Soluble Oligo-Aramide Precursors - a Novel Class of Building Blocks for Rod-Coil Architectures

Robert Abbel, Holger Frey, Dieter Schollmeyer, Andreas F.M. Kilbinger*

[*] Dr. A.F.M. Kilbinger, Dipl. Chem. R. Abbel, Prof. Dr. H. Frey, Dr. D. Schollmeyer

Institut für Organische Chemie

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz

Duesbergweg 10-14

D-55099 Mainz

Germany

Fax: (+49) 6131-39-26138

E-mail: akilbing@uni-mainz.de

Abstract

A new synthetic route is described that allows the reversible conversion of the inherently insoluble oligo(p-benzamide)s into soluble materials via the formation of imidoyl chlorides. Syntheses of the corresponding dimer, trimer and tetramer are reported, which can easily be purified by crystallization and are accessible on the multi gram scale. Structural proof was obtained by single crystal x-ray structures of the trimer and tetramer precursors. They can be selectively functionalized into amides or esters at the terminal carboxylic acid group followed by hydrolysis of the imidoyl chlorides to the parent amides. This new class of compounds gives access to strongly aggregating rigid rod-like materials in few synthetic steps as is demonstrated by the preparation of poly(ethylene glycol)-co-oligo(p-benzamide) rod-coil block-copolymers.

Keywords

block copolymers · aggregation · aramide · oligomers · rod-coil-copolymers

Introduction

Polyaramides are amongst the toughest synthetic materials known today. Their excellent thermal and mechanical properties arise from the ability of the amide containing polymer

chains to form hydrogen bonds between each other.^[1] The rigidity of the chains in combination with the interchain hydrogen bonds render the polymer virtually insoluble in most organic solvents. For processing purposes, strong hydrogen bond disrupting solvents like concentrated sulfuric acid or DMAc/LiCl are typically employed.^[2]

Despite the broad synthetic expertise concerning the synthesis of polyaramides,^[3] surprisingly few reports describe the preparation of the corresponding monodisperse aromatic amide oligomers. Oligoaramides are central building units for novel rod-coil block copolymer architectures, unusual liquid crystals and essential models for a fundamental understanding of hydrogen-bonding in polymeric aramides.

Oligo(*p*-benzamide)s (OPBA) up to the tetramer have been reported by Bredereck.^[4] However, a full characterization of the compounds according to today's analytical standards have not yet been reported. König et al. reported the synthesis of OPBA up to the trimer on a solubilising poly(ethylene glycol) support.^[5] There, the OPBA is build up using 4-nitro benzoyl chloride via a successive amide coupling and nitro-group reduction sequence. Two reaction steps are therefore necessary for the attachment of each monomer unit.

Due to the high chain rigidity, the hydrogen bond donors/acceptors in OPBA are expected to align perfectly and make these materials intriguing supramolecular synthons for solution or solid state organization in rod-coil block copolymer architectures.

Here we report a new synthetic route which allows a significantly faster build-up of OPBA using oligomers of three or four units at a time.

Results and Discussion

As outlined in Scheme 1, reaction of *p*-nitrobenzoyl chloride with *p*-aminobenzoic acid gave the amide **2** in 95 % yield.^[4] Following a procedure by Julia et al.,^[6] we attempted to convert dimer **2** into the corresponding acid chloride using thionyl chloride. However, this reaction failed in our hands and we obtained **4**, in which the amide and carboxylic acid had been converted to an imidoyl chloride and acid chloride, respectively, in 65% isolated yield.

While the report by Julia et al. described the product as an insoluble yellow solid, we obtained a yellow solid which exhibited excellent solubility in diethyl ether, acetone, dichloromethane or chloroform. The melting point of **4** (157 °C) was greatly reduced compared to the parent amide **2** (> 300 °C). Unfortunately, Julia et al. did not report a melting point for the product obtained in their synthesis. While the conversion of aromatic amides into imidoyl chlorides

using thionyl chloride is a well-known reaction,[7] it has, to the best of our knowledge, never been employed as a supramolecular protecting group, improving the solubility of otherwise insoluble materials.

The reaction of **2** with thionyl chloride gave **4** as the main product which was crystallized from toluene. In order to improve the yield of 65 % we analyzed the toluene insoluble fraction. ¹H- and ¹³-C NMR spectroscopy as well as FD-mass spectrometry unambiguously showed the formation of 4-(N'-formylamino)-N,N-dimethylbenzamide as the main side product, explaining the difficulties in increasing the yield of **4**. This side product was presumably formed by cleavage of the amide bond of **2** and subsequent reaction with fragments of the DMF present in the crystal lattice of **2**. Further investigations will be carried out to elucidate the exact mechanism of this side reaction.

Reaction of **4** with **1** gave trimer **5** in 93 % yield after hydrolysis, which could be converted into the corresponding compound **6** (35 %) in which both amides were transformed into imidoyl chlorides and the carboxylic acid into an acid chloride. The composition of the reaction residue could not successfully be analysed for this reaction.

