Composition of Vibrations

WHILE holding one of König's large polished tuning-forks in my hand, I happened to give it a swaying movement on the plane on which its vibrations were being performed, and immediately noticed that the space through which the fork swung was occupied by a series of bright straight lines arranged in a fan-like form. The lines spread out, or drew together, as the rate of movement impressed on the fork increased or diminished. The case was clearly one of composition of vibrations, the bright lines being merely the edges of the prongs seen in positions of instantaneous rest, where the proper motion of a prong was equal and opposite to that communicated to it by the hand.

By taking forks of different pitch, and causing them to swing with equal velocities, the dependence of pitch on the number of vibrations performed in a given time was easily exhibited.

In case this simple observation has not yet been made or described I ask its insertion in NATURE.

Trinity College, Cambridge

SEDLAY TAYLOR

Eclipse Photography

MR. J. BOESINGER, in the last number of NATURE, expresses his surprise at the ignorance of the photographers attached to the late expeditions, and favours them with hints, observations, and instructions still more surprising. Because he cannot see their reasons for employing equatorial stands, plates in separate frames, and long exposures, he concludes these were unnecessary; and affirms "there must have been a great want of balance in their chemicals." No doubt there is a want of balance somewhere, and I diffidently submit the probability that Mr. Boesinger has lost his.

I would briefly state to those few of your readers who may have been misled by this correspondent, that equatorial stands driven by clock-work are absolutely necessary in the production of the best results, either by short or long exposure of photographic plates; a picture "not perfectly sharp but valuable as a memorial," was what Mr. Boesinger aimed at (and I sincerely hope he obtained it), but the expeditions had higher aims and greater expectations. Single large plates were exposed separately, that should a corona extending many degrees be actinically present, it might find ample room to put in an appearance; in such a case had "repeating backs" been used to give many pictures on one plate, there would have been great danger from the corona of one picture overlapping that of another, to the ruin of all. Comparatively long exposures were found necessary to secure impression from the faint extremities of the rays.

HENRY DAVIS

Tidal Friction according to Thomson and Tait

I AM so afraid that this letter will convict me of hopeless stupidity that I conceal my name. For I am going to confess that I do not understand, and even feel inclined to dispute, the reasoning of Thomson and Tait, on pp. 191-194 in their great work, respecting the effect of tidal friction on the motion of the earth and moon. It will be a convenience to your readers if I quote the passage at full length:—

"Let us suppose the moon to be a uniform spherical body. The mutual action and reaction of gravitation between her mass and the earth's will be equivalent to a single force in some line through her centre, and must be such as to impede the earth's rotation as long as this is performed in a shorter period than the moon's motion round the earth. It must therefore lie in some such direction as the line MQ in the diagram, which represents, necessarily with enormous exaggeration, its deviation, OQ, from the earth's centre. Now, the actual force on the moon in the line MQ, may be regarded as consisting of a force in the line MO towards the earth's centre, sensibly equal in amount to the whole force, and a comparatively very small force in the line MT perpendicular to MO. This latter is very nearly tangential to the moon's path, and is in the direction *with* her motion. Such a force, if suddenly commencing to act, would, in the first place, increase the moon's velocity; but after a certain time she would have moved so much farther from the earth, in virtue of this acceleration, as to have lost, by moving against the earth's

attraction as much velocity as she had gained by the tangential accelerating force."

The consequences are then shown to be that the moon's distance would be increased in the ratio 1 : 1.46, and her periodic time increased, and the earth's period of rotation lengthened.

This reasoning perplexes me; for if the effect of a certain amount of fluid friction is to throw the line of action of the force from MO to MQ, a fluid friction is conceivable which should throw it outside the earth altogether. Moreover, the line of attraction of the earth on the moon would be in a line not passing through the earth's centre, a result I cannot understand, especially if the fluid friction were increased as just suggested. Nor can I see that a force in MQ, the centre of the earth being free, would tend to stop the rotation of the earth.

As I view the matter, fluid friction generates a *couple* tending to stop the rotation of the earth, and it is impossible to combine this couple with the force in MO, and represent the resultant by a single force. The energy lost in the form of momentum of rotation of the earth is gained in the heat devolved by the fluid friction, which is ultimately dissipated. And the final result would be that the orbit of the moon would not be appreciably altered, while the period of rotation of the earth is gradually lengthened.

Am I wrong, for the thousandth time in my life? and if so will some one try and enlighten me. Perhaps Prof. Tait will spare a few minutes to an old friend.

