(Paper No. 3049.)
"The Pressure of Grain."
By Wilfrid Airy, B.A., M. Inst. C.E.
The important problem of grain-pressure affords an interesting example of the application of the theory of semi-fluids. The Author knows of no reliable theoretical investigation relating to grain-pressure, although much needed, by reason of the large extent to which grain is stored, and the difficulty of making experiments except on a very small scale. Useful investigations on the pressure of wheat on the bottom of small bins have been published by Mr. Isaac Roberts ${ }^{1}$ and by Mr. H. A. Janssen; ${ }^{2}$ but the Author has not been able to find any Tables of the coefficients of friction of grain, either on grain, or on wood, iron, or brickwork, the materials of which bins are usually constructed. These coefficients enter most materially into any theory of the pressure of grain; and, with the kind assistance of Mr. F. E. Duckham, M. Inst. C.E., the engineer of the Millwall Docks, the Author has made the experiments, of which the results are given in a Table in Appendix II to determine them.

The grain is treated in this investigation as a semi-fluid, on the principle explained by Weisbach, ${ }^{3}$ which assumes that the pressure on the side of a bin is the maximum pressure due to a wedge-shaped mass of the grain which may be supposed to separate from the general mass; and the angle of slope of the particular wedge-shaped mass which exerts the maximum pressure has to be determined. There is friction between the grain and the sides of the bin, because, as the grain is piled into the bin, it sinks together from the pressure, and so causes the friction. There is also friction between the grains of corn along the supposed plane of separation of the wedge-shaped mass. These frictions vary with

[^0]the nature of the grain and the material of the bin. Frequently the depth of a bin is much greater than its width, and, when it is filled with grain, the plane of separation of the mass of grain, which causes the maximum pressure on one side of the bin, meets the opposite side within the mass of grain. When there is only a small depth of grain in the bin, the plane of separation passes out of the mass before it meets the opposite side. These two cases, which have a common limit at a particular depth of grain, have been investigated separately in Appendix I.

The simple relation arrived at in Appendix I for rectangular bins of the same shape but different sizes, and for which $\frac{h}{b}$ is constant (where $h$ is the height of the grain, and $b$ the breadth of the bin), viz., that the pressures on the sides and bottom vary as $b^{3}$, much simplifies the computation of the pressures in any given case. For it is only necessary to prepare a Table (similar to that on p. 349) for any required shape of bin (defined by the proportion $\frac{l}{b}$ ), and for some standard value of $b$, say $b=10$ feet, in order to determine the pressures in any bin of similar shape, almost by inspection. Suppose, for example, that the pressure on the sides of a bin 6 feet square, filled with wheat to a depth of 30 feet is required. In this case, $\frac{h}{b}=\frac{30}{6}=5$; and referring to the Table on p. 349 , which is computed for a bin 10 feet square, the pressure at 50 feet depth of grain (for which $\frac{h}{b}=\frac{50}{10}=5$ ) is $535,440 \mathrm{lbs}$. Therefore the pressure on the sides of the 6 -foot bin, filled to a depth of 30 feet $=\frac{6^{3}}{10^{3}} \times 535,440=115,655$ lbs. ; and the pressure on each side is $\frac{115,655}{4}=28,914 \mathrm{lbs}$.

A good idea of the magnitude of the pressures of grain is formed by computing the pressure for a 10 -foot square bin of smooth planks, with depths of wheat varying from 5 feet to 100 feet, the results of which are given in the following Table which was computed with the following values of the coefficients of friction of grain on grain ( $\mu$ ), and of grain against the sides of the bin ( $\mu^{\prime}$ ): viz., $\mu=0 \cdot 466$, and $\mu^{\prime}=0 \cdot 361$ (Table in Appendix II). The value of $h$, common to cases I and II, is $h=b \tan \theta=b \times\left\{\mu+\sqrt{\left.\mu \frac{1+\mu^{2}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}\right\}}\right.$ (Appendix I) $=10 \times 1 \cdot 294=12 \cdot 94$ feet. Therefore for $h=5$ feet,
and $h=10$ feet, the pressures have been calculated from the formulas of case I, and for $h=15$ feet, and all higher values of $h$, from the formulas of case II. With the above values of the coefficients, the formulas used for the computation of the Table were-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Case } I\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tan \theta=1 \cdot 294 . \\
\mathrm{P}=h^{2} \times 8 \cdot 404 .
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { Case } I I\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tan \theta=\sqrt{h \times 0.294+1 \cdot 481-1 \cdot 006} \\
\mathrm{P}=250(2 h-10 \tan \theta) \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-0.466}{0.832+0.827 \tan \theta^{\circ}}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle which the plane of separation of the grain makes with the horizontal, and $P$ is the pressure against the side of the bin, Appendix I.