However, encouraged by the ease of these transformations we prepared tetramer **7** (96 %) from **4** and **3** and successfully converted it to the corresponding imidoyl chloride and acid chloride containing compound **8** (40 %). The analysis of the insoluble residue by ¹H- and ¹³-C NMR spectroscopy as well as FD-mass spectrometry showed that the N,N-dimethylamido-N'-formamide of **3**, (H₃C)₂N-CO-C₆H₄-NH-CO-C₆H₄-NH-CHO, was the main side product. For the formation of this compound, **7** had to cleaved at the central C-N-bond. Interestingly, neither of the two alternative reaction products obtained by cleavage of the other two amide bonds in **7** could be observed.

The imidoyl chloride protected compounds **4**, **6** and **8** are unusual precursors for the corresponding amide-oligomers in that they have to be prepared from those amides to begin with. However, OPBA are virtually insoluble in most organic solvents and therefore inaccessible for chemical transformations. Thionyl chloride not only dissolves the OPBA but also converts them into very soluble and reactive intermediates which can be hydrolysed back to the parent amide structure after reaction. In this respect we feel confident to describe this approach as a precursor route.

Importantly, **4**, **6** and **8** can easily prepared on the multi-gram scale and crystallize readily from toluene. They show greatly improved solubility over the parent amides due to the fact

that all amide-groups responsible for hydrogen bond formation are replaced by imidoyl chlorides. The Single crystal X-ray structures of **6**^[8] and **8**^[9] (Figures 1 and 2) show that the phenyl rings in the solid state are twisted by approximately 90° with respect to each other (**6** 1/2:72.9(1)°, 2/3:74.0(1)°, **8**:1/2:89.9(4)°, 2/3:74.2(4)°, 3/4:61.9(4)°), which also contributes to the enhanced solubility of these compounds.^[10]

To provide evidence that a twist of the phenyl rings with respect to each other is also present in solution, UV spectra of compounds **4**, **6** and **8** were recorded in chloroform (Figure 3). It can be seen that the shift of the absorption maximum with increasing oligomer length is much less ($\Delta\lambda_{\text{max}} = 18$ nm, difference between **4** and **8**) than that of the parent amides ($\Delta\lambda_{\text{max}} = 47$ nm, difference between **2** and **7**, Figure 4), indicating reduced conjugation due to a twist of the phenyl rings.

The synthesis of **5** and **7** showed that nucleophilic substitution with aromatic amines takes place selectively at the acid chloride to form the corresponding amides. Substitution of the imidoyl chlorides to give amidines was not observed under our reaction conditions.

To show the preparative usefulness of these soluble oligo aramide precursors, we prepared rod-coil block copolymers from commercially available mono-methyl-poly(ethylene glycol) (MPEG). In most rod-coil block copolymers,^[11] the rod-segment gains its stiffness through helical structures. Examples for helical structures used in rod-coil architectures are polypeptides,^[12] polyisocyanates,^[13] polyisocyanides^[14] and polycarbodiimides.^[15] Examples for non-helical rods are poly(*p*-phenylene)s,^[16,17] poly(thiophene)s,^[18] poly(phenylquinoline)s^[19] and others. Ciferri et al. have exploited the strong hydrogen bonding ability of poly(*p*-benzamide)s (PPBA) in block copolymers from PPBA and poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG).^[20] Yokozawa et al. developed a chain growth polymerisation route to PPBA^[21] and have reported triblock architectures with a PEG outer and PPBA inner block.^[22] Rod-coil block copolymers with well-defined, i.e. monodisperse, OPBA as the rigid block have, to the best of our knowledge, not yet been reported. Here we describe the first synthesis of such materials using the soluble OPBA precursors.

As outlined in Scheme 2, MPEG was reacted with *p*-nitrobenzoyl chloride to give the ester **9**. Nitro terminated polymer **9** was subsequently reduced using ammonium formate-Pd/C to give

the primary amine **10**.^[5] Figure 5 shows the doubly charged mass distribution of the ESI mass spectrum of polymer **10**. All peaks are isotopically resolved and can be assigned to the product structure. To emphasize this, the polymer with 104 ethylene oxide repeat units is marked as an example.

Polymer **10** was reacted with soluble OPBA precursors **6** and **8** to give **11** (72 %) and **12** (71 %) respectively. These polymers were again reduced with ammonium formate-Pd/C to give primary amine terminated polymers **13** (79 %) and **14** (22 %). The low yield for the reduction of **12** was attributed to strong aggregation in solution of the block-copolymer, rendering the terminal nitro group of **12** inaccessible for a heterogeneous reduction protocol. Investigations into homogeneous reductions are currently being carried out.

