It would be interesting to hear of further observations of this bright meteor. It must have been seen by many persons, as the night was very clear.

The fireball of February 21, 1865, had a radiant at $255^{\circ} + 55^{\circ}$, and close to that of the meteor of January 2 last, but the difference of date is too considerable to permit an inference that the two bodies diverged from the same stream.

January 8.

W. F. DENNING.

IN NATURE, November 10, p. 36, it is stated in reference to a meteor that "a Norwegian astronomer" is of the opinion that the track of the meteor must have lain too high to be heard. "He calculates from the reports to hand that the bursting of the meteor occurred at an altitude of about 6000 feet (*sic*), and he thinks that even this figure may be safely doubled."

It may interest some of your readers to know that on the night of July 3, 1884, at 8.27 p.m. standard time of the 75th meridian, a meteor was seen by me, as well as by others, here, and about 5m. 17s. afterwards a sound was heard something like distant thunder, except that it seemed to swell rapidly and steadily to a maximum intensity, and then diminish again in much the same way, but more slowly. I immediately connected the sound with the appearance of the meteor, and stated that it must have been a little over sixty miles distant, and from the estimated angle of elevation about *thirty miles* above the surface of the earth. This estimate was borne out by the accounts from other places of the course of the meteor. The sound I should be inclined to attribute to the rushing together of the air in the wake of the meteor, or perhaps more probably to the sudden compression of the air in front of it, and not to its bursting.

The following account of the meteor was given in the *Canadian Weather Review* of July 1884:—"A magnificent meteor was seen on the night of the 3rd at 8.27 p.m. standard time, passing from south-east to north-west, colours brilliant red and green. Two distinct explosions are reported to have been heard. After the first explosion a sinuous streak remained visible until covered by clouds; the time of flight was from seven to eight seconds, and the apparent size about one-fourth that of the moon. Reports have been received from Listowel, Hastings, Beatrice, Belleville, Lakefield, Pembroke, Peterborough, Kingston, Deseronto, Lindsay, and Huntingdon, all substantially agreeing as to course, size, &c.; it passed two or three miles south of Belleville, and about the same distance north of Lindsay."

CHARLES CARPMAEL.

Toronto, December 16, 1887.

The Electrification of the Air.

IN writing upon the electrical condition of the Peak of Teneriffe, the Hon. Ralph Abercrombie (NATURE, vol. xxxvii. p. 31), begins by stating that "the limited number of observations on atmospheric electricity which have been already made all point, with one exception, to a normal positive difference of potential between a point some few feet above the earth and the ground itself;" and farther on he writes: "the electrical conditions of the Peak of Teneriffe [the one exception] were the same as in every other part of the world." As similar statements still find their way into text-books and treatises on electricity and meteorology, I trust you will permit me to point out that, unless a very special meaning be attached to the word "normal," this generalization is decidedly too wide.

In a paper read at the Aberdeen meeting of the British Association in 1885 (printed *Phil. Mag.*, November 1885), I pointed out that, in Madras at least, a negative electrification of the air was a normal, and not an abnormal, condition for many hours of the day at certain seasons of the year. Observations since taken have entirely confirmed the opinion that with a hot, dry, west wind the air at Madras is usually negatively electrified, and often to a very high potential.

With regard to observations made on mountains in the tropics, though perhaps hardly within what Mr. Abercrombie terms "the zone of constant electrical discharge," I would venture to call his attention to a short paper on observations made on the top of Dodabetta (8642 feet) in the Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, vol. xxxii. p. 583.

I may add that during the periods of incessant discharges of sheet lightning which we often experience here the electrification of the air is sometimes positive and at other times negative, but generally positive.

C. MICHIE SMITH.

Madras Christian College, Madras, December 14, 1887.

Wind Force at Sea.

PROF. WALDO, in the *American Meteorological Journal* for October, recommends the use of instruments for determining the velocity of the wind at sea. In a paper read before the Meteorological Society, I discussed the comparative results, obtained from a great number of observations under all conditions at sea, between two very simple and small anemometers, showing that, although the two instruments were on entirely different principles, the results obtained differed only by about 10 per cent. In a paper read in March last before the Meteorological Society, "Notes on taking Observations at Sea, &c.," I again urged the desirability of observers using some form of anemometer, so that more uniform results could be obtained, and I gave a table for correcting the apparent velocity of the wind as registered by the instrument for the speed of the ship and for aberration.

For instance, at the present time you may have two sailing-ships close together, one carrying top-gallant sails, the other only reefed top-sails, and the wind will be logged accordingly. Again, two steamers going in opposite directions are very likely to experience apparently different wind velocities, and the senses of officers in steamers are not so acute for detecting differences in wind velocities as are those of officers in sailing-ships. The use of instruments would eliminate these errors.

