the 15th, instead of on the morning of the 14th as expected. In 1879 I found the greatest density of the shower occurred some hours before its probable time, while in 1888 the best display came six hours later than I had been led to expect. Minor returns are, however, more difficult to determine as regards the exact period of maximum, and are likely to teach us little in this respect. The state of the sky, the altitude of the radiant, and the presence or absence of the moon have each an important effect on the visible aspect of a meteor shower, and render fair comparisons from year to year scarcely possible, the circumstances being rarely the same in two cases.

The Leonids in 1896 were certainly more numerous, judging from the majority of the reports, than in an ordinary year, and no doubt a further increase in their strength will be apparent in 1897, but the moon will then rather seriously interfere with observation. Judging from the times of maxima observed in 1799, November 12 a.m., 1833 November 13 a.m., and 1866 November 14 a.m., the maximum will occur before midnight in 1899; but we have a good prospect of observing the return of 1900 at about 3 a.m. November 15. As regards the next return in 1933, it will probably be well seen from the eastern parts of Europe, while that of 1934 seems likely to be witnessed from England on the morning of November 16. Too much confidence should not, however, be placed in these indications, as the shower has exhibited some irregularities in the past. Thus in 1867 it returned about two hours later than its computed time, while in 1868 it most unexpectedly proved quite a brilliant display about fifteen hours after its time.

W. F. DENNING.

Bristol, December 10.

Oyster Culture in Relation to Disease.

IN Dr. T. E. Thorpe's paper in the issue of *NATURE* for December 3, there are several erroneous statements which are not calculated to do good. He says that the "Belgian and Dutch oysters chiefly come to Grimsby and Brightlingsea." This is quite wrong, as the greatest quantity of Dutch oysters come to London, some go to Manchester, Liverpool, and other large towns: Belgian oysters are nearly unknown in England.

Again, Dr. Thorpe says that the greatest number of oysters are eaten in September. This again is wrong. The greatest quantity of oysters are sold in the months of November, December, and January.

Again, Dr. Thorpe says "the value steadily increases up to December, and gradually diminishes month by month until it reaches the minimum in June and July." This is not correct, as the better class of oysters do not come to market so late, and the price of good oysters is always maintained.

Dr. Thorpe says, further, "the layings in the bed of the Colne, which presumably furnish the supply for the time-honoured 'Colchester Feast,' are subjected to the comparatively concentrated effluent of Colchester sewage at low water, and to the additional pollution to which the river is subjected at Wyvenhoe and Rowhedge." The oysters sent by the Colne Fishery Board to London and the continent, also those eaten at the "feast," are not fattened in the bed of the Colne, but in a creek lower down the river called the "Pyfleet." On page 28 of Dr. Cartwright Wood's report, reprinted from *The British Medical Journal*, he calls the Pyfleet oyster the standard oyster for purity. Dr. Cartwright Wood, on page 25, also says "these experiments accordingly, as far as they go, tend strongly to confirm the view that in a state of nature the oyster might very rapidly get rid of the effects of contamination."

The Park, Hutton, Essex, December 7. G. H. BAXTER.

BEFORE your correspondent peremptorily asserts that certain statements are "quite wrong," it might be worth his while to ascertain that he was quite right in so doing. To begin with, he has evidently not read the Report to the Local Government Board "On Oyster Culture in Relation to Disease," or he would have discovered that the statements to which he takes exception are made not on my authority, but on that of the Inspector of the Local Oyster Industries. On p. 24 of Dr. Bulstrode's report, under the heading "Oysters imported direct from abroad and consumed without being relaid in our waters," it is stated that "large quantities are also imported

from Holland, Belgium, and other countries. . . . I am informed by Mr. Mussun, of Liverpool, with whom I conferred at Cleethorpes, that the chief ports for the introduction of American oysters into this country are Liverpool and Southampton, and for North Sea oysters Grimsby and Brightlingsea."

It is not to be supposed that all the oysters imported into Grimsby are eaten there: no doubt they find their way "to Manchester, Liverpool, and other large towns."

I stated, on the authority of Dr. Bulstrode, that in 1894 27,747,000 oysters, of the value of 84,271*l.*, were landed on the English and Welsh coasts by English dredges. Dr. Bulstrode also gives the following table (p. 4), showing how this number was distributed over the several coasts, together with the corresponding value of the oysters.

Month.	Oysters.	Value.
January	2,289,000	£8437
February	2,217,000	7846
March	2,231,000	6838
April	1,768,000	6050
May	2,096,000	5497
June	1,768,000	3948
July	1,694,000	3967
August	2,670,000	6909
September	3,124,000	8054
October	2,947,000	8585
November	2,426,000	7493
December	2,517,000	10,647

27,747,000

£84,271

This table shows that the greatest number of oysters are landed, and therefore presumably eaten in September, and that their value increases up to December, and then gradually diminishes month after month until it reaches a minimum in June or July.