Table of the Pressures on the Sides and Bottom of a Smooth Wooden Bin, 10 Feet Square, holding Wheat weighing 50 lbs. per Cubic Foot, for which the coefficients of friction are $\mu=0 \cdot 466$ and $\mu^{\prime}=0 \cdot 361$, Appendix II.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Grain in } \\ & \text { Bin } \\ & h . \end{aligned}$ | Values of $\tan \theta$ for Maximum Pressure on Sides of Bin. | Weight <br> Grain in Bin. | Pressures on Sides per Foot Run of Horizontal Circumference P. | Total <br> Pressure of Grain on Sides of Bin $\mathrm{P} \times 40$. | Weight of Grain held up by the Friction on the Sides. | Weight of Grain Carried on the Bottom of Bin. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feet. 5 | 1-294 | Lbs. $25,000$ | Lbs. 210 | Lbs. $8,404$ | Lbs. 3,034 | Lbs. 21,966 |
| 10 | 1-294 | 50,000 | 840 | 33,616 | 12,136 | 37,864 |
| 15 | $1 \cdot 422$ | 75,000 | 1,878 | 75,120 | 27,118 | 47,882 |
| 20 | 1•708 | 100,000 | 3,169 | 126,760 | 45,760 | 54,240 |
| 25 | 1-967 | 125,000 | 4,625 | 185,000 | 66,785 | 58,215 |
| 30 | $2 \cdot 205$ | 150,000 | 6,214 | 248,560 | 89,730 | 60,270 |
| 35 | $2 \cdot 427$ | 175,000 | 7,900 | 316,000 | 114,076 | 60,924 |
| 40 | $2 \cdot 635$ | 200,000 | 9,657 | 386,280 | 139,447 | 60,553 |
| 45 | $2 \cdot 832$ | 225,000 | 11,488 | 459,520 | 165,887 | 59,113 |
| 50 | $3 \cdot 019$ | 250,000 | 13,386 | 535,440 | 193,294 | 56,706 |
| 55 | $3 \cdot 198$ | 275,000 | 15,331 | 613,240 | 221,380 | 53,620 |
| 60 | $3 \cdot 369$ | 300,000 | 17,305 | 692,200 | 249,884 | 50,116 |
| 65 | 3-585 | 325,000 | 19,332 | 773,280 | 279,154 | 45,846 |
| 70 | 3-694 | 350,000 | 21,385 | 855,400 | 308,799 | 41,201 |
| 75 | 3-848 | 375,000 | 23,503 | 940,120 | 339,383 | 35,617 |
| 80 | 3-997 | 400,000 | 25,617 | 1,024,680 | 369,909 | 30,091 |
| 85 | $4 \cdot 142$ | 425,000 | 27,773 | 1,110,920 | 401,042 | 23,958 |
| 90 | $4 \cdot 283$ | 450,000 | 29,937 | 1,197,480 | 432,290 | 17,710 |
| 95 | $4 \cdot 420$ | 475,000 | 32,119 | 1,284,760 | 463,798 | 11,202 |
| 100 | $4 \cdot 555$ | 500,000 | 34,326 | 1,373,040 | 495,667 | 4,333 |

