This article was downloaded by: [McGill University Library]
On: 05 February 2015, At: 11:57
Publisher: Taylor & Francis
Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number:
1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer
Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Annals and Magazine of Natural History: Series 7

Publication details, including instructions
for authors and subscription information:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tnah13>

XXI.—On a second species of the Silurid genus *Mochocus*

G.A. Boulenger F.R.S.
Published online: 29 Sep 2009.

To cite this article: G.A. Boulenger F.R.S. (1906) XXI.—On a second species
of the Silurid genus *Mochocus*, *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*:
Series 7, 18:104, 147-148, DOI: [10.1080/00222930608562588](https://doi.org/10.1080/00222930608562588)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222930608562588>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of
all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications
on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our
licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as
to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of
the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication
are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views
of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content
should not be relied upon and should be independently verified
with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not
be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands,
costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or

howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Skull with a long slender muzzle; interorbital region broad, edged with well-defined ridges, but without postorbital projections; outer wall of anteorbital foramen reduced to a narrow bar less than half the breadth of the corresponding part in *L. aquilus* and *flavopunctatus*; it is, however, similarly narrow in the W.-African *L. sikapusi*.

Incisors more as in *Mus* than in other *Lophuromys*, their anterior surface not curved round in the way generally characteristic of the present genus. Molars very broad, with well-defined cusps; their pattern as usual.

Dimensions of the type (measured in flesh):—

Head and body 118 mm.; tail 111; hind foot 22·5; ear 23.

Skull: greatest length 30·5; basilar length 24; greatest breadth 14·3; nasals $14 \times 3\cdot2$; interorbital breadth 6·7; diastema 8; palatilar length 12·4; palatine foramina 6·5; length of upper molar series 4·8.

Alt. 6000'.

Type. Adult male. B M. no. 6. 7. 1. 170. Original number 608. Collected 31st December, 1905, by R. B. Woosnam. Nine specimens.

This striking species is widely different from any of the Central- and East-African forms of *Lophuromys*, and might almost be considered generically distinct were it not that the West-African *L. sikapusi* also possesses some of its characters. Its large ears, long tail, and peculiar olive-coloured white-speckled fur readily distinguish the species from all its allies, and I have much pleasure in naming it after Mr. R. B. Woosnam, to whose abilities so much of the success of the Ruwenzori expedition is due.

XXI.—On a second Species of the Silurid Genus *Mochocus*.

By G. A. BOULENGER, F.R.S.

WHEN dealing in these 'Annals,' a few years ago*, with the little-known genus *Mochocus*, Joannis (*Rhinoglanis*, Günther), I was acquainted with one species only, as I consider *Rhinoglanis typus*, Gthr., from Gondokoro, and *R. Vannutellii*, Vincig., from Lake Rudolf, as specifically identical with the incorrectly described *Mochocus niloticus* of de Joannis, of which I had then received a few specimens from Assuan, where the fish had been rediscovered by Mr. Loat. The

* Vol. vi. 1900, p. 525.

little fish has since been found by the same collector at various localities on the Nile, between Beni Souef and Gondokoro, and a second species has been discovered by him at Fashoda and at Lake No, White Nile. For this new species I propose the name of

Mochocus brevis.

Easily distinguished from the preceding by the shorter caudal part of the body, the first dorsal fin being equally distant from the end of the snout and from the root of the caudal, or only a little nearer the former. Depth of body $3\frac{1}{2}$ to $4\frac{1}{3}$ times in the total length, length of head 3 to $3\frac{1}{2}$ times. Occiput and nuchal shield slightly tectiform, but without a keel. Maxillary barbel reaching the extremity of the ventral fin or a little beyond. First dorsal 16, the spine without any serration and always shorter than the head; second dorsal 9-17; anal 9-10. Caudal peduncle only a little longer than broad. Coloration as in *M. niloticus*, but pectoral, ventral, and anal fins often with some brown spots.

Total length 31 mm.

Forty-two specimens from Fashoda and one from Lake No.

In *M. niloticus* there is a series of three or four small bony scutes, ankylosed to the interneural bones, on each side of the base of the soft rays of the first dorsal fin; these little scutes, which have hitherto been overlooked, are absent in *M. brevis*.

XXII.—On a new Pigmy Antelope obtained by Col. J. J. Harrison in the Semliki Forest. By OLDFIELD THOMAS.

THE British Museum owes to Col. J. J. Harrison the skull of a pigmy antelope from the Semliki Forest allied to the Cameroon species described by de Winton as *Neotragus Batesi* *. I have also had the opportunity of examining the skin of the specimen, which is now in Col. Harrison's collection.

Neotragus Batesi, as shown by de Winton, is in many respects allied to both *Neotragus* and *Nesotragus*, and in the light of the present examination of the new material, including an additional example from the Cameroons, I am disposed to consider it as representing a distinct genus, whose range

* P. Z. S. 1903, i. p. 192.