This article was downloaded by: [McGill University Library]
On: 14 October 2014, At: 15:39
Publisher: Taylor & Francis
Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number:
1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street,
London W1T 3JH, UK

Annals and Magazine of Natural History: Series 2

Publication details, including instructions for
authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tnah08>

Description and anatomy of a new and curious subgenus of Planaria

Joseph Leidy M.D.

Published online: 23 Dec 2009.

To cite this article: Joseph Leidy M.D. (1848) Description and anatomy of a new
and curious subgenus of Planaria, Annals and Magazine of Natural History: Series
2, 1:3, 242-245, DOI: [10.1080/03745485809496106](https://doi.org/10.1080/03745485809496106)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03745485809496106>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

that in the larva under consideration there is any act which altogether is comparable to what happens among the true ruminant quadrupeds. From their structure however, their physiological action and their development, these multiple stomachs of the gnat call to mind, but on a small scale, what is observed on the 'rumen' and 'reticulum' of the ruminants. In fact their inner membrane is finely alveolated like that of the paunch in these large animals, and the nutriment does not pass by these vesicles as if it were a simple canal, which is generally the case, but it is conveyed into them by a particular passage; it sojourns there for a longer or shorter period, experiences a certain elaboration, and is then expelled by the same passage and re-enters the intestinal tube. The nutriment does not return, it is true, to the mouth, but it undergoes a certain alteration in the stomachs, for the particles partially digested which are perceived in the intestine are considerably thinner than those in the gastric vesicles. In the first periods of life the ruminants feed solely upon milk, there is as yet no rumination in them, and the two first stomachs are then proportionately very small; this is likewise the case in the very young larvæ of the gnat, as they immediately after their issue from the egg absorb a very thin and almost entirely fluid nutriment; these organs are at this period simply rudimentary and perfectly impermeable. The thorax itself which contains them is proportionately much smaller than in larvæ of a greater age.

Thus, if the comparison between the digestive function of the vesicular stomachs of the gnat and the physiological action of the two first digestive cavities of the ruminants is not perfect, however distant these animals are in the zoological scale, yet it cannot be denied that in a local physiological point of view there is rigorous analogy. It is moreover highly worthy of attention that these stomachs are absolutely analogous by their form, their position, and the manner in which they act, to the stomachs of the polygastric Infusoria described by Ehrenberg. This fact adds a fresh proof, although observed upon other animals, of the truth of the investigations of that scientific naturalist.—*Comptes Rendus*, Oct. 25, 1847.

Description and Anatomy of a new and curious subgenus of Planaria.

By JOSEPH LEIDY, M.D.

In October 1840, Prof. S. S. Haldeman published a description of an animal under the name of *Planaria gracilis**. Upon examination I detected such a remarkable peculiarity in the digestive apparatus as led me to investigate its anatomy in detail, and to form for it a separate subgenus, characterized as follows:—

Phagoeata, oblonga, plano-convexa, nuda, contractilis, mucosa, antica auricularia. Aperturæ duæ, ventrales, ad os et generationem pertinentes. Proboscides multæ.

* Supplement to No. 1 of "A Monograph of the Limniades, or Fresh-water Univalve Shells of North America, containing descriptions of apparently new animals in different classes," &c. By S. S. Haldeman. Philadelphia, 1840.

P. gracilis, nigricans, lateribus parallelis, postero acuto abrupte, pleurumque antico recto; oculis duobus. Long. 9 lin., lat. 1 lin. Habitat in fontibus Pennsylvaniae.

Description. Oblong, limaciform, naked, convex superiorly, flat inferiorly, very contractile; sides ordinarily parallel, convex when the animal is in a contracted state, convergent anteriorly when elongated; anterior extremity with a lateral triangular auricular appendage, straight in front, by contraction becoming convex or concave; posterior extremity abruptly pointed; ocelli two, anterior, composed of an oblong, semitransparent (nervous?) mass with an intensely black dot of pigmentum at the internal posterior part; ventral apertures two; oral aperture a little less than one-third the length of the body from the posterior extremity, and very dilatable; generative aperture half-way between the oral aperture and posterior extremity. Colour black or iron gray, and in some younger specimens latericeous.

This animal I have only found in abundance in the neighbourhood of Prof. Haldeman's residence, near Columbia, Pa. In a spring in front of his house, thousands of them may be seen gliding along the bottom; some of them occasionally creep up the sides to the surface of the water, turn upon the back, and by making the ventral surface concave, float about in the manner of the *Limniadae*. It appears to be carnivorous in habit, or at least it attaches itself to animal matter dead or living, in preference to vegetable matter. When irritated, it throws out a considerable quantity of very tenacious mucus.

In structure it appears to be intermediate between the entozoic *Distomata* and the annulose *Hirudinae*. I could not detect any trace of annulation, but I think that this alone would hardly be sufficient to place it lower than the latter animals, because, in a closely allied animal, the *Gordius aquaticus*, although there is no annulation in the perfect animal, yet in the embryo state I find it to exist.

The whole animal is composed of a delicate granular structure; the only approach to muscular fibre is in the longitudinal striation of the integument rendered more distinct by the pigmentum nigrum, a radiated appearance around the oral orifice, and a faint transverse and longitudinal arrangement of the granules entering into the composition of the proboscides, seen more or less distinctly in the continued movement of these organs when slightly compressed beneath the microscope.

