they were sufficient in themselves to ensure attention. But "minor lights" seek to bolster up their pretensions to be "practical" men by importing the experience and thoughts of others into their "essays." In this way they can at least shine with a borrowed light. Unfortunately, however, their own identity is too of teneclipsed. It was said by Sir Samuel Romilly—not only a great lawyer, but a great statesman—that long speeches before the Lord Chancellor or the Senate were objectionable and unnecessary. "Twenty minutes," he used to say, "was sufficient to state the arguments in any case." The real difficulty was in such condensation. Sir Walter Scott, when taunted with the extreme length of his *Life of Napoleon Bonaparte*, replied, "I had not time to make it shorter." Our brethren may take a hint from these facts: they are pregnant with meaning. We leave them to their serious consideration.

THE Government have very wisely, and not too soon—although repentance is always "in time"—consented to assume one-half of the burden of the salaries of the medical officers of unions of Ireland. This will unquestionably be advantageous to the medical men, as it will be equitable and agreeable to the ratepayers.

THE two days' inquiry at the Strand Union Workhouse into the details of the disclosures made by Mrs. Beeton, the late head nurse of the infirmary, as to the general character of the administration, has resulted in a general confirmation of all her statements. The manner in which her evidence was given was felt and acknowledged to be satisfactory. She carefully distinguished between things which she knew and those which she only had reason to believe; and the main facts alleged were admitted to be incontrovertible. The peculiar importance of the investigation arises out of the fact that here were laid bare, not isolated delinquencies of a particular nurse or porter, or of an overworked medical officer, so that the public should be satisfied by sacrificing a nurse or a porter, or censuring a medical man for "not properly entering up his medical relief-book," or "recording his daily visits;" but here the whole interior of a workhouse infirmary was exposed before the public eye, and people have seen the thing as it is, in its ordinary aspects—neither at its best nor its worst. The Government will very shortly, we hope, declare what their intentions are in respect to the carrying out a scheme of general improvement.

THE profession must feel particularly concerned at the reticence exhibited by Sir George Grey as to his intentions with regard to the Bill for the Amendment of the Medical Acts. Obvious and unanswerable reasons exist why this Bill should be adopted as a Government measure; and the interests of the public would seem to demand that the determination of the Home Secretary in this direction should be signified with all convenient speed.

LAST week an address, engrossed on vellum and illuminated in the highest style of the art, was presented to James Dawson, Esq., F.R.C.S., of Wray Castle, Windermere. Thirty years ago Mr. Dawson had founded the Liverpool Medical Institution, and for twenty-six years was its president. The testimonial was offered on his retirement from that honourable post. The address was highly complimentary, and a suitable answer was returned by the venerable president, now in his eightieth year.

THE medical profession is, we believe, the only one of the learned bodies which has not a club or association in London. It is remarkable that whilst the clergy have several, and the lawyers at least one important institution of the kind, the doctors have no such "home." That it is much needed, however, there cannot be a doubt. Many correspondents, from

the country especially, urge upon us the necessity of agitating this question. We have long been of opinion that a club in which medical gentlemen might meet in social life would be of the highest advantage to the profession. Now that there is a Medical Council and elections at the College of Surgeons, such a club could not fail to attract many country practitioners to its advantages.

A HINT for medical officers of lunatic asylums may be taken from the following statement in an American paper quoted in *The Times*:—"A prisoner under sentence of death was in such a state of wild excitement that no one dare enter his cell, and the sheriff called in the aid of a medical gentleman, who syringed chloroform upon the prisoner through the grating of the door until he was reduced to a state in which irons could be put upon him."

THE OFFICIAL INQUIRY AS TO WORKHOUSE INFIRMARIES.

WE understand that the official inspection of the workhouse infirmaries of London, undertaken by Mr. Farnall and Dr. Smith by desire of the President of the Poor-law Board, with a view to furnish official data for measures destined to improve the condition of the sick poor in these infirmaries, has now been brought to completion. The reports will probably be very shortly ready; and seeing the advanced period of the Session, the importance of the subject, and the interest which Mr. Villiers is known to attach to it, we may hope that the results will soon be apparent in the business paper of the House of Commons.