The triply charged mass distribution of the ESI mass spectrum of polymer **13** is shown in Figure 6. All mass peaks are isotopically resolved and can be assigned to the product structure. To illustrate this fact the polymer with 104 ethylene oxide repeat units is marked in the spectrum.

Polymer **13** was again reacted with soluble OPBA precursor **6** to give nitro terminated polymer **15** (88 %). Although the rigid hepta(*p*-benzamide) rod in this block copolymer is relatively short, the effect it has on the physical properties of the copolymer are quite dramatic. While the PEG homopolymer is miscible with water in all ratios, solid **15** does not dissolve in water unless sonicated. Additionally, the solubility in solvents like chloroform, methanol or dioxane is greatly reduced. Also, melt enthalpies showed a systematic decrease with increasing chain length of the OPBA. These phenomena are explained by strong intermolecular interactions of the oligo aramide blocks.

To further investigate the interaction of the aramide oligomers, gel permeation chromatography (GPC) traces were recorded in chloroform for polymers **11**, **12** and **15**, each at different concentrations varying from 0.5g/L to 3.5g/L. For polymer **11**, only the non-aggregated block copolymer could be observed at all concentrations. The elution curve for polymer **12** exhibited two peaks, one corresponding to the non-aggregated and one to the aggregated form of the material, with the latter increasing in intensity as concentration was increased.

For polymer **15**, the GPC traces showed that the aggregated form was predominant even at the lowest concentration. Polymers **11**, **12** and **15** recorded on a GPC run in DMF (+1% LiBr) showed only the non-aggregated species. First AFM data show the existence of vesicle-like spheres in chloroform. Further investigations into the supramolecular structures formed using AFM and light scattering techniques are currently being carried out in our group.

Conclusion

We have developed a new route to soluble OPBA in which the aromatic amides were converted to imidoyl chlorides. The imidoyl chlorides act as supramolecular protecting groups preventing hydrogen bonding and aggregation. Oligomers up to the tetramer were prepared and converted to the soluble and reactive precursor form. These could be obtained in very high purity and their structures were confirmed by single crystal x-ray analysis.

As high reagent reactivity and few reaction steps are crucial for modifying polymer end-groups in a well-defined manner, we demonstrated the synthetic value of these novel precursors by preparing MPEG-oligoaramide block-copolymers with oligomer lengths up to the heptamer in only five reaction steps and no detectable side reactions. These blockcopolymers exhibit strong solution aggregation as determined by gel permeation chromatography. First AFM data shows the existence of vesicle-like structures in chloroform. These new synthetic precursors open the door to the synthesis of novel supramolecular polymers and unusual liquid crystals as well as the study of molecular recognition based on hydrogen bonding in synthetic materials.

Experimental Section

Materials: Solvents (p. a. quality) were purchased from Fisher Scientific, those for spectrometric measurements and all chemical reagents from Acros Organics. Toluene was dried by azeotropic removal of water and subsequent storage over molecular sieves (4 Å). All other chemicals were used as received without further purification. Recycling of used thionyl chloride was performed by reflux over flowers of sulfur followed by two successive distillations. Deuterated solvents (DMSO- d_6 and $CDCl_3$) were purchased from Deutero GmbH and used as received. Celite was purchased from Fluka. p-Aminobenzoic acid (**1**) (Acros) was used as received.

Physical and analytical methods: Standard ^1H and ^{13}C nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded at 300 MHz (75 MHz for ^{13}C) on a Bruker AC 300. For high temperature measurements a Bruker DRX 400 was used, working at 400 MHz (100 MHz for ^{13}C). Field desorption mass spectra were measured on a Finnigan MAT 95 and ESI mass spectra on a Micromass Q-TOF Ultima 3. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 5 DXC FT-IR spectrometer, UV-VIS spectra on a Shimadzu UV-210 2 PC scanning spectrophotometer. DSC curves were obtained from a Perkin Elmer DSC 7 and a Perkin Elmer Thermal Analysis Controller TAC 7/DX. For elemental analyses, an Elementar Vario EL 2 was used. X-ray crystal structures were obtained on a Turbo CAD 4. For polymeric compounds **9-15** only ^1H -NMR data corresponding to the modified OPBA end-group are reported.