The digestive cavity presents the same dendritic arrangement as in *Planariae* generally*, but instead of possessing a single sucker or proboscis, the full-grown animal has not less than twenty-three; varying however in this respect from three upwards, according to the age of the animal. One of these proboscides joins the digestive cavity at the posterior part of the anterior division, as usual; the others join the remaining two divisions at their internal side in their course backwards. They are considerably longer, but narrower, than in *P. lacteat*, and when not in use are closely packed together within the

* Dugès, Ann. Sc. Nat.

† Ib.
16*

animal, so that when the latter is placed beneath the microscope and slightly compressed, they will be seen pressing upon one another in such a manner, that if one changes its position, it will be instantly occupied by another. Those which are formed last are smallest, but they soon gain their full size.

When the animal feeds, the whole of them are protruded from the oral orifice, the longest extending out full one-third the length of the body. As they are all convergent to the same orifice, when fully protruded the animal becomes puckered up and increased in breadth at the expense of the length. In this state the anterior extremity is erected and the posterior brought nearly to a right angle with it, so that it looks as if sitting upon its prey apparently unconcerned, with its proboscides, which writhe and twist about as if they were totally distinct organisms.

If one of these animals be punctured or cut, one or more of the proboscides will be immediately protruded as if they existed under pressure, and will move about in all directions, appearing as if entirely without the control of the animal; or if one of the animals be crushed between two slips of glass so that the proboscides will be torn from their attachment, they move about involuntarily, always in a line forwards or towards the mouth, which they do by contracting the stomachal extremity towards the oral, the latter remaining fixed. In this progressive course they constantly contract and dilate; the mouth opens, and any matter in its vicinity rushes in, when it is closed and the matter passes onwards, and by the alternate contraction and dilatation of different parts of the same tube, it is thrown backwards and forwards several times, and finally violently expelled at the torn extremity. When they have escaped from the ruptures of the tegument produced by crushing, or when snipped off with a pair of scissors whilst an animal is feeding, they will present the same curious phenomena. In fact, these curious independent movements caused me at first to mistake the organs for viviparous young, and it was not until I had frequently observed the animal feeding, and examined its structure beneath the microscope, after having fed them upon coloured food, that I was convinced of their true nature.

Excrementitious matter is expelled from the digestive cavity through the same course by which the food enters.

Circulation.—There appears to be nothing peculiar about the arrangement of the blood-vessels, if such they be; the term being applied to two semitransparent lines passing along each side of the ventral surface, and a third along the middle of the dorsal surface, the three freely communicating with each other by transverse lines and numerous smaller branches, the whole forming an extensive reticulation upon the surface of the body. At the anterior part of each ventral line, I distinctly observed a dilatation to exist.

Generative apparatus.—As in all *Planariæ* the animal is androgynous. The penis is a bulbiform organ placed between the oral and generative orifice, with its point directed towards the latter. The point is straight, or contorted; the bulbous portion is also changeable, sometimes elongated, at others flattened or increased in breadth at

the expense of the length. The bulb shows through the thin integument, and without close examination may be taken for a third orifice. The penis is perforate, and has a dilated cavity within the bulb. Immediately above the penis I indistinctly observed a somewhat lobated organ, which appeared to join the penis at its base by a narrow portion. This is probably the testicle, for it was the only thing I could discover in connection with the genitalia to correspond to it.

In two individuals only could I see part of the female organs. This consisted in two sigmoid tubes or oviducts, which could be traced from the generative orifice a short distance forwards, one on each side of the penis.

I could detect no traces of a nervous system.

The eyes, so called, have been previously described. It is still a question with many, whether these, as well as the corresponding deep black points existing in very many of the lower animals of the invertebrate series, subserve the purpose of eyes; and some anatomists have even gone so far as to deny the sense of sight to the comparatively perfect eye of many gasteropodous mollusca. The experiments which are made to test the existence of this sense in these organs for the most part are exceedingly fallacious, generally being performed by concentrating the light upon them through a lens. Insects, and even serpents and frogs, I find will frequently bear the impression of a sudden glare of light produced in this way without any inconvenience, at other times they will seek to avoid it; but *Helix albolabris* will occasionally retract its tentacle when so disturbed, and *Phagocata* will frequently raise its anterior extremity and move from the too great light. From their position, which is always such as to be well exposed to the influence of the light; from their structure, imperfect as it is in many cases, and their connection with the nervous system when this exists, I am led to conclude that in all cases they are organs of vision.

The general sensibility of *Phagocata* is very considerable, that is, it contracts with great readiness from the slightest disturbance. The contraction has much the appearance of being involuntary, and is very like that of the *Medusæ*. When an individual is irritated at any point, contraction commences there, and thence rapidly extends throughout the animal, and the only appearance of volition is in the effort to escape; but if the touch be too rude, apparently involuntary contraction takes place suddenly, and appears to destroy all power of volition for the moment; the animal however soon revives from this state and glides off with its accustomed speed.

Some experiments which I performed upon *Phagocata* confirm the statements that the *Planariæ* are capable of repairing injuries. When an individual is cut into two, both parts after a time become distinct and perfect animals. Division carried to a greater extent in some instances results in as many perfect animals as there are parts, but generally I have found that when cut into more than three or four pieces, the intermediate pieces are apt to die, and sometimes the extremities do not survive.—*Proc. Acad. Nat. Scien. Philadelphia.*