THE Journal of the American Medical Association.

EDITED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY.

VOL. XV.

CHICAGO, JULY 5, 1890.

NO. I.

ORIGINAL ARTICLES.

GOVERNMENT AIDS TO PUBLIC HEALTH.

Read in the Section of State Medicine, at the Forty-first Annual Meeting of the American Medical Association, at Nashville, Tenn., May, 1890.

BY WALTER WYMAN, A.M., M.D.,

SURGEON MARINE-HOSPITAL SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D. C.

At a recent meeting of a sanitary association, its President gave utterance to a sentiment which has often been expressed of late years in public bodies, to the effect that while the Government of the United States is most liberal in its provision for all ordinary, material welfare of its citizens, in matters of public health it is parsimonious and its aid is stinted. This, to my mind, appears unjust and has led me to make an inquiry as to what the Government, in its various branches, actually does for the public health.

Without going into greater detail than is necessary, it will be pertinent to mention, first, what has been and is being done to prevent the introduction and spread of epidemic disease; and under this head may be cited the recent establishment, on a broad plan, of the National Quarantine Service, at an expense of more than half a million dollars; the annual appropriations of fifty to eighty thousand dollars for the maintenance of the quarantine stations; the present epidemic fund to be used in case of emergency, amounting to about \$100,000, and also the fund for the relief of yellow fever sufferers, which is, in a sense, an aid to public health.

In the matter of scientific commissions and establishments, mention must be made of the recent commission of Surgeon George M. Sternberg, U. S. Army, whose thorough investigations into the methods of Freire and Carmona in their attempts at protective inoculation against yellow fever, are now of public record; and the commission of Dr. E. O. Shakespeare, for the purpose of investigating the causes of cholera during its last visitation in Spain.

As to scientific establishments, attention is called to the laboratory of the Marine-Hospital Service at Dry Tortugas, within the yellow fever belt, fitted with all the most recent appliances,

and intended for the special and continuous investigation of the cause of yellow fever; also to the very complete bacteriological laboratory attached to the U. S. Marine-Hospital at New York, in which are conducted investigations into the causes of disease, the value of germicidal agents, and the usual analyses and tests of a hygienic laboratory.

Mention must also be made of the laboratory of the Bureau of Animal Industry, in the Department of Agriculture, for the detection and prevention of the spread of diseases among animals, and its consequent service in preventing the consumption of diseased meat.

The laboratories of the Army and the Navy must also be enumerated. To the majority of physicians present reference only need be made to the work of the medical department of the U. S. Army in the establishment of its library and museum for the benefit of all, or to the Naval Museum of Hygiene, in whose laboratory chemical analyses of water and food are made, as well as bacteriological research.

Among the other establishments maintained by the National government for the preservation of public health, may be mentioned the Marine-Hospital Service, whose yearly treatment of 50,000 sailors in all parts of the country must surely have a decided effect upon the health of the body politic. It has been remarked by careful observers of the habits and condition of the sailor, that his physical condition has within late years very materially improved. Stress is laid upon this point because it is by no means improbable that a large proportion of the ordinary as well as contagious diseases of the human race receive their primal impulse among the lower classes of society, by whom cleanliness and health measures are disregarded. The expenditures of this service amount to about half a million of dollars yearly.

As to legislative enactments it may be said that very little additional action is necessary by Congress, if any, for the prevention of the introduction and spread of epidemic diseases throughout the United States. The recent passage of what is known as the Inter-State Quarantine Act marks an epoch in the history of National health legislation.

In the light of the above statements, it is interesting to look back into the history of quarantine legislation and to study the wants of the Nation as urgently expressed by the Quarantine Convention at Philadelphia in 1857, in Baltimore in 1858, in New York in 1859, in Boston in 1860, in Jacksonville in 1878, in Philadelphia in 1885, and in Montgomery in 1889. The cry of these conventions was for a uniformity in quarantine laws, and such uniformity, at least in times of epidemic, is now assured. Other legislation relating to the adulteration of beer, the adulteration of drugs, the adulteration of foods in general, has from time to time been proposed and enacted by Congress; and Congress in its management of the City of Washington set an example to all the cities of the world by being the first to make legal requirements regarding house drainage.