With instruments similar to those I use—the coefficient of friction of which is slight—the relative velocity of the wind may be obtained fairly accurately; and I contend that this is of more importance than the chance there is of obtaining the estimated true velocity; and, I may add, the trouble attending the use of these instruments is small.

There are two other subjects which, up to the present, have received little attention at sea, viz. the registration of rainfall and the electrical condition of the atmosphere. Observations on both could easily be carried out on board some ships, and the observations would be both valuable and interesting.

DAVID WILSON-BARKER.

A Troublesome Parasite of a Brittle-Starfish.

IN a valuable work on certain parasitic Crustacea ("Contributions à l'Etude des Bopyriens," p. 181), Prof. A. Giard and J. Bonnier have done me the honour of calling attention to my discovery of a Copepod (?) which lives in the body of an Ophiuran, *Amphiura squamata*. They regard the mutual relationship of the Copepod and the Ophiuran as an instance of the castration of the host by the parasite. Although all my observations indicate the correctness of some such an interpretation, I failed to recognize it as a fact until after they had pointed it out. The explanation seems a possible one, and is provisionally accepted, with a few modifications, as the best as far as research has gone. The modifications are important.

The state of knowledge of the subject is as follows. Ova and young of a Crustacean are found in the body of an American brittle-star, identified as *Amphiura squamata*. In some instances an adult Crustacean was also found in the same place. When these ova, young, or adults are found parasitic in the *Amphiura*, the remains of the ovary of the host appear as an amorphous mass, and there is no possibility of future young of the *Amphiura* in the brood sac, since the ova have been destroyed.

The conclusion seems inevitable, for observations indicate that the mother Crustacean makes her way somehow into the body of the host (*Amphiura*), then affects the brittle-star so that the young of the host will not develop, after which she leaves packets of ova to mature in the sacs where normally young *Amphiura* would develop. It thus happens that the products of the ovary of the host are destroyed before the Crustacean ova are developed, or while they are in an early stage of cleavage. Consequently it is legitimate to conclude that if the ova of the host is destroyed it may be done by the adult Crustacean.

If Prof. Giard and Bonnier are right in their interpretation that this is an instance of parasitic castration, as I think they are, we possibly have an interesting case of a parasite destroying the reproductive powers of the host for the future good of her own offspring. Such a condition of things is unique, and among Ophiurans the writer recalls but the single instance of the present case of *Amphiura*. The case of the Crustacean and its brittle star host seems to differ from that of *Eutoniscus* in that in the one instance the destruction of the ovary may be of advantage to the parasite, while in the other the destruction or

modification of the spermary of the host is simply a concomitant circumstance of the parasitism. It seems hard to believe that the simple presence of the packets of Crustacean ova in the brood sac of an Amphiuira would lead to a destruction of the ova of the brittle-star, but it does not seem impossible that the adult Crustacean could have spayed the Amphiuira.

The character of this phenomenon is so unusual that one hesitates to accept it on insufficient data. There are gaps in my observations which may be serious to the theory. In the first place, it has not been observed that the Crustacean spayed the Amphiuira. The ovarian gland of the brittle-star is destroyed, and indications point to the Crustacean as the culprit. Secondly, it is not known that the parasite enters the brood sac through the genital slits to deposit the ova. Thirdly, the difficulties of determination whether the ova are in the body cavity, stomach walls, or brood sac, are very great. I believe it is probable that they are in the brood sac. Lastly, the family name of the strange parasite who repays hospitality so ungraciously is unknown. There is no doubt that it is a Crustacean, as I have traced the egg through a nauplius into an adult.

As this condition of life is believed to be a novel one, and needs verification, the writer takes this opportunity to call the attention of marine zoologists to it, and to request correspondence from anyone who may have made similar observations. Before we can definitely accept the conclusions towards which my observations lead, there is a call for re-examination and verification of the observations. The most important question is to determine whether or not the ova of the Crustacean live in the brood sac.

Cambridge, Mass., U.S.A.

J. WALTER FEWKES.

Raised Beaches *versus* High-Level Beaches.

If you can find space for the subjoined list of shells from the ancient beach on the Thatcher rock in Torbay, it may prove acceptable to such geologists as interest themselves in the question recently resuscitated by Prof. McKenny Hughes, as to whether the ancient Devonshire beaches are "raised," as commonly supposed, or merely high-level, as some hold them to be.

Added to the late Mr. Godwin Austen's "Hope's Nose" list, my list runs up the total number of species from the two beaches to forty-six, and this without reckoning Mr. Godwin Austen's *Cardium tuberculatum*, which I think must have been an oversight for *C. echinatum*. This number has not, I believe, been beaten by any British raised beach hitherto.

