walk; she cannot even feed herself or care for her eggs. What wonder then that she should attempt big things in the way of egg-laying? She has nothing else to do, or to feel proud of."

In the account of the formation of the comb the "pressure" theory is very properly rejected, but no reference is made to the complete explanation of the process given by Darwin, Waterhouse, and others. The mathematical accuracy of the cell is however disproved by the observations of Prof. Wyman, who showed by actual measurement that none of them were perfect hexagons, but that they varied in size, sometimes to the amount of one cell's width in ten, and commonly to half this amount. The rhombic bases of the cells also vary, and as this variation occurs gradually in passing from one part of the comb to another, it follows that whenever this happens the cells must diverge from the true hexagonal form. The supposed mathematical instinct of the bee has therefore no foundation to rest upon, and the beautiful explanation given by Mr. Darwin fully meets the actual facts.

An interesting chapter is devoted to "Honey Plants," the principal species from which the bees obtain their honey in America being figured. In the more northern States fruit-trees, willows, and sugar-maples, with bass-wood and white clover, are the most productive plants, while on the western prairies the thousands of acres of asters, solidagos, and eupatoriums afford an inexhaustible supply of honey not yet appropriated.

The illustrations of this book are often rude, and sometimes inaccurate. The honey-extractor (at p. 189) is described as acting by centrifugal force, but it is drawn square, and the comb so placed in it that it could not possibly revolve; while, at p. 128, the bottom-board described as having a bevelled notch for an opening to the hive, is shown with a triangular projection, owing to bad perspective in the drawing. These, however, are small faults; and the English bee-keeper will no doubt obtain many useful hints from this excellent little manual of bee-culture as practised by our ingenious and energetic cousins across the Atlantic. A. R. W.

OUR BOOK SHELF

Rainfall of the East Indian Archipelago; First Year, 1879. By Dr. P. A. Bergsma, Director of the Batavia Observatory. (Batavia: At the Government Printing Office, 1880.)

AN extremely valuable system of rainfall observation has been established in the East Indian Archipelago under the superintendence of Dr. Bergsma, the well-known director of the Batavia Observatory, and the results of the first year's observations for 1879 are now before us in this octavo volume of 257 pages.

In the beginning of 1879 sixty rain-gauges were in operation, and by the end of the year the number had increased to 125. To these it is proposed to add other seventy new stations during 1880, thus raising the number of stations for the observation of the rainfall of the East Indian Archipelago to 195. Towards the securing of uniformity the same pattern of rain-gauge is used by all the observers, and the gauges are placed at the same height of $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet above the ground; but greater uniformity in the hour of observing, which is any hour from 6 to 9 a.m., is a desideratum. The stations extend from $95^{\circ} 20'$ to $120^{\circ} 53'$ E. long., and from $5^{\circ} 53'$ N. lat. to $10^{\circ} 10'$ S. lat., and as regards elevation they are at heights varying from the level of the sea to a height of 6,404 feet. Their

distribution among the islands is 76 on Java, 25 on Sumatra, 7 on Borneo, 4 on Celebes, and 4 on Billiton, 3 on Madeira, and 1 on each of the islands Riouw, Bangka, Ternate, Amboina, Banda, and Timor.

The daily rainfalls at each of the 125 stations during 1879, so far as observed, are printed *in extenso*, and a table is added showing the amounts and days of rainfall for each month and for the year. The largest rainfall for one day was 11.81 inches at Amboina on July 13; and it may be remarked that at the same place on the four days ending the 15th of the same month, 29.45 inches fell. The least annual rainfall at any station was 53.27 inches at Kotta Radja, and the largest 282.33 inches at Padang Pandjang. Of the 59 stations for which there are returns for the whole year, the amount exceeded 100 inches at 33, and 200 inches at 5 of the stations. The greatest number of days on which rain fell at any station was 274 at Soekawana, and the least 136 at Onrust. It is evident that this system of observation will by and by lay before us the observational data for the determination of the distribution of the important element of the rainfall, horizontally and vertically, over the land surfaces of this portion of the globe which excites so strongly the interest of the biologist, geologist, and geographer.

Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie. Herausgegeben von A. Engler. Erster Band, Heft i. ii. (Leipzig: Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann, 1880.)

