blowing at right angles to the direction. On one occasion horses standing close to the base were disturbed by the rumbling sound. When the sand is clapped between the hands a slight hoot-like sound is heard; but a louder sound is produced by confining it in a bag, dividing the contents into two parts and bringing them together violently. This I had found to be the best way of testing sea-shore sand as to its sonorousness. The sand on the top of the dune is wind-furrowed, and generally coarser than that of the slope of 31° , but this also yielded a sound of unmistakable character when so tested. A bag full of sand will preserve its power for some time, especially if not too frequently manipulated. A creeping vine with a blue or purple blossom (*kolokolo*) thrives on these dunes, and interrupts the sounding slope. I found the main slope 120 feet long at its base; but the places not covered by this vine gave sounds at intervals 160 paces westward. At 94 paces further the sand was non-sonorous.

The native Hawaiians call this place *Nohili*, a word of no specific meaning, and attribute the sound caused by the sand to the spirits of the dead, *uhane*, who grumble at being disturbed; sand-dunes being commonly used for burial-places, especially in early times, as bleached skeletons and well-preserved skulls at several places abundantly show.

Sand of similar properties is reported to occur at *Haula*, about three miles east of Koloa, Kauai; this I did not visit, but, prompted by information communicated by the Hon. Vladimir Knudsen, of Waiawa, I crossed the channel to the little-visited island of Niuhau. On the western coast of this islet, at a place called *Kaluakahu*, sonorous sand occurs on the land side of a dune about 100 feet high, and at several points for 600 to 800 feet along the coast. On the chief slope, 36 feet high, the sand has the same mobility, lies at the same angle, and gives when disturbed the same note as the sand of Kauai, but less strong, the slope being so much lower. This locality has been known to the residents of the island for many years, but has never been before announced in print. This range of dunes, driven before the high winds, is advancing southward, and has already covered the road formerly skirting the coast.

The observations made at these places are of especial interest, because they confirm views already advanced by Dr. Julien and myself with regard to the identity of the phenomena on sea-beaches and on hill-sides in arid regions (*Jebel Nagous*, *Rig-i-Rawan*, &c.). The sand of the Hawaiian Islands possesses the acoustic properties of both classes of places; it gives out the same note as that of *Jebel Nagous* when rolling down the slope, and it yields a peculiar hoot-like sound when struck together in a bag, like the sands of Eigg, of Manchester (Mass.), and other sea-beaches—a property that the sand of *Jebel Nagous* does not possess. These Hawaiian sands also show how completely independent of material is the acoustic quality, for they are wholly carbonate of lime, whereas sonorous sands of all other localities known to us (now over one hundred in number) are siliceous, being either pure silex or a mixture of the same with silicates, as feldspar.

The theory proposed by Dr. Julien and myself to explain the sonorousness has been editorially noticed in *NATURE*, but may properly be briefly stated in this connection. We believe the sonorousness in sands of sea-beaches and of deserts to be connected with thin pellicles or films of air, or of gases thence derived, deposited and condensed upon the surface of the sand grains during gradual evaporation after wetting by the seas, lakes, or rains. By virtue of these films the sand grains become separated by elastic cushions of condensed gases, capable of considerable vibration, and whose thickness we have approximately determined. The extent of the vibrations, and the volume and pitch of the sounds thereby produced after any quick disturbance of the sand, we also find to be largely dependent upon the forms, structures, and surfaces of the sand grains, and especially upon their purity, or freedom from fine silt or dust (Proceedings Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci., 38, 1889).

I should be lacking in courtesy if I closed this letter without expressing my great obligations to Mr. H. P. Faye, of Mana, and to Mr. Geo. S. Gay, of Niuhau, for both a generous hospitality and a sympathetic assistance in carrying out my investigations.

H. CARRINGTON BOLTON.

Honolulu, H.I., May 26.

Relative Growth of Boys and Girls.

A "NOTE" in *NATURE* of August 14 (p. 376) referring to some measurements made by Herren Geisler and Ulitzsch on school