4-(4-Nitrobenzamido)benzoic acid (2): 4-Aminobenzoic acid **1** (80 g, 0.584 mol) and N,N-dimethylaniline (100 ml) were dissolved in dry acetone (530 ml). To this mixture a solution of 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride (108 g, 0.582 mol) in acetone (130 ml) was added dropwise under stirring and cooling with an ice bath. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for one further hour. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with hydrochloric acid (2 N, 400 ml) and then water until the filtrate was neutral. The resulting solid was dried under vacuum and recrystallized from DMF to give **2** (158.2 g, 95%), m.p. > 300°C. Found (sample free of DMF): C, 58.23; H, 3.28; N, 9.84 %; M (MS, FD) m/z (%): [M]⁺ 286.5 (100). Calculated for C₁₄H₁₀N₂O₅: C, 58.73; H, 3.52; N, 9.79 %; M 286.1. ^1H -NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 7.93 (m, 4H), 8.18 (d, 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 8.36 (d, 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 10.82 (s, 1 H), 12.75 (v br s, < 1 H); ^{13}C -NMR and DEPT (DMSO-d₆): δ 119.8 (+), 123.7 (+), 126.2, 129.5 (+), 130.4 (+), 140.4, 142.9, 149.4, 164.4, 167.0. UV-VIS (DMAc + 1 % LiCl): λ_{max} (log ϵ) = 268 (4.55) nm; IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3319, 3108, 3075, 1669, 1645, 1524, 1342 cm⁻¹.

4-(4-Aminobenzamido)benzoic acid (3): **2** (15 g, 0.052 mol) was suspended in DMF (50 ml). Methanol (400 ml) and ammonium formate (33 g, 0.523 mol) were added while stirring and cooling with an ice bath. Under N₂-atmosphere Pd/C (10%, 1 g) was added and the resulting suspension was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. It was passed through Celite (Aldrich) and the solvent was evaporated to near dryness. The residue was neutralized with HCl (conc.), resulting in a white precipitate, which was recovered by filtration. Further product could be obtained from the filtrate by addition of water. This

precipitate was combined with the first, stirred in water, recovered by filtration, washed neutral with water and dried under vacuum to give **3** (11.8 g, 89 %), m. p. > 300 °C.

Found: M (MS, FD) m/z (%): 256.2 [M]⁺ (100). Calculated for C₁₄H₁₂N₂O₃: M 256.1. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 6.66 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.90 (s, 4 H), 10.08 (s, 1 H). ¹³C-NMR and DEPT (DMSO-d₆): δ 112.7(+), 119.2 (+), 120.7, 124.8, 129.7 (+), 130.3 (+), 144.1, 152.6, 165.7, 167.2. UV-VIS (DMAc + 1 % LiCl): λ_{max} (log ε) = 317 (4.76) nm; IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3384, 3350, 3275, 1683, 1653, 1604 cm⁻¹.

Compound 4: 2 (120 g, 0.42 mol) was refluxed in thionyl chloride (600 ml). After 3 h complete dissolution of **2** was achieved, after 8 h a turbid suspension was obtained. The thionyl chloride was distilled off and the residue was extracted with dry boiling toluene, which was filtered, giving a clear yellow filtrate and a white solid residue. The white solid was refluxed in thionyl chloride (600 ml) for 8 h and treated the same way as described above. The combined filtrates were cooled to room temperature, then to -20 °C. A yellow solid crystallized out, which was recovered by filtration, washed with petroleum ether and dried under vacuum to give **4** (87.8 g, 65 %), m. p. = 157 °C. From the filtrate more yellow crystals were obtained by addition of petroleum ether and cooling to -20 °C.

Found: C, 51.81; H, 2.62; N, 8.76 %; M (MS, FD) m/z (%): 322.5 (100), 324.5 (65.7), 326.4 (10.3). Calculated for C₁₄H₈Cl₂N₂O₃: C, 52.18; H, 2.50; N, 8.67 %; M (%): 322.0 (100). 324.0 (63.9). 326.0 (10.2). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.08 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.19 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.33 (s, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR and DEPT: δ 120.4 (+), 123.7 (+), 130.2, 130.5 (+), 132.9 (+), 139.9, 143.7, 150.2, 153.1, 167.5. UV-VIS (CHCl₃): λ_{max} (log ε) = 280 (4.51) nm; IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3109, 3065, 3043, 1741, 1662, 1518, 1343 cm⁻¹.

Compound 5: 1 (21.2 g, 0.155 mol) and N,N-dimethylaniline (25 ml) were dissolved in dry acetone (140 ml). To this solution a solution of **4** (50 g, 0.155 mol) in of acetone (330 ml) was added dropwise under stirring and cooling in an ice bath. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 30 further minutes. Water (20 ml) was added to the resulting yellow suspension and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with hydrochloric acid (2 N, 300 ml), then washed neutral with water and dried under vacuum. The resulting solid was recrystallized from DMF to give **5** (58.3 g, 93 %), m.p. > 300°C.

Found: C, 61.59; H, 3.58; N, 10.42 %; M (MS, FD) m/z (%): 405.4 [M]⁺ (100). Calculated for C₂₁H₁₅N₃O₆: C, 62.21; H, 3.73; N, 10.37 %; M 405.1. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 7.92 – 8.03

(m, 8 H), 8.20 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.38 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 10.46 (s, 1 H), 10.83 (s, 1 H), 12.73 (br s, < 1H). ^{13}C -NMR and DEPT: δ 119.6 (+), 119.8 (+), 123.7 (+), 125.5, 128.9 (+), 129.5 (+), 129.9, 130.3 (+), 140.4, 142.1, 143.5, 149.4, 164.4, 165.4, 167.1. UV-VIS (DMAc + 1 % LiCl): λ_{max} (log ϵ) = 294 (4.31) nm; IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3337, 3112, 3070, 1725, 1654, 1347 cm^{-1} .