It is a question whether German serials devoted in part or wholly to botanical bibliography are not becoming too numerous, but, be that as it may, this new venture is so circumscribed in its scope that it recommends itself to a large section of botanists in this country whose labours are to a great extent within its scope. Engler's "Botanische Jahrbücher" are to be exclusively devoted to systematical, historical, and geographical botany, and will contain original articles in English, French, or German, as well as a review of current literature. Under Dr. Engler's painstaking editorship we think success should attend the undertaking. The parts are not to appear at fixed intervals, nor necessarily to be uniform in size; but the limit of the interval is from three to six months, and of the size three to four sheets. The contributors to the first part are:—Oswald Heer, on the history of the ginkgo-like trees; Alphonse de Candolle, on some points of botanical nomenclature; Eug. Warming, on the results of recent investigations of the flora of Greenland; O. Beccari, on the phytogeography of the Malayan Peninsula; A. Engler, diagnoses of some new *Burseraceae* and *Anacardiaceae*, and a review of the more important botanical works published in 1879. It should be mentioned that the contributions of A. de Candolle and O. Beccari are abstracts of and extracts from what has appeared elsewhere, though this fact does not diminish their value. On the contrary, they are thus brought to the knowledge of many who would otherwise not have an opportunity of reading them. W. B. HEMSLEY

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

[The Editor urgently requests correspondents to keep their letters as short as possible. The pressure on his space is so great that it is impossible otherwise to ensure the appearance even of communications containing interesting and novel facts.]

The Conditions Necessary for the Existence of Matter in the Liquid State—Existence of Ice at High Temperatures

NUMEROUS experiments which I have made during the last few weeks on the boiling points of substances under low pres-

tures, the details of which will shortly be published, have led to the following conclusions in reference to the conditions necessary for the existence of any substance in the liquid state. These are two in number, viz. :—

1. In order to convert a gas into a liquid the *temperature* must be *below* a certain point (termed by Andrews the *critical temperature* of the substance), otherwise no amount of pressure is capable of liquefying the gas.

2. In order to convert a solid into a liquid the *pressure* must be *above* a certain point, which I propose to call the *critical pressure* of the substance, otherwise no amount of heat will melt the substance.

If the second of the above conditions be true, it follows that if the necessary temperature be attained, the liquefaction of the substance depends solely on the superincumbent pressure; so that if by any means we can keep the pressure on the substance below its critical pressure, no amount of heat will liquefy it, for in this case the solid substance passes directly into the state of gas, or in other words it sublimates without previous melting.

Having come to this conclusion, it was easily foreseen that if these ideas were correct it would be possible to have *solid ice at temperatures far above the ordinary melting-point*. After several unsuccessful attempts, I was so fortunate as to attain the most perfect success, and have obtained solid ice at temperatures so high that it was impossible to touch it without burning one's self. This result has been obtained many times and with the greatest ease, and not only so, but on one occasion a small quantity of water has frozen in a glass vessel which was so hot that it could not be touched by the hand without burning it. I have had ice a considerable length of time at temperatures far above the ordinary boiling-point, and even then it only sublimed away without any previous melting. These results were obtained by *maintaining* the superincumbent pressure below 4.6 mm. of mercury; i.e., the tension of aqueous vapour at the freezing-point of water. Other substances also exhibit these same phenomena, the most notable of which is mercuric chloride, for which latter the pressure need only be reduced to about 420 mm. On letting in the pressure the substance at once liquefies.

For the success of these experiments in the case of water one or two details of manipulation are necessary, but these will be subsequently described.

THOS. CARNELLEY

Firth College, Sheffield, September 6

A Doubtful British Mollusk

I HAVE just observed that I am quoted in Dr. Gwyn Jeffreys' "British Conchology" (vol. v. p. 161) as an authority for the discovery of *Clausilia parvula* (a Continental snail) in Staffordshire. Many years ago, when I was a schoolboy, I found six or seven specimens at Kinver, near Stourbridge. I took them at first for a smooth variety of *C. rugosa*, but noting other differences sent them to Dr. Jeffreys, who identified them as above. I never had another opportunity of visiting the spot, but brother conchologists, who went on my recommendation, failed to find any specimens. The sheep-walk on which I picked them up was close to the grounds of Enville, where there are many foreign shrubs, and I have now little doubt that they were introduced. At the same time the large size of the specimens seemed to indicate that they had been long acclimatised, as northern individuals are larger than southern.

