.
- Each group should share one insight. It should not be something that has already been said.
- Using an alarm could help managing the time for the different stages of this method.
- When working in the groups of four it might help to appoint a speaker (especially for presenting the results of the group work).
- Video discussing the advantages <https://vimeo.com/93869810>

5. Visualisation and template:





Method: Argument Mapping

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Creating Knowledge |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Grow |
| CoP Success Factor: | Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge Leadership Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting Started Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play |
| Group Size: | Unlimited |
| Difficulty Level: | Simple  Complex  |
| Time Needed: | Simple  Complex  |
| Facilitator Preparation: | Simple  Complex  |
| Participant Preparation: | Simple  Complex  |
| Description: | Two mapping methods are used here to help your community impart critical and analytical thinking, to see relationships between concepts, to structure thoughts, to give a clear and comprehensive overview of arguments, and to help make better decisions: For/Against (Simple) and Argument (Complex) Mapping. The common feature of these thought mapping methods is the use of diagrammatic visualisations in preference to written or verbal descriptions. |
| More Information: | www.argumentenfabriek.nl/en www.jostwald.com/ArgumentMapping/ www.wisemapping.com |

7.2 ARGUMENT MAPPING⁷

1. Short description

Thought mapping is widely used for brainstorming, note taking, document drafting, project planning and other tasks that require hierarchical structuring of information. Thought (or mind/concept) maps have a central node (the root) which represents the main topic the map is concerned about. From this root node, child-nodes branch out to describe sub-topics. However, two mapping methods are used here to help your community impart critical and analytical thinking, to see relationships between concepts, to structure thoughts, to give a clear and comprehensive overview of arguments, and to help make better decisions: For/Against (Simple) and Argument (Complex) Mapping. The common feature of these thought mapping methods is the use of diagrammatic visualisations in preference to written or verbal descriptions.

2. When to use

You can use these methods for a variety of purposes and with wide-ranging stakeholders. These methods are best used when you want to explore people's opinions and ideas about a given issue, but also by using Argument Mapping you can zoom on the reasoning behind their perceptions. These methods can be used to structure a focus group. For example, you can use these methods if you want to explore the perceptions of a particular group (or groups) of stakeholders to provide you with in-depth insights into certain issues and their unique perspectives. If you conduct the same activity among different homogenous groups of stakeholders (junior academic staff versus senior academic staff/ or versus management/leadership), you might be able to illuminate dramatically different viewpoints.

You can use these methods with a diverse group of people (mix), or with homogenous groups, such as:

- ✓ Students (under- and post-graduate)
- ✓ PhD researchers
- ✓ Post-docs, early-career researchers
- ✓ Academic staff
- ✓ Senior staff/professors
- ✓ Management and leadership (strategy, decision making)
- ✓ Admin staff
- ✓ Human Resources staff (recruitment, talent management, staff development)
- ✓ Marketing (corporate image, corporate social responsibility, ethics)
- ✓ Activists
- ✓ Policymakers
- ✓ Women's networks
- ✓ Lawyers

⁷ Adapted from Davies (2010) and Beel and Langer (2011)

How to

A: Brief explanation

Use For/Against Mapping to illuminate diverse perspectives from your key stakeholders and how they perceive a specific issue:

***What** do people think/observe/feel/perceive to be the pros and cons of an issue, etc.?*

Use Argument Mapping to explicate the inferential structure of arguments, i.e. what the inferences between people's arguments are:

***Why** do people think/observe/feel/perceive an issue in this way? **How** do they make sense of it?*

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

7.2.1 FOR/AGAINST MAPPING (SIMPLE)

This type of mapping allows gathering various considerations on a specific issue and it takes the form of a simple advantage/disadvantage contrast. This way of structuring of an issue is clear and can comprehensively capture the perceptions of different stakeholders.

- Decide on the central, root issue you want to explore.
- If the issue is complex, distribute the central question to the participants before the event and let them prepare.
- The idea is to initially provide as many arguments for and against a certain goal or key issue, e.g. "more women at senior levels in HE". You can further structure the arguments into categories, such as those listed in PESTEL (political, economic, social, technological, environmental, legal), or other ones such as, cultural, managerial, business-case, emancipatory, etc. Alternatively, the categories can only reflect the character of the stakeholders, i.e. early-career researcher, policymakers, professors, etc.
- You could also further develop the mapping beyond the For/Against and introduce the SWOT factors (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats). This will result in a much complex and nuanced end product.
- In the next round link the arguments to evidence (if existing) to prove this point which can then be fed back and discussed. We recommend to organise this activity in several rounds with different stakeholder groups (e.g. top management, women's networks or other diversity advocates, HR, lawyers, etc.), building different but related maps of pros/cons for individuals, teams, organisations, and wider society (if public policy needs to be influenced).
- Breadth vs. Depth: Invite either a wide-ranging group of stakeholders to provide you with rich perspectives in one session (exploration of the breadth), or invite only one particular group of stakeholders in multiple independent sessions (exploration of the depth). The latter version will allow you to compare the depth sessions in-between the groups later on.

- Use any method contained in this toolkit that suits you, your participants, and your context best to give your session a framework. You can use the Focus Group format, 1-2-4-All, Brainstorming, the Fish Bowl, or the World Café. Elicit your for and against arguments according to the rules of these methods.
- Collect the outputs either during the session on the flipchart or project them from your laptop, or ask someone to prepare comprehensive notes and then convert them into visual representation of the group outputs and distribute post event.



Figure 7. Argument Map “Shale Gas Production” by Argumentenfabriek (2012)

- See examples: www.argumentenfabriek.nl/en.
- Use free software www.wisemapping.com to visualise your results for dissemination and decision making. This website allows you to trial the software log-in free, and you can also use it fully for free.

7.2.2 ARGUMENT MAPPING (COMPLEX)⁸

The end result of this type of mapping is a spatial representation of arguments that allows us to visualise their logical structure. Such maps help to clearly see how each part of an argument relates to every other part: how a main conclusion is supported by reasons, which in turn are supported by their own reasons, which in turn are supported by their own reasons, and so on (see example below).

Argument maps illustrate this logical structure in box-and-arrow form. Once arguments are translated into map form, you can identify unstated assumptions, recognise invalid claims, and assess the truthfulness of conclusions.

You could use this method independently, or as a **follow up** of the **For/Against Mapping** to dissect certain problematic arguments and flesh out the reasoning behind them with the specific group of stakeholders. This will allow you to critically and analytically illuminate the reasons behind certain beliefs, fears, stereotypes, etc. This in turn will enable you to demonstrate unreasonable/illogical/uncritical thinking behind certain arguments and claims.

⁸ Adapted from Ostwald (2007).

Definitions of key terms:

Argument

It is a claim and reason(s) to believe that the claim is true.

Conclusion

A belief, the main point and argument is trying to prove, e.g. “women should be promoted to top positions”.

Reason

Evidence in support of the conclusion, e.g. “women are underrepresented in top positions”

Co-premise

Is the subset of reason and every reason has at least two co-premises. Each co-premise must be true for the reason to support the clam.

Objection


A “reason” that a claim is false, evidence against a claim.

Rebuttal

An objection to an objection.

The Syntax of an Argument Map

Figure 8. The syntax of Argument Mapping - the basics (Ostwald 2007). Reproduced with the author's permission.




There are certain **rules** that have to be followed to enable you to conduct Argument Mapping consistently and clearly:


- I. Each box contains only one declarative sentence and should be declaring something, taking a position (true or false). For example, “organisations should adopt women in top managerial positions”, or “women are overruled by emotions at work”.




- II. Each box should only contain a single claim and no reasoning. The reasoning should be included in a separate box linked to the claim with an arrow.




- III. Each box can only have two main terms, so that each box can be either true or false.



- IV. All reasons for claims must answer the assertability question, i.e. “How do we know that [insert claim] is true?”
- V. Within each reason, a term stated in one co-premise must be mentioned in one of the other co-premises in that same reason (if it is not in the claim above it – see the Rabbit Rule below). In this way the terms are Holding Hands within a single reason.



- VI. The last rule is the Rabbit Rule (“You can’t pull a rabbit out of a hat”), which is applied vertically, between a claim and each of its reasons. It is also combined with the Holding Hands rule. Use these two rules for each simple argument to ensure that every term mentioned in each box is found in one of the others.



4. Additional ideas / information

More resources and further examples and explanations are provided by Jamel Ostwald (www.jostwald.com/ArgumentMapping/).

5. Example:




Figure 9. Smoking is bad for you (Ostwald 2007). Reproduced with the author’s permission.



Method: Brainstorming

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Learning and Developing Practice |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Design |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Sharing Best Practice Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting Started Step 6: What Comes After the GEP |
| Group Size: | 3-6 people per group |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | Brainstorming sessions can take many different forms, however here we adopt a method to use standardised templates to be filled out by participants answering one or several rounds to given challenges. Facilitators have the option to then lead the grouping of ideas, as a second step (see Mature Your Ideas). |
| More Information: | www.mind-lab.dk www.betterevaluation.org/en/evaluation-options/roundrobin |

7.3 BRAINSTORMING⁹

1. Short Description

Brainstorming sessions can take many different forms, however here we adopt a method to use standardised templates to be filled out by participants answering one or several rounds to given challenges. Facilitators have the option to then lead the grouping of ideas, as a second step (see [Mature Your Ideas](#)).

With these two types of brainstorming activities, you will systematically, simply and effectively transform questions and challenges into ideas. Here, there are examples of two different approaches: the open and the closed brainstorming. In both options, you can benefit from putting together a team with different professional competencies to get as many different ideas as possible.

2. When to use this method?

You can use this method when you want to not only share knowledge about a subject but also deepen it with specific ideas. Brainstorming facilitates a relaxed environment that inspires everyone to participate. You should encourage even eccentric ideas so that they can be further developed by others, and all participants should be stimulated to contribute as much as possible. This will help you to co-create a comprehensive set of solutions and ideas.

When used during problem solving, brainstorming brings the participants' diverse experiences together. It increases the diversity of explored ideas, so that better solutions to the problems can be found. Brainstorming can help participants to bond, as they are part of a team collaborating in a safe environment.

3. How to use this method?

A. Brief explanation

There are two types of Brainstorm suggested in this toolkit: open and closed. The open method elicits ideas from a group verbally and openly. The closed one allows the more introverted, quieter or shyer individuals to equally participate, as the brainstorm is done in silence.

B. Detailed step-by-step guide

7.3.1 THE OPEN BRAINSTORM

- Break a large group of people to smaller groups of 3-6 people and present them with a development question for idea generation. The challenge is either **pre-defined** (you already have it) or **to be defined** together with the participants (you don't have it yet and need to brainstorm what the problem/challenge is). The development question should be written on a post-it note and put in the middle of the poster (template provided).

⁹ Text and templates adapted from www.mind-lab.dk

- The group generates ideas on the question for about five minutes and then places eight ideas in the areas around the challenge. The ideas should be written on post-it notes in a different colour to the development question.
- This brainstorm is adaptable online. Instead of physical sheets and post-it notes, you could create a shared document which the participants can contribute to. Alternatively, the facilitator collects all ideas either verbally one-by-one or asks the participants to send them a message via the online conference/meeting software to collect contributions. If the issue is controversial, this may encourage honesty and ideas even from the more introvert or shy personalities. Depending on the software you use for online meetings, there might be co-creation options facilitating co-design in real time, such as Adobe Connect.


 ADAPTABLE
ONLINE

7.3.2 THE CLOSED BRAINSTORM¹⁰

This variant of brainstorming is effective for generating and developing ideas whilst relying on an iterative process of building on the consecutive contributions of each person. This brainstorm is not adaptable online, as the template sheets changes hands throughout the activity. This type of brainstorming is based on the “round robin” brainstorming and has the benefit of eliciting ideas from participants that tend to remain silent. It provides each person an equal chance to voice their thoughts to suggest their ideas without any pressure.

- Write a development question on a post-it note and stick onto each sheet and give each participant post-it notes in a colour different to the central question.
- Place the participants around a table so that they can exchange the template sheet with their neighbour.
- Select one participant to start off and write solutions or ideas down on their post-it notes (in silence) and then to place them on the column of round 1.
- Once they finished, the person sitting on the left builds on this idea by offering a developed contribution to this same idea. Working clockwise around the circle, each participant writes a single idea - ideally one which has not yet been mentioned - until a full circle has been completed – this is round 1.
- Round 2 starts just like Round 1. Select one participant to start off a brand new idea, which is then built upon by the next participant going clockwise. You could conduct as many or as few rounds as you wish, rather than three. It depends on how much time is available.
- As the ideas are added, the amount of time has been increased, because it will be more difficult to continue coming up with new ideas.
- The timings here are optional, and with three rounds in total it will take 36 minutes to conduct this closed brainstorm. If you have a more complex question or issue, it is possible to increase the timings accordingly, for example, 2 min x 2, 4 min x 2, 6 min x 2. If you have less time, and

¹⁰ Adapted from <https://www.betterevaluation.org/en/evaluation-options/roundrobin> and www.mind-lab.dk.

the question is simple, you can also reduce the time available. It all depends on the time you have, and the difficulty level of the problem.

4. Additional ideas / information

If the group is particularly large for the closed brainstorm, the participants who have already written and fixed their ideas on the post-it notes onto the template sheet will have nothing to do, and might get bored or start chatting. To minimise this, break the group into smaller groups of 4-6 people. The idea is that the more groups you have, the more participants are contributing at the same time, and the other participants do not wait too long for the conclusion of the activity.

5. Templates

The brainstorming templates are most effective printed on A3-sized paper so that standard square post-it notes fit into the grid.