The above Table shows that the pressure on the bottom attains a maximum value of $60,924 \mathrm{lbs}$., or $27 \cdot 2$ tons for a depth of
grain of 35 feet. This would hold good for all other cases of grain-pressure, but the depth of grain that would produce the maximum pressure would probably vary with the coefficients of the grain and the dimensions of the bin. The limiting pressure on the bottom of the bin is $3,883 \mathrm{lbs}$., being the weight of a pyramid of grain whose sides are at the greatest natural angle of slope of the grain; for this pyramid of grain cannot be supported by the friction on the sides, however great the pressure on the sides might become. The pressure on the sides continually increases with the depth of grain, and the possible amount of friction due to this pressure increases with it. But more of this friction cannot come into action than will support the weight of grain in the bin less the limiting weight of $3,883 \mathrm{lbs}$. on the bottom. It happens that the limiting weight of $3,883 \mathrm{lbs}$. is reached at a depth of grain of a little over 100 feet, as may be inferred from the last figures of the Table; so that for depths of grain greater than 100 feet, the pressure on the bottom will
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 remain constant at 3,883 lbs. The quantity 3,883 lbs. is obtained thus :-

Let AOB, Fig. 1, be the pyramid of grain, of which the sides are sloped at the angle $\theta$, for which $\tan \theta=\mu=0.466$. Then volume of pyramid $=\frac{1}{3} \times \mathrm{AB}^{2} \times \mathrm{OE}=\frac{1}{3} \times \mathrm{AB}^{2} \times \mathrm{AE}$ $\tan \theta=\frac{1}{3} \times 10^{2} \times 5 \times 0 \cdot 466=77 \cdot 66$ cubic feet; weight of pyramid $=50 \times 77 \cdot 66=3,883 \mathrm{lbs}$. The side pressures are those which are mostly to be guarded against, for they increase continually with the depth of grain; and although they are small compared with the pressures that would be produced by a perfect fluid, yet they are considerable. Thus at a depth of 80 feet, the side pressure per foot run of horizontal circumference is $25,617 \mathrm{lbs}$., or 11.4 tons. The corresponding side pressure due to a perfect fluid of the same weight as the grain, namely, 50 lbs . per cubic foot, would be $160,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or $\mathbf{7 1 \cdot 4}$ tons. This difference exhibits clearly the effect of the internal friction of grain in reducing the external pressure.
The pressure per square foot on the side of the bin at any required depth below the surface of the grain, can be easily obtained. Suppose, for example, there is a 60 -foot depth of grain in the bin, and the pressure on the side of the bin for the foot lying between 60 -foot depth and 59 -foot depth is required. The total pressure per foot run of the horizontal circumference can be computed for each of the values 60 and 59 , and their difference will be the pressure on the foot required. In the Table the
pressures are computed for depths proceeding by 5 feet at a time ; and the difference of pressure for the 5 feet between 60 feet and 55 feet is $1,974 \mathrm{lbs}$., so that approximately the pressure per square foot at this depth would be $\frac{1,974}{5}=395$ lbs.

To ascertain the effect on the side and bottom pressures of altering the value of $\mu^{\prime}$ (the coefficient of friction between the grain and the material of the bin), the pressures having been obtained as in the Table, for values $\mu=0 \cdot 466$ and $\mu^{\prime}=0 \cdot 361$ (the values of the coefficients for wheat in a smooth wooden bin, Appendix II), find the effect on the pressures of using values $\mu=0.466$ and $\mu^{\prime}=0 \cdot 412$ (the values of the coefficients for wheat in a rough wooden bin) is required. Let $h$, the depth of grain, be 40 feet in both cases. Then from the Table and by direct computation the following results are obtained :-

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { of } \mu \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | Value of $\mu^{\prime}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \text { of Grain in } \\ & \text { Bin. } \end{aligned}$ | Pressures on Sides per Foot Ruu of Horizontal Circum-- ference. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Total } \\ \text { Pressures on } \\ \text { Sides. } \end{array}$ | Weight of Grain held up by the Friction on the Sides. | Weight of Grain Carried on the Bottom of the Bin. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 466$ | $0 \cdot 361$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lbs. } \\ 200,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lbs. } \\ & \mathbf{9 , 6 5 7} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{386,280}{\text { Lbs. }}$ | $\underset{\text { Lbs. }}{139,447}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 60,553 \end{gathered}$ |
| 0.466 | $0 \cdot 412$ | 200,000 | 9,322 | 372,880 | 153,627 | 46,373 |

Showing that with the same grain, the smaller $\mu^{\prime}$ is, or the smoother the bin, the greater are the pressures both on the sides and on the bottom.