children at Freiberg (Saxon), speaks of the fact that between the ages of 11 and 16 years girls are taller than boys as if it had not been previously observed and recorded. The fact has been well known for many years, and was first observed by Dr. Bowditch, of Harvard. In a private letter to me dated March 13, 1876, Dr. Bowditch wrote:—"A comparison of the rates of growth of boys and girls shows that in this community girls about 13 years of age are taller and heavier than boys of the same age, and I wish to see how far this is the case in other countries. Quetelet's observations seem to show that it is not the case in Belgium, but some English observations quoted by him indicate that among certain classes of the population in England the same thing is found." Again, on June 10, 1876, he wrote:—"I am exceedingly obliged to you for your letter of April 27, and for the statistics which it contains. It is very interesting to get a confirmation of my observation on the difference between the two sexes about 13 years of age. I shall endeavour to verify your conjecture as to the cause of it. . . . You refer to Quetelet's measurements as being based on only ten observations for each age. Do you understand that the elaborate tables given in his 'Anthropometrie,' p. 417, rest entirely on this small number of observations?" In his paper on "The Growth of Children," published the following year (1877), Prof. Bowditch demonstrated the fact by both tables and diagrams; and in my "Manual of Anthropometry" (1878), and in the Reports of the Anthropometric Committee of the British Association (1880-83), I have given similar evidence of the difference of the sexes in this country. The English tables published by Quetelet mentioned by Dr. Bowditch refer to factory children, and were collected by Stanway, and published in a Report of the Factory Commission so long ago as 1833. Quetelet makes his curves of growth of boys and girls meet at the age of 12 years, but at all other ages the girls are shorter than the boys, but his ten observations (on selected individuals?) at each age were not sufficient to bring out the true difference. The only novelty in the German observations is that the boys do not "catch up" the girls quite so early as they do in England and America. This point, however, can only be decided by a comparison of the actual measurements of the German with those of the English and American children.

Curzon Street, Mayfair, August 16. CHARLES ROBERTS.

The Perseid Meteors.

As I merely expressed a wish that the Perseid shower should be closely watched on the present occasion, in order to ascertain whether the apparent shifting of the radiant was not really due to other causes, I do not think I need enter into any controversy with Mr. Denning on the subject. I will therefore only say that I think his "Catalogue of Radiants," recently published by the Royal Astronomical Society (the most valuable catalogue I think which has yet appeared), seems to me susceptible (as regards the Perseids) of a different interpretation from that which he places on it in his preliminary remarks.

Dublin, August 8.

W. H. S. MONCK.

IN reply to Mr. Monck I need only say that I desired no controversy on this subject, but simply to uphold one of the most conclusive facts of my meteoric observations. I arranged my radiants with the utmost care, and on the basis of a practical acquaintance with the facts; and if Mr. Monck considers my results in regard to the Perseids will bear another interpretation, I must be content to wait for the corroboration which future observers will certainly give.

It is singular that so important a feature as the shifting radiant of the Perseids (which I first announced in *NATURE*, vol. xvi. p. 362) has not yet been adequately investigated. Mr. D. Booth, of Leeds, has, however, effected some observations in recent years (and especially in August 1887), and his results confirm my own.

Bristol.

W. F. DENNING.

The Eclipse of Thales.

MR. PAGE, the author of a work entitled "New Light from Old Eclipses," which was noticed in *NATURE* last April, has forwarded the following communication on the subject of the eclipse of Thales. The views which Mr. Page entertains on the subject of these ancient eclipses are not those generally accepted; but he believes a crucial test of the superiority of his system is afforded by this particular eclipse;

and the author of the notice referred to is therefore invited to furnish a parallel calculation, based upon the theories which have hitherto received general support. This invitation the writer must decline, simply because two far abler hands than his have already investigated this problem on the lines which he would have pursued; and he could add nothing to the authority that accompanies the utterances of Dr. J. R. Hind, the Superintendent of the English "Nautical Almanac," and Prof. Simon Newcomb, the Superintendent of the American.

The following is Mr. Page's communication:—

"Herodotus speaks of the eclipse of Thales as follows:—'A war commenced between the Lydians and the Medes, . . . which continued five years; and it is remarkable that one of their engagements took place in the night. In the sixth year, when they were carrying on the war with nearly equal success, on the occasion of an engagement, it happened that in the heat of battle day was suddenly turned into night' (Herodotus, b. i., s. 74).

"This battle was fought on the morning of the (Julian) July 8, or 9 days after the solstice; consequently in the time of longest days and hottest weather. It would seem from the above account that it commenced in the night, and was not ended until the time of the eclipse, or 5.24 a.m.; when the armies ceased fighting on account of their fears.

"From Ptolemy's canon we learn that Cyaxares, King of Media, began to reign B.C. 634, and reigned 40 years, during 28 of which the Scythians ruled over Asia. In B.C. 606 the Scythian power was broken, and the Medes and Babylonians conquered Assyria. Soon afterwards (i.e. in B.C. 603) that war broke out between Lydia and Media which was terminated by mutual fears of this eclipse. As the King of Media reigned 40 years from B.C. 634, he must have died B.C. 594, which is the latest date that can be fixed for the eclipse; and as he was 28 years subject to the Scythians, he must have reigned 12 years after the defeat of the Scythians in B.C. 606; and as his war with the Lydians could not have taken place for several years after this, and as the eclipse was in the sixth year of the war, the date of the eclipse cannot possibly be placed earlier than B.C. 600: consequently we are compelled to look for it some time between B.C. 600 and B.C. 594."