**DEVELOPMENT
QUESTION**
(post-it note)



| DEVELOPMENT QUESTION (post-it note) | ROUND 1 | ROUND 2 | ROUND 3 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| PERSON 1 (1 min) | | | |
| PERSON 2 (1 min) | | | |
| PERSON 3 (2 min) | | | |
| PERSON 4 (2 min) | | | |
| PERSON 5 (3 min) | | | |
| PERSON 6 (3 min) | | | |

Method: Critical Uncertainties

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Taking Action as a Community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Design Prototype Sustain |
| CoP Success Factor: | Leadership Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting Started Step 3: Setting up a GEP Step 4: Implementing a GEP Step 6: What Comes After the GEP |
| Group Size: | 4 groups with 4-6 people each |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | Critical Uncertainties can help a group to see if they have critically considered their course of action. This will enable them to later develop a strategy. This activity is about being able to imagine the best and worst scenarios of an activity and to think about how to best respond to unforeseen events and changes. |
| More Information: | www.liberatingstructures.com/30-critical-uncertainties/ |

7.4 CRITICAL UNCERTAINTIES (THEORY OF CHANGE)¹¹

1. Short description

Critical Uncertainties is a method based on the theory of change that can help a diverse group of people to see if they have critically considered their course of action. It also helps to develop a better response to challenges that might wait in the future. This will enable your group of people to later develop a strategy. The aim of this activity is not to create an implementation plan. It is about being able to imagine the best and worst scenarios of your activity and help you to think how to best respond to unforeseen events and changes. This includes being able to see the different ways in which future can evolve, managing expectations and accepting that there might be different scenarios of your actions.

2. When to use

Critical Uncertainties helps to see if current thinking is viable, practical and above all critical. This is being facilitated when uncertainties are defined and recognised. The overall goal is to make sure that everyone is able to adapt in the case of a rising uncertainty, as well as being able to prioritise. Finally, this method should help to build up confidence in managing unanticipated future.

3. How to

A: Brief explanation

The participants of this activity think of the most fatal uncertainty they might face or are actually already facing in their organisation or project team, which might prevent them to work successfully and reaching their goals. In small groups, strategies are being developed to help to avoid those uncertainties or to prepare some coping strategies and mechanisms. If the issue is complex, distribute the central question to the participants before the event and let them prepare.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

1. Divide all your participants into 4 groups. Each group receives a printed temple (ideally A3 format), or a blank flip-chart paper sheet, post-its, flipchart stands, etc. All the participants take responsibility for planning a strategy and everyone has the chance to contribute.
2. All of the participants (all together not in the groups) are asked to identify and describe the **two** most critical uncertainties in their organisation, project, or plan. The question asked in this context could be:

In your project, what factors seem to be difficult to foresee or control?

Ensure that the selected factors are critical and uncertain. For example, early career researchers could decide that one of the most critical uncertainty facing their career is the **quantity** of research projects and the resultant publications they will be able to take part in, given their busy schedule teaching and supervising their students:

¹¹ Adapted from Liberating Structures: <http://www.liberatingstructures.com/30-critical-uncertainties/>.

Critical Uncertainty 1: The quantity of research publications.

This uncertainty is critical because, scientific career relies on rich publishing. However, the second critical uncertainty is the **quality** of the publications. It is crucial for their career that the outputs are published in well-regarded journals:

Critical Uncertainty 2: The quality of research publications.

3. Then a grid with two axes, X and Y, with a “more of” and “less of” continuum for each of the factors on each axis is being established for **both the critical uncertainties**. In doing so, four quadrants will originate (see Example Template). For example:

Weak Publications (low quality) versus Strong Publications (high quality)

and


Pipeline Trickling (low quantity) versus Pipeline Gushing (high quantity)


4. Each group names one of the four quadrants and writes a short sketch/story/scenario about it and comes up with a catchy name (see the blue circles in the example template). After that, the four groups present their scenarios to the rest of the participants.
5. Each of the groups then thinks of three strategies that could be used in the one scenario they have been working on (see green speech bubbles in the example template). Those strategies are then shared with everyone on a flipchart or sheets fixed to the wall with blue tack.
6. At the end the whole group discusses and identifies which strategies are the most practical and effective ones and which probably will not lead to success. Pooling all of the different scenarios in the end enables everyone to learn about possible strategies in different situations.

4. Additional ideas/ information

- When thinking about the different uncertainties, it helps to remind the group of moments/events/scenarios that they didn't expect and moments in which they weren't prepared.
- Naming the quadrants can be a fun thing, e.g. using movie or book titles etc.

5. Example Template and Blank Template







Method: Fish Bowl

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Creating Knowledge Learning and Developing Practice |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Grow Sustain |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Learning Leadership Sharing Best Practice Illustrating Results and Performance |
| EIGE Step: | Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play Step 4: Implementing a GEP Step 5: Monitoring Progress and Evaluating a GEP |
| Group Size: | Unlimited |
| Difficulty Level: | |
| Time Needed: | |
| Facilitator Preparation: | |
| Participant Preparation: | |
| Description: | Fish Bowl method is a dynamic alternative to classic discussion formats such as panel discussions as everyone can participate rather than only an exclusive group of people. It includes small rounds of talks in major events and brings vitality as well as spontaneity in conventional activity and event formats. |
| More Information: | www.partizipation.at/fishbowl-en.html www.debonogroup.com/six_thinking_hats.php |

7.5 FISH BOWL¹²

1. Short description

Fish Bowl method is a dynamic alternative to classic discussion formats such as panel discussions as everyone can participate rather than only an exclusive group of people. It includes small rounds of talks in major events and brings vitality as well as spontaneity in conventional activity and event formats.


2. When to use

Fish Bowl is a helpful and supportive method for the presentation of group results and to dispute questions and provocations, as well as open discussion processes. Partial interests can be represented not only in small but also in large groups. Everyone can participate and include their arguments, questions and suggestions.

3. How to

A: Brief explanation

Fish Bowl consists of two circles: The inner circle consists of 4 to 6 people (depending on the overall size of the group) and the outer circle contains the observers. Usually there should be more observers than the participants in the “fish bowl” One chair in the inner circle remains free and everyone from the outer circle can join. If someone of the inner circle doesn’t want to participate anymore, one is free to leave the inner circle. Only people in the inner circle are allowed to talk whereas the rest of the group listens and can participate by moving into the inner circle.



B: Detailed step-by-step guide

- If the issue is complex, distribute the central question(s) to the participants before the event and let them prepare.
- Participants sit in a small circle of chairs (in the “fish bowl”) in the middle of a larger circle of chairs with the observers. Only the “fish in the bowl” are allowed to speak in the form of a discussion. People from the outer circle listen but can join the inner circle at any time and join in the discussion.
- There are two variants here: 1) a chair is kept free for such cases, or 2) one stands behind a chair and signals to those in the inner circle that one person has to free their place, which leads to a continuous change without disturbing the flow of discussion. This dynamic prevents a stagnation of the discussion.
- The facilitator moderates the discussion and if they feel necessary or fruitful, they can guide the flow of the discussion by suggesting also their own point of view. However, we

¹² Adapted from Participation & Sustainable Development in Europe, <https://www.partizipation.at/fishbowl-en.html>.

would recommend that the facilitator stays outside both circles to keep the circle dynamics stable.

- *Fish Bowl* does not have a specific ending point. It might help to define a timeframe (e.g. 45 minutes for discussion). *Fish Bowl* can end by asking for feedback from the outer circle on what has been discussed in the inner circle (this can be done verbally or by using a feedback form).
- It is also helpful if someone keeps the minutes of the discussion in order to wrap this method up with a short summary. This can be then circulated to the participants.

4. Additional ideas / information

- The question for discussion should be so open that it can lead in different directions. It might be helpful to phrase the topic as a provocation or provocative statement (causing a strong reaction) in order to start the discussion.
- You could send your central question/focus to the participants beforehand to allow them to think of different arguments and ideas, but this is not necessary.
- The discussion can be followed by a feedback round. With the help of a questionnaire or freely in the round, feedback on the course and the results of the discussion can now be presented.
- To make the Fish Bowl even more creative it could be implemented in the form of a theatre play and to assign each seat a different role to include various perspectives. The role of the seat stays the same even when someone else is taking the seat. This person then needs to adapt this role / position. Role examples: The Optimist, The Pessimist, The Devil's Advocate, The Emotion, The Reason, The Fact, etc.
- You could also get inspiration from Edward De Bono's Six Thinking Hats¹³. You will then need to have a big enough group to have 6 chairs (hats) and enough observers to create a good rotation of ideas:
 - ✚ The White Hat calls for information known or needed. "The facts, just the facts."
 - ✚ The Yellow Hat symbolises brightness and optimism. Under this hat you explore the positives and probe for value and benefit.
 - ✚ The Black Hat is judgment - the devil's advocate or why something may not work. Spot the difficulties and dangers; where things might go wrong. Probably the most powerful and useful of the Hats but a problem if overused.
 - ✚ The Red Hat signifies feelings, hunches and intuition. When using this hat you can express emotions and feelings and share fears, likes, dislikes, loves, and hates.
 - ✚ The Green Hat focuses on creativity; the possibilities, alternatives, and new ideas. It's an opportunity to express new concepts and new perceptions.
 - ✚ The Blue Hat is used to manage the thinking process. It's the control mechanism that ensures the Six Thinking Hats® guidelines are observed.
- To adapt it to online communication, ask the participants to have a post-it note ready with the word "fishbowl" written on it, or an image of fish. Invite 3-5 volunteers to "join" the fishbowl, while the rest of the participants stay silent and

ADAPTABLE
ONLINE

¹³ http://www.debonogroup.com/six_thinking_hats.php

listen as the observers. The participants within the fishbowl stick their post-its somewhere visible. Make sure that everyone within the fishbowl contributes to the discussion, and when the discussion begins to die down, invite one of the observers to join the fishbowl, and one volunteer to leave the fishbowl. The person who joins needs to stick their post-it note somewhere visible to the rest now to indicate that they are within the fishbowl. The person who leaves the fishbowl needs to remove his or hers post-it.

This may not happen as spontaneously as face-to-face, however, facilitated well, it can prove to be a productive way to encourage the participants to speak. This activity becomes more effective with practice.



Method: Five-Minute Favour

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Design Prototype |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging Sharing Best Practice Learning |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting started |
| Group Size: | Unlimited |
| Difficulty Level: | |
| Time Needed: | |
| Facilitator Preparation: | |
| Participant Preparation: | |
| Description: | This quick activity will allow people to find ways of giving to others that don't demand enormous acts of sacrifice. Bringing community members together to help each other has the potential to enrich their abilities to achieve their goals while building a stronger sense of team spirit and community. These favours can broaden and deepen your community's relationships, and inject greater meaning and satisfaction into the project. |
| More Information: | www.adamgrant.net |

7.6 FIVE-MINUTE FAVOUR¹⁴

1. Short description

One of the ways to build a strong community is to add high value to others' lives at a low personal cost. Doing one *five-minute favour* every day for someone can instil in us a habit that benefits you more than just the beneficiary. It can challenge people's assumption that they have to choose between helping others and their own success. This quick activity will allow people to find ways of giving to others that don't demand enormous acts of sacrifice. Bringing community members together to help each other has the potential to enrich their abilities to achieve their goals while building a stronger sense of team spirit and community. These favours can broaden and deepen your community's relationships, and inject greater meaning and satisfaction into the project.

2. When to use

This method can be used in the beginning or throughout a project, task or other form of collaboration. You can use this activity officially during one of your online webinars or face-to-face sessions, but encourage your community to practice this activity every day when possible to progress your project. You can ask the participants before the event to think what they need help with, so they come up with useful, specific, and clear ideas for favours.

1. How to

A: Brief explanation

The Five-Minute Favour allows participants to ask for one five-favour and invite volunteers to help them achieve their goal or solve their problems.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

Arrange your group in a circle and ask them to take turns to express what they need help with, e.g. "I have a difficulty in finding quality resources about gender budgeting", or "I need someone to retweet my community's recent achievement". Other ideas can concern the following:

- Sharing of knowledge, best practice
- Introducing to an individual who might help
- Providing constructive feedback
- Providing a relevant reference for a person, project, or plan
- Sharing, commenting or retweeting something on social media
- Writing a short, specific laudatory note to recognise or recommend someone on social media

This activity can also be adapted to online communication. Instead of asking the favours face-to-face this can easily be done online.

ADAPTABLE
ONLINE

¹⁴ Adapted from Grant (2013) and Anderson (2013):
<https://www.forbes.com/sites/kareanderson/2013/07/17/pay-it-forward-with-the-five-minute-favor/#776e88f76f5d>



Method: Focus Groups

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice Creating Knowledge |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire |
| CoP Success Factor: | Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge Learning |
| EIGE Step: | Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play Step 4: Implementing a GEP Step 5: Monitoring Progress and Evaluating a GEP |
| Group Size: | 4-12 |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | A Focus Group is a planned discussion in a small group (four up to twelve people) of stakeholders moderated by a facilitator. Its aim is to obtain information about people’s opinions, preferences and values relating to a topic and prompting why these are held. This is accomplished by monitoring the structured discussion of a group in a non-judgemental, non-threatening environment. |
| More Information: | Slocum 2003 |

7.7 FOCUS GROUPS¹⁵

1. Short Description

A *Focus Group* is a planned discussion in a small group (four up to twelve people) of stakeholders moderated by a facilitator. Its aim is to obtain information about people's opinions, preferences and values relating to a topic and prompting why these are held. This is accomplished by monitoring the structured discussion of a group in a non-judgemental, non-threatening environment (Slocum 2003).

2. When to use this method?

You should use *Focus Groups* when you want to:

- ✓ explore the nature and strength of stakeholders' concerns and values about the issues
- ✓ get a snapshot of public opinion when time constraints or finances do not allow a full review or survey
- ✓ obtain input from individuals as well as interest groups
- ✓ get detailed reaction and input from a stakeholder or client group to preliminary proposals or options
- ✓ collect information on the needs of stakeholders surrounding an issue or concept
- ✓ determine what additional information or modification may be needed to develop consultation issues or proposals further.