GRANT ALLEN

Broad Street, Lyme Regis, Dorset

A Halo

MAY I mention a strange appearance which I saw in the heavens on August 29, and ask for an explanation of it? It was a rainbow without rain, and in the same quarter of the heavens as the sun.

At 5.45 p.m. I observed in a little nearly circular opening in the clouds, at the same height above the horizon as the sun, and about 23° to the north of it, all the colours of the rainbow. They were very vivid, and lasted for several minutes. Two persons who were with me also saw this strange sight, which I cannot account for in any way. Was it seen by any of your readers? And what could be the cause of it?

L. SOAMES

Brighton, September 2

[This was probably a portion of the ordinary halo of 22°. If so, it indicates the presence of ice-crystals (not of drops of water) in the upper atmosphere. Such things are common enough in autumn, especially when there is a sudden lowering of temperature by an anticyclone.—ED.]

Tone of Violins

I SAW a little time back, but omitted to note it at the time, a brief notice of some German experiments showing that the strings of good old instruments of fine tone tended far more than in the case of inferior violins to vibrate in closed curves or simple curves. I have searched NATURE in vain for some weeks, but cannot find it, though I thought it was in these columns. I am particularly anxious to recover it for purposes of my own connected with another branch of physics, and shall be obliged if any reader can refer me to the notice, or to the paper, or any translation of it.

LEWIS WRIGHT

August 30

ADOLPH EDOUARD GRUBE

BY the sudden death of Prof. Grube of Breslau on June 23, zoological science has been deprived of one of its enthusiastic and veteran cultivators. Born in Königsberg on May 12, 1812, he entered the university of that city in 1831, and graduated in medicine in 1837. Thereafter he became a private lecturer on zoology in Königsberg. In 1844 he was appointed to the Professorship of Zoology in the University of Dorpat, and lastly was transferred, in 1857, to a similar post in the University of Breslau, where he laboured till his death.

He chose for the subject of his inaugural dissertation (in 1837) the structure of *Pleione carunculata*, Pallas, and it is interesting that at this early age he selected one of the group in which his chief work in after-life was accomplished; for though he published various valuable researches in other departments (e.g., those on the Branchiopod Crustaceans), still the Annelida most benefited by his labours during the subsequent forty-three years. Moreover, he observed so carefully, as well as laboured so industriously, that he was *facile princeps* in the department at his death. The bare enumeration indeed of his zoological works and papers is formidable; and their perusal bears imperishable witness to the well-directed energy and great ability of their author. He himself, with great modesty, used to state that his work fell far short of that of the late M. Claparède, who, with a delicate physique, nevertheless accomplished a marvellous amount of valuable work, both with pen and pencil. But though perhaps less of an artist than the talented Swiss, the greater tenacity of constitution in the stalwart German, combined with his indomitable energy and perseverance throughout a longer life, enabled him to overtake a much greater amount of work, especially in descriptive zoology.

The conscientious manner in which he carried on his scientific labours is well shown in his "Familien der Anneliden" (1851), a work which even now is of great value, and indispensable to workers in the department. The same may be said of his "Entwicklung der Anneliden" (1844) and his "Annulata Cæstediana" (1857). In his original papers in the *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* and in the recent admirable series in the *Sitzung der Schlesischen Gesellschaft*, on the families of the Annelida, he demonstrated the encyclopædian and critical knowledge which he had of the whole group in a remarkable manner, just as his "Bemerkungen über Anneliden der Pariser Museen" showed his great experience in discriminating the species described by others. His last large publication (a work of 300 pp., 4to, and fifteen fine plates by his tried assistant Assman) is devoted to the numerous Philippine annelids collected by Prof. Semper, and is a lasting memorial of his accuracy and patient industry.

Nor was he a zoologist who confined his researches to a single group. He was an accomplished carcinologist, and his faunistic treatises, e.g., his "Actinien, Echinodermen u. Würmer des Adriatischen u. Mittelmeers," his "Ausflug nach Triest u. dem Quarnero," as well as his special papers on the Echinodermata, on *Peripatus* and other Arthropods, testify abundantly to the breadth