only in the presence of the energy transformer chlorophyll upon which it is dependent. Although the chemical constitution of this chlorophyll is now understood it is far too complex a body to be conceived of as arising in the first stage from inorganic matter in the absence of life, yet as the present intermediary in the building of life substances it affords at least a clue to the nature of the bridge which spans the gap from the inorganic to the living.

If, indeed, the first living substance had its origin in such a synthesis of energy the first transformer in a world which had no life must have been inorganic and it is at least of interest that more than one such transformer has been found. One investigator has found that carbon dioxide and water exposed to sun light in the presence of salts of the inorganic element uranium develops formaldehyde which is recognized as

one of the first products of normal photosynthesis in green plants.

Avoidance of technicalities has prohibited anything approaching a full development of these ideas, yet this outline may serve to indicate the conception now held by many biologists, that through an infinitely long series of changes, first influenced by inorganic energy absorbers and catalytic agents and, subsequently, as more complicated bodies developed by colloidal and specific ferment substances, life may have arisen or still is arising from the inorganic.

It may be contended that such are speculations beyond the prerogative of the experimental scientist. It is indeed true that up to the present there is no direct evidence of such happenings; no process of transition from the inorganic has been directly observed. But on the other hand it is equally true that the kind of evi-

dence which would be of any real value in determining this question has scarcely been looked for. We may be certain that if life is being produced from the non-living substance it would be life of a far simpler character than any which has yet been observed—in material which we should be uncertain whether to call animate or inanimate. The inorganic materials are of a certainty continually undergoing transition. New chemical combinations are constantly being formed and old ones broken down; new elements are making their appearance and old elements disappearing. Likewise among organisms from the simplest to the most complex variation is constant, examples of evolution and regression are obvious. We may well ask why the production of living matter alone should be subject to other laws than those which have produced and are producing various forms of non-living as well as living matter.

The Analysis of Living Matter*

Through Its Reactions to Poisons

By A. R. Cushny, M.A., M.D. Aberd., F.R.S., Prof. of Pharmacology, University College, London.

I AM told that the chair of Section L has not been held by a pharmacologist for many years, and I wish to express the pleasure I feel in the honor that has been done me personally, and even more in the recognition vouchsafed to one of the youngest handmaidens of medicine. Pharmacology has too often shared the fate of the bat in the fable; when we appeal for support to the clinicians we are told that we represent an experimental science, while when we attempt to ally ourselves with the physiologists we are sometimes given the cold shoulder as smacking too much of the clinic. As a matter of fact, we should have a footing in each camp, or, rather, in each division of the allied forces. And the more recent successes in the application of pharmacology to diseased conditions are now beginning to gain it a rather grudging recognition from clinicians, while the alliance with the biological sciences is being knit ever more closely. The effect of chemical agents in the living tissues has assumed a new and sinister aspect since the enemy has resorted to the wholesale use of poisons against our troops, but I must leave this for the discussion to-morrow.

THE CHEMISTRY OF LIVING MATTER.

I wish to-day to discuss an aspect of pharmacological investigation which has not been adequately recognized even by the pharmacologists themselves, and which it is difficult to express in few words. In recent years great advances have been made in the chemical examination of the complex substances which make up the living organism, and still greater harvests are promised from these analytic methods in the future. But our progress so far shows that while general principles may be reached in this way, the chemistry of the living organ, like the rainbow's end, ever seems as distant as before. And, indeed, it is apparent that the chemistry of each cell, while possessing general resemblances, must differ in detail as long as the cell is alive. No chemistry dealing in grammes, nor even microchemistry dealing in milligrammes, will help us here. We must devise a technique dealing with millionths to advance towards the living organism. Here I like to think that our work in pharmacology may perhaps contribute its mite; perhaps the action of our drugs and poisons may be regarded as a sort of qualitative chemistry of living matter. For chemical investigation has very often started from the observation of some qualitative reaction, and not infrequently a good many properties of a new substance have been determined long before it has been possible to isolate it completely and to complete its analysis. For example, the substance known now as tryptophane was known to occur in certain substances and not in others long before Hopkins succeeded in presenting it in pure form. And in the same way it may be possible to determine the presence or absence of substances in living tissues, and even some of their properties, through their reaction to chemical reagents, that is, through the study of the pharmacology of these tissues. A simple example may render the point clearer. It is possible that if the toxicity of the saponins to different cells were accurately known, the relative importance of the lecithins in the life of these cells might be estimated, and this might give a hint to the chemist in approaching their analysis. I do not claim that

