Of the subject-titles in this volume, the largest is Labor, under which, in 150 pages, a very complete library is catalogued of some 1500 books and 10,000 articles, well arranged under many headings. Under Kidney, the student will probably be content to find references to about 400 books and 2500 articles.

It is easy to show the vast extent of the work attempted and executed; that there are absolutely no inaccuracies in the result is hardly possible, difficult as it may be to find them. The references in this volume certainly stand many tests, and most of those who have made frequent use of the previous six volumes in practical work have acquired a confidence in their accuracy which is very rare in dealing with such an immense mass of varied languages and types and abbreviations so thickly interspersed with figures.

Both the Library and the Index-Catalogue are brought fully up to date. The volume is presented to the Surgeon-General of the U.S. Army in a letter of preface dated June 1, 1886, and it contains the books and periodical references practically complete up to the end of 1885. If any comparatively modern subject is examined, *e.g.* kairine, we find nothing said or known of it before 1882, and yet 120 references, taking us over all the published literature of the subject, down to the end of 1885.

This seventh volume includes the entries from Insignarès to Leghorn. It is likely to be the middle volume of this encyclopædic work; and certainly if Mr. Billings is able to publish his last and concluding volume in 1892 no one who has any interest in the progress of knowledge will hesitate to congratulate him and his colleagues even more heartily, if it be possible, than at present.

A. T. MYERS

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, or to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

[The Editor urgently requests correspondents to keep their letters as short as possible. The pressure on his space is so great that it is impossible otherwise to insure the appearance even of communications containing interesting and novel facts.]

Sounding a Crater, Fusion-Points, Pyrometers, and Seismometers

THE account given by Prof. John Milne of his ascents and attempts at sounding the crater of Asama Yama is exceedingly interesting, and I can thoroughly sympathise with him in the difficulties he encountered, having been exposed to them on many occasions, and not always coming off so victoriously. He and Mr. Dun, however, have been forestalled by the late Robert Mallet. When I came to Naples some eight years since, I found in one of the store-rooms of the geological department of the Naples University a quantity of apparatus which I soon made out the use of.

It appears that Mallet had this apparatus made especially for the purpose of measuring the temperature and studying the gases and the lava itself within the mouth of the volcano Vesuvius. On his arrival in Naples the state of the mountain did not permit of the experiments being carried on, and the whole of the materials were left in charge of Prof. Guiscardi, who could give no other information than the above. By carefully studying the apparatus I was soon able to understand Mallet's intentions and the mechanism he intended to employ. There are two drums of small wire cable—one for traversing the crater to hold a pulley over the "bocca," and the other for letting down the weight and crucible. The crucible is of cast iron with a bayonet-jointed cover, and is, no doubt, intended to contain substances of

different fusibilities. The apparatus is, however, an improvement on that used in Japan, in that the sounding-rope is insulated and there is an electrical bell and battery so arranged that when the crucible enters the lava it makes an earth-contact and rings the bell. There are other pieces of apparatus that I could not get at, but I think they are intended for chemical researches.

From these facts it will be seen that Prof. J. Milne has been forestalled as far as the method is concerned, but no experiments were ever carried out, either by Mallet on account of failing health, or by those in whose hands the apparatus fell. I made application to be allowed to use the apparatus, but for various reasons was unable to.

Before leaving this subject, may I appeal to your readers for a list of substances the fusion-point of which is known, and all of which would be above a dull red heat? I should like to have as complete a series as possible, so as to obtain results confined within narrow limits. Also any suggestions as to the best form of pyrometer that might be forced into and held in a stream of flowing lava, and that would not be injured by the breaking off of the rocky crust on its removal.

May I be permitted to make a few observations on the question lately raised about the authorship of certain seismographs? Not long since I described in your pages certain instruments that I considered as likely to be useful in such violent earthquakes as shake Ischia from time to time. With the exception of two, no claim was made to originality of principle, and yet I received through your pages a severe scolding from Prof. Ewing. Now if we really go into the literature of the subject we shall find the horizontal pendulum is not the invention of Prof. Ewing, but his present form of seismograph is one of the best applications of such a contrivance for measuring the horizontal component of an earth-wave, and I think he is justified in calling it *his seismograph* in so far as the present model goes. If we did not allow so much, no man using a vertical pendulum, however well contrived and modified, could call such *his*. At any rate I shall leave Prof. Milne, Prof. Ewing, and Mr. Gray to fight their own battle, but Prof. Ewing has fallen into the very same error of which he not long since accused me.

