A feature of the book which greatly enhances its value is the appendix, which contains the documents presented at the St. Louis Convention, illustrating and accounting for the schism in the party as a result of its un-American war policy. The books will abundantly repay study. They are characterized by singular clarity and lucidity.

GEO. B. EAGER.

On the Manuscripts of God. By Ellen Burns Sherman. The Abingdon Press, New York and Cincinnati, 1918. 184 pp. \$1.00 net.

Good literature, good popular science, and good religion all in one delightful volume is the rare combination served up in ten rich courses in the feast afforded by Miss Sherman. The title is, of course, from Tennyson. The suggestion is followed out with beauty, elegance and subtle argument. In the best, most winning sense, the essays are religious. How gloriously does God show Himself in all phases of His handiwork to such as have eyes to see. For any who have eyes that have not seen, the charming witchery of these poetic deliniations will be the magic touch to open the blind eyes and unstop the deaf ears, and they will understand the varied language and music of the world.

W. O. CARVER.

Safe and Unsafe Democracy. By Henry Ware Jones. Thomas Y. Crowell Company, New York, 1919. 500 pp., including Index, 8vo. \$2.00 net.

At a time when Democracy is fighting for its very existence, as well as to make the world safe for Democracy, the limelight revelations and red-light warnings of this remarkable book are most timely. It is a trumpet call to purity in the body politic. It is hardly too much to say of it that it stands out like a lighthouse in a storm, and that in a true sense it stands alone, at least in its brave and intelligent effort to define and defend the present pressing obligations and duties of American citizens. The author is no novice. He is a master in his realm. He can apply the acid test and detect the counterfeit of democracy. He can unmask and show the peril of false Democracy. Used to it from his birth, the average man sees nothing strange or seriously wrong in the established social order. Likewise, the average voter accepts customary political usage as right just because it is customary. Now the author shows, what the common run of men are utterly unaware of or unconcerned about, that in practice the American commonwealths, and to a degree the Federal Government, fall far below the shining standard of democratic perfection. Our political