M. A.

Circumpolar Lands

IN NATURE (Feb. 8) Mr. Murphy seems to admit the soundness of the reasoning by which I endeavoured to show (Jan. 25) that the earth's form is probably undergoing a slow progressive change, but he thinks that the statements in the first and last parts of my letter are contradictory.

If Mr. Murphy will be good enough to read again the paragraph immediately following the one which he quotes, I think he will find that there is no contradiction. "Transmission of pressure towards the poles" must tend to elevate the land in those regions. How that pressure is produced and transmitted I have endeavoured to show in the same paragraph.

However, the main proposition which I sought to establish in my paper of 1857, before alluded to, is that any spheroid of equilibrium, whether earth, sun, or any other, in motion about an axis, in cooling from a fluid state, undergoes a change of form, and with this proposition Mr. Murphy seems to agree.

Mr. Murphy has inadvertently omitted part of a sentence in making his quotation from my letter, thus representing me as speaking of a *ratio* with *one* quantity only.

Queen's Coll., Liverpool, Feb. 16

GEORGE HAMILTON

The Spheroidal State of Water

I HAD the pleasure a few days ago of visiting Messrs. Johnson's celebrated iron wire manufactory in Manchester. There may be seen a series of furnaces and rolling mills which in twenty-four hours can convert a truck load of the best Swedish iron into the bright and polished galvanised wire which is now being so extensively employed to complete our very perfect system of Post Office telegraphs. Every stage of the process passes beneath the eye of the observer; the melting of the pigs, the formation of the billets, the puddling of the bloom, the shingling of the balls, the rolling of the bars, and their subsequent extension by further rolling, and drawing into telegraph wire.

The bars are cut off into 10ft. lengths, and are placed in a Siemens' regenerative furnace, where they are raised to a brilliant white heat. They are then drawn out of the mouth of the glowing furnace, and pass through a series of consecutive rollers of varying dimensions, and rotating with varying speed, ultimately flowing out in a continuous stream of iron wire. In fact, the metal is at such a high temperature and so plastic that the curves it takes in falling convey the idea of a thin, fine unbroken jet of liquid matter.

The rollers are kept cool by the constant play upon them of jets of water. The first pair of rollers is fixed close to the mouth of the furnace, which is partially closed by a moveable screw that is only raised when the attendant sprite requires to direct another bar to the attenuating process of the continuous rollers. The jet of water that cools the first pair of rollers in one furnace fell in a broken shower upon the foot-plate of the mouth of the furnace, which, from its proximity to the fire, was raised to a

very high temperature, and therefore converted the drops of water into the spheroidal state. There they bounded and danced and rolled about like pith balls under an excited electrical receiver. Their constant rotation and well-known rippling motion gave them an opaque appearance which caused them to resemble closely a fine fall of hail. In fact, those to whom I pointed out the phenomenon likened their appearance to a fall of dusty snow at the mouth of a furnace. The sight was very striking and interesting. The workmen had taken these spheroids to be particles of scale and dust swaying about in the currents of air at the mouth of the furnace.

I have seen many times the experimental illustration of "Leidenfrost's phenomenon" at the mouth of a furnace, but I had never before seen its practical, though accidental, development, and in the incident which I have narrated above the interest chiefly attaches to the great antithesis of the fact and its appearance—snow at the mouth of a fiery furnace.

W. H. PREECE

The American Eclipse Expedition

I DEEM it but proper and just that I should correct a mistake that has just met my eye in Dr. Schellen's excellent work on Spectrum Analysis.

On page 332 of the 2nd German edition we find "Die erstere Expedition wählte unter der Anführung von Professor Morton die Stationen im Staate Iowa."

"(1) Burlington mit den Beobachtern Professor Mayer, Kendall, Willard, Phillips, und Mahoney, denen sich der als gewandter Spectroskopist bekannte Dr. C. A. Young, Professor am Dartmouth College (Hanover), und Dr. B. A. Gould für die photographischen Aufnahmen hinzugesellen."

In the English translation, edited by Mr. Huggins, the above reads, "The first expedition, under the guidance of Professor Morton, selected stations in the State of Iowa as follows:—

"(1) Burlington, where its observers were Professor Mayer, and Messrs. Kendall, Willard, Phillips, and Mahoney, together with Dr. C. A. Young, Professor of Dartmouth College (Hanover), well known as an experienced spectroscopist, and Dr. B. A. Gould, to whose charge the photographic department was committed."

