The Classical Review

<http://journals.cambridge.org/CAR>

Additional services for *The Classical Review*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)

The Fourth Volume of Goetz's *Corpus Glossariorum Latinorum Corpus Glossariorum Latinorum* vol. iv. Edidit Georgics Goetz. Leipzig, Toubner, 1889. 20 Mk.

H. Nettleship

The Classical Review / Volume 4 / Issue 06 / June 1890, pp 255 - 255
DOI: 10.1017/S0009840X00190754, Published online: 27 October 2009

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0009840X00190754

How to cite this article:

H. Nettleship (1890). The Classical Review, 4, pp 255-255 doi:10.1017/S0009840X00190754

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

THE FOURTH VOLUME OF GOETZ'S *CORPUS GLOSSARIORUM LATINORUM*.

Corpus Glossariorum Latinorum vol. iv.
Edidit GEORGIUS GOETZ. Leipzig, Teubner,
1889. 20 Mk.

THE fourth volume of the *Corpus* of Latin glossaries (the second in order of publication) contains (1) the glosses beginning with *abstrusa*, contained in the Vatican MS. 3321, written in uncials in the seventh century; (2) the glosses of the St. Gallen MS. 912 (eighth century), edited with an excellent commentary by Minton Warren in 1885; (3) four separate glossaries from the Leyden MS. 67 F (eighth or ninth century). These are (a) the *abavus* glossary, or glossary beginning with *abavus*; (b) that beginning with *ab absens*; (c) *Glossae Vergilianae*; (d) the *affatim* glossary, half of which is identical with the *abstrusa* glossary of Vat. 3321. An appendix contains specimens (a) of the Ambrosian glossary B 31 and the glossary *asbestos* (MSS. 1469, Monte Cassino 90, 217, 402), both companions to the St. Gallen; (b) of the fuller recension of the *abavus* glossary, or rather the glossary made up of the *abavus* and *absens* combined (Vossianus Fol. 82 and others); (c) of the glossary beginning with *abactor* (Montpellier H 416 and others).

The importance of this book will probably be found to lie in two main points: (1) the critical edition of Vaticanus 3321; (2) the sifting of the manuscript material of the remaining glossaries treated of in the volume.

(1) The text of the Vatican glossary is printed exactly as it stands in the manuscript. At the foot of the page is given an *apparatus* of readings from two Paris manuscripts, the Vatican 6018 and the Monte Cassino 439, which also contain it. The

glossary is important as representing a good tradition in a very corrupt form. It thus gives an excellent notion of the degradation into which classical learning must have sunk in the seventh century A.D. and indeed much earlier, for its corruptions are no doubt, in many cases, older than itself. It is made up out of two more ancient glossaries, which are themselves, in all probability, based upon older work. Thus the Vatican glossary deserves the careful study both of scholars and historians. It will probably be found to supply a link between the learning of the classical period at its close, and that of the incipient middle age. The character of its contents is very mixed; there is much of real value, much again which could only belong to a period of decadence.

(2) The warmest gratitude is due to Dr. Goetz and his *collaborateurs* for their fruitful labours upon the manuscript material of the other and inferior glossaries edited in this volume. Dr. Goetz has carried on and completed much of the work in which Löwe was the brilliant pioneer. Special praise is due to his account (p. xxix foll.) of the eleven manuscripts of the *affatim* glossary and the twenty-one of the fuller or contaminated *abavus* glossary. His lucid classifications have for the first time made it possible for students of Latin glossaries to feel sure of their footing in the weltering chaos of manuscript material collected in the libraries of Europe.

The publication of the manuscript texts without any emendations confers an incidental boon of great value upon students of palaeography.

H. NETTLESHIP.

ESSAYS BY STUEMUND'S PUPILS.

Commentationes in honorem GULIELMI STUEMUND, quinque abhinc lustra summos in philosophia honores adepti conscripserunt discipuli Gryphisvaldenses, Herbolitani, Argentinenses, Vratislavienses. (Argentorati, Heitz, 1889.) 10 Mk.

If the highest satisfaction which a teacher can receive is the gratitude and veneration of former pupils who have made their mark in the world, February 8th of last year must

have been a day of rejoicing to Wilhelm Studemund, in spite of the fact that he knew himself to be suffering from an incurable disease. On this day some hundred of his former pupils—*morituri salutantes*—presented him with this volume, in which are gathered together nineteen essays written by certain of their number and commemorating the twenty-fifth anniversary of his doctor's degree. The tribute was indeed well deserved: Studemund represented, as a