Correspondence.

"Audi alteram partem."

MR. LUKE AND THE COUNCIL OF THE COLLEGE OF SURGEONS.

To the Editor of THE LANCET.

SIR,—I have this morning received a letter from a friend in Gloucestershire, in which he writes: "I have lately seen a statement in THE LANCET suggesting, rather than absolutely asserting, that you do not desire to be re-elected to the Council of the Royal College of Surgeons," and making inquiry whether "this statement is well founded." As I have not seen the statement referred to, I do not know to what extent my desires may have been misrepresented. If, however, such a statement, or anything like such a statement, has been made, I beg you will make the earliest possible correction of the error by the insertion of this letter in the next number of your journal.

I think you will scarcely attribute to me the great folly of placing myself in nomination for re-election into the Council, and of subjecting myself to the possible chances of not having my desires gratified, unless *I wished for a successful result*. As, however, I am of opinion that such elections should be the spontaneous act of the electors, and not the result of pressing solicitations, I do not propose to canvass the electors for votes; but should my re-election be spontaneous on the part of the Fellows of the College, I shall highly esteem the compliment, and duly appreciate the trust reposed in me, and it will be my pleasure to endeavour to discharge it in the best interests of the College, as I have hitherto done.

I am, Sir, yours faithfully,

Woolley Lodge, June 4th, 1866.

JAMES LUKE.

CLITORIDECTOMY.

To the Editor of THE LANCET.

SIR,—Were I to allow my feelings on the subject of which I am about to write to carry me away, my letter would be a

much less temperate one than I hope and expect you will consider it to be.

If a new operation be started, or a new system of treatment introduced, no matter how wrong and absurd they may be, the way to ensure success to either one or the other is to run it down and express strong opinions against it. But as I am anxious that the very questionable operation performed by Mr. Baker Brown for the cure of epilepsy should not gain ground, I would ask my professional brethren not to persecute Mr. Brown and his followers or to speak too disparagingly of their treatment, but to publish whatever facts may come to their knowledge, either for or against; for I think such a course would lead to its discontinuance, simply because (as is my firm belief) we should find the number of cases *permanently* cured to be very few and far between.

I have at the present time under my care a lady, aged twenty-six, who has been epileptic ever since she was four years old. She was admitted into the Surgical Home a short time ago, underwent the "operation as before," suffered intense pain afterwards, and thirteen weeks after admission was discharged, if not cured, greatly benefited. But a month had not passed before her fits returned with a severity never before equalled, and she is now as bad as ever.

This is my mite; let other members of the profession contribute theirs, and I think in a short time the "operation as before" will either be forgotten, or thought of by those who do remember it with horror and indignation.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
HARRY GAGE MOORE, L.R.C.P. Lond.
Northgate-street, Ipswich, June 2, 1866.

THE INTRA-UTERINE SPRING PESSARY.
To the Editor of THE LANCET.

SIR,—The questions which Dr. Greenhalgh reiterates with such pertinacity have been already fully answered, as your readers will find on referring to my letter of May 5th, and to the communications from Dr. Aveling and Sir J. Y. Simpson (May 12). The use of the intra-uterine spring, claimed as his invention by Dr. Greenhalgh, was adopted in 1855 by Dr. Martin Weir. This was long antecedent to any mention of the use of a spring which I had elsewhere met with. I never claimed as my own the first application of a spring; it merely formed an auxiliary part of the little device described in my original paper (*THE LANCET*, February 4th), where I emphatically pointed out that intra-uterine appliances were only justifiable in extreme cases. In his letter of April 28th, Dr. Greenhalgh confessed his instrument to be altogether unsuitable for use in cases of uterine flexion, and very emphatically warned your readers as to the risk attending its use. It is not very clear why there was no mention made of this failure and of the danger of the instrument in his previous communication, or why the "numerous medical men in private practice in this country and on the continent" to whom Dr. Greenhalgh referred as using his instrument were still allowed to believe they possessed an instrument suitable for redressing flexions of the uterus. I am really sorry to occupy your valuable space about such an utterly trivial matter, but the note of Dr. Greenhalgh conveyed an imputation of which your readers will estimate the propriety by reference to my previous letter, in p. 487 of *THE LANCET*. It is very clear that his instrument has nothing whatever to do with the subject of flexions of the uterus, and should never have been employed in such cases.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
Somerset-street, Portman-square. H. G. WRIGHT.