When the Thatcher beach was accumulated, the northern shell *Trophon truncatus* was abundant in the neighbourhood; so was *Tellina balthica*, a shell which only occurs, I believe, in this vicinity, in or near the tidal harbours of Torbay.

The Thatcher collection evidences the great antiquity of the beach, a considerable change of temperature, differences in the rock-components of the coast-line, and variation in its contour. Of these subjects I hope to see day to treat, but in the meantime the facts so far as they have been ascertained are presented to geologists in the following list of shells for them to deal with as they please:—

Ostrea edulis
Pinna rudis
Mytilus edulis
M. modiolus
Nucula nucleus
Cardium echinatum
C. edule
C. norvegicum
Cyprina islandica
Astarte sulcata
Venus exoleta
V. fasciata
V. gallina
Tellina balthica
Lutraria elliptica
Mactra subtruncata
Solen virginia
Mya arenaria
Saxicava rufosa

Patella vulgata
Trochus zizyphinus
Lacuna pulchella

Litorina obtusata
L. rudis
L. litorea
Turritella terebra
Salvia turtoni
Natica alderi
Alcorbis subcarinatus
Cerithium reticulata
Purpura lapillus
Buccinum undatum
Murex erinaceus
Trophon truncatus
Fusus gracilis
F. jeffreysianus
Nassa reticulata
N. incrasata
Pleurodoma striolata
P. brachystoma
P. turricula
Cylichna cylindracea

42 species.

The shells have been identified in odd lots and at different times by the late Mr. Gwyn Jeffreys, Mr. J. T. Marshall, and Mr. D. Pidgeon, to whom my warmest thanks have been due. The bulk of the work has, however, been done by the last-named gentleman, without whose hearty co-operation, both in searching the beach material and naming the shells and fragments found therein, the list would have been shorn of much of its goodly proportions.

A. R. HUNT.

Torquay, December 28, 1887.

Vegetation and Moonlight.

THE letter of your Trinidad correspondent, given in NATURE, vol. xxxvi. p. 586, referring to a Committee appointed to determine moon influence, has a practical interest for me. Among the wood-cutters in Cape Colony, both east and west, there is a fixed belief, which no arguments can turn, that to cut timber at, or shortly after, full moon, is to cut it when the sap is up; and when, consequently, it is out of season. The same belief prevails in various parts of Southern India, notably in Travancore. I have always combated the belief, pending time and opportunity to test it, indulging in the provisional hypothesis that the bush-workers' belief may be due to the fact that they can only work by night at or near full moon; and that at night trees should contain more sap than by day, when watery exhalation is active.

It seems possible that in the habitually cloudless nights of certain countries the moon may exert influences not noticeable elsewhere. It is well known in Cape Colony that fish, pork, and other provisions go bad if left exposed to moonlight; though possibly this may be due to the light acting as a guide to insects.

D. E. HUTCHINS,

Cape Colony, December 8, 1887. Conservator of Forests.

Centre of Water Pressure.

DR. ROUTH has done me the favour of pointing out that in the first volume of his "Rigid Dynamics" he has given the following very simple result with regard to the centre of pressure of a triangle occupying any position in a liquid:—"This point is the centre of gravity of three particles at the middle points of the sides, with masses proportional to their depths."

This result of Dr. Routh's is one of many very remarkable theorems of integration published by him in the *Quarterly Journal*, No. 83, 1885.

GEORGE M. MINCHIN.

A New Magnetic Survey of France.

It should not be difficult to do foreigners justice without belittling our own countrymen, and *a fortiori* without robbing any of the latter of their birthright.

In Prof. Thorpe's paper in last week's NATURE there occurs the sentence, "Even the surveys of their own country (France) have been made for them by Germans and Englishmen." This sentence taken in connection with the opening paragraph of the paper conveys the unfortunate impression that Von Lamont, the author of the "Untersuchungen über die Richtung und Stärke des Erdmagnetismus . . ." and of numerous other similar works, was a German, the truth being that he was merely a "Scot abroad" (see NATURE, vol. xx. p. 425).

T. M.

Bothwell, Glasgow, January 14.

TIMBER, AND SOME OF ITS DISEASES.¹

V.

IT has long been known that timber which has been felled, sawn up, and stored in wood-yards, is by no means necessarily beyond danger, but that either in the stacks, or even after it has been employed in building construction, it may suffer degeneration of a rapid character from the disease known generally as "dry-rot." The object of the present paper is to throw some light on the question of dry-rot, by summarizing the chief results of recent botanical inquiries into the nature and causes of the disease—or, rather, diseases, for it will be shown that there are several kinds of "dry-rot."

¹ Continue from p. 254.