Although the above consideration is a hasty one, enough has been shown, I believe, to convince the ordinary observer that Congress does take an active interest in matters pertaining to the public health. It has been the custom in some quarters to continually decry our legislative Solons for their want of knowledge and interest in such matters, but it may be well to ask our sanitarians whether we should not cast the beam out of our own eye before we seek to pluck the mote out of the legislative eye. It has been said that a sanitary millenium can never be until there has been developed a new class of legislators, educated and aroused to the needs of public sanitation—sanitary legislators; but with equal truth it may be urged that there is need of another class of men, who may be called legislative sanitarians—men who in their eagerness for sanitary reform will yet have legislative wisdom, who will not be blind or indifferent to recognized laws and modes of procedure, nor to the ever present necessity of economic administration in this, as in all public matters. The legislative sanitarian will think twice before he lends his name to untried schemes, to experiments involving large outlay, the failure of which will bring discredit on his legislative friends. He will not blindly follow in the lead of others and cast his vote for schemes whose logical conclusions lead he knows not where. With the eye of the legislator as well as the sanitarian he will advocate reforms with due respect to institutions already existing and founded with a wisdom equal to his own, and when possible will invoke their aid rather than opposition. Sanitary laws, after all, form but one element in the scheme of general welfare, and they must be in harmony with their surroundings; they must catch the spirit of the other and even greater laws under which they are created.

In a recent letter commenting upon the passage of an Inter-State Quarantine Act, a distinguished sanitarian says: "It seems to me that it is very

much to be regretted, however, that the Act does not refer to the really dangerous diseases." The diseases he refers to as really dangerous are phthisis pulmonalis, diphtheria, scarlet fever, etc. The diseases mentioned in the Inter-State Quarantine Act are: cholera, yellow fever, small-pox, and plague. He says, further, "None of the diseases . . . more dangerous are mentioned in this Act, as might easily have been done by inserting after the word 'plague' the words 'or other diseases dangerous to the public health.'"

The point of the criticism is that diphtheria, phthisis pulmonalis, scarlet fever, etc., should have been mentioned in the Inter-State Quarantine Act. The insertion of these diseases would certainly have killed the Act, and in place of the all-powerful law which we now have to control the spread of yellow fever, small-pox, cholera or plague, we should, if this suggestion had been carried out, have had nothing whatever. It behooves, then, our sanitarians to have legislative wisdom, and not to lose all by grasping for too much at once.

The question now follows, what should the Government do in aid of public health more than it is now doing? This is a broad and deep question, and one which it would be an act of temerity to attempt to answer without the fullest consideration and deepest study. It may, however, be discussed without attempt at full answer being made; and as preliminary to answering this question we must make answer to others. At what do we aim? At what degree of public health should we aim? The standard can not be placed too high. The natural expectation of man should be to die of old age, and the ultimate aim of the sanitarian should be to eliminate disease. It is not enough to keep out or to suppress epidemic disease; we would wish to crush domestic diseases. Phthisis pulmonalis, diphtheria, scarlet fever, small-pox, and all diseases whose mode of transmission is by contact, should surely all go. A good and practical beginning might be made with pulmonary tuberculosis.

A lesson in the science of warfare against this disease may be well taken from our English cousins. Why is it that tuberculosis is rapidly disappearing from England, but is so rapidly increasing in the United States, where it causes between 15 and 20 per cent. of the total deaths? How is it in the other countries, especially those which contribute most largely to the immigrant population of the United States? The death-rate from tuberculosis in these countries is undiminished. Now, it should be borne in mind that England receives no immigrants. The natural cleanliness and intelligence of the English people has caused a most remarkable improvement in the health of the nation. London is one of the healthiest cities in the world. Its death-rate is much less than many of our small American cities. Said Benja-

min Ward Richardson recently: "The results have even by this time become so remarkable that, with another four similar decades of progress, we may look upon England as a new country of healthfulness and social purity." Are we, gentlemen of the Section, we, the Anglo-Saxon population of this country, less clean or intelligent than our English cousins? If not, then our death-rate should improve, unless we are subject to causes from which they are exempt. To one such cause we are subject, namely: the stream of immigration. Then, why not attempt to purify the stream? While driving out the dust of contagion from our own house let us permit only pure air, free from dust, to enter. Pulmonary tuberculosis should be added to the list of diseases quarantined against by the United States, at least among immigrants.