pharmacological investigation can at present do much more than the qualitative testing of the tyro in the chemical laboratory, but even a small advance in the chemistry of living matter is worthy of more attention than this has received hitherto.

All forms of living matter to which they have free access are affected by certain poisons, and some of these have obvious chemical properties which suggest the method of their action; thus the effects of alkalies and acids and of protein precipitants hardly need discussion. Others such as quinine and prussic acid, which also affect most living tissues, have a more subtle action. Here it is believed that the common factor in living matter which is changed by these poisons is the ferments, and quinine and prussic acid may therefore be regarded as qualitative tests for the presence of some ferments, notably those of oxidation, and, in fact, have been used to determine whether a change is fermentative in character or not. Formaldehyde was stated by Loew to be poisonous to living matter through its great affinity for the NH₂ group in the proteins, a suggestion which has perhaps not received enough attention of late years, during which the importance of this group in proteins has been demonstrated. The toxicity of other general poisons, such as cocaine, is more obscure. But what has been gained already in this direction encourages further investigation of the action of the so-called general protoplasm poisons and further efforts to associate it with the special constituents of the cell.

BODIES ACTING ON THE NERVOUS SYSTEM.

In other poisons the action on the central nervous system is the dominating feature, and among these the most interesting group is that of the simple bodies used as anaesthetics and hypnotics, such as ether, chloroform and chloral. The important use of this group in practical medicine has perhaps obscured the fact that they act on other tissues besides the central nervous system, though we are reminded of it at too frequent intervals by accidents from anaesthesia. But while they possess this general action, that on the nervous tissues is elicited more readily. Not only the nerve cells, but also the nerve fiber reacts to these poisons, as has been shown by Waller and others. And even the terminations are more susceptible than the tissues in which they are embedded, according to the observations of Gros. The selective action on the nervous tissues of this group of substances has been ascribed by Overton and Meyer to the richness in lipid substances in the neurons, which leads to the accumulation of these poisons in them, while cells containing a lower proportion of lipid are less affected. In other words, Overton and Meyer regard these drugs as a means of measuring the proportion of lipids in the living cell. This very interesting view has been the subject of much discussion in recent years, and, in spite of the support given it by several ingenious series of experiments by Meyer and his associates, no longer receives general acceptance. Too many exceptions to the rule have to be explained before the action of these bodies can be attributed wholly to their coefficients of partition between lipids and water. At the same time the evidence is sufficient to justify the statement that the property of leaving water for lipid is an important factor in the action of the bodies, although other unknown properties are also involved in it. And whatever the

mechanism of the characteristic action, these substances in certain concentrations may be regarded as tests for the presence of nervous structures and have been employed for this purpose.

Other bodies acting on the nervous system have a much narrower sphere. Morphine and strychnine, for example, appear to be limited to the region of the nerve cells, but there is still doubt whether they affect the cell body alone or the synapses between certain of its processes. They have not been shown to act on peripheral nervous structures in vertebrates, nor on any but specific regions of the central nervous system. Nor has it been established that they affect invertebrates. The substance with which they react is obviously limited by very narrow boundaries around the nerve cell.

More interest has been displayed in recent years in the alkaloids which act on the extreme terminations of various groups of nerves. These are among the most specific reagents for certain forms of living matter which we possess. Thus, if an organ reacts to adrenalin we can infer that it contains the substance characteristic of the terminations of sympathetic fibers with almost as great certainty as we infer the presence of a phenol group from the reaction with iron. And this sympathetic substance can be further analyzed into two parts by means of ergotoxin, which reacts with the substance of the motor sympathetic ends, while leaving that of the inhibitory terminations unaffected. Similarly, the endings of the parasympathetic nerves are picked out with some exceptions by the groups represented by atropine and pilocarpine, and here again there must be some definite substance which can be detected by these reagents.