3. How to use this method?

A. Brief explanation

First, invite two stakeholders (peers, etc.) to determine the questions explored by the focus group. Next, select the participants and invite them well in advance. Distribute the central question(s) to the participants before the event and let them prepare. During the event (usually lasting 2-3 hours), you will moderate the group discussion through a semi-structured list of questions to elicit the views of all of the participants. Next, summarise all of the main findings and perspectives provided by the participants. Afterwards, the summaries are analysed and a report is produced.

B. Detailed step-by-step guide

- Organise personnel and administrative tasks, such as preparing and sending materials.
- Define concepts to investigate during the session and then generate questions to the participants.
- Define the informed consent procedures of the focus group and prepare the templates of informed consent and information sheets that will be sent to participants when contacting them and signed prior to their participation.
- Select an appropriate location, plan and schedule the sessions.
- Invite the participants.
- Ask someone to take notes (if you can't record the session) or help you to moderate if the group is large.

¹⁵ Taken from Slocum, N. (2003). *Participatory methods toolkit. A practitioner's manual*.

- Prepare copies of materials and identify small talk topics for icebreaking.
- Prepare audio equipment.
- Begin taping the session, welcome the group, introduce yourself and provide the background information and an overview of the topic. Encourage the participants to give voice to their opinions and that the researchers are there to learn from these outputs.
- Explain how the results of the session will be used and what form the data will take.
- Outline the ground rules: one person speaks at a time; the session is being recorded to ensure that all comments are noted; no specific names will be used in the final report; all points of view are important to the discussion. Think of your own specific context and relevant ground rules worth enforcing.
- Ask a warm-up question for everyone to answer.
- Ask the introduction question and then move to the other questions as planned.
- During the discussion, use a flipchart to illustrate the ideas expressed if you feel necessary or helpful.
- Encourage all participants to express their views, for example by asking, ‘Does anyone have a different opinion?’ Too dominant participants and those who talk excessively should be reined in to give others opportunity. You may suggest that all participants initially write down a few thoughts in response to a question before the group discusses it together.
- Summarise the main points of view and then asks if the summary is accurate or if anything was missed. Answer any final questions about the focus group work.

4. Additional ideas / information

- Make sure that all personal data collection and processing regarding the activity is carried out according to EU and national legislation and institutional rules and guidelines.
- This activity is adaptable online. Recording the session can be done with your mobile phone, or the integral option available via the online communication tool you are connecting through. As with the face-to-face version, you need to get approval for recording. A flipchart can be positioned within the reach of the camera, or you could explore the available options for co-creation within the online platform you are using. Any support materials can be distributed online in advance to facilitate the session.





Method: Four Quadrants

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Building relationships |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Grow |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting started |
| Group Size: | Up to 30 |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | This method is a great way to introduce group members and to break the ice in a group. It is a popular team-building method. It is about answering four questions that can be personal or professional. It is recommended that you use a mix of personal and professional questions to keep the activity fun, but focused at the same time. The template includes four examples; however, feel free to introduce your own labels that are more suitable to your community's needs. |
| More Information: | www.sessionlab.com/methods/break-the-ice-with-the-four-quadrants-activity |

7.8 FOUR QUADRANTS¹⁶

1. Short description

This method is a great way to introduce group members and to break the ice in a group. It is a popular team-building method. It is about answering four questions that can be either personal or professional and allows group members to get an idea of each other. It is recommended that you use a mix of both personal and professional questions to keep the activity fun, but focused at the same time. The template includes the following: *My Hobby*, *My Vision for the project*; *What I Bring to the Project*; *What I Need from the Group*. However, feel free to introduce your own labels that are more suitable to your community's needs.

2. When to use

This method can be used in the beginning of a project, task or other forms of collaboration. By breaking the ice through this method and making group members familiar with each other, the foundation is laid for a good and successful cooperation.

3. How to

A: Brief explanation

This method is based on everybody answering the same four questions. Each participant divides his or her paper into four quadrants and writes the answer to one question in each of those quadrants. After a certain amount of time of individual work, the participants regroup and present their 4 quadrants and their answers.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

- Firstly, the facilitator needs to present the possible questions for this activity. Other questions could be as follows. You can let your participants prepare some ideas in advance of the event:
 - My happiest moment
 - My biggest challenge in this project
 - My wildest dream about this project
 - My most valuable skill for this project
 - My most remarkable, defining moment in my life
 - My weakness/strength in this project
- There is no limit on how many people can form part of this activity. Subdividing the whole group can be helpful if you are working with a large group.
- Everyone is included and has equal opportunities to contribute.
- In the beginning everyone works alone and answers four questions. For this, each participant gets a piece of paper or chart and divides it in four quadrants. Then the participants write down the answer to the four questions in one quadrant each.

¹⁶ Adapted from SessionLab, <https://www.sessionlab.com/methods/break-the-ice-with-the-four-quadrants-activity> (public user content).

- After a couple of minutes each person shares his or her answers with the whole group. If you have more time you can invite questions from the other participants about each quadrant.

4. Additional ideas / information

- Participants can be very creative in the way they illustrate their answers. They don't have to write it down but can also draw something, use pipe cleaners to create shapes, Lego blocks, etc.
- This activity is adaptable online. You can distribute the template via email in advance for the participants to print out. The participants present their creations to the web camera – verbally, supported with drawings, or other creations, etc. It is possible that your online communication tool has an option to create a drawing/writing note in real time to share with the other participants.



5. Template



MY HOBBY

MY VISION FOR THE PROJECT

WHAT I BRING TO THE PROJECT

WHAT I NEED FROM THE GROUP

Method: **Future Workshop**

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Learning and Developing practice Taking Action as a Community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Design Prototype |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging Leadership Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting started Step 3: Setting up a GEP Step 6: What comes after the GEP |
| Group Size: | 5-20 |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | The aim of <i>Future Workshop</i> is for all participants to design their desired future without any objections or restrictions. The aim of this activity is two-fold: first, to design your desired future, and second, to develop unconventional and imaginative solutions to an issue or a problem. Overall, <i>Future Workshop</i> works with an atmosphere that promotes creativity and visionary thinking. |
| More Information: | www.partizipation.at/future-workshop.html www.medialabamsterdam.com/toolkit/method-card/future-workshop/ www.die-bonn.de/esprid/dokumente/doc-2004/apel04_02.pdf |

7.9 FUTURE WORKSHOP¹⁷

1. Short description

The aim of *Future Workshop* is for all participants to design their desired future without any objections or restrictions from experts or the organisation or leading personalities. The aim of this activity is two-fold: first, to design your desired future, and second, to develop unconventional and imaginative solutions to an issue or a problem. Overall, *Future Workshop* works with an atmosphere that promotes creativity and visionary thinking.

2. When to use

Future Workshop is helpful to use when new ideas need to be developed and visions need to be explored. Furthermore, it leads to gaining new perspectives as well as a clear view of future developments and possibilities for oneself and the organisation. It is also suitable if creative and visionary solutions for a problem are needed or if new perspectives and visions for the future development of the organisation are wanted.

3. How to

A: Brief explanation

Future Workshop consists of three main phases:

Phase 1: **Criticism phase** – in this phase the current situation is being analysed and problems are being identified.

Phase 2: **Vision phase** – ideas and suggestions are being developed (the initial ideas do not have to be realistic and can be visionary or utopian). Possible obstacles are being ignored at this stage. Participants can think big, everything is possible and there is no right or wrong.

Phase 3: **Implementation phase** – the suggestions are being structured and now it is being evaluated if they are realistic and viable. In this phase the participants are reaching an agreement on how to proceed from there.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

- A concept needs to be defined that will be the main objective of the workshop. You could let the participants have the issues/questions to be worked in before the event.
- To execute *Future Workshop* a moderator has to be selected. The moderator will explain the topic of the session as well as the aim.
- The participants are asked to reflect on the status quo and to write down their points of critique.

¹⁷ Adapted from Participation & Sustainable Development in Europe, <https://www.partizipation.at/future-workshop.html>; mediaLABamsterdam, <https://medialabamsterdam.com/toolkit/method-card/future-workshop/>; and Apel 2004, https://www.die-bonn.de/esprid/dokumente/doc-2004/apel04_02.pdf.

- Depending on the group dynamic or the overall mood it might be better to start with the vision phase instead of the criticism phase to not demotivate participants right from the start.
- The participants are encouraged to envision their desired future or solutions. The question they can ask themselves is “what would the ideal future look like?”. The visions, ideas and approaches to solving the problem don’t have to be realistic at this point; there are no barriers or limits.
- The generated ideas are being tested if they are feasible. Barriers and obstacles of those ideas should be written down so that their overcoming can be discussed.
- An implementation plan is being developed based on the ideas, visions and approaches that were generated in the process.
- The development of the implementation should be monitored.

4. Additional ideas / information

- Active and honest participation is essential for the success of the *Future Workshop*. If participation can’t be encouraged the whole session might be unsuccessful.
- The duration of the method can be shortened if participants know well about the status quo (criticism phase).
- It might help the dynamic of this method to start with the vision phase instead of the criticism phase to not lose and demotivate people right from the start.
- Creating a creative and non-judgemental atmosphere is crucial for the generation of new solutions and needs to be taken into account when preparing the room, selecting the moderator, etc.
- Future workshop is a method that can be designed in very creative ways. When presenting their results, participants can be asked to do that in the form of a poem, sculpture, drawing, sketch or by using modelling clay etc.
- *Future Workshop* is an action-oriented method. The overall goal should not only be the creation and generation of new ideas but the implementation of those as well.
- It helps to work with future-related questions (ideally connected with emotions), e.g. *imagine you established a successful and prospering CoP.*

5. Template



CRITICISM

Empty space for writing under the CRITICISM header.

FANTASY

Empty space for writing under the FANTASY header.

IMPLEMENTATION

Empty space for writing under the IMPLEMENTATION header.

Method: Heart, Hand, Mind

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Building Relationships |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting started |
| Group Size: | 5-15 |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | This activity aims at examining an issue / problem from many different angles and standpoints. <i>Heart, Hand, Mind</i> helps to recognise that both our intellect AND our emotions are what makes projects, activities and experiences appealing to us. This tool uses the three separate lenses of the heart, the head and the mind to inspire reflection of how each could impact an issue, plan or project. |
| More Information: | www.gamestorming.com/heart-hand-mind/ |

7.10 HEART, HAND, MIND¹⁸

1. Short description

This activity aims at examining an issue / problem from many different angles and standpoints. *Heart, Hand, Mind* helps to recognise that both our intellect AND our emotions are what makes projects, activities and experiences appealing to us. This tool uses the three separate lenses of the heart, the head and the mind to inspire reflection of how each could impact an issue, plan or project.

2. When to use

This activity is especially helpful if various standpoints collide and are incompatible. *Heart, Hand and Mind* facilitates the swap of point of views and therefore helps to understand the opinion, perspective or argument of others better.

3. How to

A: Brief description

By using the three glasses “heart, hand and mind” an issue or project is being seen not only as it is but in its different components. Looking at an issue like this also helps to change perspective on precisely that.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

1. Look at an issue, project, idea, or procedures using these three “glasses”:

Heart: Why is this topic/task/project etc. emotionally engaging?

Hand: What is it that makes it substantial and practical?

Mind: What are the reasons that it is logical and sensible?

2. Make a list of the characteristics or features that appeal to each one of the “glasses”.

3. Rate the categories with points from 1 to 10 in order to evaluate strengths and weaknesses.

4. Additional ideas / information

- Another way of using these three aspects could be to
 - 1) establish rapport and seek empathy with your listener (heart);
 - 2) appeal to your listener's desire for evidence (head); and
 - 3) ask your listener to take action (hand).
- It is important to start with having a goal in mind and to apply “heart, hand and mind” on it.

¹⁸ Adapted from: <https://gamestorming.com/heart-hand-mind/>.

- Inspire the participants with the following quote:

“It’s impossible, said Pride. It’s risky, said Experience. It’s pointless, said Reason. Give it a try, whispered the Heart.” – Anonymous

5. Example and Template¹⁹

After developing your ideas, **evaluate each argument** and **score** its strengths and weaknesses on the scale 1-10.

¹⁹ Adapted from:

<https://www.tamarackcommunity.ca/hubfs/Resources/Tools/The%20Heart,%20Hand%20Mind%20Tool%20&%20Worksheet.pdf?hsCtaTracking=af9808cc-45e7-478d-8a47-44394d1d1fca%7C3a571954-8cbc-488b-86e4-84c2fa1a1af9>

HEART*What makes it emotionally engaging?*

Gender equality is a must for social justice and creating equal opportunities for all. It is the right thing to do.

HAND*What makes it tangible and practical?*

Half of the national population is female, so it is possible to have an equal representation of women in the society. Also, women do better academically than men, so they are well-qualified to achieve what they aspire to.

MIND*What makes it logical and sensible?*

There are talent shortages in the knowledge economy, so work organisations cannot afford to lose valuable female talent and should actively attract female candidates to work for them.



HEART

What makes it emotionally engaging?

HAND

What makes it tangible and practical?

MIND

What makes it logical and sensible?



Method: Interviews

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire |
| CoP Success Factor: | Sharing Best Practice Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge Learning |
| EIGE Step: | Step 2: Analysing and assessing the state-of-play in the institution Step 5: Monitoring progress and Evaluating a GEP |
| Group Size: | 5-8 key informants |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | One of the best ways to understand how stakeholders make sense of current situations or topics is by talking directly with them and asking about their specific experiences. The stakeholders' knowledge is an important prerequisite for developing concrete ideas for new initiatives or improvements. |
| More Information: | www.mind-lab.dk |

7.11 INTERVIEWS

1. Short Description

One of the best ways to understand how stakeholders make sense of current situations or topics is by talking directly with them and asking about their specific experiences. The stakeholders' knowledge is an important prerequisite for developing concrete ideas for new initiatives or improvements.

