

before operation, to order that the seat of injury be treated for a week or 10 days with radiant-heat baths, from 150° to 250° F. for 10 minutes daily. If incarcerated sepsis is present the scar in the course of two or three days becomes inflamed; finally, fluctuation occurs and, as a rule, a small quantity of pus is evacuated. The wound is then encouraged to heal, and after healing, in a period of time varying from two weeks to three months, according to the severity of the secondary manifestation of sepsis, a further course of radiant heat is ordered. If no reaction occurs within a week the operation may be safely proceeded with so far as any danger of buried sepsis is concerned. In no case of mine in which this preliminary treatment has been carried out has there been failure with a rigid aseptic technique. The chief point I wish to make is that the wounds have, after this course, pursued a healthy manner of healing and no further infection has taken place. A smaller advantage of radiant-heat treatment is that the scars become more healthy and thinner, more mobile, and more elastic.

Lance-Corporal —, G.S.W. of left forearm on Oct. 24th, 1916, admitted into Northumberland War Hospital, Nov. 1st. On admission: Great swelling of forearm, with two wounds. One longitudinal about 3½ inches long on lower part of flexor aspect with gaping edges and exposing torn muscle. A rubber drain passed from this through arm to second wound about 2 inches long on extensor aspect of forearm. Both wounds were actively septic, covered with grey unhealthy lymph and discharging pus; surrounding skin red, shiny, and tense. The end of a comminuted fractured ulna protruded through posterior wound; radius also fractured. Cultures taken by Dr. Clark showed *B. perfringens*, *B. coli*, staphylococci, streptococci.

Treatment.—Oct. 25th: Under C.E. wound was scraped out gently with sharp spoon, washed with 1-20 carbolic, packed with magnes. sulph. cream, and dressed with sterilised gauze and cotton-wool. Rectangular internal splint applied. Nov. 3rd: Patient complained of pain in arm. T. 101.6°. Considerable brawny swelling of arm extending above elbow; skin red and inflamed, and the bacteriologist reported anaerobic organisms present. Under C.B. vertical incisions were made into cellular tissue of upper arm, and these were washed out with magnesium hypochlorite and peroxide of hydrogen and dressed with mag. sulph. cream. Wounds in forearm looked clean and less swollen.

Nov. 8th: Secondary hæmorrhage from wound. Wound opened up and attempt made to secure bleeding points. As this could not be satisfactorily accomplished brachial artery was tied above bend of elbow and wound packed with gauze and dressed with Bipp. Nov. 15th: Dressed. Packing removed; very little discharge; no hæmorrhage; wound looked clean and healthy. Dressed with mag. sulph. cream. Nov. 24th: Dressed. Good deal of watery discharge on dressings; wound clean and covered with granulations. Bridge of skin between two wounds looked like sloughing. Dec. 1st: Dressed. Wound closing in; small piece of bone removed.

Feb. 16th, 1917: Wound healed. March 23rd: Movements much improved. X rays show gap of over 2 inches in middle of ulna; several loose pieces of bone; no displacement. Sent to convalescent home. June 1st: Returned from convalescent home. Wounds soundly healed. Ordered radiant heat daily. June 8th: Small focus of pus appeared in scar and burst this morning. June 15th: Wound healed. Returned to convalescent home.

July 14th: Returned from convalescent home. Radiant heat commenced. July 28th: No reaction to radiant heat. Operation. Scar over ulna excised. Graft about 3 inches long taken from tibia and implanted into gap in ulna. August 4th: Dressed. Wound healed. August 11th: Dressed. Arm put up in plaster. Sent to convalescent home for two months.

The method is simple and the results so satisfactory that I venture to suggest the adoption of radiant-heat treatment as a routine method of preparation, more especially in nerve and bone surgery, where the results of sepsis are likely to be disastrous.

I have to thank Colonel G. G. Adams, administrator, Northumberland War Hospital, Gosforth, Newcastle-on-Tyne, for permission to publish these cases.

Sunderland.

IMPRESSIONS OF X RAY TREATMENT.¹

By W. G. HARVEY, M.D. DUB., F.R.C.P. IREL.,

TEMP. MAJOR, R.A.M.C.; ASSISTANT PHYSICIAN AND IN CHARGE OF X RAY DEPARTMENT, ADELAIDE HOSPITAL, DUBLIN, ETC.