Appended to this communication is a calculation by Mr. Page of the time of new moon in B.C. 597. This calculation is founded upon Ferguson's tables, to which some corrections have been applied by the computer. The calculation cannot be given here in detail; but the result to which Mr. Page is led is July 8, 5h. 24m. 11s., as that at which the so-called eclipse of Thales occurred. This date differs some twelve years from that which has been assigned by the two authorities just mentioned, viz. B.C. 585—a date, too, which accords with that mentioned by Pliny, reckoned by Olympiads. But those who find Mr. Page's arguments sufficient will agree with him; my regret is rather that he has chosen to build his theory on absolute tables, and to ignore all that the ablest astronomers and mathematicians have recently been able to accomplish in this direction.

WILLIAM E. PLUMMER.

The Rotation of Mercury.

In your issue for January 16 (xli. p. 257), Schiaparelli's observations on the planet Mercury are stated to lead that astronomer to the conclusion that "Mercury revolves around the sun in the same manner that the moon revolves round the earth, always presenting to it the same hemisphere."

Permit me to recall the fact that, as a matter of deductive reasoning, I recorded this opinion in 1883: "The powerful tidal action experienced by Mercury has greatly retarded its primitive axial motion, and increased its distance from the sun. No surprise would be occasioned by the proof that the planet has already attained to synchronistic motions" ("World-Life," p. 425). This opinion was accompanied by calculations of the solar tidal efficiency on Mercury.

ALEXANDER WINCHELL.

Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A., August 4.

Wet and Dry Bulb Thermometers.

It may, perhaps, interest you to know that on Friday last the difference between the wet and dry bulb thermometers, on board this ship in Grimsby roads, amounted to $12\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$; the dry bulb showing 66° , and the wet bulb $53\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$. Wind west; force,

7 to 8 by Beaufort's scale. This is the greatest difference I have recorded in this country for ten years.

T. H. TIZARD.

H.M.S. *Triton*, Grimsby, August 17.

Experiment in Subjective Colours.

THE following experiment does not seem to be widely known: it is not easy to make a clear explanation of the lenses.

Take a number of the *Graphic* and a piece of thin paper, which, if put upon the ordinary print, allows it to be seen through, as black. Now put the paper over some of the large black letters on the apple-green outer cover: seen through the paper, they appear as bright red.

W. B. CROFT.

Winchester College, August 18.

THE SCIENCE AND ART MUSEUM, DUBLIN, AND THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF IRELAND.

IN the year 1877 the Natural History Museum and the Library of the Royal Dublin Society, which, though mainly supported for many years by Parliamentary grants, had been directly managed by the Society, were, by Act of Parliament, transferred to the Science and Art Department, a large sum of money having been at the same time paid by Government to the Society for ceding its rights and property.

Soon afterwards steps were taken by the Science and Art Department for providing suitable accommodation for an art and industrial addition to the Museum. Into a consideration of the various causes which delayed the carrying out of this project we need not enter here; they will be found described in the Reports of the Science and Art Department.

At length, in 1884, a final competition between rival architects' designs for the new buildings was arrived at, and those by Messrs. Deane and Son, of Dublin, were chosen by the representative committee, which was specially appointed for the purpose of selection.

The sites for these buildings, which were adopted after much discussion, are at right angles to Leinster House on its Kildare Street or western side. The *façades* of both buildings, which face one another, are about 200 feet long, and are similar, consisting of two rotundas with colonnades, and pavilions at the sides. In the centre of the Museum building is a large court about 125 feet by 75 feet. Opening from it there are in all 24 galleries or rooms, which are devoted to exhibiting purposes.

The foundations were laid by His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales on April 10, 1885, the ceremony connected therewith being the most important presided over by His Royal Highness during his last visit to Ireland.

The tender for the erection of the buildings by Messrs. Beckett Brothers, of Dublin, was accepted on November 3, and by the 17th operations had commenced. In four years, or by November 1889, the Museum building was completed, and was handed over to the Science and Art Department, and the transfer to the new galleries of the collections which had accumulated in the temporary premises during twelve years was at once proceeded with.

It was not until June of the present year that the sister building, for the reception of the National Library of Ireland, was completed. During the month of July the transfer of the books, consisting of about 100,000 volumes, from the old Library in Leinster House, has been satisfactorily accomplished.

Both institutions are about to be opened on the 29th of the present month by His Excellency the Lord-Lieutenant, after which they will continue to remain open and free to the public.

It may be of interest to add some details as to the principal contents and system of arrangement in the two institutions respectively. In order to describe the Museum