2. When to use this method?

An interview can be used in several stages of your plan implementation: during start-up to zoom in on the project's focus, in the inquire phase to increase your knowledge about the stakeholders' experience and understanding of a given situation, and later to test a response to the developed concepts, ideas and prototypes.²⁰

3. How to use this method?

A. Brief explanation

The most effective interview approach outside of a research project situation is most probably a semi-structured interview, which allows the investigator to gently guide the flow of the dialogue, and at the same time allow the participants to provide their own perspective and even digress to explore the unexpected.

B. Detailed Step-by-step guide

- Select 5-8 people from your target audience. To get a full understanding of the field you are studying, it is important that you interview people with different experiences. For example, it may be how legislation is experienced differently depending on the size of the institutions affected by it.
- Define the informed consent procedures of the interviews and prepare the templates of informed consent and information sheets that will be sent to interviewees at the moment of contacting them.
- Get in touch with people from your target audience. Briefly tell them about the background of the project, the duration of the interview, any preparation that might be necessary and how the content will be used.
- Prepare for your interviews by formulating a wide variety of questions that comprehensively cover the stakeholder's experience and attitude to a given situation or subject. Ask yourself: "What do I want to know about the stakeholder?" And "What do I want to know about the stakeholder's first-hand experience of the current situation or subject?"
- The interview should take place in the environment the interview is about, for example, if you are inquiring about something related to the person's work or institution, then the interview should take place there. You can ask the interviewee to give you a tour of the workplace, if it is relevant for the project.

²⁰ Description and template adapted from www.mind-lab.dk

- During the interview, introduce yourself, your role and explain why the stakeholder's input is important to the plan or project. Collect the signed informed consent form and information sheet that explains the objectives of the interview and how personal data will be collected, stored, protected and deleted. Ask if you can record the conversation and take pictures for internal use.
- Ask open-ended and specific questions so you do not assume too much about the stakeholder's answers. Start with the questions: who, what, where, how and why, so you do not end up with a yes or no answer. Encourage the interviewee to elaborate and provide examples to the responses.
- Save any questions about the stakeholder's opinions you may have for the end of the interview.
- Select key insights, observations and quotes. Use quotes from the interview to present the discovered insights for your colleagues or at a workshop.

4. Additional ideas / information

- Make sure that all personal data collection and processing regarding the activity is carried out according to the EU, national and institutional legislation.
- This activity can be successfully adapted online. Recording the session can be done with your mobile phone, or the integral option available via the online communication tool you are connecting through. As with the face-to-face version, you need to get approval for recording.



5. Template



TIPS FOR INTERVIEWING:

- Choose environment in which your informant works or practices and where they feel at relaxed. This helps the interviewee to imagine and address specific situations related to practice.
- Record the interview on your phone. This will help you focus on listening and being present. BUT, remember to always ask permission to record!
- Set the scene. Make sure your interviewee can feel at ease. Break the ice before plunging into your questioning mode.
- Take the ownership for the situation. You are the "host"!
- Begin with "wh*" and "how" questions:
"Hows" calls for descriptions, context and stories
"Wh*s" lead to reflections and feelings
- Don't overcomplicate questions. Simplicity usually results in better answers.

GENERAL QUESTIONS:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

FINDINGS:

INTERVIEWEE PROFILE:

NAME:
ROLE:
ORGANISATION:

RELATION TO THE PROJECT:

SPECIFIC QUESTIONS:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Method: **Mature Your Ideas**

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Learning and Developing Practice |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire |
| CoP Success Factor: | Sharing Best Practice Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting started Step 6: What Comes After the GEP |
| Group Size: | 3-6 per group |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | Mature Your Ideas can help you select the most promising ideas, by maturing and refining them. This method is a structured and a solution-oriented way to build on ideas that at first appear compelling after a brainstorming session. This method evaluates the strengths of the ideas and suggests solutions to the challenges that these ideas may have. This is similar to SWOT but not entirely the same. |
| More Information: | www.mind-lab.dk |

7.12 MATURE YOUR IDEAS²¹

1. Short Description

Mature Your Ideas can help you select the most promising ideas, by maturing and refining them. This method is a structured and a solution-oriented way to build on ideas that at first appear compelling after a brainstorming session. This method evaluates the strengths of the ideas and suggests solutions to the challenges that these ideas may have. This is similar to SWOT but not entirely the same.

2. When to use this method?

Use it straight after a brainstorming activity to work with the stock of the generated ideas.

3. How to use this method?

A. Brief explanation

This method can be used as a group or individual activity. If using it as a group activity, divide the whole group into several sub-groups of 3-4 participants to work on one idea only and all four categories of strengths, potentials, challenges and solutions relating to this one idea. Each sub-group will work on a different idea. Alternatively, all the sub-groups can work on the same idea, but only focus on one of the categories of strengths, potentials, challenges and solutions.

If this is an individual activity, each participant can work in silence and then share their ideas to the group. You can then collect all the ideas and present them visually on a flipchart, or after the event collate it in a document which you share with the participants. Remember to emphasise the solutions so that the ideas become stronger and more viable.

B. Detailed Step-by-step guide

- Choose an idea that you would like to develop from your brainstorming session.
- Strengths: Write at least three strengths of the idea.
- Potentials: Write at least three suggestions on effects that the idea could help create.
- Challenges: Think about the challenges you foresee related to the idea. When writing the challenges, you can formulate them as development questions. If the challenge is that an idea is too costly, a development question could be "How can we mobilise more resources?"
- Solutions: Think how the challenges can be overcome. That is how the idea can be improved. Once you have completed the process, you are left with a stronger idea that could look quite different from when you began.

4. Template

²¹ Adapted from www.mind-lab.dk



STRENGTHS

Empty box for writing strengths.

POTENTIALS

Empty box for writing potentials.

CHALLENGES

Empty box for writing challenges.

SOLUTIONS

Empty box for writing solutions.



Method: Mentoring Circles

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice Creating Knowledge Taking Action as a Community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Launch Grow Sustain |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Sharing Best Practice Supporting Tools and Resources Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging Learning Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting started Step 6: What Comes after the GEP |
| Group Size: | 6-8 people per mentoring circle |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | Mentoring Circles typically involves one mentor working with a group of mentees or groups of people mentoring each other. Often there is a facilitator to ensure the conversations are focused and productive. Circles generate many diverse perspectives, with group members creating synergies through combining experiences beyond what individual members know or contribute. |
| More Information: | Ambrose 2003 Darwin and Palmer 2009 |

7.13 MENTORING CIRCLES

1. Short Description

Mentoring Circles differ from the traditional mentoring model in that they involve using an innovative, group mentoring model. *Mentoring Circles* typically involves one mentor working with a group of mentees or groups of people mentoring each other. Often there is a facilitator to ensure the conversations are focused and productive (Darwin and Palmer, 2009). Circles generate many diverse perspectives, with group members creating synergies through combining experiences beyond what individual members know or contribute (Ambrose, 2003).

2. When to use this method?

Multiple mentoring can be generally used when you want to²²:

- ✓ Combine the unique skills of many individuals who can share them with their colleagues
- ✓ Encourage the spirit of teaching, sharing, giving, requesting help, and helping
- ✓ Support team building and mutual competency development within a team
- ✓ Cross-train on specific expertise or skills
- ✓ Capitalise of the seasoned expertise of one knowledgeable individual and share it with many learners simultaneously

3. How to use this method?

A. Brief explanation

Mentoring Circles is particularly effective when there aren't many mentors available. It involves one experienced individual, acting as a mentor to a group of mentees, and who provides them with technical and organisational advice and guidance. Moreover, the mentor helps the circle members utilise their combined energies and experiences in order to support each other to excel, which they would not have been able to do on their own. This approach facilitates generating diverse perspectives beyond a single point of view (Ambrose, 2003).

Before you begin, consider the following questions²³:

- What outcomes do you expect from the mentoring group experience?
- What three things do you want the mentoring group to be known for?
- What professional growth and development issues do you want the mentoring group to focus on?
- What do you believe could get in the way of the mentoring group's effectiveness?
- When it comes to facilitating ideas in a group, where are you the strongest? Where are you the least effective?
- What do you expect of other participants in your group?
- How will you know if the mentoring group is working? What will indicate success?

²² Adapted from Ambrose (2003:58)

²³ Ibid.

B. Detailed Step-by-step guide

- Identify an experienced, knowledgeable individual who will freely agree to become a mentor.
- Invite 6-8 members to be mentored. It is very important that their attendance is voluntary.
- The members have to commit to meet x (e.g. eight) number of times for two hours (or longer) over a period of x (e.g. six) months.
- Appoint a facilitator for each circle to maintain the focus of the group, promote discussion and ensure equal participation.
- The initial group meeting should clarify expectations, review topics to be covered, set ground rules for working together, outline desired outcomes, and raise potential concerns such as teamwork, confidentiality within groups, developing trust among participants, and preventing meetings from becoming “complaint” sessions.
- Start with [Four Quadrants](#), which is included in the toolkit to help you get people thinking about the circle. Use [1-2-4-All](#) to discuss expectations, topics, desired outcomes, and potential concerns.
- Address discussions from previous sessions, share weekly learnings and discuss outcomes of previously identified action items.
- In the final session, the circle should evaluate the relationship in a formal fashion and discuss whether the goals and objectives of the sessions were met, what worked well, and what could be done to make the process better.²⁴

4. Additional ideas / information

- *Mentoring Circles* success factors include a commitment to attend, confidentiality, rapport between circle members, and voluntary attendance. These sessions need to be seen as one of many developmental activities offered within the institution to support staff, and potential participants need to feel comfortable with working in groups. Above all, participation must be voluntary²⁵.
- This activity is adaptable online, in that the meetings take place through an online communication platform. This mode of communication may in fact facilitate the frequency of meetings, as geographical and time barriers for all participants to meet can be diminished.



²⁴ Taken from Ambrose (2003: 59)

²⁵ Adapted from Darwin and Palmer (2009: 134)

Method: **Nine Whys**

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Learning and Developing Practice Taking Action as a Community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Sustain |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Leadership |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting Started Step 2: Analysing and Assessing the State-of-Play Step 6: What Comes After the GEP |
| Group Size: | Unlimited |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | This activity helps individuals and groups to crystallise what is important in what they do/their work. It also facilitates the identification of an unambiguous shared purpose, which leads to more freedom and more responsibility. The overall goal of this activity is to clarify the purpose of the participants working together, but also to show each individual the purpose, goal and value of their work. |
| More Information: | www.liberatingstructures.com/3-nine-whys/ |

7.14 NINE WHYS²⁶

1. Short description

This activity helps individuals and groups to crystallise what is important in what they do/their work. It also facilitates the identification of an unambiguous shared purpose, which leads to more freedom and more responsibility. The overall goal of this activity is to clarify the purpose of the participants working together, but also to show each individual the purpose, goal and value of their work.

2. When to use

If you want to instil motivation, engagement and a sense of belonging, it is important to help your participants realise what they are working towards and to let them see the bigger picture. This activity can help identifying this shared purpose, common goal or vision.

3. How to

A: Brief description

By continuously asking why someone is doing something, the real purpose of their work, action or project is being revealed. By exchanging the results of the interviews (where one person is asked “Why” up to nine times) common purposes, goals and values are being identified.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

- The central question of the whole activity is “why?”:
 - First, the activity starts by asking “What do you do when working on XYZ (the project, a challenge, etc.)? The participants are asked to make a list of the activities.”
 - The next question is going to be “Why is this important to you?”.
 - Then keep asking, “Why? Why? Why?”. You can do that up to nine “Whys”.
 - It is possible that a participant can’t go deeper because they have already reached the fundamental purpose for this work – in this case there is no need to ask “Why” nine times.
 - Once this happens, it will become clear to the questioned person who will sense that they’ve reached the ultimate answer.
- The number of groups who participate in this activity is not limited. Each of the participants has an equal opportunity for participation and contribution.
- The activity starts with the participants working in pairs, then they pair up again (into groups of fours) and then the whole group works together.
- Process of the activity:


²⁶ Adapted from Liberating Structures, <http://www.liberatingstructures.com/3-nine-whys/>.

- First, each participant interviews his or her partner and starts with the question “What do you do when working on XYZ?”.
- The person who interviews tries to get a deeper answer by asking “Why is that important to you?”.
- The interviewer then goes on with “Why?” as long as the interviewee has not reached the fundamental purpose of his / her work.
- After that, they switch.
- Then they pair up with another pair and share their insights.
- To finish up, everyone is invited to participate by asking “How do these findings influence the next steps we take?”

4. Additional ideas / information

- The question “Why is it important to YOU?” is really significant as well as the emphasis on YOU because it is all about the individuals, rather than their organisations.
- Sharing the different responses and reflecting on differences within the group can lead to define a common purpose.

5. Template



Method: Plan of Change (Theory of Change)

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Taking Action as a Community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Design Prototype |
| CoP Success Factor: | Leadership Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting Started Step 3: Setting up a GEP Step 4: Implementing a GEP Step 5: Monitoring Progress and Evaluating a GEP Step 6: What Comes After the GEP |
| Group Size: | 8-25 |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | Plan of change is based on the theory of change, which is viewed as a tool and methodology to outline the logical sequence of an initiative from inputs to outcomes. The outlining of the logical sequence is supported by critical thinking about the surrounding conditions that influence the plan, the motivations and contributions of stakeholders and other actors, and the different interpretations or certain assumptions about how and why that order of change might emerge. |
| More Information: | www.mind-lab.dk Vogel 2012 |

7.15 PLAN OF CHANGE (THEORY OF CHANGE)

1. Short Description

Plan of change is based on the theory of change, which is viewed as a tool and methodology to outline the logical sequence of an initiative from inputs to outcomes. Alternatively, it is understood as “a deeper reflective process and dialogue amongst colleagues and stakeholders, reflecting on the values, worldviews and philosophies of change that make more explicit people’s underlying assumptions of how and why change might happen as an outcome of the initiative” (Vogel, 2012: 3). However, theory of change benefits from combining both approaches. The outlining of the logical sequence is supported by critical thinking about the surrounding conditions that influence the plan, the motivations and contributions of stakeholders and other actors, and the different interpretations or certain assumptions about how and why that order of change might emerge.