Compound 6: 5 (56.8 g, 0.14 mol) was refluxed in thionyl chloride (400 ml) for 90 minutes. Total dissolution of **5** was achieved after 30 minutes. The SOCl_2 was distilled off and petroleum ether was added to give a yellow precipitate, which was collected by filtration and recrystallized from dry toluene to give **6** (22.7 g, 35 %), m. p. = 169 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. From the filtrate of the recrystallization further product was obtained by addition of petroleum ether.

Found: C, 54.71; H, 2.77; N, 9.09 %; M (MS, FD) m/z (%): $[\text{M}]^+$ 459.4 (100.0), 461.4 (91.1), 463.4 (35.1). Calculated for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_3\text{Cl}_3\text{O}_3$: C, 54.90; H, 2.63; N, 9.15 %; M (%) 459.0 (100.0), 461.0 (95.8), 463.0 (30.6). ^1H -NMR (CDCl_3): δ 7.06 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.17 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.25 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.34 (s, 4 Hz). ^{13}C -NMR and DEPT (CDCl_3): δ 120.3 (+), 120.7 (+), 123.7 (+), 129.6, 130.5 (+), 130.7 (+), 131.9, 132.8 (+), 140.2, 143.0, 144.8, 150.1, 150.8, 154.0, 167.6. UV-VIS (CHCl_3): λ_{max} (log ϵ) = 292 (4.31) nm; IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3109, 3083, 1764, 1641, 1517, 1344 cm^{-1} .

Compound 7: 3 (6 g, 23.4 mmol) and *N,N*-dimethylaniline (6 ml) were dissolved in a mixture of dry acetone and dry *N*-methylpyrrolidinone. To this solution a solution of **2** (7.55 g, 23.4 mmol) in dry acetone was added dropwise under stirring at room temperature. After one hour water (15 ml) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for about 6 h. The resulting solid was collected by filtration, stirred with HCl (1 M), washed neutral with water, filtered and the filtrate evaporated to dryness under vacuum. Recrystallization from DMF or a DMF/NMP mixture was not possible, but by stirring in refluxing DMF for several hours colored impurities dissolved, while the product remained insoluble. After cooling, the solid was filtered, washed with water, then with acetone and dried under vacuum to give **7** (11.76 g, 96 %), m. p. > 300 $^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Found: M (MS, FD) m/z (%): $[\text{M}]^+$ 524.5 (100). Calculated for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_4\text{O}_7$: M 524.1. ^1H -NMR (DMSO-d_6): δ 7.92 – 8.05 (m, 12 H), 8.20 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.38 (d, 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 10.43 (s, 1 H), 10.46 (s, 1 H), 10.83 (s, 1 H), 12.72 (br s, < 1 H). ^{13}C -NMR and DEPT (DMSO-d_6 , T = 130 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, 100 MHz): δ 120.05 (+), 120.11 (+), 120.42 (+), 123.56 (+), 126.26, 128.65 (+), 128.72 (+), 129.43 (+), 129.96, 130.22 (+), 130.66, 140.83, 142.14, 142.83, 143.66, 150.03,

164.51, 165.50, 165.61, 167.01. UV-VIS (DMAc + 1 % LiCl): $\lambda_{\max}$ (log ϵ) = 316 (4.19) nm; IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3339, 3103, 3064, 1653, 1349 cm^{-1} .

Compound 8: 7 (11.76 g, 22.4 mmol) was dissolved in SOCl_2 (150 ml) and refluxed for 12 h. After that almost all solid was dissolved, the SOCl_2 was distilled off and the residue extracted with boiling dry toluene and passed through a paper filter. Cooling of the filtrate to -20°C gave orange crystals, which were collected by filtration, washed with petroleum ether and dried under vacuum to give **8** (5.3 g, 40 %), m. p. $> 250^\circ\text{C}$ (decomposition).

Found C, 57.24 ; H, 2.84 ; N, 9.31; M (MS, FD) m/z (%): $[\text{M}]^+$ 596.6 (71.5), 598.6 (100), 600.6 (49.5), 602.6 (8.3). Calculated for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_4\text{O}_3\text{Cl}_4$: C, 56.38; H, 2.70; N, 9.40 %; M 596.0 (78.3), 598.0 (100), 600.0 (47.9), 602.0 (10.2). ^1H -NMR (CDCl_3): δ 7.04 – 7.14 (m, 6 H), 8.15 – 8.28 (m, 6 H), 8.34 (s, 4 H). ^{13}C -NMR and DEPT (CDCl_3): 120.3(+), 120.6 (+), 120.8 (+), 123.7 (+), 129.5, 103.5 (+), 130.7 (+), 131.1, 132.1, 132.9 (+), 140.2, 142.9, 144.2, 145.0, 150.1, 150.6, 151.7, 154.1, 167.6. UV-VIS (CHCl_3): $\lambda_{\max}$ (log ϵ) = 297 (4.11) nm; IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3095, 1767, 1641, 1524, 1349 cm^{-1} .

