ART. XXVIII.—*Notes of Observations on the Röntgen rays;*
by HENRY A. ROWLAND, N. R. CARMICHAEL and L. J.
BRIGGS.

THE discovery of Hertz some years since that the cathode rays penetrated some opaque bodies like aluminium, has opened up a wonderful field of research, which has now culminated in the discovery by Röntgen of still other rays having even more remarkable properties. We have confirmed, in many respects, the researches of the latter on these rays and have repeated his experiment in photographing through wood, aluminium, cardboard, hard rubber and even the larger part of a millimeter of sheet copper.

Some of these photographs have been indistinct, indicating a source of these rays of considerable extent, while others have been so sharp and clear cut that the shadow of a coin at the distance of 2^{cm} from the photographic plate has no penumbra whatever, but appears perfectly sharp even with a low power microscope.

So far as yet observed the rays proceed in straight lines and all efforts to deflect them by a strong magnet either within or without the tube have failed. Likewise prisms of wood and vulcanite have no action whatever so far as seen and, contrary to Röntgen, no trace of reflection from a steel mirror at a large angle of incidence could be observed. In this latter experiment the mirror was on the side of the photographic plate next to the source of the rays and not behind it as in Röntgen's method.

We have, in the short time we have been at work, principally devoted ourselves to finding the source of the rays. For this purpose one of our tubes made for showing that electricity will not pass through a vacuum, was found to give remarkable results. This tube had the aluminium poles within 1^{mm} of each other and had such a perfect vacuum that sparks generally preferred 10^{cm} in air to passage through the tube. By using potential enough, however, the discharge from an ordinary Ruhmkorff coil could be forced through. The resistance being so high the discharge was not oscillatory as in ordinary tubes but only went in one direction.

In this tube we demonstrated conclusively that the main source of the rays was a minute point on the *anode* nearest to the cathode. At times a minute point of light appeared at this point but not always.

Added to this source the whole of the *anode* gave out a few rays. From the cathode no rays whatever came, neither were

there any from the glass of the tube where the cathode rays struck it as Röntgen thought. This tube as a source of rays far exceeded all our other collection of Crookes' tubes and gave the plate a full exposure at 5 or 10^{cm} in about 5 or 10 minutes with a slow-acting coil giving only about 4 sparks per second.

The next most satisfactory tube had aluminium poles with ends about 3^{cm} apart. It was not straight but had three bulbs, the poles being in the end bulbs and the passage between them being rather wide. In this case the discharge was slightly oscillatory but more electricity went one way than the other. Here the source of rays was two points in the tube, a little on the cathode side of the narrow parts.

In the other tubes there seemed to be diffuse sources, probably due in part to the oscillatory discharge, but in no case did the cathode rays seem to have anything to do with the Röntgen rays. Judging from the first two most definite tubes the source of the rays seems to be more connected with the anode than the cathode, and in both of the tubes the rays came from where the discharge from the anode expanded itself toward the cathode, if we may roughly use such language.

As to what these rays are it is too early to even guess. That they and the cathode rays are destined to give us a far deeper insight into nature nobody can doubt.

Baltimore, Feb. 20, 1896.