As bearing upon the subject of English cleanliness in its relation to the diminution of disease, the writer refers to a visit made by himself to the slums of London, in Whitechapel, in January, 1885. This neighborhood, besides being the resort of hawkers, pickpockets and thieves, is a tenement house district, and, notwithstanding the degraded condition of the inhabitants, the tenements—which are subject to regular police surveillance and inspection—were found to be invariably clean. They are greatly crowded; five small houses owned by one proprietor accommodated 600 men and women nightly, at a rate of 8 pence for a married couple, and 4 pence for men. One room was seen in which there were seventy sleepers crowded closely together. Notwithstanding this crowding, the tenements are kept clean, and a certificate to that effect, signed by the regular inspector of the district, is neatly framed and hung upon the wall. In two of the districts which were visited there were about 250 regular, common lodging-houses which accommodate, nightly, 7,800 tenants, and only about one dozen of infectious cases had appeared in the district within a year.

In further answer to the query, "What should the Government do in aid of public health?" one important fact is to be borne in mind—the necessity of absolute reliance upon ourselves. History furnishes no models for the construction of any sanitary institution adapted to the wants of this nation. Lycurgus, with his Spartan laws, adapted to a small and peculiar province, the laws of Solon, the laws of Moses, the quarantine laws of the fifteenth century, the sumptuary laws of Rome—none of these furnish a sanitary framework for the United States. What modern nation is perfect in its sanitary regulations? What nation has such conditions of boundary and magnitude as our own? What has England, with its Government on a "tight little isle," and its possessions scattered over the earth, what can a nation with such physical conditions teach us? What can

France, or Spain, each with a territory surpassed by a single one of the United States, teach us? Or Germany, with scarcely any seaboard at all? What conditions prevail in Russia, Italy, or Turkey, that prevail here?

We may adopt scientific appliances, we may study technique in foreign lands in establishing sanitary measures, but we must be a law entirely unto ourselves with regard to our sanitary policy. The United States is the *omnium gatherum* of the world. In the last ten years five and one-half millions of immigrants have come to our shores from all sections of the earth. We ourselves allow no pestilence to breed within our domain, but the cholera from Egypt or India, the plague from Russia, the yellow fever from the Spanish main, come as parasites of our great commerce and immigration. We send no diseases abroad, but by reason of our character as a haven, are constantly subject to disease from abroad. Our sanitary policy must be *sui generis*, must be formulated out of our own institutions, and must be influenced but little, either by ancient tenets, or by modern opinion of foreign countries whose circumstances are wholly different from our own.

A sanitary policy should be adopted far-reaching in its effects, adapted not for the present decade alone, but for decades to come. Its direct aim should be the ultimate intelligence and education of the average citizen in matters relating to his personal health, and the health of his Commonwealth. How this must be attained will be discussed presently, but in anticipation thereof it is necessary to state that a sanitary policy must be entirely in harmony with the spirit of the American Government, and no better plan for sanitary government appears at the present time than one modeled upon the structure of the general Government itself.

Broadly stated, this sanitary policy expects of each State a sanitary autonomy whose influence should be appreciated by every individual in every hamlet, however small, in its domain. It contemplates a State pride in the development of sanitation, a self-reliance and an unwillingness to surrender functions or call for aid from the general Government excepting after clearest convictions of propriety or necessity. This policy expects from the general Government that since it controls commerce, both maritime and inter-State, it will prevent commerce from conveying disease; that it will respect the sanitary institutions of the States; that it will have such organizations and establishments as properly belong to its sphere of action to supplement where States fail, and to enable it to wield its peculiar power when urgency demands. It was a want of harmony with the spirit of American Government that led to the failure of the National Board of Health. That was a sanitary empire—or sought to be—within the boundaries of a republic. It was un-Ameri-

can and it fell. In its very fall, however, it effected a good result. Immediately the sanitarians of the several States developed a marked State activity. Is there one who believes that if the National Board of Health had retained its powers, there would be the present advanced condition of State Boards of Health throughout the United States? It may be considered an axiom that the sanitary welfare of this country is dependent upon the development and perfection of the State Boards of Health. By means of State Boards only can the individual be reached. The reports which come from far off, perchance mountainous districts of out of the way counties, sent to the State Boards of Health, by them printed and transmitted to the world, furnish a stimulus to the community from which such reports emanate, as well as valuable information for sanitary officials.