Naples, December 20

H. J. JOHNSTON-LAVIS

The Recent Earthquakes

MAY I ask to be allowed to call attention to some points in relation to the two earthquakes mentioned in your issues of December 9, p. 127 ("Volcanic Eruption in Niua-Fu, Friendly Islands"), and December 16, p. 157 ("Earthquake at Sea"). As regards the first, it is stated that "The whole island has been in a disturbed state for some three months and a half, the dates of the principal disturbances coinciding remarkably with those which are going on in other parts of the world—earthquakes on June 8 and 11, which, I think, are the dates of the first New Zealand outbreaks. . . . This is, of course, not wonderful; but the final catastrophe here took place on August 31, which, we understand, was the exact date of the recent American earthquake. It was preceded for twenty-four hours by earthquakes, and went on for ten days."

Assuming the synchronism of the Tonga eruption and earthquakes with those of the North Island of New Zealand, there is this very interesting relation between the two localities, that they both lie very near to a great circle which I may designate as the "West Coast of Africa Great Circle." This passes through, or near, the following points:—

Cape of Good Hope to St. Helena Bay; mouth of River Orange; Walfish Bay; Cape Martha; Cape Lopez Gonzalez; Bonny River; Algerian coast, near Nemours; south-east coast of Spain, near Almazora; north coast of Spain (3° 25' W. long.); west coast of Ireland (Loop Head); southern point of Iceland (near Westmanna Island); north-west point of Iceland; Greenland, Cape York; Melville Island (south coast and point of); Bering Island; Cape Dalhousie and coast-line of Liverpool Bay; Ala-ka, Montagu Island; Tonga Island (half a degree to west of Tonga Tabu); New Zealand, north-east point of North Island; passes between Adelie Land and South Victoria Land; Enderby's Land. It may be remarked that several of these localities are noted for disturbances both volcanic and seismic.

As regards the "earthquake at sea" mentioned in your number of the 16th inst., the position where it was felt is given as N. lat. 19° 21', and W. long. 64° 22'; this gives a point about 93 miles north-east of Porto Rico. The interest in this

case lies in the fact that the antipodal point corresponding, being S. lat. $19^{\circ} 21'$ and E. long. $115^{\circ} 38'$, lies about 100 miles north by east of Barrow Island, off the west coast of Australia. It is further interesting to note that the line which joins this point with the southern point of Barrow Island fairly represents the direction of the coast-line at this point, and leads to the presumption of the existence of main lines of faulting there having that direction. To this relation of antipodean points in connection with earthquakes I have already had occasion to call attention.

J. P. O'REILLY

Royal College of Science, Dublin, December 22

Barnard's Comet

THIS comet has been observed here with the $7\frac{1}{2}$ -inch refractor, with power 50, than which no higher power could be used with advantage.

On December 19, at 18h., it appeared as bright as a 2nd magnitude star similarly situated; the nebulous head was about $10'$ in diameter, with central condensation of perhaps half a minute. The "position" of the principal tail was estimated to be 0° : it remains of a parallel breadth throughout, and does not increase in breadth as it recedes from the head of the comet; this constant breadth is equal to the diameter of the nebulous head, that is to say, $10'$. By sweeping, this tail may be traced to a distance of some 10° from the head.

The secondary tail is inclined at an angle of between 30° and 40° to the principal one, and fades away rapidly at a distance of perhaps 1° from the head; it is well defined on the preceding side, but on the following side it melts away into a nebulous mass connecting it with the principal tail for some distance from the head.

On the 27th, at 19h., the comet was decidedly less bright than on the 19th, but the same general description applies.

The "positions" of the two tails were measured, and were: the principal tail, $15^{\circ} 5'$; the secondary, 338° ; the included angle, $37^{\circ} 5' \pm 0^{\circ} 5'$. The secondary tail did not appear as well defined, on the following side, as on the 19th.

Finlay's comet presents no visible feature of interest.

WENTWORTH ERCK

Shankill, co. Dublin, December 28

Electricity and Clocks

IN the absence of any details, apparently Mr. Wilson's simplest plan would be to insulate the hammer and bell of his "small striking clock," and arrange that a galvanic current should pass through both, when they come in contact by the act of striking: this current of course to be directed to a large electro-magnet, to raise the hammer for striking on his bigger bell. Should the striking of Mr. Wilson's smaller clock be on a gong with a leather-beaked hammer, a separate attachment must be made for contact.

HENRY DENT GARDNER

Lee, S.E., December 26

P.S.—If a longer contact be desired, the hammer whilst at rest should repose upon a weak spring, and be kept away from a banking; when the hammer rises, contact will ensue between the spring and banking, and last until the hammer falls again.—H. D. G.