For the same depth of grain, the side pressure per foot run of horizontal circumference $P$ is greater with a large bin than with a small one. Therefore it is advantageous to store grain in bins of moderate size, rather than in large bins or in a single mass. Thus the Table shows that, for a depth of grain of 40 feet stored in a 10 -foot bin, the pressure on the sides per foot run of horizontal circumference is $9,657 \mathrm{lbs}$. ; and the pressure on one side is $9,657 \times 10=96,570$ lbs. But with the same depth of grain stored in a 20 -foot bin, the pressure per foot run of horizontal circumference is $12,675 \mathrm{lbs}$., and the pressure on one side $12,675 \times 20=253,500 \mathrm{lbs}$.

The method of conducting the experiments for ascertaining the coefficients of friction of different kinds of grain, together with the results obtained, are given in Appendix II.

Mr. Isaac Roberts has given the results of some experiments
on the pressure of wheat on the bottom of small wooden bins, both square and hexagonal. ${ }^{1}$ These experimental bins were very small, the largest hexagonal bin having a diameter for the inscribed circle of $20 \frac{3}{4}$ inches. The corn was piled into this bin to the depth of 8 feet, or rather more than four and a half times the diameter. He also made a number of experiments on the central pressure on the bottom of large bins, as also on small portions of their sides; but these do not appear to be useful for comparison with the Author's results. Mr. H. A. Janssen has given the results of a number of experiments on the pressure of wheat on the bottom of small wooden bins. ${ }^{2}$ These bins were all square, the largest being 2 feet square. The greatest depth of corn piled into this bin was $5 \cdot 95$ feet, or only about three times the length of a side of the bin.

In the Author's method, the aggregate pressure on the sides of a bin (from which the pressure on the bottom of the bin is deduced) has been found by multiplying the pressure on a vertical strip 1 foot wide by the horizontal circumference of the bin in feet. But this process takes no account of the jamming of the grain in the angles of a rectangular bin, which would hold up the grain to a certain extent and diminish the pressure on the bottom of the bin. With large bins, such as are used in practice, the effect of the angles on the bottom pressure would be only a very small part of the whole; but, with small experimental bins of 1 foot or 2 feet square, it would probably be a large proportion. Therefore it might be expected that the pressure on the bottom of small bins, obtained by experiment, would be less than the pressure deduced from the Author's method, which ignores the effect of the angles, and is applicable to large bins without serious error.

These remarks may be verified by a comparison of the results obtained by Roberts and Janssen respectively. Mr. Janssen, having piled 1,190 lbs. of wheat into a bin 2 feet square, obtained a pressure on the bottom of 408 lbs . The Author has found by trial that his method would produce this same result with values of the coefficients $\mu=0.466$ and $\mu^{\prime}=0 \cdot 412$. Moreover, from the reasoning in the preceding paragraph, he would expect that, if the angles did not exist (as in a circular bin), or were very much more obtuse (as in a hexagonal bin), the pressure on the bottom would have been greater. The Author's method would produce a greater pressure on the bottom with a smaller value of $\mu^{\prime}$,

[^1]keeping $\mu=0.466$ as before, as indicated by the Table on p. 351 Mr. Roberts found that, when $1,014 \mathrm{lbs}$. of wheat were piled into his hexagonal bin, the pressure on the bottom was 224 lbs.; and the Author has found by trial that his method would produce this result with values of the coefficients $\mu=0 \cdot 466$ and $\mu^{\prime}=0 \cdot 371$. Accordingly the value of $\mu^{\prime}$, as deduced from Roberts's experiments, is considerably less than the value deduced from Janssen's experiments; and the Author has no doubt that this is due to the jamming of the grain in the angles of the rectangular bin used by Janssen. Probably the small experimental bins, both of Roberts and Janssen, were made of planed boards, for which the value of $\mu^{\prime}$ would be $0 \cdot 361$, as found by the Author, Appendix II; and this compares well with the value 0.371 deduced from Roberts's experiments, especially as the angles of Roberts's hexagonal bin would produce some small effect, which would make the agreement still closer if taken into account. The Author considers that his formulas and coefficients are confirmed in a most satisfactory manner by these experiments.

