and appearance, with an illustration, may be found in Hajek's work on the "Inflammatory Diseases of the Accessory Sinuses of the Nose," pp. 135 and 145. After reading these descriptions I trust that Dr. Wainwright will no longer assert that "even the greatest nasal specialists seem still to be entirely ignorant as to its nature." I may point out that a clear distinction should be made between the swelling due to expansion of the bone by the rare mucocele and that caused by an abscess after perforation of an empyema which has long since ever expanded the bone.

Dr. Wainwright further says of these cases that "all that is necessary to do is to make an incision through the swelling and pass a drainage-tube into the cavity of the nose." Now this is by no means so simple as it sounds; the opening into the nose is normally much too small to admit a drainage-tube and is always much narrowed in those cases which go on to perforation; further, the perforation is usually too small to work through and must be enlarged. These cases are severe and there are often present areas of caries and polypoid degeneration as well as associated disease of the ethmoidal cells, all of which must be treated if a cure is hoped for. It is a pity that such simple methods as Dr. Wainwright mentions are not usually sufficient but experience shows that they seldom are. The question of complete obliteration of the cavity is too long to discuss here, but there is much to be said in favour of this procedure in certain advanced cases. At least, we cannot dismiss it as shortly as Dr. Wainwright does from among our means of treating a class of case often exceedingly difficult to bring to a complete and final cure.

I am, Sirs, yours faithfully,
Wimpole street, June 14th, 1904. HAROLD BARWELL.

COUNTING MATTRESS SPONGES IN ABDOMINAL OPERATIONS.

To the Editors of THE LANCET.

SIRS,—A simple method of preventing mattress sponges being left behind in abdominal operations is to have a piece of tape sewn to one corner of the sponge. The tape should be about a foot long so that when the sponge is introduced into the abdomen the tape hangs outside over the edge of the wound on the abdominal wall. To keep it taut a leaden bead or button may be strung on to the end of the tape and secured by a knot. The beads or buttons—the button being flat is the better of the two—can be readily sterilised and, of course, used again and again. As there is a tape outside for every sponge inside all that it is necessary to do before the wound is stitched up is to count the tapes, to find if they tally, and then to draw out the sponges by pulling each tape separately. The method, I think, is used in Dublin.

I am, Sirs, yours faithfully,
June 11th, 1904. J. JOHNSTON ABRAHAM.

SPLENIC ANÆMIA.

To the Editors of THE LANCET.

SIRS,—The following case of mine suggests whether it is not the removal of the spleen (as stated by Dr. F. Taylor in the Lumleian lectures) which relieves in splenic anæmia but the excessive loss of blood which takes place in such an operation, and whether bleeding to an extreme degree is not indicated as a method of treatment in splenic anæmia both to give an impetus to the blood-forming organs and to get rid of a poison inhibiting the action of those organs. A tin miner aged about 30 years, consulted me for extreme anæmia with enlarged spleen. No blood count was made. He failed to improve under iron and increasing doses of arsenic until he had a violent attack of epistaxis which nearly cost him his life, after which with the same treatment he completely recovered to all appearances, regained his colour, and went to America to mine where he was well and working three years afterwards. I cannot remember the after state of the spleen definitely.

I am, Sirs, yours faithfully,
Camborne, Cornwall, June 11th, 1904. JOHN H. TONKING.

RESPECT FOR DRUGS.

To the Editors of THE LANCET.

SIRS,—My attention has been called to the review of my work on "Drugs" which appeared in THE LANCET of

May 14th, p. 1358. Whilst thanking you for the space you have given to a notice of my book and your reviewer for pointing out several slips which have evaded the vigilance of the proof-readers I cannot but think that your contributor is hypercritical in some of his comments. He objects to the statement that "all drugs are poisons," not that he disputes its truth but because he fears it may be used to throw doubt on the value of medical science. Is it not equally probable that it may help to hinder the habit of self-drugging which is so fatally fashionable? Personally I have always found it essential to impress students with a proper respect for the drugs they handle. Your reviewer does not like the system of classification I have adopted. It has its drawbacks undoubtedly, in common with every other known system, but as students have to recognise specimens it would seem well that they should consider those that are alike in external characters together—e.g., leaves with leaves and roots with roots.

May I hope that the comparative triviality of the errors and misprints which your contributor has noted indicates the absence of serious faults?

I am, Sirs, yours faithfully,
June 10th, 1904. H. WIPPELL GADD.

TAPEWORM IN A CHILD.

To the Editors of THE LANCET.

SIRS,—*Apròpos* of Dr. J. A. Rigby's case of tapeworm in a child, aged 34 months, published in THE LANCET of May 14th, p. 1348, I beg to enclose a reprint of a report of a somewhat similar case in a child, aged 24 months, observed by me some three years ago.

The case was reported to the Philadelphia Pediatric Society not only as an instance of infection at a very tender age but principally to point out the danger of giving raw beef to young children—a custom quite prevalent at present in the United States and for which L. Emmet Holt is largely responsible. A tapeworm is not a desirable guest for a young child to entertain; indeed, its presence is not altogether devoid of danger, while its removal is often a matter of extreme difficulty. For this reason it would seem to be the part of wisdom to reserve the use of raw beef for those extreme cases of dyspepsia in which the proteids of cow's milk, however altered or modified, are digested with great difficulty and for the treatment of certain cases of chronic diarrhoea.

I am, Sirs, yours faithfully,
Philadelphia, U.S.A., June 1st, 1904. D. J. M. MILLER.

THE IMPURE AIR OF CHURCHES.

To the Editors of THE LANCET.

SIRS,—During the last two years I have visited during service more than 100 churches in the South of England, both in towns and country. In these days when "open-air treatment" and the merits of the open window are preached it is remarkable how the churches fail to keep pace with the times and keep their windows rigorously shut. Many country churches have no windows that will open or other means of ventilation; in others the very small and few windows made to open are kept closed. The churches in so-called health resorts are the worst offenders. They have large congregations, many services, and little ventilation. In winter artificial heating by hot-water pipes is common, and in the evening the lighting is by gas or oil lamps, and occasionally by electric light. The air at the end of a crowded service is foul beyond description. Not 5 per cent. of the churches which I have seen have any proper ventilation except the newer ones which have apertures or windows high up near the roof and other windows not hermetically closed. In the vast majority week after week the foul and stagnant air is never renewed; the dust and numerous germs, pathological and otherwise, remain year after year in the crevices of antique wood or stone work. One sees occasionally sufferers with the streaming eyes and nose of cold or influenza; one hears the cough of phthisis or pertussis. The writer has known several cases of illness directly due to visits to these malodorous and unwholesome services and many of my medical brethren could confirm this. Of course the subject is a delicate one. Some of my clerical friends readily admit the bad air of the churches but hesitate to oppose the ignorant prejudices of many worshippers and their pious horror of so-called draughts. Those I visited belonged to the Church of England. Those of other sects, so far as I can learn,