2. When to use this method?

When you want to apply critical thinking to the design, implementation and evaluation of gender equality plans or other initiatives intended to support change. It helps to make assumptions explicit. Assumptions act as ‘rules of thumb’ that influence our choices, and they reflect deeply-held values, norms and ideological standpoints.

These assumptions shape the design and implementation of plans. If we make these assumptions explicit, it will allow us to check, debate and enrich them to strengthen our plans. By critically reflecting, *theory of change* could support plans’ innovation and adaptation in response to dynamic contexts. It encourages constant “questioning of what might influence change in the context and drawing on evidence and learning during implementation” (Vogel, 2012: 4). Thus, *theory of change* thinking can stimulate improvements in plans, “beyond responses towards more realistic and feasible interventions that are responsive to dynamic contexts” (2012: 5).

3. How to use this method?

A. Brief explanation

Plan of Change helps to expose how our current plan is organised, check if our new ideas are logically consistent, or examine if a specific action will work. The method can help rethink our plan completely, and from the start help to focus on what changes the project could influence. You can use this method to start off projects or as a tool for project management. It illuminates what we know and don’t know and where we should be focusing our efforts on. This should ultimately help us to ask the right questions, to ensure the project develops with according to the aim, and end with the expected effect.²⁷

B. Detailed Step-by-step guide

²⁷ The description and the steps are adapted from www.mind-lab.dk

- Book a meeting for at least 2 hours, inviting relevant participants that as a group possess deep knowledge of the focus area. Print the template or write the headlines on a piece of paper and use it as the focal point for the discussion. Let your participants know what the subject of the activity is to allow them to think ahead and prepare their outputs (optional).

- In the template we work from “impacts” and work towards “resources”, but you can also begin with “resources” and work towards “impacts”. It is important to ensure everyone operates with the same definitions of the headings to ensure mutual understanding.

It's important to distinguish both the long- and short-term impacts as it helps to understand and create a change strategy.

- **LONG-TERM IMPACT:** this impact can be characterised as the “big picture”, the ideal scenario, and as such it is often quite speculative. It can be based on the belief that some short-term impacts and results may one day lead to broader applications or a wider development. For example, a long-term impact could be:

An increased rate of GE plan implementation in RFOs and RPOs.

Write all desired **long-impacts** the project should achieve on post-it notes. If possible, write how you wish to evaluate these impacts.

- **SHORT-TERM IMPACT:** is the development of a temporary solution or a partial solution to the bigger problem, which is hoped to be resolved after further developments and implementation. Following from the example above a short-term impact leading to this could be:

RPOs and RFOs are able to monitor and evaluate the state of play of gender equality in the institutions (which will make them realise they need to implement GE plans).

- **RESULTS:** are the outputs, the products of your activities. Describe the **results** that would lead to the desired impacts. Be aware, that there might be several different results in the activities. Write the results on post-it notes and attach them to the template. For example:

The production of the Gender Equality Audit and Monitoring Tool (GEAM).

Draw pathways between the **impacts** and **results** – and discuss which results precede a given impact.

- **ACTIVITIES:** Write down **activities** (actions, instructions, contact points or control visits) the plan will consist of on post-it notes. Are all the relevant activities included? If there are activities that are performed by others, then you should also consider adding them.

Designing, piloting, refining, translating the GEAM tool.

Draw pathways to impacts and results.

- **RESOURCES:** List the **resources** (finance, people, buildings, IT, etc.), that will be used to implement the activities. Who and what do you need?

Existing GE surveys, Athena Swan surveys, quantitative researchers, experts panel for focus groups, software: Survey Monkey, SPSS, financial support, etc.

Draw lines to connect resources with activities, results and impacts of short and long term.

- Identify the **critical assumptions** the plan is based on and list them. For example:
We assume that we have enough quantitative researchers and expertise to design the tool.
- You are now ready to work with your change plan. What questions does your change plan pose, and how will you proceed to work with new activities and resources, to achieve the aimed effects?

4. Additional ideas / information:

Alternatives²⁸:

Group discussion:

- What are the main types of changes that we want to support?
- What are the main 3-5- development conditions that need to be in place for change even to be possible?
- Identify the 3 most important relationships between these conditions and write them as affirmative statements
- Discuss in the group, use drawing and cards to develop the discussion.

Another simple starting point:

- Share a story of change in a group discussion
- Ask: What happened? Who was involved? What do you think helped the change happen? What, if anything, did we contribute? How do we know?
- Discuss and use as an opportunity for reflection.

Some guiding issues and questions to use in the process:

1. The context for change – how change happens

Who are we aiming to support and why? (clarifying the target group and prioritising the key issues they face, if appropriate)

Who are the groups, and what are the structures and processes that influence change in the target group's lives? (ranking them in their importance to the target group; and showing whether they influence change positively, negatively or both)

- How do we know? – what is the basis for our understanding/ learning?

²⁸ All the alternative questions have been taken from Vogel (2012: 23-24).

2. Our organisational (or programme) contribution to change

What are the long-term changes that need to happen in the target group's lives?

- What is our overall vision for change as an organisation/programme?
- What are the key four or five long-term changes to which we can contribute?

Who and what needs to change in order to achieve those long-term changes?

- What changes need to happen at other levels or dimensions in order to achieve the long-term changes (e.g. at community level or in policy or systems).
- What factors relationships or approaches influence change at each level?
- Who are the groups we can influence? What changes need to take place in them?

What factors relationships, approaches, pathways influence change at each level?

What are the three to five key factors to which we can contribute that will be vital in bringing about 24 change? (i.e. our core beliefs about how we influence change)

- How do we know? – what is the basis for our understanding/ learning?
- Why do we think that change will happen that way? (our rationale/ assumptions)
- What are the risks (external and internal) that might prevent change taking place?
- How might we need to tailor our approach to groups with specific vulnerabilities?

3. Applying our theory of change

How will we know and measure if we have brought about change?

How will we apply it to our organisation, programme and learning processes?



LONG-TERM IMPACT

SHORT-TERM IMPACT

RESULTS

ACTIVITIES

RESOURCES



Method: SMART Criteria/Goals

| | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Taking Action as a Community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Design |
| CoP Success Factor: | Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting Started Step 3: Setting up a GEP |
| Group Size: | 1-8 |
| Difficulty Level: | |
| Time Needed: | |
| Facilitator Preparation: | |
| Participant Preparation: | |
| Description: | If one is always working hard to achieve a goal but not making progress then SMART goals can help to clarify ideas, focus efforts, use time and resources productively, and increase the chances of achieving an objective. The letters stand for Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic and Terminable. It helps to determine the objectives of actions. |
| More Information: | Lawlor and Hornyak 2012 MacLeod 2013 www.mindtools.com/pages/article/smart-goals.htm . |

7.16 SMART CRITERIA / GOALS²⁹

1. Short description

If one is always working hard to achieve a goal but not making progress then SMART goals can help to clarify ideas, focus efforts, use time and resources productively, and increase the chances of achieving an objective. Studies have shown that people who have ambitious and tangible goals perform better than people who have imprecise and unambitious goals. The letters stand for Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic and Terminable. It helps to determine the objectives of actions.

2. When to use

SMART criteria support the clarification of goals and objectives. It is therefore a valuable tool for the planning and implementation of a project. Objectives are easier to reach if they are defined and clarified. If it is not clear to everyone what they want to achieve and where they want to be in the end, the process lacks productivity, structure and might not be successful. If this is the case SMART criteria can help defining and understanding the goals which leads to understanding and motivation of the team or oneself.

3. How to

A: Brief explanation

The SMART acronyms stand for:

Specific: Is the goal concrete and unambiguous? Is it clear what is to change with whom / where / what is to have changed after achieving the goal? Define what exactly the goal is.

Measurable: Is it possible to check whether the goal has been achieved? Are there numbers who indicate the success of a project/task?

Attainable: Is the goal achievable and feasible?

Realistic: Is the goal achievable at all? Can it be done?

Timely: Can the goal be achieved within a certain period?

B: Detailed step-by-step guide:

First, there has to be a goal. Let us use the example of “I want to improve my health”.

Then you need to check the criteria above. What is important is that not every time all the criteria are applicable.

Specific? Is my goal specific? How am I going to achieve it/by doing what?

²⁹ Adapted from Lawlor and Hornyak 2012 and MacLeod 2013. Based on Mindtools, <https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/smart-goals.htm>.

Measurable? Control if I am improving my health? Beforehand I need to define parameters that can be used to determine success.

Attainable? To check if a goal is attainable various factors need to be considered. It might help to talk to other people who tried something similar if what you want to do really is feasible.

Realistic? To answer this question, it is important to look on some of the other criteria, for example terminable.

Timely? Until when do I want to achieve my goal? Is there a specific deadline until I want to reach my goal?

In the end the goal often just needs to be rephrased, specified or operationalised. In this case it would be better to say the following: “I will improve my health by exercising twice a week (measurable, specific), drinking two litres of water a day and sleeping 8 hours every night for the next two months (terminable, specific). The overall goal is therefore specific, measurable, attainable, realistic and timely.

4. Additional ideas / information:

- Not every criterion has to be used every time
 - If the criteria are met than the objective as a great basis for the task, project, work, etc.
 - When using SMART clear, attainable and meaningful goals can be created as well as motivation, action plan and support needed to achieve them.
 - This tool can be used in online or face-to-face group sessions, as well as on an individual basis.
- 5. Template and Visualisation

ADAPTABLE
ONLINE



MY GOAL IS TO:

Blank space for writing the goal.

IS IT SPECIFIC?

What exactly do I want to achieve? For whom exactly? Why is this important? Who is involved? Where is it located? Which resources do I need?

Blank space for writing the answer to the specificity question.

IS IT MEASURABLE?

How much? How many? Quantify your goals. How will you know when you've reached it is accomplished?

Blank space for writing the answer to the measurability question.

IS IT ATTAINABLE?

Can I achieve this goal?
Have I got access to the resources?

Blank space for writing the answer to the attainability question.

IS IT REALISTIC?


Is this goal achievable considering the available knowledge, resources and time?

Blank space for writing the answer to the realism question.

IS IT TERMINABLE?

When? What can I do six months from now? What can I do six weeks from now? What can I do today?

Blank space for writing the answer to the terminability question.





Method: SWOT and PESTEL

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Learning and Developing Practice Taking Action as a Community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Sustain |
| CoP Success Factor: | Learning Sharing Best Practice Supporting Tools and Resources Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 1: Getting Started Step 2: Analysing the state-of-play in the institution |
| Group Size: | 8-12 people |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | <p><i>SWOT and PESTEL</i> facilitate the identification of external and internal factors that ought to be considered when planning a project or an activity. These analytical tools can be used together or on an individual basis, but here as part of the co-creation toolkit, they will be presented as a group activity to support planning of strategy and action and making decisions. These activities can be successfully conducted online.</p> |
| More Information: | www.unicef.org/knowledge-exchange/index_83128.html |

7.17 SWOT AND PESTEL³⁰

1. Short description

SWOT and PESTEL facilitate the identification of external and internal factors that ought to be considered when planning a project or an activity. These analytical tools can be used together or on an individual basis, but here as part of the co-creation toolkit, they will be presented as a group activity to support planning of strategy and action and making decisions. These activities can be successfully conducted online. If using flipchart, ensure it is within the reach of the web camera. Alternatively, explore the available options in the online communication platform to use co-creation tools in real time.



2. When to use

Use these combined methods or each method separately every time you want to understand your external and internal factors for better planning and decision making.

3. How to

A: Brief description

SWOT

SWOT stands for Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats. Strengths and Weaknesses are internal, as they exist inside the organisation or within the consortium, or within the CoP). On the other hand, Opportunities and Threats are external factors, which exist outside the organisation.

It is possible to start the analysis from S and W, however, you could also start with the external factors, O and T, and then move to the internal ones. This may enable a sharper focus on results, and may help to identify the ‘critical threats’ (i.e. those that are related to corresponding weaknesses) and which opportunities are promising opportunities (i.e. those that are related to corresponding strengths).

It is important to clearly define the objectives of the analysis linked to the project or plan, and they have to be well understood by participants. Objectives provide a perspective, from which the external and internal factors can be identified as Strengths or Weaknesses, Opportunities or Threats. Different objectives of the same project or plan can colour the SWOT analysis in very different ways.

PESTEL

PESTEL takes SWOT further by focusing on specific types of issues that may have an impact on implementation of project/plans.

PESTEL stands for Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental and Legal. It helps to identify the factors in each of these areas that are relevant to your project or plan.

³⁰ Adapted from https://www.unicef.org/knowledge-exchange/index_83128.html

What PESTEL enables particularly well is identifying trends, which can help to proactively anticipate change, rather than being reactive or passive towards it.

It is recommended to use PESTEL and SWOT together. This is because if your project context is rich and complex, then PESTEL will be particularly valuable in identifying factors which SWOT on its own would not be able to elicit.

Applying PESTEL is uncomplicated. Just pay attention to steps 2 and 5 for an enhanced analysis with PESTEL.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

The steps below are for SWOT. The more time you have for the SWOT, the more time you should spend on the analysis and discussion steps (steps 5-9 below). If you decide to also include PESTEL, then you will need to prepare additional research before the session. It is a good idea to have a rapporteur helping you facilitate the session.