Further, some light has been thrown on, at any rate, one aspect of these nerve-end substances by the observation that they all react to only one optical isomer in each case. Thus, the dextro-rotary forms are ineffective in both atropine and adrenaline, and this suggests strongly that the reacting body in the nerve-ends affected by these is itself optically active, though whether it bears the same sign as the alkaloid is unknown. This very definite differentiation between two optical isomers is not characteristic of all forms of living matter. For example, the heart muscle seems to react equally to both laevo and dextro-camphor. The central nervous system contains substances which react somewhat differently to the isomers of camphor and also of atropine, but the contrast is not drawn so sharply as that in the peripheral nerve ends.

Another test alkaloid is curarine, the active principle of curare, which in certain concentrations selects the terminations of the motor nerves in striated muscle as definitely as any chemical test applied to determine the presence or absence of a metal.

RELATIONSHIPS IN REACTIONS OF DIFFERENT TISSUES.

The tyro in the chemical laboratory is not often fortunate enough to be able to determine his analysis with a single test. He finds, for example, that the addition of ammonium sulphide precipitates a considerable group of metals, which have then to be distinguished by a series of secondary reactions. The pharmacologist, as an explorer in the analysis of living matter, also finds that a single poison may affect a number of structures which appear to have no anatomical or physiological character in common. But as the chemist recognizes that the group of metals which react in the same way

*An address delivered to the Physiological Section of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, at Newcastle-on-Tyne. From the *Lancet*.

to his reagent have other points of resemblance, so perhaps we are justified in considering that the effects of our poison on apparently different organs indicate the presence of some substance or of related substances in them. A great number of instances of this kind could be given, and in many of these the similarity in reaction extends over a number of poisons, which strengthens the view that the different organs involved have some common reacting substance.

One of the most interesting of these is the common reaction of the ends of the motor nerves in striated muscle and of the peripheral ganglia of the autonomic system. It has long been known that curare and its allies act in small quantities on the terminations of the motor nerve in ordinary muscle, while larger amounts paralyze conduction through the autonomic ganglia. More recently it has been developed by the researches of Langley that nicotine and its allies, acting in small quantities on the ganglia, extend their activities to the motor ends in large doses. Some drugs occupy intermediate positions between nicotine and curare, so that it becomes difficult to assign them to either group. These observations appear to leave no question that there is some substance or aggregate common to the nerve ends in striated muscle and to the autonomic ganglia. As to the exact anatomical position of this substance, there is still some difference of opinion. Formerly it was localized in the terminations of the nervous fibers in the muscle and ganglia, but Langley has shown that in the latter the point of action lies in the ganglion cell itself, and his researches on the antagonism of nicotine and curare in muscle appear to show that the reacting substance lies more peripherally than was supposed, perhaps midway between the anatomical termination of the nerve and the actual contractile substance. Another analogy in reaction has been shown to exist between the ganglia and the terminations of the post-ganglionic fibers of the parasympathetic, for Marshall and Dale have pointed out that a series of substances, such as tetramethyl-ammonium, affect each of these in varying degrees of intensity. The specific character of the reaction is shown by the fact that while it is possessed by the tetramethyl-ammonium salts, the tetraethyl-ammonium homologues are entirely devoid of it.