Judging from the reckless manner in which large quantities of grain are frequently stored in brickwork buildings that are totally unfit to support much lateral pressure, and from the frequent reports that have reached him of the bulging and destruction of such buildings, the Author thinks that there exists a very general ignorance of the magnitude of the lateral pressure of grain. In a recent instance in Ipswich, a brickwork store about 60 feet by 40 feet, was filled to a depth of about 50 feet with wheat; the end wall was burst by the pressure, and the upper part of it was thrown down to within about 10 feet from the ground-level. Some 6,000 quarters of wheat ran out upon the quay, and a large portion was lost in the dock. The Author trusts that his investigations will render more certain the calculations necessary for the design of such buildings.

## [Appendixes.
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## APPENDIXES.

## APPENDIX 1.

Case I.-To find the pressure on the sides and bottom of a bin, when the depth of grain in the bin is such that the plane of separation which causes maximum pressure on one side of the bin passes out of the grain before it meets the opposite side of the bin. Let CABD be a vertical section of a bin, Fig. 2, and CD the surface of the grain. Let AE be the plane of separation. Then ACE is the wedge-shaped mass of grain which causes maximum pressure

Fig. 2.
 against the side AC , and $O$ its centre of gravity. Let $W$ be the weight of ACE, 1 foot thick, $P$ the pressure against the side $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{C}, \mu^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ the friction between the grain and the side AC, acting in the direction AC, $\mu^{\prime}$ being the coefficient of friction, $R$ the pressure of the mass A CE on the plane of separation $\mathbf{A E}, \mu \mathrm{R}$ the friction between the grain along the plane of separation AE, $\mu$ being the coefficient of friction, $h$ the depth of grain
AC in feet, $\theta$ the angle EAB which the plane of separation makes with the horizontal, and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ the weight of 1 cubic foot of the grain in lbs.

For $\boldsymbol{i c o n v e n i e n c e , ~ t h e ~ f o r c e s ~ a r e ~ a l l ~ d r a w n ~ a s ~ i f ~ a c t i n g ~ a t ~} O$ in their proper directions; and resolving the forces that support the mass ACE parallel and perpendicular to A.E,
whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \mathbf{R}+\mathrm{P} \cos \theta=\left(\mathrm{W}-\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{P}\right) \sin \theta \\
& \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{P} \sin \theta=\left(\mathrm{W}-\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{P}\right) \cos \theta \\
& \mu=\left(\mathrm{W}-\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{P}\right) \sin \theta-\mathrm{P} \cos \theta \\
& \left(\overline{\mathrm{~W}}-\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{P}\right) \cos \theta+\mathrm{P} \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

and from this, by reduction,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{W} \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta^{\circ}} \\
\mathrm{W}=\gamma \times \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{AC} \times \mathrm{CE}=\gamma \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot h \cdot \frac{h}{\tan \theta}=\gamma \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2 \tan \theta^{\prime}}, \\
\mathrm{P}=\gamma \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2 \tan \theta} \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta} \\
=\gamma \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2} \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{\left(1-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan ^{2} \theta^{\circ}}
\end{gathered}
$$

But

To find the value of $\theta$, that causes $P$ to be a maximum, equate $\frac{d P}{d \theta}$ to zero.
Now $\quad \stackrel{d \mathbf{P}}{d \theta}=\gamma \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \theta}\left[\left(1-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan ^{2} \theta\right]-(\tan \theta-\mu)\right.$

$$
\left.\times\left[\frac{1^{\prime}}{\cos ^{2} \theta}\left(1-\mu, \mu^{\prime}\right)+2 \tan \theta \cdot \frac{1^{\prime}}{\cos ^{2} \theta} \cdot\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