- 1) Provide a short and clear statement of the project/plan objectives to be analysed in the SWOT. The statement should be no longer than one paragraph. Cut down on the detail and include only the gist of the project/plan objectives and expected outcomes.
- 2) Invite participants (about 8-12) who are involved in the project/plan/decision. You can share with them prior to the meeting, the statement of the objectives. Help the participants prepare for the SWOT in one of the two following ways:
 - a. Option 1: Ask some or all participants to conduct PESTEL research and to share their findings with you a few days before the meeting. For example, assign each of the domains to one person only, or split them among 2-3 people, or give it to one person who is very familiar with the context to cover them all. Ask for a simple list of the key factors for each domain with enough information to define each of them, such as a sentence, or a brief paragraph. As a suggestion, PESTEL research for one domain could be as long as half a page or a few pages.
 - b. Option 2: Ask participants to think about SWOT before the event.
- 3) Start the meeting and quickly describe the method. Ensure your rapporteur is ready, as their notes will enrich the flipchart notes that you will write during the meeting.
- 4) Make sure the group understands the objectives and outcomes to be analysed in the SWOT, and which group/team (institution, CoP) would take action to implement them.
- 5) Brainstorm the external categories (Ts and Os):
 - a. If you are using PESTEL alongside SWOT, then PESTEL results which have been researched by the participants should be the starting point. Share the findings of PESTEL factors by displaying them all at once on board/flipchart/wall so that everyone can see or use PowerPoint. Ask other participants to enhance and broaden the PESTEL findings by thinking of other factors. Next, brainstorm Os and Ts for each PESTEL factor. Note down the results on flipchart sheets. At this stage you are looking for lots of relevant ideas. Once all the PESTEL factors have been discussed, ask the group to identify any further unexplored Ts and Os. You can prompt them using the questions in section 4. Additional ideas/information below.

- b. If you are not using PESTEL, just brainstorm the Ts and Os, using the relevant questions (from section 4). Elicit plenty of ideas without filtering for importance yet. Use sheet(s) of flipchart paper for each category.
- 6) Next, brainstorm the internal categories (Ws and Ss), again using the suggested questions (in section 4) as prompts, and eliciting plenty of relevant ideas.
- 7) The next part of the activity is to rank the SWOT factors by their perceived importance, which is linked to the potential impact on the objectives and outcomes of the project or plan, and also its likelihood. When the participants have brainstormed all the SWOT factors, you will have produced four lists for each factor. Display the list sheets for participants to see them. Next, rank the ideas by importance, and mark each idea with an agreed symbol to illustrate the group's opinion, e.g. ++ for very important factors, + for less important factors, or 0 for unimportant ones. This ranking discussion should be informal, for example, ask for a show of hands, but written ballots for ranking are not appropriate. Or give all participants sticky dots/post-it notes with 3 different colours and ask them to assign their ratings to each of the ideas.
- 8) Next, elicit a discussion how the highly rated items in the categories relate to each other. For example, a particular strength may be actually linked to a certain opportunity, or a particular threat may exacerbated, because of a certain weakness. This is more effective if you PESTEL has been used and discussed Ts and Os first. This is because PESTEL factors will make the impact of various Ss and Ws clearer.
- 9) The following step is recommended, although optional: before you finish, if your group has change-implementing/decision-making power, try to outline a short action plan based on your analysis and on the objectives of the project or plan you have analysed. If your group hasn't got any change implementing/decision-making powers, suggest a few possible action points. If the objective of the session was to make a yes or no decision, summarise your recommendation and reasons. Your action plan or your recommendation should include the following:
 - a. How to pursue opportunities;
 - b. How to overcome, prevent or avoid threats;
 - c. How to use or capitalise on strengths;
 - d. How to overcome, minimise or compensate for weaknesses.
- 10) Follow-up. After the SWOT, write a summary with decisions and recommendations, which are based on the flipchart sheets and notes from the rapporteur, and distribute it to participants, decision-makers and other relevant parties.

4. Additional ideas/ information

Factors to consider in PESTEL

Distribute these factors and mind prompts with those who you have asked to conduct the analysis and ask them to identify specific examples in the context of the project or plan that will be analysed in the official session. These PESTEL factors have relevance only in the specific operational context, so only focus on the ones that could impact your project/plan and use them for the analysis.

Political

- Government policies: National, state/provincial, local, other.
- Government resource allocations.
- Stakeholder needs or demands.
- Lobbying/campaigning by interest groups: local, national, international. Influences/pressures from international actors, e.g. other governments, international organisations, etc.
- Changes in power, influence, connectedness of key relevant actors/groups.
- Expected direction of future political change: future policy prospects; upcoming elections and possible change in government (local, state, national) and its consequences; other relevant political trends.

Economic

- Economic situation: local, national, regional, global.
- Economic situation of specific relevant communities or population groups (including employment, taxation, mobility, etc.).
- Economic situation and prospects of any relevant industries.
- Infrastructure: local, national, other.
- Financial situation of key partners or other relevant entities.
- Availability of private sector resources relevant for the project/initiative.
- Expected direction of economic change: prevailing economic trends, trade and market cycles; expected economic interventions by governments and their consequences; other relevant economic trends.

Social

- Demographics and population trends.
- Public perceptions (of an issue, an initiative, an organisation or other actor).
- Relevant customs, traditional beliefs, attitudes (e.g. towards children, adolescents, gender, etc.).
- Media views.
- Role models, celebrities, spokespersons.
- Knowledge, attitudes and practices of a particular population group (with regard to a relevant issue).
- Potential for knowledge exchange.
- Migration (which also has political, economic and legal dimensions).
- Major relevant events (upcoming or already happening) and cultural trends.

- History, to the extent that it affects social attitudes and perceptions.
- Factors in social identity, e.g. religious, socio-ethnic, cultural, etc.
- Dynamics of how social change happens in the given context.
- Management style, staff attitudes, organisational culture (within a major relevant organisation).
- Expected direction of social change: broad trends in change of social attitudes (e.g. towards a relevant issue); other relevant social trends.
- Credibility of information sources or communication channels (e.g. media outlets, well-known individuals, etc.) among a target population. Reach of information sources/communication channels among a target population.

Technological

- Population groups' access to technologies.
- Patterns of use of existing technologies (which may be changing, e.g. evolving use of mobile phones).
- New technologies that could impact the context significantly, or that could be used to achieve objectives.
- Technologies and related infrastructure/manufacturing / importing requirements for an initiative to succeed.
- Possible replacement/alternative technologies.
- Potential for innovation.
- Technology transfer, access, licensing issues, other issues related to intellectual property rights.
- Foreseeable technological trends: economic and social impact of adoption of existing technologies; rate of technological change; other technological trends.

Environmental

- Environmental impacts of planned or ongoing activities.
- Trends or expected future developments in the environment.
- Geographical location.

Legal

- Human rights (gender rights).
- Existing legislation having an impact on any relevant factors (economic, social, technological, environmental or other factors relevant to the issue), or affecting

population groups relevant to the issue, or impacting the work of the organisation or its partnerships.

- Pending or future legislation.
- International treaties/agreements, either existing or in preparation.
- Standards, oversight, regulation and regulatory bodies, and expected changes in these.
- Ethical issues.

Method: **W3: What, so What, Now What**

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Learning and Developing Practice Taking Action as a Community |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Grow Sustain |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Illustrating Results and Performance Sharing Best Practice Strategy |
| EIGE Step: | Step 2: Analysing and assessing the state-of-play in the institution Step 6: What comes after the GEP |
| Group Size: | Unlimited number of groups; 3-7 people per group |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | This activity helps groups to reflect on and think about shared experiences. The result will be a mutual understanding and will help to act in a coordinated way without losing time and energy with unproductive differences and conflicts. It supports to look for insights and to find and shape new ways and directions. The process starts by looking at “What Happened” and discussing that. Then, those facts are being analysed with “So What” and finally it’s being discussed what follows with “Now What”. |
| More Information: | www.liberatingstructures.com/9-what-so-what-now-what-w/ |

7.18 W3: WHAT, SO WHAT, NOW WHAT³¹

1. Short description

This activity helps groups to reflect on and think about shared experiences. The result will be a mutual understanding and will help to act in a coordinated way without losing time and energy with unproductive differences and conflicts. Every voice is being heard in this activity. At the same time it supports to look for insights and to find and shape new ways and directions. The process starts by looking at “What Happened” and discussing that. Then, those facts are being analysed with “So What” and finally it’s being discussed what follows with “Now What”. This helps eliminating most of the misunderstandings that otherwise fuel disagreements about how to proceed.

2. When to use

The goal of this activity is to look back on a process and decide if and what adjustments are necessary. It helps to weigh up whether the previous procedure was the right one and to find a new path that might be better for the overall goal or how to adjust the previous procedure to make sure it is aligned with the objectives.

3. How to

A: Brief description

This activity consists of answering and discussing three questions (usually after a prominent incident or if the process is stagnating): 1) WHAT?, 2) SO WHAT?, 3) NOW WHAT?. By answering those questions what had gone wrong is being described, analysed and a solution or new approach is being developed.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

- The basic question to start with after a shared experience (for example something did not work out as planned) is “WHAT? What happened? What did you observe?”. The answers to those questions will be collected. The next question to ask is “SO WHAT? Why is this important? What patterns or conclusions are emerging?” Then, after understanding and making sense of what has been discussed, ask “NOW WHAT? What action would make sense in this context?”
- The number of groups in this activity is not limited. Each group should consist of 5-7 people. Everyone is included in this activity and has equal opportunity to contribute to each group.
- Process of the activity:
First of all the group needs to be divided in smaller groups of 5-7 people. Then the first step is “WHAT?”. Everyone starts out working alone on this question and then they continue working on this in small groups. The most prominent aspects from the small groups are shared with the whole group and collected. Then they continue with the question “SO WHAT?”. They again, start working alone and they discuss the

³¹ Adapted from Liberating Structures, <http://www.liberatingstructures.com/9-what-so-what-now-what-w/>.


question within the group. Striking aspects are shared with the whole group and are collected. After that, the participants continue with the third W: “NOW WHAT?”. Like before each participant starts working on this question alone before discussing it in the small group. The proposed strategies and actions are being shared with the whole group and are being discussed.

4. Additional ideas/ information

- During the process it would be good to check in on the small groups and ask if it is clear to them which answer belongs to which category as that might be a bit confusing. It could also help to share some answers with the whole group to avoid misunderstandings.
- Feedback is really important for this activity. Without feedback there won't be progress / learning.
- It helps to set time limits for the different steps of the activity in order to avoid getting lost in one step.

5. Visualisation.³²

³² Based on Liberating Structures: <http://www.liberatingstructures.com/9-what-so-what-now-what-w/>



Method: What I Need from You

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Building Relationships Learning and Developing Practice |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Inquire Design Prototype |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Mutual Culture, Values, Belonging Sharing Best Practice Supporting Tools and Resources Leadership |
| EIGE Step: | Step 2: Analysing and assessing the state-of-play in the institution Step 4: Implementing a GEP |
| Group Size: | Unlimited number of groups; 3-7 people per group |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | This activity aims to help people working in different contexts to get quickly better in asking each other for things, resources, help, etc. they need in order to be successful. It also helps to avoid misunderstandings and to overcome bias and prejudices that have been built up over time. This is being facilitated by making clear and tangible what group members need so that they can achieve common goals. |
| More Information: | www.liberatingstructures.com/24-what-i-need-from-you-winfy/ |

7.19 WHAT I NEED FROM YOU³³

1. Short description

This activity aims to help people working in different contexts to get quickly better in asking each other for things, resources, help, etc. they need in order to be successful. It also helps to avoid misunderstandings and to overcome bias and prejudices that have been built up over time. This is being facilitated by making clear and tangible what group members need so that they can achieve common goals.

2. When to use

This activity is helpful to clarify and identify the needs of every person involved in a project / activity. By identifying those needs efficiency is boosted and working successfully and productive toward an objective is being facilitated. So if the work in an organisation/ project lacks efficiency this activity could help by identifying, communicating and addressing the needs of people involved.

3. How to

A: Brief description

The participants communicate what they need from other people involved in their task, project or organisation. The people involved get the chance to respond to that, which leads to more clarity and transparency.

B: Detailed step-by-step guide

- This activity begins by asking the participants what they need from co-workers or other people involved (they can be in other disciplines, departments or functions). Everyone involved is invited to respond to those requests.
- The participants should be divided in 3 to 7 groups. The size of the groups has no limitation. In the middle of the room are chairs for 3-7 people to sit in a circle. Those 3-7 people take over the function of a spokesperson on behalf of each group. The needs and responses are recorded on paper. Each person is part of a group and everyone has the chance to participate.

Process of the activity:

- The activity starts by repeating the goal or challenge that is being addressed (this could be a specific task, the job in general etc.) in this session so that everyone understands the context in the same way. For example: What is it that the participating individuals need to fulfil a specific task, to solve a specific problem or to work efficiently in general?
- It is important to underline that requests must be explicit and clear, otherwise they might not get an unambiguous response. It is only allowed to respond to an expressed need

³³ Adapted from Liberating Structures, <http://www.liberatingstructures.com/24-what-i-need-from-you-winfy/>.

with yes or no, I will try, and whatever (if the request isn't clear enough). The groups are being positioned within the room.

- One idea is that the groups begin with the [1-2-4-All](#) activity to make a list of their main/biggest needs. Those needs should be expressed as requests. For example: "What I need from you [to successfully fulfil this task] is XYZ".
- Each group selects the two most important needs. A selected spokesperson represents the group. The spokespersons come together in the centre of the room and present the two selected needs to the other spokespersons. They take notes of the needs without giving responses yet.
- Each spokesperson writes down one of four responses to answer each request: yes, no, I will try or whatever (whatever is being selected if the request wasn't clear enough).
- Each of the spokesperson reiterates the requests that were made by addressing one spokesperson in the group at a time. The spokesperson will then share the respective responses (yes, no, I will try, whatever).

4. Additional ideas / information

- This activity can be followed up with the [W3](#) activity
- Everyone should feel free to ask for what they truly need to be successful – so encourage everyone to do so!
- If the answer to a request is "whatever" this means that the request hasn't been communicated clear enough - important point to remind the participants.