Another close relationship is shown by the reaction of the glucosides of the digitalis series on the heart and vessels. These all act on the muscle of the heart, and in higher concentration on that of the vessel walls. There must, therefore, be a common base in these which is affected by the drugs. And the existence of this is perfectly intelligible in view of the fact that the heart is developed from the vessels. A more obscure relationship is shown by the reaction of this group to the inhibitory cardiac center in the medulla, which is thrown into abnormal activity by their presence in the blood, as has been shown alike by clinical and experimental observations. A similar relation is shown by the common reaction of the heart muscle and the vagus center to aconitine and some other related alkaloids. On the other hand, the saponin series, which shows a closer relationship to the digitalis bodies in the heart muscle, is devoid of its characteristic action on the medulla. The reacting substance in the heart is thus capable of responding to digitalis, saponin and aconitine, while that in the vagus center can associate only the first and last and is not affected by the saponins; the common reactions indicate that the two are related, while the distinctive effect of saponin shows that they are not identical. A similar relationship may be drawn from the action of morphine and the other opium alkaloids on pain sensation, on respiration, and on the movements of the alimentary tract. Exact determinations of the relative power of these alkaloids in these regions are not at our disposal as yet, but sufficient is known to suggest that while morphine affects a common substance in the medullary center and the intestinal wall, the other members of the series act more strongly in one or other position.

It was long ago pointed out that caffeine affects both kidney and muscle cell, and Schmiedeberg has attempted to correlate the intensity of action of the purine bodies at these points and to measure the probable diuretic action by the actually observed effect on the contraction of muscle. Other reactions of the kidney suggest a relationship to the wall of the bowel. For example, many of the heavy metals and some other irritant bodies act strongly on the kidney and bowel, and again according to one view of renal function, many of the simple salts of the alkalies affect the kidney in exactly the same way as the bowel wall. This last may, however, be due to the physical properties of the salts, and the likeness in reaction to those of kidney and bowel, which is striking enough, may arise rather from a likeness in function of the epithelium rather than from any specific relationship to the salts which is not common to other forms of living matter.

INTERPRETATION OF REACTION IN COMMON.

Many other examples might be cited in which organs which are apparently not related, either morphologically or in function, react to poisons in quantities which are indifferent to the tissues in general. And this reaction in common can only be interpreted to mean that there is some substance or group of related substances common to these organs. The reaction may differ in character; thus a drug which excites one organ to greater activity may depress another, but the fact that it has any effect whatever on these organs in preference to the tissues in general indicates some special bond between them, some quality which is not shared by the unaffected parts of the body. I have, therefore, not differentiated between excitation and depression in discussing this relation. One is tempted to utilize the nomenclature introduced by Ehrlich here and to state that the common reaction is due to the presence of haptophore groups, while the nature of the reaction (excitation or depression) depends on the character of the toxophore groups. But while these terms may be convenient when applied to poisons whose chemical composition is altogether unknown, they merely lead to confusion when the question concerns substances of ascertained structure. Thus, as Dale has pointed out, it is impossible to suppose that such substances as tetramethyl-ammonium and tetraethyl-ammonium owe the difference in reactions to specific haptophore groups in the one which are absent in the other. It seems more probable that in this instance and in others the difference in the effect of these bodies in the tissues arises from difference in the behavior of the molecule as a whole than in differences in the affinities of its special parts; that is, that the action of these poisons is due to their physical properties rather than to their chemical structure, although this, of course, is the final determining cause.

In the same way the common reaction of tissues, which I have so far ascribed to their possessing some substance in common, may arise from community of physical relationship, and I wish to avoid the implication borne by the word "substance," which I have used in the widest sense, such as is justified perhaps only by its historical employment in theological or philosophical controversy. The reaction of living tissue to chemical agents may arise from a specific arrangement in its molecule, but may equally be attributed to the arrangement of the molecules themselves. And the curious relationships in the reactions of different tissues may indicate, not any common chemical factor, but a common arrangement of the aggregate molecules. We are far from being able to decide with even a show of probability which of these alternatives is the correct one, and my object to-day has been to draw attention to these relationships rather than to attempt their elucidation. Hitherto the speculative pharmacologist has been much engaged in comparing the chemical relationship of the drugs which he applies to living tissues; much useful knowledge has been incidentally acquired, and the law has been formulated that pharmacological action depends directly on, and can be deduced from chemical structure. This view, first elaborated in this country, has in recent years shared the fate of other English products in being advertised from the housetops and practically claimed as the discovery of more vociferous investigators. On examining the evidence, old and new, one cannot help feeling that attention has been too much directed to those instances which conform to the creed, while the far more numerous cases have been ignored in which this so-called rule fails. The difficulties are very great; for example, what chemical considerations can be adduced to explain why the central nervous tissues react differently to bromide and chloride, while to the other tissues these are almost equally indifferent; or how can the known chemical differences between potassium and sodium be brought into relation with the fact that they differ in their effects in almost every form of living tissue?