all divided by

$$
\left\{\left(1-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan ^{2} \theta\right\}^{2},
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan ^{2} \theta-\left(1-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right)(\tan \theta-\mu) \\
-2 \tan \theta(\tan \theta-\mu)\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right)=0 ;
\end{gathered}
$$

whence, by reduction, $\tan \theta=\mu+\sqrt{\mu \cdot \frac{1}{\mu+\mu^{2}}}$, since the part under the radical is greater than $\mu$. If this value of $\tan \theta$ is substituted in the expression for P, the lateral pressure of the wedge A C E, I foot thick, will be obtained; and this result, multiplied by the horizontal circumference of the bin in feet, gives the total pressure on the sides of the bin. This, multiplied by $\mu^{\prime}$, gives the vertical sustaining force of the side friction ; and the pressure on the bottom is the total weight of the grain less the vertical sustaining force of the friction. Thus the fundamental equations for case I are-
and $\quad \mathrm{P}=\gamma \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2 \tan \theta} \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta}$.
Case II.-To find the pressure on the sides and bottom of a bin, when the depth of grain is such that the plane of separation which causes maximum pressure on one side of the bin, meets the opposite side of the bin within the mass of grain. Let $b$ be the breadth of the bin, Fig. 3 . Then, as in case I,

$$
\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{W} \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta} .
$$

But
$W=\gamma \times 1 \times$ area $\mathrm{AEDCA}=\gamma \times \mathrm{CD} \times \frac{\mathrm{AC}+\mathrm{DE}}{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\gamma \cdot \frac{b}{2} \cdot(2 h-b \tan \theta), \\
\therefore \quad \mathrm{P}=\gamma \cdot \frac{b}{2}(2 h-b \tan \theta) \cdot \overline{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}}+\frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta} \\
\text { or } \mathbf{P}=\gamma \cdot \frac{b}{2}\left\{2 h^{2} \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta}-b \cdot \frac{\tan ^{2} \theta_{1}^{\prime}-!\mu \tan \theta}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Fig. 3.


To find the value of $\theta$ which makes $\mathbf{P}$ a maximum, equate $\frac{d \mathbf{P}}{d \theta}$ to zero.
Now

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \mathbf{P}}{d \theta}=\gamma \cdot \frac{b}{2}\left\{2 h \left[\frac{1}{\cos ^{2}-}\left(1-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \theta}\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta\right.\right. \\
\left.-\frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \theta}\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta+\frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \theta}\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \cdot \mu\right] \\
-b\left[\left(2 \tan \theta \cdot \frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \bar{\theta}}-\mu \cdot \frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \theta}\right)\left\{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta\right\}\right. \\
\left.\left.\cdots \frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \theta}\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right)\left(\tan ^{2} \theta-\mu \tan \theta\right)\right]\right\} \\
\left\{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta\right\}^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

allidivided by
so that $2 h\left(1+\mu^{2}\right)-b\left\{\tan ^{2} \theta\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right)+2 \tan \theta\left(1-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(1-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right)\right\}=0$, which by reduction gives finally

$$
\tan \theta=\sqrt{\left\{\frac{2 h}{b} \cdot \frac{1+\mu^{2}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}+\frac{1+\mu^{2}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}} \cdot \begin{array}{c}
1-\mu \mu^{\prime} \\
\mu+\mu^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}-\frac{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}} .}
$$

Substituting this value of $\tan \theta$ in the expression for P , the maximum pressure on the side of the bin of the wedge-shape mass AEDCA, 1 foot thick, is obtained; and the pressures on the sides and bottom of the bin can be deduced as before. Thus the fundamental equations for case II are :-

$$
\tan \theta=\sqrt{\frac{2 h}{b} \cdot \frac{1+\mu^{2}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}+\frac{1+\mu^{2}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}} \cdot} \frac{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}-\frac{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}},
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{P}=\gamma \cdot \frac{b}{2} \cdot(2 h-b \tan \theta) \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right)} \overline{\tan \theta} .
$$