BRAN BISCUITS IN DIABETES.
To the Editor of THE LANCET.

SIR,—During the past year or two I have been engaged, as opportunity allowed, in making an extensive series of analyses of various articles of food, with a view to determine their fitness or otherwise for the use of the diabetic. I had hoped ere this to have been able to put the results so obtained in a form for publication; but other engagements having intervened, I have been compelled to postpone the execution of this design.

One result obtained is, however, so important that I should scarcely be justified in refraining any longer from making it

known—viz., the unfitness of the prepared bran and biscuits now sold for diabetic cases, notwithstanding the statements advanced as to the purity of the bran and its freedom from starch.

The method of purification now in use consists simply in washing and pressing the bran, whereby, doubtless, a small quantity of starch is removed; but since the starch-granules are chiefly enclosed in the cellular tissue of the bran, it is obvious that this process must be insufficient for the removal of the greater part of the starch. That it really is so may be proved in a moment by the simple application of a drop of tincture of iodine either to a small portion of the prepared bran or of one of the biscuits made therewith, when they will instantly become of a deep bluish-black colour. But the quantity actually present may be readily estimated by converting it, through the action of a little dilute sulphuric acid, into glucose or grape-sugar, which may be easily washed away, the sugar estimated, and the quantity of starch present ascertained therefrom. Some analyses made in this manner furnished the following results:—

Unprepared Bran.					Per cent.
Water	...	...	...	...	12.04
Oil	...	...	...	...	2.00
Nitrogenous matter	...	...	...	...	13.70
Starch	...	...	...	...	45.65
Gum and digestible fibre	...	...	...	...	2.95
Fibre	...	...	...	...	17.66
Ash	...	...	...	...	6.00
					100.00
Prepared Bran.					Per cent.
Water	...	...	...	...	10.18
Oil	...	...	...	...	4.65
Albumen	...	...	...	...	15.20
Starch	...	...	...	...	44.50
Digestible fibre	...	...	...	...	.77
Fibre	...	...	...	...	19.00
Ash	...	...	...	...	5.70
					100.00
Bran Biscuits.					Per cent.
Water	...	...	...	...	9.80
Oil and butter	...	...	...	...	28.30
Albumen	...	...	...	...	13.96
Starch	...	...	...	...	28.20
Gum and digestible fibre	...	...	...	...	5.02
Fibre	...	...	...	...	9.60
Ash	...	...	...	...	5.12
					100.00

The above figures demonstrate the accuracy of the statement already made, to the effect that the prepared bran and biscuits now sold are *totally unfit for the use of patients labouring under diabetes*. They serve also to explain the disappointment which is so generally experienced in the use of these biscuits, the urine still continuing to retain a high specific gravity and to abound in sugar. So general has the use of bran biscuits become, that the fact cannot be too generally known that they contain a very large proportion of starch and of matter convertible into sugar.

Now the method employed in the analysis of the samples of prepared bran and biscuits tested, with certain modifications, furnishes us with a means of freeing the bran absolutely from all starch, and of rendering it an admirable material for the preparation of really pure bran biscuits. I have had the process repeatedly carried out on a large scale, and I find that it answers completely. I further find that there is no difficulty in making from the purified bran a "flour of bran" equal in fineness to wheat flour or my "flour of meat," and thus overcoming another objection to the use of the bran—namely, its coarseness.

Lastly, I would observe that the value of this "flour of bran" is greatly increased by its admixture with a portion of my "flour of beef;" and that thus a mixture is obtained more nutritive, and certainly better fitted in every respect for the treatment of diabetes, than anything hitherto devised.

I remain, Sir, your obedient servant,
ARTHUR HILL HASSALL, M.D. Lond.,
Senior Physician to the Royal Free Hospital.
Wimpole-street, June 4th, 1866.