My remarks on the Colne oyster layings were based on the statements of Dr. Thorne Thorne (p. xii) and Dr. Bulstrode (p. 40). The latter gentleman, after mentioning the fattening grounds in the Pyfleet, which, of course, are distinct from the layings in the Colne, says: "Provided, therefore, that all oysters, prior to consumption, are laid down for a sufficient period on the fattening grounds of the Pyfleet, there is probably no reason to suspect the wholesomeness of Colne Fishery oysters, but it seems to me very undesirable that oysters should be despatched from market direct from the bed of the River Colne."

I have no desire to asperse unduly the character of the Colne oyster, of which, if I were an Essex man, and interested in its culture, I should be as jealous as Mr. Baxter apparently is. I can only hope, therefore, with Dr. Cartwright Wood, that when the Colne oyster finds itself at the sea-side, and "in a state of nature," it not only "might" but will "get rid of the effects of contamination," and rapidly show itself "the standard oyster for purity." Still, on the whole, it is to be preferred that the oyster, like the woman, should be without "a past."

T. E. THORPE.

Radiography.

YOUR columns are eagerly searched every week for information regarding the latest developments of radiography, and for the best methods of working. I think it may be said that we are still in a state of empiricism as to the technique of the subject. We can get fair representations of most of the bones in the human body, though we have much to learn even in this elementary detail. But the definition of the soft parts with sufficient accuracy for diagnostic purposes is still, so far as I am aware, beyond us.

Judging, however, from occasional results, I fancy this desirable point will be reached ere long; for on examining a recent radiograph of a rabbit, I find the masseter muscles well defined, together with accurate outlines of the internal organs. In the case of a partridge, radiographed to discover the cause of "towering," the tendons of the thigh muscles are sharply defined, and in a hedgehog many minute details are perfectly clear. In each of these I gave an exposure of seventy-five minutes, at a distance of 14 inches with a low tension (under 3 inches). I am now using a Rhumkorff coil capable of giving a 10-inch spark, a Watson "penetrator" tube, and Cadett "professional" plates. I should

be glad of information as to the best method of working direct on to sensitised paper, to save the time and expense involved in taking glass negatives.

G. M. LOWE.

Lincoln, December 7

"Chelidonium majus" as a Cure for Cancer.

WITH reference to the probable value of *Chelidonium majus* in the treatment of cancer, I beg to enclose the two following extracts from ancient writers for the purpose of showing that its value, for internal use, was not unknown.

In the "Ortus Sanitatis," of J. A. Cuba, published at Mayence in the year 1491, he makes the following remark, *inter alia*, upon the property of this plant.

"Et ad cancrum oris pulvis radicis cum pulvere rosarum conficitur, et cum aceto decoquitur."

Again, Bodæus à Stapel, of Amsterdam, in his edition of the "Historia Plantarum" of Theophrastus (1644, p. 894), after describing the method of preparing a decoction of the plant, says:—

"Primi liquoris seu elementi aquei usus existimatur, quod intra corpus sumptus, omnes humores corruptos et perniciosos corrigit et educat."

Barton and Castle, in their "Flora Medica" (1838), remark that "Linnaeus, Murray, Gilibert, and others express their astonishment at the oblivion into which a plant so energetic as the Celandine has fallen, while the ancients knew how to appreciate its qualities."

C. LEESON PRINCE.

The Observatory, Crowborough Hill, Sussex, November 26.

Measurements of Crabs.

THE crabs measured by Prof. Weldon, which were 12.5 centimetres long, had the ratio of frontal breadth to carapace length equal to 778.39 thousandths with a quartile deviation of 10.79; the adult crabs had the above-mentioned ratio 604.94 with a quartile deviation of 9.96. He concluded that since 9.96 is less than 10.79 the adults were less variable than the young, and that this diminution of variability might be accounted for by the selective destruction of those young crabs in which the ratio of frontal breadth to carapace length was much greater or less than the average. That Prof. Weldon was mistaken in making this inference may be shown thus:—

If he, in his investigations, instead of considering the ratio of frontal breadth to carapace length had considered its reciprocal, the ratio of carapace length to frontal breadth, he would have arrived at the result that those 12.5 centimetres long had a ratio of carapace length to frontal breadth amounting to 1284.7 thousandths with a quartile deviation of 17.9, while the adults had a ratio of carapace length to frontal breadth of 1653.1 with a quartile deviation of 26. This would have shown that the ratio of carapace length to frontal breadth was more variable (in Prof. Weldon's sense of the word) with adults than with the young. This, he would probably have argued, may be due to the selective *survival* of crabs in which the ratio of carapace length to frontal breadth deviates excessively from the average. But those crabs in which the ratio of carapace length to frontal breadth deviates excessively from the average are precisely the same as those in which the ratio of frontal breadth to carapace length deviates excessively from the average, which latter he concluded were selectively destroyed. Thus the same reasoning applied to the same data leads to two totally irreconcilable explanations. Such reasoning must be false.