Method: The World Café

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Area of CoP Activity: | Learning and Developing Practice Creating Knowledge |
| CoP Lifecycle Phase: | Design Launch Grow |
| CoP Success Factor: | Community Interaction Knowledge Production and Access to Knowledge |
| EIGE Step: | Step 4: Implementing a GEP |
| Group Size: | Large groups (12 people or more) |
| Difficulty Level: |  |
| Time Needed: |  |
| Facilitator Preparation: |  |
| Participant Preparation: |  |
| Description: | The World Café aims to facilitate collaborative dialogue and knowledge and idea sharing in a creative way through conversation by creating a café ambiance of small groups sitting around tables. After a specified time, the participants move to a new table, apart from the table host, who then recaps the previous conversations to the new table participants. As a result, the following discussions are cross-pollinated with the ideas from previous conversations among other participants. At the end of the process the main ideas are summarised in a plenary session and follow-up actions are discussed. |
| More Information: | Slocum 2003 www.theworldcafe.com |

7.20 THE WORLD CAFÉ

1. Short Description

The World Café aims to facilitate collaborative dialogue and knowledge and idea sharing in a creative way through a living network of conversation and action. As the name suggests, the facilitator creates a café ambiance of small groups sitting around tables, which encourages participants to discuss issues and questions. After a specified time, the participants move to a new table, apart from the table host (one host per table), who then recaps the previous conversations to the new table participants. As a result, the following discussions are cross-pollinated with the ideas from previous conversations among other participants. At the end of the process the main ideas are summarised in a plenary session and follow-up actions are discussed. The event lasts a few hours – a minimum of four hours and a maximum of an entire day, depending upon the topic and ambitions of the project (Slocum, 2003: 141).

2. When to use this method?

When you want to:

- ✓ engage large groups (>12 people) in an authentic dialogue;
- ✓ generate input, share knowledge, stimulate innovative thinking and explore action possibilities around real life issues and questions
- ✓ engage people in authentic conversation – whether they are meeting for the first time or have established relationships with each other
- ✓ conduct in-depth exploration of key strategic challenges or opportunities
- ✓ deepen relationships and mutual ownership of outcomes in an existing group
- ✓ create meaningful interaction between a speaker and the audience.

Avoid when:

- ✗ you already have a determined solution or answer
- ✗ you want to cascade one-way information
- ✗ you are creating detailed implementation plans
- ✗ you have fewer than 12 people (instead, use a dialogue circle, council or other approach for authentic conversation)

3. How to use this method?

A. Brief explanation

This method facilitates the participants to explore a question by discussing it in small groups in several repeated sessions of 20-30 minutes. Participants change tables after each session in order to cross-pollinate their discussions with the ideas generated at other tables. The event is finalised with a plenary, where the key ideas and conclusions are summarised.

B. Detailed step-by-step guide

- Seat four (five max) people at small Café-style tables or in conversation clusters.
- Set up progressive (at least three) rounds of conversation, approximately 20 minutes each.
- Engage questions or issues that genuinely matter to your life, work, or community.
- Encourage participants to write, doodle and draw key ideas on their tablecloths (and/or note key ideas on large index cards or placemats in the centre of the table).
- Upon completing the initial round of conversation, you may ask one person to remain at the table as a “table host” for the next round, while the others serve as travellers or “ambassadors of meaning.” The travellers carry key ideas, themes and questions into their new conversations, while the table host welcomes the new set of travellers.
- By providing opportunities for people to move in several rounds of conversation, ideas, questions, and themes begin to link and connect. At the end of the second or third round, all of the tables or conversation clusters in the room will be cross-pollinated with insights from prior conversations.
- In the last round of conversation, people can return to their first table to synthesise their discoveries, or they may continue traveling to new tables.
- You may use the same question for one or more rounds of conversation, or you may pose different questions in each round to build on and help deepen the exploration.
- After at least three rounds of conversation, initiate a period of sharing discoveries & insights in a whole group conversation. It is in these town meeting-style conversations that patterns can be identified, collective knowledge grows, and possibilities for action emerge.³⁴

³⁴ Taken from: www.theworldcafe.com.

8. VISUAL METHODS

The ACT project places a particular emphasis on adopting a co-design practice in its resource development, and especially visual aids, since non-verbal communication is a bridge between varied professional and cultural contexts. Apart from incorporating visual methods and templates within the above participatory methods, ACT also provides guidelines to creating visual materials. This includes infographics, storyboards, and photo documentation.

8.1 INFOGRAPHICS

What is an infographic?

Infographics are visual representations of information and data through text, images, charts and diagrams. An infographic helps to communicate knowledge and explain concepts clearly and synthetically, and facilitates the understanding of complex issues thanks to visual support and schematisation.

The use of infographics can benefit Communities of Practice by helping them to present its activities and outcomes in a quickly, compelling and attractive way. They are a versatile tool to raise awareness, educate and inform very diverse target audiences that improves the visibility of online messages. On the other hand, data-rich visualisations of information increase the engagement and interactions on social media and help people to remember key messages.

The digital nature of infographics means that they can be distributed face-to-face as a springboard and a prop for discussions, as well as online communications.


 A circular logo with the text "ADAPTABLE ONLINE" inside.

Tips to prepare good infographics

The first step to create an infographic is to identify what is the main purpose and the core message to spread: what is the overall question we want to communicate and who is the target audience?

Recommendations to take into account:

- Define an engaging, interesting title for the infographic. To draw the attention of the audience, the title should arouse their curiosity. The title might highlight the relevant conclusions of available data or launch a specific question to the reader.
- Select the content to include and organise the flow of information in the infographic trying to create a story: consider what the key concepts to be highlighted are and the complementary ideas, how elements relate to each other and what the concluding information should be.

- Combine diverse visual elements and avoid long texts. Think of the charts, icons or pictures that might help to illustrate your ideas. Select data considering the most relevant information.
- Show data together with engaging headers and short explanatory texts to give context. Midori Nediger offers in the Venngage blog post “How to make an infographic in 5 steps [Step-By-Step-Guide]³⁵” several examples of uses of bold, colourful text and icons to bring attention to numerical data and make numbers understandable with little contextual information. The article highlights also different types of charts and pictograms to use depending of what your goal is: comparing independent values (bar chart, column chart or bubble chart); comparing parts of a whole (pie chart, donut chart, pictogram or treemap); or show trends over time or space (line chart, area chart or timeline).
- Use creatively colours, font and font sizes, and select colours with a good contrast in order to facilitate reading.
- Be concise and keep it simple. Prioritise clarity, do not include too much information and leave white spaces; if the infographic is hard to visualise it will be more difficult for the reader to understand the message.
- Include brief references to the information sources and add the relevant hashtags or social media links that help your audience to contact you and find out more information.

Online tools to create infographics:

- **Piktochart** (<https://piktochart.com>) is an online tool which free version offers templates to create simple infographics. It also offers premium plans for education and non-profit organisations with the possibility to access more customizable templates and create interactive charts and maps. Its infographics editor includes a library of free icons and images, and allows to upload your own. Interactive infographics can be inserted with an embed in websites and blogs.
- **Infogram** (<https://infogram.com/>) is a data visualisation and design tool that offers templates for infographics, reports and dashboards, as well as social media. The tool also includes templates of customizable charts to visualise data, which can be inserted in the infographics and other projects.
- **Canva** (www.canva.com) is a drag and drop editor with free access to templates and designs for infographics, diagrams, banners, flyers and social media headers.
- **Creately** (<https://creately.com>) is a software to create diagrams and flowcharts that allows team collaboration.
- **Venngage** (<https://venngage.com/>) site with tools to create graphic images for websites, presentations, and social media that includes free templates for infographics.
- **Flaticon** (<https://www.flaticon.com>) is a large search engine of downloadable free icons and vectors that can be used in both personal and commercial designs. The majority of the

³⁵ See: <https://venngage.com/blog/how-to-make-an-infographic-in-5-steps/>

resources can be used for free, provided that the icon is attributed to its author and Flaticon, whereas subscribers to the premium plan can use all icons without any attribution.

- **Pixabay** (<https://pixabay.com/>) and **Unplash** (<https://unsplash.com/>) offer free photos, illustrations, and vectors that can be used in altered and non-altered form, with some restrictions regarding images that contain identifiable people or logos. Credit to the image author is appreciated but not required.
- **Flickr** (<https://www.flickr.com/>) image hosting service that allows to filter by types of license in order to find images tagged as copyleft.
- **Gimp** (<https://www.gimp.org/>) free software to create and edit images.
- **Font library** (<https://fontlibrary.org/>): website that contains free fonts to download.

8.2 PHOTO DOCUMENTATION

The ACT Communities of Practice will carry out activities such as raising awareness meetings, training sessions, and workshops for exchanging best practices and promoting collaborative learning, which can be documented with the purpose to analyse and synthesise the data collected, share information among CoP members, or openly disseminate the generated outcomes.


This section of the toolkit includes some basic concepts and resources about photography, together with recommendations for CoP facilitators and members of Communities of Practice to photo document their activities and take good quality images to share with general audiences. The suggested guideless are oriented to the use of digital cameras or mobile phones.

Some technical concepts

Below we include a summary of the most relevant technical concepts to take into account when capturing an image:

1. Photographic exposure: It is the relation between three elements: shutter speed, aperture of the diaphragm and sensitivity in ISO scale. A correct exposure is important to obtain an image with the correct grain and sharpness.
2. Shutter speed: it allows to modify the time of exposure of the sensor of a digital camera, regulating the time since the shutter opens to let the light pass until it closes. Trepidation is the defect (lack of sharpness, or "moving image") caused by the movement of the objective at the time of shooting.
3. Aperture and F-Stop: together with the shutter speed, aperture affects the amount of light that reaches the camera sensor: a large aperture captures more light than a smaller one. Adjusting the aperture is important to capture right pictures, in order to avoid ghosting images or too dark images. When the size of the aperture is changed, f-stop is the number that the camera shows (it is also known as f-number).

Besides determining the amount of light, aperture also has an important effect in photography: depth of field, which is the distance between the nearest and the furthest objects that are in sharp focus in an image. A larger aperture produces images with a smaller depth of field, such as the photograph of the cup below, where only a small part of the image is sharp while the background is blurry. On the contrary, in order to capture images that are entirely sharp (e.g.; the image of the meeting room below), you need to use a small aperture.



Images by Pexels from Pixabay.

4. **Sensitivity or ISO scale:** Sensitivity is the amount of light that the sensor needs to react and achieve the required density. Like shutter speed and aperture, ISO affects the brightness or darkness of photos. A photo taken at a too high ISO will show a lot of grain and might not be usable. You should avoid high ISOs whenever possible and stick to the lowest native ISO on your camera (known as “base ISO”) in order to get the highest image quality³⁶.

Point of view and composition

Point of view in photography means the position from which the camera sees the scene. Deciding on the position we adopt before the subject we are shooting can totally change the photo and how viewers perceive the scene.

Shooting from eye level: the point of view puts the subject on our level. The photo is taken frontally, with the focal plane perpendicular to the ground. This point of view facilitates a more realistic appearance, is less expressive and emotional, and avoids distorting the scene. Shooting from eye level also helps viewers to emotionally connect with the photo subject.

Shooting from above: the point of view is higher and above the photographed subject. This position generates psychological connotations of superiority and control on the scene. Like when shooting from below, this angle gives the space a significant role in the picture. This point of view can be useful to capture images of group work around a table and focus in the object of the discussion (e.g.; capturing the notes that the group is writing down) instead of focusing in participants’ faces. Also, shooting from above can be useful to capture images of large groups of people (e.g.; participants in a Conference) or wide spaces in events.

Shooting from below: the point of view adopted is lower than the photo subject. With this angle, the subject seems to be in control of the situation, is more dominant and has more relevance, whereas the smallness and irrelevance of the viewer is emphasised.

Photographic composition refers to how elements are arranged in a picture. It consists on making a decision considering what is our focus of interest, who or what we want to photograph, and creativity criteria. Composition rules can be taken into account for images

³⁶ To know tips on avoiding image blur read this blogpost by Nasim Mansurov: <https://photographylife.com/how-to-take-sharp-photos>


to be harmonic or to emphasise dynamism and contrast. Some essential aspects of photographic composition are listed below:

Point of interest: it is the element which functions as the centre of attention in the picture. It can be a physical point in the image, a specific subject or the vanishing point where all visual lines converge. Centering a subject in the picture is a usual way to draw attention to an individual among a group of people³⁷.

Lines: Lines help to direct the look and convey sensations, such as calmness, agitation, etc. Horizontal lines usually convey placidity and quietness, curb lines convey softness and movement, while diagonal lines convey dynamism and action.

Rule of Thirds: it is one of the basic rules in photography, frequently used to avoid using central composition and symmetry in all images. It consists on dividing the image into a 3 x 3 grid, three equal thirds vertically and horizontally. In this way, four imaginary lines create four intersection points (see figure below). According to the Rule of Thirds, important elements within an image are placed at these intersection points.

For instance, in the landscape image below, the horizon and the table, as primary subject, are placed along the grid or in one of the intersection points³⁸.



© Image by Gorka Ipinazar Santamaria (Shutterstock).

General guidelines for photo documenting CoP activities

- Whenever possible, use a tripod with the camera or the mobile phone to avoid blurring.
- Activate the grid option usually available in mobile phone cameras to help you in defining photographic composition.
- Experiment with the point of view and capture some of the images from unusual angles to increase expressivity.

³⁷ Detailed information about arranging the centre of interest in photography can be found in: <https://www.tiyana.net/principles-of-composition/point-of-view>.

³⁸ Interesting information on alternatives to central composition and the pros and cons of the rule of thirds are included in: <https://photographylife.com/the-rule-of-thirds> and <https://www.tiyana.net/principles-of-composition/golden-ratio/>

- Use central composition as a resource to bring attention on the primary subject. Explore also other unconventional, non-centred, unexpected ways to place the primary subjects in the image.
- Shoot not only general scenes, get close and focus in details.
- Include elements in the scene that refer to the project's visual identity when possible (e.g.; by photographing people next to roll ups, posters, flyers or slides that contain the ACT or the CoP's logos).
- Shoot images in RAW format if you want to allow for more technical adjustments afterwards and preserve the quality of the image, or just use JPEG format as a commonly-used and compressed image file format.
- Store image files by adding a meaningful file name and the date of the activity. When uploading the image to the CoP's Photo Gallery, synthesise the file name by including the relevant keywords, so that images are more easily traced by search engines.
- Take pictures of notes taken in flipcharts, wall paper and post-its during workshops and participatory sessions in order to preserve them for analysis afterwards. Do not include personal data or sensitive data in the notes and consider the legal requirements for data protection.
- Inform workshop participants that minutes and pictures of the workshop will be taken in order to elaborate a summary report.
- Request for due informed consent of participants to use image in order to make photographs during workshops and publish them afterwards.