It is seen from Fig. 2 that the value of $h$ for which the plane of separation of the mass of maximum pressure meets the opposite side at the surface level of the grain, is determined by the condition $h=b \tan \theta$. If in the expression for $\tan \theta$ in case II, $b \tan \theta$ is substituted for $h$, then
or,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tan \theta=\sqrt{2 \tan \theta \cdot \frac{1+\mu^{2}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}+\frac{1+\mu^{2}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}}}-\frac{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime}} \\
\tan \theta=\mu+\sqrt{\mu \cdot \frac{1+\mu^{\prime}}{\mu+\mu^{\prime \prime}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

the same expression as in case I. Similarly the value of $\mathbf{P}$ from case II becomes, by putting $h=b \tan \theta$ or $b=\frac{h}{\tan \theta^{2}}$,

$$
\mathbf{P}=\gamma \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{2 \tan \theta} \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta,
$$

the same expression again as was obtained in case $I$, showing that the equations for $\tan \theta$ and $P$ in cases I and II are continuous.

A useful law connecting the pressures in bins of different sizes can be obtained immediately from the equations as follows:-In case I, taking the case of a square bin, the length of side of which is $b$, the aggregate pressure on the sides of the bin is $P \times 4 b$. Calling this $\pi$, the second equation, case $I$, becomes

$$
\pi=2 \gamma \cdot h^{2} b \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{\left(l-\mu \mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan ^{2} \theta^{\prime}},
$$

which may be written

$$
\pi=2 \gamma \cdot \frac{h^{2}}{b^{2}} \cdot b^{3} \times \frac{\tan \theta-\mu}{\left(1-\mu \mu^{1}\right) \tan \theta+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan ^{-2} \theta}
$$

therefore, in comparing the pressures on the sides of square bins of different sizes, if $\frac{h}{b}$ (the proportion of the depth of grain to the side of the bin) is the same for both, the pressure varies as $b^{3}$.

In case II, with square bins as before, calling $\pi(=\mathrm{P} \times 4 b$ ) the aggregate pressure on the sides of the bin

$$
\pi=2 \gamma \cdot b^{3}\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 h \\
b
\end{array}-\tan \theta\right) \cdot \frac{\tan \theta-\mu^{\prime}}{1-\mu \mu^{\prime}+\left(\mu+\mu^{\prime}\right) \tan \theta}
$$

Therefore, in this case also, in comparing the aggregate pressures on the sides of square bins of different sizes, if $\frac{h}{b}$ is the same for both, the pressure varies as $b^{3}$. The total weight of grain in the bin is $\gamma h b^{2}$, and the pressure on the bottom of the bin is $\gamma h b^{2}-\mu^{\prime} \pi$. Then comparing square bins, for which $\frac{\hbar}{b}$ is constant, say $\frac{h}{b}=\mathrm{C}$, the pressure on the bottom $=\gamma \cdot b^{3} \mathrm{C}-m b^{3}=b^{3}(\gamma \mathrm{C}-m), m$ being a constant; therefore the pressure on the bottom also varies as $b^{3}$.

In the case of oblong rectangular bins, whose length is $l$ and breadth $b$, conparing the pressures on the sides of bins of different sizes, but for both of which $\frac{h}{\bar{b}}$ is the same, the values of $\tan \theta$ are the same, and the value of $\pi$ varies as $b^{2}(b+l)=b^{3}\left(1+\frac{l}{b}\right)$. Similarly the pressure on the bottom of the bin is $\gamma \cdot h \cdot b \cdot l-\mu^{\prime} \pi$; and putting $\frac{h}{\bar{b}}=\mathbf{C}$, this becomes

$$
\gamma \mathrm{C} b^{2} l-\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \cdot b^{3}\left(1+\frac{l}{b}\right)=b^{3}\left\{\gamma \mathrm{C}_{\bar{b}}^{l}-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{l}{b}\right)\right\}
$$

$\mathbb{C}^{\prime}$ being a constant. Therefore, in oblong bins of the same shape, for which $\frac{l}{b}$ and $\frac{h}{b}$ are constant, the aggregate pressure on the sides and the pressure on the bottom of the bin both vary as $l^{3}$.