Compound 9: Poly(ethylene glycol) mono methyl ether ($M_w=5000$, 150 g, 30 mmol) and pyridine (15 ml) were dissolved in dichloromethane (600 ml). *p*-Nitrobenzoyl chloride (28 g, 151 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was refluxed for two days and then stirred for one day at room temperature under exclusion of water. Upon evaporation of most of the solvent needles crystallized, which were separated by filtration. The filtrate was added dropwise into 2 liters of diethyl ether and cooled to -20°C , but hardly any precipitation was observed. After most of the solvent was removed by distillation, a second precipitation into diethyl ether yielded a white solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum to give **9** (138.6 g, 92 %).

^1H -NMR ($\text{DMSO}-d_6$): δ 8.18 (d, 8.8 Hz), 8.36 (d, 8.8 Hz)

Compound 10: 9 (138.6 g, 27.7 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of methanol (1.2 l) and dichloromethane (50 ml). Ammonium formate (170 g, 2.70 mol) and Pd/C (10 %, 5.5 g) were added under N_2 -atmosphere. After evolution of gas had started, the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h. After filtration through Celite (Aldrich) the filtrate was evaporated completely and the residue extracted three times with CHCl_3 . The combined organic extracts were washed with water, dried over MgSO_4 over night and concentrated by evaporation. By precipitation into the tenfold amount of diethyl ether a white solid was

obtained, which was removed by filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum to give **10** (119.5 g, 86 %).

¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 5.94 (s), 6.55 (d, 8.8 Hz), 7.62 (d, 8.8 Hz)

General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 11, 12 and 15: Five equivalents of the corresponding acid chloride were dissolved in dry methylene chloride. To this solution a solution of one equivalent of **10** and five equivalents of N,N-dimethylaniline in methylene chloride was added dropwise under stirring, cooling with an ice bath and exclusion of water. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for at least 30 min. Then the mixture was concentrated by evaporation of solvent and precipitated into dry diethyl ether. The solid obtained was collected and redissolved in a homogenous mixture of chloroform, acetone, and water. Excess acid chlorides were hydrolyzed by stirring for at least 2 h. Afterwards the organic solvents were evaporated, the water was removed by azeotropic distillation with toluene and the residue was stirred with chloroform until a solution of finely dispersed free acid was obtained. The unreacted acid was removed by filtration, the filtrate was washed with HCl (1M), with NaHCO₃ solution (conc.) and then with water (when necessary for phase separation, with NaCl solution (conc.)) and dried with sodium sulfate. After filtration of the drying agent, the clear solution was concentrated by evaporation of the solvent under vacuum and added dropwise into diethyl ether. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum.

Compound 11: 30 g of **10** gave 21.5 g of **11** (72 %).

¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 7.96 – 8.06 (m), 8.22 (d, 8.8 Hz), 8.40 (d, 8.8 Hz), 10.46 (br s), 10.83 (s)

Compound 12: 1 g of **10** gave 710 mg of **12** (71 %).

Alternatively, **12** (0.92 g) could be obtained from the reaction of **11** (1.5 g) with 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride.

¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 7.95 – 8.10 (m), 8.21 (d, 8.5 Hz), 8.39 (d, 8.5 Hz), 10.43 (s), 10.47 (br s), 10.84 (s)

Compound 13: **11** (14.25 g) was dissolved in MeOH and some additional CH₂Cl₂ and a large excess of ammonium formate (15 g) and Pd/C (10 %, 1 g) were added, the latter under N₂-atmosphere. After stirring for 12 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered

through Celite (Aldrich), and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was stirred with chloroform, sonicated and filtered through Celite(Aldrich). The filtrate was washed with NaCl solution (conc.) and then with water, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. Upon precipitation into diethyl ether **13** was obtained as a white solid, which was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum (11.3 g, 79 %).

¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 6.62 (d, 8.8 Hz), 7.75 (d, 8.8 Hz), 7.95 – 8.05 (m), 10.04 (s), 10.39 (s), 10.46 (s)

Compound 14: **12** (1.5 g) could not be reduced successfully using the methods described for **10** or **13** giving a mixture of amino and nitro compound (460 mg). The reduction was completed by using the following procedure:

The mixture was dissolved in MeOH and DMF. Ammonium formate (3 g) and Pd/C (10 %, 300 mg) were added (the latter under N₂-atmosphere), and the resulting mixture was refluxed for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, it was filtered through Celite (Aldrich), MeOH was distilled off and the remaining DMF solution was precipitated into the tenfold amount of diethyl ether. After filtration, the collected solid was dissolved in chloroform and treated according to the procedure described for **13**, resulting in **14** (330 mg, 22 %).

¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 5.82 (br s), 6.60 (d, 8.8 Hz), 7.72 (d, 8.8 Hz), 7.90 – 8.05 (m), 10.04 (s), 10.40 (s), 10.43 (s), 10.46 (s)

Compound 15: **13** (4 g) and **6** (1.84 g) gave **15** (3.5 g, 88 %) after additional precipitation from a DMF solution into diethyl ether.

¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 7.92 – 8.06 (m), 8.21 (d, 8.8 Hz), 8.40 (d, 8.8 Hz), 10.45 (m), 10.86 (s).

Acknowledgement

AFMK thanks the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (FCI) for funding.

References

- [1] Morgan, P.W. *Macromolecules* **1977**, *10*, 1381-1390; Takahashi, Y.; Ozaki, Y.; Takase, M.; Krigbaum, W.R. *J. Polym. Sci. B* **1993**, *31*, 1135-1143.
- [2] Panar, M.; Beste, L.F. *Macromolecules* **1977**, *10*, 1401-1406; Kwolek, S.L.; Morgan, P.W.; Schaeffgen, J.R.; Gulrich, L.W. *Macromolecules*, **1977**, *10*, 1390-

- 1396; Bair, T.I.; Morgan, P.W.; Killian, F.L. *Macromolecules* **1977**, *10*, 1396-1400.
- [3] Yamazaki, N.; Matsumoto, M.; Higashi, F. *J. Polym. Sci. A* **1975**, *13*, 1373-1380.
- [4] Bredereck, H.; von Schuh, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1948**, *81*, 215-221.
- [5] König, B.; Papke, U.; Rödel, M. *New J. Chem.* **2000**, *24*, 39-45.
- [6] Julia, M.; Gombert, R. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1968**, 369-375.
- [7] Gerhardt *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1858**, 108, 219; Wallach *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1876**, 184, 77.87
- [8] Crystal data for **6**: [C₂₁H₁₂N₃O₃Cl₃]; M_r = 460.7; 0.05 x 0.1 x 0.7 mm; yellow; triclinic; space group P-1, Z = 2; a = 8.023(3), b = 9.192(3), c = 14.442(6) Å, α = 86.94(3), β = 78.94(3), γ = 70.14(3)°; V = 983.0(6) Å³; ρ_{calcd} = 1.556 gcm⁻³; Cu_{Kα} radiation (λ = 1.54056 Å); 2θ_{max} = 146.4°; ω/2θ-scans on a Turbo-CAD4; T = 150 K; 4200 reflections measured (all 3940 unique used); -9 ≤ h ≤ 9, 0 ≤ k ≤ 11, -17 ≤ L ≤ 17; Lorentz factor and absorption correction with ψ-scans (μ = 4.49 mm⁻¹) applied; structure solved with Direct methods and refined with full-matrix least-squares on F² with SHELXL-97^[23]; 3940 data and 283 parameters; R(3067 reflections >2σ(I), all data) = 0.0712, 0.0896; wR2(all data) = 0.2053; S = 1.034; hydrogen atoms were riding; greatest final electron density difference excursions of +0.48, -0.90 eÅ⁻³.^[24]
- [9] Crystal data for **8**: [C₂₈H₁₆N₄O₃Cl₄]; M_w = 598.2; 0.06 x 0.16 x 0.48 mm; yellow; triclinic; space group P-1, Z = 2; a = 8.295(2), b = 8.9524(10), c = 18.884(6) Å, α = 80.61(2), β = 82.90(2), γ = 68.53(1)°; V = 1284.4(5) Å³; ρ_{calcd} = 1.547 gcm⁻³; Cu_{Kα} radiation (λ = 1.54056 Å); 2θ_{max} = 146.8°; ω/2θ-scans on a Turbo-CAD4; T = 150 K; 5528 reflections measured (all 5149 unique used); -10 ≤ h ≤ 0, -11 ≤ k ≤ 10, -23 ≤ L ≤ 23; Lorentz factor and absorption correction with ψ-scans (μ = 4.53 mm⁻¹) applied; structure solved with Direct methods and refined with full-matrix least-squares on F² with SHELXL-97^[23]; 5149 data and 352 parameters; R(4173 reflections >2σ(I), all data) = 0.1389, 0.1576; wR2 (all data) = 0.4264; S = 1.058; hydrogen atoms were riding; greatest final electron density difference excursions of 1.02, -0.76 eÅ⁻³.^[24]
- [10] He, C.; Griffin, A.C.; Windle, A.H. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **1994**, *53*, 561-574.
- [11] Lee, M.; Cho, B.-K.; Zin, W.-C. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 3869-3892.
- [12] see for example Vernino, D.; Tirrell, D.; Tirrell, M. *Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **1994**, *71*, 496

