

treachery. If we could only find out facts by logic! The violation of legal procedure shows the intensity of Jewish hate, not the non-existence of the trial. Rabbi Drucker rankles under the stigma that Jesus with all his innocence was condemned by the Sanhedrin. But wishes do not change history.

A. T. ROBERTSON.

The Crucifixion Viewed from a Jewish Standpoint.

By Dr. E. G. Hirsch. The Bloch Publishing Co., New York. Pages 49.

This is not a new book, but I have been interested to look it over. It is sad to see how tight an able Jewish scholar will close his eyes by prejudice. He declines to recognize what the Talmud, what Josephus, what the Gospels say about Jesus. He considers what Paul has to say to be very little and most of that manufactured. Therefore we know nothing much. Therefore the Jews are not responsible for the death of Jesus, the point to be proved. But the book shows how keenly the Jews rankle under the guilt of the death of Christ, the noblest man of the ages. It does not show that they have any desire to take him as Messiah, but merely to brush him aside.

A. T. ROBERTSON.

The Historical Evidence for the Resurrection of Jesus Christ.

By Kirsopp Lake, M.A., Professor of N. T. Exegesis and Early Christian Literature in the University of Leiden. G. P. Putnam's Sons, New York. Williams & Norgate, London, 1907. Crown Theological Library. Pages 291.

This is an able, but on the whole a sad book. The author seems to realize that he is drifting away from the evangelical standpoint. He admits that his conclusions "are nevertheless an entire abandonment of the central doctrine of Christianity—the unique and miraculous character of the Resurrection." It is rather odd that Dr. Lake should occupy the chair of the famous Doctor Van Manen who discovered that Paul wrote none of the letters that bear his name and perhaps never even existed. Dr. Lake seems to have felt the force of his surroundings. He seems abnormally sceptical and credulous besides in accepting