## APPENDIX II.

## Experiments for ascertaining the Coefficients of Friction of Grain.

The grain stored on floors at the Millwall Docks offered great convenience for experiment. A board, 3 feet long, 11 inches wide, and $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inch thick, was fitted with a graduated sector-are and a plumb-bob, so as to act as a clinometer. The instrument weighed from 15 to 20 lbs. according as it was shod, and was applied to determine the slopes of grain as in Fig. 4. The are was adjusted so that when the board was placed on a horizontal surface, the plumb-line read zero. When the instrument was placed

Fig. 4.
 on the grain, the angle indicated by the position of the plumb-line was the slope of the grain surface to the horizontal. For determining $\mu$, the grain was thrown up so as to stand as steep as it could, and was allowed to take its own slope. The surface was then gently smoothed with a straight-edge, and the angle of slope was read on the clinometer. The tangent of the angle was adopted as the value of $\mu$.

For determining $\mu^{\prime}$, the coefficient of friction between the grain and the material of the bin, the surface of the board was prepared to represent the materials generally used in the construction of bins, as follows:-In the first set of experiments, the surface of the board was rough, just as it was bought in the deal; in the second set, the surface of the board was planed smooth; in the third set, the board was shod with a wrought-iron plate, fixed to it by screws whose heads were countersunk; in the fourth set of experiments, the iron plate was removed, and the board was coated with cement, which adhered by means of tacks in the board, around which it set. The slope of the grain surface was trimmed down with a long straight-edge, and the clinometer was tried upon it. This operation was repeated again and again, till the slope was found at which the board would just slip, and no more, on the surface of the grain. The angle of slope was then read off on the clinometer; and the tangent of the angle was adopted as the value of $\mu^{\prime}$. The results of the experiments are given in the following Table, and also the weight of a cubic foot of the different kinds of grain used:-

Table of the Coefricients of Friction of various kinds of Grain.

| - | Weightof a Cubic Foot loosely filled into Measure. | Coefficients of Friction. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grain } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Grain } \\ \mu \text { (. } \end{gathered}$ | Grain on Rough Board $\mu^{\prime}$. | Grain on Smooth $\mu^{\prime}$. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grain } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Iron } \\ \mu^{\prime} . \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Wheat | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Lbs. } \\ 49 \end{array}$ | $0 \cdot 466$ | $0 \cdot 412$ | $0 \cdot 361$ | $0 \cdot 414$ | $0 \cdot 444$ |
| Barley | 39 | $0 \cdot 507$ | $0 \cdot 424$ | $0 \cdot 325$ | $0 \cdot 376$ | $0 \cdot 452$ |
| Oats | 28 | $0 \cdot 532$ | $0^{\circ} 450$ | $0 \cdot 369$ | $0 \cdot 412$ | $0 \cdot 466$ |
| Maize | 44 | $0 \cdot 521$ | $0 \cdot 344$ | $0 \cdot 308$ | $0 \cdot 374$ | $0 \cdot 423$ |
| Beans | 46 | $0 \cdot 616$ | $0 \cdot 435$ | $0 \cdot 322$ | $0 \cdot 366$ | 0.442 |
| Peas | 50 | $0 \cdot 472$ | $0 \cdot 287$ | $0 \cdot 268$ | $0 \cdot 263$ | $0 \cdot 296$ |
| Tares | 49 | $0 \cdot 554$ | $0 \cdot 424$ | $0 \cdot 359$ | $0 \cdot 364$ | $0 \cdot 394$ |
| Linseed | 41 | $0 \cdot 456$ | $0 \cdot 407$ | $0 \cdot 308$ | $0 \cdot 339$ | $0 \cdot 414$ |

If the measure is well shaken, and the grain is closely pressed into the measure, the weight of a cubic foot will in all cases be about 4 lbs . greater than the weights given in the Table. In all the calculations in this Paper relating to the pressure of wheat, the Author has adopted 50 lbs . for the weight of a cubic foot.

The cement surface was rather rough, and might be expected to give about the same coefficients as an ordinary brick wall. The coefficients for a smooth cement surface would be somewhat smaller than those given in the Table. During the experiments the weather was fairly dry; but in damp weather, nearly all the coefficients would be somewhat larger than those given in the Table.
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