Less attention has been paid to the other factor in the reaction, the properties of the living tissue which lead one cell to react to a poison, while another fails to do so. I have pointed out some curious relations between different organs, but much needs to be done before any general view can be obtained. Further detailed examination of the exact point at which poisons act, and much greater knowledge of the physical characters of the drugs themselves and of the relation of colloid substances to these characters, are needed. We must attempt to classify living tissues in groups not determined by their morphological or even functional characters, but by their ability to react to chemical agents. Advance is slow, but it is continuous, and if no general attack on the problem is possible as yet, our pickets are at any rate beginning to give us information as to the position of the different groups to be attacked. And when a sufficient number of these qualitative reac-

tions have been ascertained for any form of living matter, it may be possible for some Darwin to build a bridge from the structural chemistry of the protein molecule to the reactions of the living cell. We can only shape the bricks and mix the mortar for him. And my purpose to-day has been to indicate how the study of the efforts of drugs on the living tissue may also contribute its mite toward the great end.

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN SUPPLEMENT

Founded 1876

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 18th, 1916.

Published Weekly by Munn & Company, Incorporated
Charles Allen Munn, President; Frederick Converse Beach,
Secretary; Orson D. Munn, Treasurer;
all at 233 Broadway, New York

Entered at Post Office of New York, N. Y., as Second Class Matter
Copyright 1916 by Munn & Co., Inc.

The Scientific American Publications

Scientific American Supplement (established 1876) per year \$5.00
Scientific American (Established 1845) 4.00

The combined subscription rates and rates to foreign countries,
including Canada, will be furnished upon application
Remit by postal or express money order, bank draft or check

Munn & Co., Inc., 233 Broadway, New York

The purpose of the Supplement is to publish the more important announcements of distinguished technologists, to digest significant articles that appear in European publications, and altogether to reflect the most advanced thought in science and industry throughout the world.

Back Numbers of the Scientific American Supplement

SUPPLEMENTS bearing a date earlier than January 2nd, 1915, can be supplied by the H. W. Wilson Company, 39 Mamaroneck Avenue, White Plains, N. Y. Please order such back numbers from the Wilson Company. Supplements for January 2nd, 1915, and subsequent issues can be supplied at 10 cents each by Munn & Co., Inc., 233 Broadway, New York.

WE wish to call attention to the fact that we are in a position to render competent services in every branch of patent or trade-mark work. Our staff is composed of mechanical, electrical and chemical experts, thoroughly trained to prepare and prosecute all patent applications, irrespective of the complex nature of the subject matter involved, or of the specialized, technical, or scientific knowledge required therefor.

We also have associates throughout the world, who assist in the prosecution of patent and trade-mark applications filed in all countries foreign to the United States.

MUNN & Co.,
Patent Solicitors,
Branch Office: 625 F Street, N. W., 233 Broadway,
Washington, D. C. New York, N. Y.

Table of Contents

	PAGE
The Distribution of Gaseous Matter.—By T. W. Schaeffer	322
The Position of the Abundant Elements in the Periodic System.—By John Waddell	323
French Academy Prizes	323
The Salt Wells of Tzuliutzing.—By H. K. Richardson.—17 illustrations	324
A Micrometer Limit Gage Attachment.—1 illustration	325
Wonderful if True	325
The Testing of Automobile Motors.—By Ferdinand Jehle.—1 illustration	326
Cumin—A Crop Valuable for the Small Diversified Farmer	327
The New York Barge Canal	330
The Flying Service, from a Medical Point of View.—By Staff-Surgeon Hardy V. Wells	331
A Vortex Hypothesis of World Formation.—4 illustrations.—By E. Briner	332
Eolian Tones	333
Some Modern Conceptions of Spontaneous Generations.—By Guilford B. Reed	334
The Analysis of Living Matter.—By A. R. Cushny	335