- [13] see for example Chen, J.T.; Thomas, E.L.; Ober, C.K.; Mao, G.-P. *Science* **1996**, 273, 343-346.
- [14] see for example Cornelissen, J.J.L.M.; Fischer, M.; Nolte, R.J.M. *Science* **1998**, 280, 1427-1430.
- [15] David, J.L.; Gido, S.P.; Novak, B.M. *Polymer Preprints* **1998**, 39/2 433
- [16] Zhong, X.F.; Francois, B. *Makromol. Chem.* **1991**, 192, 2277
- [17] Francois, B.; Zhong, X.F. *Synt.Met.* **1991**, 41, 955-958.
- [18] Olinga, T.; Francois, B. *Makromol.Chem.Rapid Commun.* **1991**, 12, 575-582;
Olinga, T.; Francois, B. *J. Chim. Phys. Chim. Biol.* **1992**, 89, 1079; Francois, B.;
Olinga, T. *Synt. Met.* **1993**, 57, 3489-3494.
- [19] Jenekhe, S.A.; Chen, X.L. *Science* **1998**, 279, 1903-1907.
- [20] Gabellini, A.; Novi, M.; Ciferri, A.; Dell'Erba, C. *Acta Polym.* **1999**, 50, 127-134.
- [21] Yokozawa, T.; Ogawa, M.; Sekino, A.; Sugi, R.; Yokozawa, A. *Macromol. Symp.* **2003**, 199, 187-195.
- [22] Sugi, R.; Hitaka, Y.; Sekino, A.; Yokoyama, A.; Yokozawa, T. *J. Polym. Sci. A* **2003**, 41, 1341-1346.
- [23] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for Structure Refinement, 1997, University of Göttingen, Germany.
- [24] Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication nos. CCDC-248092 (**6**) and -248093 (**8**). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: (+44)1223-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Legends

Scheme 1 Synthesis of soluble OPBA precursors. i) Acetone, dimethyl aniline; ii) methanol, ammonium formate, Pd/C; iii) thionyl chloride; iv) 1. acetone, **1**, dimethyl aniline, 2. water; v) thionyl chloride; vi) 1. acetone, N-methyl pyrrolidinone, **3**, dimethyl aniline, 2. water; vii) thionyl chloride.

Figure 1 Single crystal X-ray structure of **6**.^[8]

Figure 2 Single crystal X-ray structure of **8**.^[9]

Figure 3 UV-vis spectra of compounds **4**, **6** and **8** (in CHCl₃, c = 0.01 mM).

Figure 4 UV-vis spectra of compounds **2**, **5** and **7** (in DMAc + 1% LiCl, c = 0.01 mM).

Scheme 2 Synthesis of rod-coil block copolymers. i) Dichloromethane, triethyl amine; ii) methanol, ammonium formate, Pd/C; iii) chloroform, dimethyl aniline, iv) methanol, ammonium formate, Pd/C; v) chloroform, dimethyl aniline.

Figure 5 Mass spectrum (ESI) of **10**. The two mass distributions of lower intensity can be assigned to the mixed Na⁺-K⁺-adduct and the Na⁺-H⁺-adduct of **10**.

Figure 6 Mass spectrum (ESI) of **13**.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of soluble OPBA precursors. i) Acetone, dimethyl aniline; ii) methanol, ammonium formate, Pd/C; iii) thionyl chloride; iv) 1. acetone, **1**, dimethyl aniline, 2. water; v) thionyl chloride; vi) 1. acetone, N-methyl pyrrolidinone, **3**, dimethyl aniline, 2. water; vii) thionyl chloride.

Figure 1 Single crystal X-ray structure of **6**.^[8]

Figure 2 Single crystal X-ray structure of **8**.^[9]

Figure 3 UV-vis spectra of compounds **4**, **6** and **8** (in CHCl_3 , $c = 0.01$ mM).

Figure 4 UV-vis spectra of compounds **2**, **5** and **7** (in DMAc + 1% LiCl, $c = 0.01$ mM).

Scheme 2 Synthesis of rod-coil block copolymers. i) Dichloromethane, triethyl amine; ii) methanol, ammonium formate, Pd/C; iii) chloroform, dimethyl aniline, iv) methanol, ammonium formate, Pd/C; v) chloroform, dimethyl aniline.

Figure 5 Mass spectrum (ESI) of **10**. The two mass distributions of lower intensity can be assigned to the mixed Na^+ - K^+ -adduct and the Na^+ - H^+ -adduct of **10**.

Figure 6 Mass spectrum (ESI) of **13**.

Figure and text for the table of contents

Treatment with thionyl chloride turns the inherently insoluble oligo *p*-benzamides reversibly into soluble and reactive intermediates which are used as building blocks for rod-coil architectures.