Resources for photo manipulation and image edition:

- Gimp: free software for photo retouching and image composition which provides tools for both image manipulation and graphic design. It is available for gnu/linux, os x, windows and more operating systems. The website contains a user manual in different languages and tutorials on specific topics³⁹.
- Pixlr: browser photo editor that offers editing tools for free. It can be used online in desktop or mobile, with no need to be downloaded⁴⁰.

Websites and blogs on digital photography:

- Digital-photography-tips.net: website with extensive information on photography terminology and useful tips for digital photography. It also compiles recommended tutorials and resources.
- Photographylife.com: site founded by professional photographers Nasim Mansurov and Spencer Cox, which collects articles about photography with contributions from other authors, as well as a forum and tutorials on specific topics.

³⁹ <https://www.gimp.org/>

⁴⁰ <https://pixlr.com/>

- iPhone photography school: website funded by Emil Pakarklis and developed by photographers specialised in iPhone photography. Even though it is dedicated to iPhone, it also contains useful tips for mobile photography.

8.3 STORYBOARDS

1. Short Description

Storyboarding can help visually capture the social, environmental, and technical factors that shape the context of how, where, and why people engage with services or policies.

2. When to use this method?

Storyboards can be used to build empathy for people who are the target audience of a specific policy, and consider design alternatives in the early phases of the design process. This can be achieved by illustrating contextually rich narratives (Hanington and Martin, 2012).

3. How to use this method?

A. Brief explanation

Five design practices common to visual storytelling can be harnessed to facilitate storyboards will be presented below:

B. Detailed Step-by-step guide

- Simple, abstract drawings of stick figures drawn by anyone are often more effective than artist's outputs at garnering the attention of the storyboard audience on a specific detail or message.
- Draw with enough context, but not so much that details distract from the purpose of the storyboard.
- Use text to supplement the visuals in a storyboard when it would otherwise take too much effort to illustrate a concept or idea. Add words or thought balloons, captions, or background signs.
- Emphasise people, products, or both: To elicit an emotional impact illustrate characters in emotionally charged situations. If on the other hand the goal is to elicit technical or evaluative feedback regarding the concept, leaving characters out of the panels can focus attention on the details of the design.
- Use between 3 to 6 panels to communicate an idea. Each storyboard should be focused on one salient concept or idea. If more than one concept needs to be communicated, consider creating multiple storyboards that each focus on a different factor.
- Time as a design element should be used to show large time lapses in a scene. Clocks, calendars, zoom-ins of wristwatches, or the movement of the sun in the background can be added to explicitly show the passage of time.
- Construct the story and the storyboard panels depending on what information will resonate with the target audience. For instance, when designing for stakeholders, illustrate the range of potential design opportunities.⁴¹

⁴¹ Adapted from Hanington and Martin (2012: 170).

4. Additional ideas / information

The storyboard outputs can be distributed in face-to-face meetings and presentations, as well as online and on websites.



9. ONLINE TOOLS FOR COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE

As it is not always possible to meet in person, it is very helpful for the CoPs to have other ways and possibilities to communicate, exchange knowledge and experiences as well as to learn and work together. In this section, some tools will be presented which facilitate communication if face-to-face meetings are not possible, or if some exchange is wanted in addition to face-to-face meetings. One of those tools is the ACT Knowledge Sharing Hub that will be further explained in a forthcoming deliverable.

This section describes the tools included in the online infrastructure that ACT offers to its supported Communities of Practice, which can be used as an alternative to face-to-face activities for collaborative learning, consensus making, knowledge sharing or planning. The detailed tools are part of the services of the ACT Knowledge Sharing Hub, a multisite platform composed of the ACT project website (act-on-gender.eu) and a specific website for each of the supported CoPs, hosted in the same virtual server and domain extension with the CoP acronym (acronym.act-on-gender.eu).

CoPs sites combine some public areas with private sections that are accessible only to registered users (e.g.; files sharing area, forum or video conferencing tool). CoP facilitators will be the admins of their CoP website and able to manage registration requests, so that they will decide who will have access to the private area of the site.

This Knowledge Sharing Hub is built in connection with GenPORT⁴². It offers internet presence for CoPs in its public areas and a workspace for CoP members' collaboration in private sections. The online tools for CoPs collaboration are listed below⁴³:

Forum

Besides exchanging information in a mailing list, CoPs will be able to hold conversations in a forum page of their site in the form of posted messages around specific threads. The forum tool will be accessible for registered users of the CoP.

For having online discussions beyond the CoP (e.g.; among CoP facilitators and with other CoPs or with groups of people), there is available the space of Groups in GenPORT, a tool that can be used to post requests, suggestions or organise e-discussions on specific topics. A GenPORT group can be private or public, so CoP members decide if the e-discussion and the contents of the group are visible or not⁴⁴.

⁴² GenPORT (<https://www.genderportal.eu/>) is an open collaborative internet portal on gender and science resulting from a 4-year project (2012-2016) funded by the 7th Framework Programme for EU research (FP7) of the European Commission.

⁴³ A detailed description of all services of the technical infrastructure of ACT is included in D5.1 GenPORT+ Knowledge Sharing Hub – Final Specification and Description of Services.

⁴⁴ <https://www.genderportal.eu/groups>

Poll

A poll module allows to create and manage polls so that CoP members can capture votes on different topics in the form of multiple-choice questions.

Video meetings

To hold online meetings, CoPs sites include a link to the video conference system hosted by DESY. This online conference system has a space for the whole ACT project and a specific meeting space for each of the supported CoPs.

Files sharing area

The file sharing area of the CoP is a page only accessible to registered users. It allows to upload/download files and tag them according to meaningful keywords. The page allows to filter by tags, by upload user or by upload date.

Resources

Unlike the file sharing area, it is a publically visible page that compiles files, recommended resources and available outcomes of the Community of Practice.

Toolkit

This public section contains the learning content, participatory methods and tools of the Community of Practice Co-creation Toolkit.

Webforms

CoPs sites include the option to create webforms, useful to make short consultations or to register participants' attendance to CoPs' activities.

Surveys

Page that contains the GE Audit and Monitoring tool and Wilder Collaborations Surveys content and guidelines. It includes a link to the LimeSurvey platform, available for carrying out gender equality diagnosis (only accessible to registered users).

Activities

The blog page of CoPs sites collects posts on news and events of each CoP. Information published in this blog area is also compiled in the News and Events sections of the ACT website.

10. REFERENCES

- Acker, Joan. 2000. "Gendered Contradictions in Organizational Equity Projects." *Organisation*, 7(8): 625-632.
- Akhavan, Peyman, Babaeianpour Marzieh, and Masoumeh Mirjafari. 2015. "Identifying the success factors of Communities of Practice (CoPs): How do they affect on students to create knowledge?" *Vine*, 45(2): 198-213.
- Allie's TCH306 Webpage. N.d. "Strategy 4: Fishbowl Discussions." Available from: <https://sites.google.com/site/alliestch306webpage/products-services/strategy-4-fishbowl-discussions>.
- Ambrose, L. 2003. "Multiple Mentoring: Discover Alternatives to a One-on-One Learning Relationship." *Healthcare Executive*, 18(4): 58–60.
- Andreson, Kare. 2013. "Pay It Forward With the Five-Minute Favor." Available from: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/kareanderson/2013/07/17/pay-it-forward-with-the-five-minute-favor/#60ec5b1b6f5d>
- Apel, Heino. 2004. "The Future Workshop." Available from: https://www.die-bonn.de/esprid/dokumente/doc-2004/apel04_02.pdf.
- Beel, Joeran, and Stefan Langer. 2011. "An Exploratory Analysis of Mind Maps." In Proceedings of the 11th ACM Symposium on Document Engineering (DocEng'11), Mountain View, California, USA, pages 81-84 2011. ACM.
- Break the Ice with The Four Quadrants Activity. 2019. Available from: <https://www.sessionlab.com/methods/break-the-ice-with-the-four-quadrants-activity>.
- Cambridge, Darren, Soren Kaplan, and Vicki Suter. 2005. "Community of Practice Design Guide: A Step-by-Step Guide for Designing & Cultivating Communities of Practice in Higher Education." *EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative (ELI)*. Available from: <https://library.educause.edu/resources/2005/1/community-of-practice-design-guide-a-stepbystep-guide-for-designing-cultivating-communities-of-practice-in-higher-education>.
- Darwin, Ann, and Edward J Palmer. 2009. "Mentoring Circles in Higher Education." *Higher Education Research and Development*, 28(2):125-136.
- Davies, Martin. 2010. "Concept mapping, mind mapping and argument mapping: what are the differences and do they matter?" *Higher Education*, 62(3): 279-301.
- European Commission. 2019. "GenPORT". <https://www.genderportal.eu/>.
- European Institute for Gender Equality. 2019. <https://eige.europa.eu/>.
- Fontainha, Elsa, and Pat Gannon-Leary. 2008. "Communities of Practice and Virtual Learning Communities: Benefits, barriers and success factors." *E-learning Papers*, 5: 20-29.
- Frow, Penni, Suvi Nenonen, Adrian Payne, and Kaj Storbacka. 2015. "Managing Co-creation Design: A Strategic Approach to Innovation." *British Journal of Management*, 26(3): 463-483.

- Grant, Adam. 2013. *Give and Take: Why Helping Others Drives Our Success*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
- Gray, Dave. 2011. "Heart, Hand, Mind." <https://gamestorming.com/heart-hand-mind/>.
- Hanington, Bruce, and Bella Martin. 2012. *Universal Methods of Design: 100 Ways to Research Complex Problems, Develop Innovative Ideas, and Design Effective Solutions*. Beverly: Rockport Publishers.
- Hong, Jongyi. 2017. "A method for identifying the critical success factors of CoP based on performance evaluation." *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 15(4): 572-593.
- Jackson, J.Kasi, Joel Alejandro Meija, Maja Husar Holmes, and Rachel R. Stoiko. 2016. "Dialogues Toward Gender Equity: Engaging Engineering Faculty to Promote an Inclusive Department Climate." New Orleans Jazzed about Education, ASEE's 123rd Annual Conference & Exposition, New Orleans, LA, June 26-29, 2016.
- Jagasia, Jyoti, Utpal Baul, and Debasis Mallik. 2015. "A Framework for Communities of Practice in Learning Organizations." *Business Perspectives and Research*, 3(1): 1–20.
- Kaplan, Josiah. 2014. *Round Robin*. "Better Evaluation." Available from: <https://www.betterevaluation.org/en/evaluation-options/roundrobin>
- Lawlor, K. Blaine, and Martin J. Hornyak. 2012. "Smart Goals: How The Application of Smart Goals Can Contribute to Achievement of Student Learning Outcomes." *Developments in Business Simulation and Experiential Learning*, 39: 259-267.
- Liberating Structures – Innovation durch echte Zusammenarbeit. 2019. Available from: <https://www.liberatingstructures.de/>.
- MacLeod, Les. 2013. "Making SMART Goals Smarter." *Physician executive*, 38(2): 68-70.
- Mansurov, Nasim. 2019. "How to Take Sharp Photos". Available from: <https://photographylife.com/how-to-take-sharp-photos>.
- McDermott, Richard. 2000. "Community Development as a Natural Step: Five stages of community development." *Knowledge Management Review*, 3: 16-19.
- MediaLABamsterdam.2019. n.d. "Design method toolkit." Available from: <https://medialabamsterdam.com/toolkit/>.
- Mindlab. 2018. "Methods." Available from: <http://mind-lab.dk/en/methods>.
- Nediger, Midori.2019. "How to Make an Infographic in 5 Steps [Step-By-Step Guide]". Available from: <https://venngage.com/blog/how-to-make-an-infographic-in-5-steps/>.
- Ostwald, Jamel. 2007. "Argument Mapping." Available from: <http://www.jostwald.com/ArgumentMapping/>.
- Participation and Sustainable Development in Europe. 2019. Available from: <https://www.partizipation.at/en.html>.
- Probst, Gilbert, and Stefano Borzillo. 2008. "Why Communities of Practice Succeed and Why They Fail." *European Management Journal*, 26: 335–34.

- Pyrko, Igor, Viktor Dörfler, and Colin Eden. 2017. "Thinking together: What makes Communities of Practice Work?" *Human Relations*, 70(4): 389 –409.
- Retna, Kala S., and Pak Tee Ng. 2011. "Communities of Practice: Dynamics and Success Factors." *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 32(1): 41-59.
- Martos Sanz, Sandra. 2012. *Comunidades De Práctica: El Valor De Aprender De Los Pares*. Barcelona: Editorial UOC.
- Slocum, Nikki. 2013. "Participatory Methods Toolkit: A Practitioner's Manual." Available from: http://archive.unu.edu/hq/library/Collection/PDF_files/CRIS/PMT.pdf.
- SMART Goals. 2019. Available from: <https://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/smart-goals.htm>.
- SWOT and PESTEL. 2015. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/knowledge-exchange/index_83128.html.
- Vogel, Isabel. 2012. *Review of the Use of 'Theory of Change' in International Development*. Available from: <http://isabelvogel.co.uk>.
- Wenger, Etienne, Richard McDermott, and William M. Snyder. 2002. *Cultivating Communities of Practice: A Guide to Managing Knowledge*. USA: Harvard Business School Press.
- World Café. 2019. Available from: <http://www.theworldcafe.com/>.