Prof. Weldon's erroneous conclusion seems to have arisen from making the mistake he accuses me of making, *i.e.* confusing variability (the quantity measured by quartile deviation) with importance of variability. Having proved that variability in the above sense of the word was less in the case of adult than of young crabs in regard to the ratio of frontal breadth to carapace length, he argues about the diminished variability as if it were the same as diminished importance of variability, which is in the general case measured by ratio of deviation to average amount of the quantity measured.

J. A. COBB.

Minneapolis, November 25.

Diselectrification by Phosphorus.

IN No. 1410, vol. lv. of NATURE, Mr. Shelford Bidwell refers to the discharge of electricity by phosphorus when it is oxidised. In a paper published by Prof. Naccari (*Atti della Science di*

NO. 1416, VOL. 55]

Torino, vol. xxv., February 22, 1890) as well as in one of our own (*Wiedemann's Annalen*, xxxix. p. 321, 1890), you will find a record of this observation.

ELSTER AND GEITEL.

MANY thanks for sending me the above. I much regret that I did not know of the experiments referred to. I made a considerable search before sending you my letter; but it is so difficult to ascertain what has been done before, that one hesitates to publish anything.

SHELFORD BIDWELL.

Riverstone Lodge, Southfields, S.W., December 2.

Cultivation of Woad.

LATELY at Leighton Buzzard, I saw an old book, "E. Bowen's Complete System of Geography, 1743," in which some account is given of the growth and preparation of woad in Bedfordshire. There is a Woad Farm at Lathbury Bridge, near the confluence of the river Lovat, or Ouzel, with the Ouse, at Newport Pagnell, Bucks, and commented upon in the *Bucks Standard*, November 8. The author [name not given], after referring to the more ancient growth of woad, gives it as his opinion that, "this once largely used herb was grown on this farm at a later period, and hence its name."

The lands of the Woad Farm are alluvial clay and river gravel, and there is an osier-bed in the locality.

December, 1896.

A. C. G. CAMERON.

Dormant Seeds.

THE remarkable experiments of Prof. C. de Candolle reported on p. 21, and those formerly described of Prof. Giglioli, seem certainly to show that life in a seed may be prolonged indefinitely under suitable conditions; or rather, that so long as no destructive change occurs, the power of living, not necessarily life itself, persists in the protoplasm. It has occurred to me as *barely possible* that some seeds from amber might be made to grow. It sounds a very wild suggestion, but the conditions of perfect preservation, with protection from air and moisture, are peculiar, and should offer as good a chance as some of those arranged by Prof. Giglioli, or cited by Prof. de Candolle.

T. D. A. COCKERELL.

Mesilla, New Mexico, U.S.A., November 19.

The Arrangement of Branches of Trees.

MAY not the want of symmetry or the "anyhowness" of the arrangement of the branches of trees serve some highly useful purpose? May it not help to prevent the trees being overturned in the highest winds by the want of synchronism in the motions of the branches? I have never seen or heard of such an idea, and it may be open to serious objections; but some time ago I watched the branches of a large plane tree, still partially in leaf, during a high gale, and it seemed incredible the tree could stand, but for the fact that whilst one large limb was swaying one way, another would be swaying the opposite way, and so on, all plunging and bending anyhow, with no two in harmony. Some of the larger limbs would swoop down as others bounded up in a sudden gust, and some swaying laterally with the wind would be balanced by others at another part of the tree swaying against the wind.

The oak, the beech, the ash, and so on, have all this "anyhowness" of branch arrangement, they at the same time being our largest trees and most in want of it.

Do the early stages of tree evolution point to a more methodical mode of branching?

THOS. SWAN.

Maryfield House, Leslie, Fife, December 11.

Curious Purple Patches.

REFERRING to "Purple Patches," in NATURE of November 12, I have frequently seen patches like those mentioned, but not quite so large, on the decks of ships immediately after they had been scrubbed with *salt water*. I have also seen them in bad weather at sea when salt water was coming over the side. I never remember noticing them after rain, or at any other time than when salt water has been on the decks.

The idea I have always had, and heard others at sea speak of, is that they were small salt-water organisms squashed out by the foot.

I have noticed them most frequently on the Scottish coast, but I have also seen them in China. Out here I have not observed them.

E.

Mediterranean Station.