The sanitarians and the Government must give mutual aid. To control commerce that commerce may not be clogged and lay the hand of healthful restraint upon her for her own good; to spread a net and hold it firm, to catch and throw back the vicious and diseased in the great wave of immigration as it breaks upon our shores; to check the merchant or manufacturer when his absorbing greed for gain makes him ready to risk the lives of hundreds; to oppose the lawyer when by a legal twist in behalf of the individual he seeks to force a way around the sanitary barrier erected for the common safety; to force the slow comprehension of legislators; to prick the conscience of the tardy doctor with the needle of the law, and even to sweep from the path the sentimental obstruction of mistaken priest and clergy—this is the mission of the sanitarian, the duty of the Government.

"SHE THOUGHT IT WAS HER CHANGE OF LIFE."

REPORTING CASES OF UTERINE POLYPI, UTERINE CANCER, INVERSION OF UTERUS AND SUB-PERITONEAL FIBROID.

Read by Title in the Section of Obstetrics and Gynecology, at the Forty-first Annual Meeting of the American Medical Association, at Nashville, Tenn., June, 1890.

BY A. VANDERVEER, M.D.,
OF ALBANY, N. Y.

The object of this paper is to concentrate upon certain cases that occur in our consultation practice, and in the practice of the overworked general practitioner, a closer and more positive examination for the purpose of a correct diagnosis. I will proceed at once to the report of a case that will help to illustrate what I have in mind.

Miss M., æt. 47, a well-to-do maiden lady residing in a small country town, had been in good health, regular in her menstruation up to the age of 44, when she began to flow more excessively at her menstrual periods, and soon thereafter developed a condition of both menorrhagia and metrorrhagia. After this condition had lasted

for a year, and when she was quite anæmic, somewhat exhausted and losing in flesh, she consulted her family physician, Dr. B., who treated her for some time with tonics, rest and diet, with some little benefit, and yet not much improvement as to the excessive flowing. He suggested making a careful examination as to her condition, but this she positively refused to have done, saying that "she believed it to be only her change of life." He treated her for another year, at the end of which time she was confined to her bed, and yet refused to have any local treatment. When she had suffered for nearly three years, and in a condition when there was much œdema of the extremities, her lips colorless and a profound state of anæmia present, at the earnest solicitation of the members of her family, she finally yielded, and the doctor was permitted to make an examination which confirmed his previous suspicions of a uterine fibroid. It presented in the form of a simple polypus projecting from the external os. Her condition was made known to her and an immediate operation urged. I was sent for on October 10, 1887, and found her in such an exceedingly feeble condition that I really feared she might die from the additional slight shock of the operation. She, however, was very willing to have done what seemed to be best, was now entirely passive, knowing that she could live only a short time if not helped in some way. I found a large polypus filling the cavity of the vagina, and attached by a moderately-sized pedicle to the internal os. Around it I was able to pass the chain of the écraseur, and to remove it without any great trouble, not giving the patient an anæsthetic, as I feared she would be unable to endure it. Cavity of the uterus was curetted thoroughly, washed out with a weak solution of bichloride and packed with iodoform gauze. This was removed at the end of forty-eight hours and afterwards vaginal douches made use of containing boracic acid in solution. This patient ultimately made a complete recovery, although her convalescence was somewhat slow in consequence of her exceedingly weak and exhausted condition.

I present this as a case familiar to many of us, illustrating a class of cases where procrastination on the part of the practitioner, absolute indifference and stubbornness on the part of the patient often costs the latter her life. Women seem to have in their mind the idea that they must expect all sorts of conditions to present at the time of the menopause, and are too negligent, too frequently, in having their cases properly looked into.

Belonging to another class of cases, which are far more sad, are the following:

Mrs. B., æt. 33, married, mother of three children, has always been in good health, family history good, youngest child 3 years of age, whom she nursed and weaned at the age of 14 months. Menstruated regularly after that until six months previ-