The Classical Review

<http://journals.cambridge.org/CAR>

Additional services for *The Classical Review*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)

Tyrrell and Purser's Correspondence of Cicero. Index *The Correspondence of M. Tullius Cicero*. Edited by Robert Yelverton Tyrrell, Litt.D., and Louis Claude Purser, Litt.D. Vol. VII. Index. Pp. 167. Dublin University Press Series. 1901. 7s. 6d.

Albert C. Clark

The Classical Review / Volume 15 / Issue 09 / December 1901, pp 455 - 455

DOI: 10.1017/S0009840X00032881, Published online: 27 October 2009

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0009840X00032881

How to cite this article:

Albert C. Clark (1901). The Classical Review, 15, pp 455-455 doi:10.1017/S0009840X00032881

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

only the skeleton of a word without the vowels. To the Egyptologist, therefore, the work is of high value; to the Greek papyrologist also it will afford valuable insight into the formation of the Egyptian names which abound in the objects of his study. These names are often of significance in connexion with local cults or the worship of deities universally popular in Egypt in late times, and for that reason alone repay careful attention. Titles and geographical names are also found on the labels. The book is furnished with elaborate indices—of the names themselves, of later Coptic names discussed in connexion with them, etc.

It is much to be hoped that the study of Graeco-Egyptian and demotic proper names

will be continued by Prof. Spiegelberg or others, since very important results to Egyptology are likely to be attained through it. In the present work, so far as the rather slippery subject of demotic is concerned, there are few readings the correctness of which can be disputed, and it is only in two or three cases that one may see how better readings or explanations might have been substituted. In the interpretations of Egyptian names concealed under Greek forms there is much that is both ingenious and correct, but much of necessity remains to be done where there is no demotic version to be guided by, the Greek renderings of both consonants and vowels being often somewhat indefinite.

F. LL. GRIFFITH.

TYRRELL AND PURSER'S CORRESPONDENCE OF CICERO. INDEX.

The Correspondence of M. Tullius Cicero.
Edited by ROBERT YELVERTON TYRRELL,
Litt.D., and LOUIS CLAUDE PURSER, Litt.D.
Vol. VII. Index. Pp. 167. Dublin
University Press Series. 1901. 7s. 6d.

MESSRS. TYRRELL AND PURSER have completed their edition of Cicero's Correspondence by issuing an Index to the preceding six volumes. They have not attempted to form a complete Onomasticon to the text, since to do so would be merely to repeat work already done by Orelli and others, but refer mainly to the Introductions and to the notes. By the exclusion of superfluous matter the Index, which consists of 167 pages only, is rendered compact and attractive, while the editors justly claim that they have omitted little which is of importance. The volume contains a Latin Index, a Greek Index, and two arrangements of the Letters, the first of which is similar to those found in the previous volumes, while the second gives a conspectus of the Letters ad Familiares, arranged according to the Correspondents, which will be found extremely useful, as there is no such list in Mendelssohn's edition. The Latin Index is closely packed with information upon points of history, grammar and style; some of the headings in particular *e.g.* 'ellipse,' 'epistolary style,'

'proverbs,' 'the subjunctive,' testify to a vast amount of conscientious industry. It is enlivened by many of those happy renderings which are familiar to the reader of the previous volumes, and the Greek Index which follows coruscates with gems. Many contributions have been made towards the criticism and exegesis of these Letters, during the period which has elapsed since the publication of the first volume, and on several points the editors have changed or modified the view originally taken. In such cases the Index will be found fully up-to-date. A notable example is Fam. viii. 1. 5. where *embaeneticam facere* appears in the Index as the true reading, with a reference to Mr. Walters' communication in the *Classical Review* 1897 p. 367, in place of the conjecture *iam πενητικὴν facere* (Klotz) printed in the text. Similarly the note on *Nanneianis* (Att. 1. 16. 5.) is supplemented by a reference to the conjecture of Turnebus, *Naevianis*, and under the heading 'Plural,' reference is made to Prof. Conway's dissertation upon the use of the singular *nos* in Cicero's Letters. In the Greek Index Gurlitt's clever conjecture *φαλλῶ Luciliano* (Att. xvi. 11. 1.) appears, though it was not mentioned by the editors under the text.

ALBERT C. CLARK.