THE object of this note is to give an estimate of the value of Roentgen therapy in various conditions, derived from an experience of over 500 cases. The conditions dealt with were:—

1. Ringworm—in this the rays are used as an epilating agent. A fortnight after a proper dose of X rays the irradiated area sheds all its hair, healthy and parasitic, while some three months later a new growth of hair, free from the parasite, occurs. This treatment is the best for the cure of ringworm; it is certain, painless, and rapid, leaving the

child free from infection within a month of starting. There are, however, many technical points which require attention, or accidents—e.g., alopecia—may occur.

2. The results in favus theoretically should be equally good, but such cases often become reinfected.

3. Rodent ulcers in general do well, unless the periosteum or cartilage be involved. True epitheliomata are more variable, but many respond well to X rays.

4. Epithelioma on a lupus site should never be treated with X rays—the results are very bad.

5. Warts—senile and juvenile—are amenable to X ray treatment. Four cases of warty growths on the feet did well.

6. Keloid is satisfactory in its response to X rays.

7. Lupus does well, but the cosmetic results of Finsen light are probably better. Tuberculin injections appear to favour the treatment.

8. Lupus erythematosus is not benefited.

9. The results with tuberculous glands have not been all that was to be desired.

10. Actinomycosis (one case) was not affected. In the treatment of neoplasms and deep-lying tissues much improvement has been obtained since the methods of "filtration" and "cross fire" were introduced.

11. The symptoms of Graves's disease subsided under X rays. No considerable benefit was derived in simple goitre.

12. Malignant tumours, whenever possible, should be surgically removed. When that is impossible X rays may occasionally bring about a cure, and frequently will relieve pain and diminish the tumour.

13. Uterine myomata and enlarged prostates require massive doses of X rays, but with such appear to do well.

Clinical Notes:

MEDICAL, SURGICAL, OBSTETRICAL, AND THERAPEUTICAL.

A CASE OF SUCCESSIVE GASTRO-JEJUNAL PERFORATIONS.

By W. H. C. ROMANIS, M.C. CANTAB., F.R.C.S. ENG.

THE following case of successive perforations of gastric and jejunal ulcers is of interest not only on account of the number of occasions on which the patient perforated and recovered, but also because of the length of time during which she remained free from trouble, followed later by recrudescence of symptoms and further perforation.

The patient, an unmarried woman of 37, was first admitted to St. Thomas's Hospital on March 25th, 1903, with all the symptoms of perforated gastric ulcer; operation was performed by Mr. W. B. Battle and a perforated pyloric ulcer was sutured. She then remained quite well until the following October, when vomiting and gastric pains commenced, and in April, 1904, Mr. Battle performed an anterior gastro-enterostomy for pyloric stenosis. This was followed by a period of good health until May 5th, 1905, when she was readmitted to St. Thomas's with acute but obscure abdominal symptoms. Laparotomy was performed and a perforated jejunal ulcer 1½ inches below the gastro-enterostomy anastomosis was found and sutured; the patient made an uninterrupted recovery and 10 months' complete health followed. On March 14th, 1906, after a fortnight's epigastric discomfort, she was suddenly seized with severe pain and admitted to St. Thomas's, stating that she had perforated again. Mr. Battle again opened the abdomen and found and sutured a round perforation in the jejunum about 1/3 of an inch below its union to the stomach.

After this all went well for 11 years, and the patient was in excellent health till June 9th, 1917, when, after three weeks' "indigestion," she again presented herself at the hospital, declaring that she had perforated six hours before! That this had indeed occurred there was no possible doubt, and the writer was requested by Mr. Battle to deal with the case. Laparotomy (for the fifth time) disclosed an abdomen practically free from adhesions but full of fluid. No signs of the previous pyloric ulcer were present, the old anastomosis was patent and in good order, but in the jejunum ½ inch below there was a large round perforation surrounded by a small indurated area. This was easily closed with two continuous catgut sutures and covered over with an omental graft; the abdomen was mopped dry with gauze and closed without drainage. The patient made a rapid recovery, and when last seen was well and remained quite free from pain.

Many cases of jejunal ulcer following the operation of gastro-enterostomy—especially anterior gastro-enterostomy—have now been recorded, but it is well known that they apparently occur almost exclusively after operations for non-malignant disease of the stomach. A considerable number of such ulcers have perforated, but it is not many

¹ Read before the Section of Medicine of the Royal Academy of Medicine in Ireland.