Seismometry

THERE are one or two points in Prof. Ewing's letter on the above subject in the last number of NATURE which seem to call for a few words of reply.

(1) As to the alleged inconsistency between what I wrote in 1881 and what I wrote in my last letter. The remark quoted referred to a light pivoted frame carrying at its centre of percussion, relatively to the axis through its pivot, a pivoted mass. There was no "if need be" about this mass: it was an essential part of the system. I believe the remark I then made was perfectly correct and in no way inconsistent with my remarks in 1886.

(2) As to the vertical-motion instrument, the lever with spring joint used at Comrie in 1842 does not at all resemble the rigid lever working on knife edges and supported by springs as introduced by me and used by Prof. Ewing. On the question of compensating the lever by the addition of negative stability, I have nothing to add to what I stated in my last letter.

(3) As to the publication referred to by Prof. Ewing, the memoir printed by the Tokio University is probably, from the circumstances of its publication, hardly known to anybody. The "Encyclopædia Britannica" article is not, in my opinion, a fair account of what has been done in seismometry.

THOMAS GRAY

7, Broomhill Avenue, Partick, December 27

The Recent Weather

AT Cardross, half-way between Dumbarton and Helensburgh on the Clyde, at about 25 feet above sea-level, in an outer lobby with a temperature of say 45° to 50° F. at 9 a.m. on Wednesday, the 8th inst., the mercurial barometer stood at $27\cdot51$ inches, which, with reduction of say $0\cdot02$ added for elevation, and say $0\cdot03$ subtracted for temperature, would make it $27\cdot50$ inches. On January 26, 1884, it stood at $27\cdot39$ inches, which with like reductions would give $27\cdot38$ inches. These are nearly as low as those you refer to in your number for last week.

Cardross, Dumbarton, December 23

R. B. W.

OBSERVATIONS OF NEBULÆ AT ARCETRI¹

M. TEMPEL observes under difficulties. The Arcetri Observatory possesses, it is true, two fine refractors by Amici, one of 11, the other of $9\frac{1}{2}$ inches aperture; but neither is, properly speaking, available for astronomical use. The smaller is rudely set up on an open and uneven terrace, exposed to every gust of wind, and, at the most, serves to display the wonders of the heavens to curious visitors. Amici I. is duly ensconced in a revolving dome, but clockwork motion is wanting; the circles, both of declination and right ascension, are (strange as it may seem) *undivided*; and when the necessarily somewhat unwieldy instrument is, with infinite pains and without so much as the aid of a handle, pointed towards the object sought, there is actually no means of clamping it in the position so laboriously arrived at! That M. Tempel, under circumstances so discouraging to him and disgraceful to the responsible authorities, should have executed a number of valuable drawings of nebulae, should have re-observed many such objects neglected, or even believed to have disappeared, since the elder Herschel's time, besides discovering a good proportion of new ones, gives astonishing proof of his keenness, zeal, and accuracy. All the more, nevertheless, there is reason to regret that qualities so rare should be employed at such cruel disadvantage for want of the judicious expenditure of a couple of thousand francs.

The paper before us is accompanied by reproductions of two admirable drawings by the author, one of the Orion, the other of the "Crab" nebula (Messier I.). The latter is of especial interest, as disclosing a feature unnoticed by any previous observer. This is a dark cleft right through the central condensation, dividing it along the major axis into two spindle-shaped nebulae. Incipient fission would seem to be indicated. On the same plate with his own, M. Tempel has engraved five earlier drawings of the object, by J. Herschel, D'Arrest, Lassell, Secchi, and Lord Rosse. The comparison is instructive, if somewhat disheartening; for, assuredly, no two of the six confronted delineations could be supposed, on an unprejudiced inspection, to have been inspired by one original. Yet the nature of that original sufficiently explains the discrepancies. The apparent form of nebulae depends upon almost evanescent gradations of diffused faint light, and differs, for each individual eye, with its sensitiveness to them. And since personal equation, as regards such gradations, is shown by many proofs to be enormously large, a vast amount of detailed variation in the representation of the objects exhibiting them becomes intelligible. It is, then, a circumstance of pecu-

¹ "Ueber Nebelflecken. Nach Beobachtungen angestellt in den Jahren 1876-79 auf der Königl. Sternwarte zu Arcetri bei Florenz." Von Wilhelm Tempel. Abhandlungen der Königl. Böhm. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften. VII. Folge, 1. Band. (Prag, 1885.)