Dr. Gould had no connection with the photographic expedition, but placed himself under Professor Coffin's general organisation, so that he could have facilities for making observations on the corona, and in searching for the suspected intermercurial planet.

The Burlington station of the Philadelphia eclipse expedition was placed under the direction of Dr. Mayer, and the photographs pointing page 337 of Dr. Schellen's work are two of the five plates secured by him during totality.

Also the diagram on page 338 is from Dr. Mayer's report on the eclipse (published October 1869), an abstract of which, with accompanying copies on glass of the original negatives, was presented by M. Delaunay to the Institute of France. The Rev. T. W. Webb laid them before the Royal Astronomical Society, when the report and the photographs were discussed at length at the meeting of November 12, 1869.

HENRY MORTON, President

Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, New Jersey

Mr. Spencer and the Dissipation of Energy

WILL you permit me to inquire, for the instruction of the many who are familiar with Mr. Herbert Spencer's "Doctrine of Evolution," and especially in regard to "First Principles," sec. 58, referred to by Mr. Spencer in his paper in your number for February 1, if the theory of the "Dissipation of Energy" does not upset a very considerable and significant portion of Mr. Spencer's "First Principles"? WILLIAM SMYTH

Maidstone, February 12

THE AURORA OF FEBRUARY 4

ON Sunday, the 4th inst., was witnessed one of the most magnificent displays of aurora which have been seen in Europe within the past twenty or thirty years. To most observers in this country it appeared equal in magnificence to the two fine auroræ seen on Oct. 24 and 25, 1870, and which were especially grand in

England; but foreign observers could only compare it with those seen in 1831 and 1836. But if we take all the attendant phenomena into consideration, it will appear that, whilst others may have equalled this one in grandeur and beauty, there is not one which can compare with it either as to the wide extent of country over which it was visible, or as to the strangeness of many of the phenomena by which it was accompanied. The numerous letters which have appeared in these columns the last two weeks show how universally it was noticed in England, Scotland, and Ireland; but in addition to these, the letters and telegrams which have appeared in the daily and weekly papers—both English and foreign—show that it excited attention over a still larger area. It is difficult to trace the exact limits of this area; but when we mention Paris, Cologne, Berlin, Malta, Constantinople, Egypt, and India, it will be seen what a large extent of country is embraced. So far we have seen no account of it as having been visible in the extreme north of Europe, as in Iceland, Norway, Sweden, St. Petersburg, &c., where most auroræ boreales are so well displayed; but, on the contrary, many of the cities in which it was noticed are those which are commonly supposed to be too far south for such phenomena to be seen. The importance of this point will appear later on.

To take England first. Mr. Allnatt sends to the *Times* a long description of the appearance of the aurora as seen by him at Frant, which shows that it was first noticed at 6 P.M. in the S.W., and that by 7 o'clock it had reached the zenith. It disappeared at 7.45, but reappeared for a short time at 10.50 in the N.; but "at 7.30 P.M. the whole heavens were pervaded by this abnormal southern aurora, that had now expanded universally and dipped its supplementary bands into the northern horizon." He also writes:—"The earth's electricity was so powerful, that the gold leaves of the electrometer remained diverged for a considerable time!" Other correspondents describe it as seen at Blackburn, in Lancashire, at 7, "embracing the whole southern sky from N.E. round to W.," from Faversham, in Kent, as visible between 9 and 10 o'clock; "from Cambridge as having its maximum intensity about 10; at Swindon as commencing at 10 minutes past 7 and lasting till 10 o'clock, "and giving as much light as a full moon, every object being clearly visible." But many observers had noticed it at times considerably earlier than those just mentioned: thus, "J.S.H.," writing in *NATURE* last week from Gloucester, "observed it at 5.30, just in the twilight, but it was then confused with the rays of the setting sun; but as the darkness deepened the aurora came out alone, and was then extremely beautiful." But still earlier was it observed at Hartlepool, whence a correspondent writes, at 5 o'clock:—"The whole of the southern sky was tinged with a most beautiful rose colour, which, as darkness set in, extended towards the zenith, where it culminated in a brilliant corona." This very early manifestation of the aurora partakes very much of the nature of a "day aurora," the possibility of which has been so much discussed in these columns (*vide NATURE*, vols. iii. and iv.) To us there does not appear much difficulty in believing that these grand meteorological phenomena, whatever their cause may be, are independent of merely relative time, and that the reason why they are mostly observed at night is because the purely local circumstances are then most favourable to their observation. That an aurora should wait till night-time before it manifests itself hardly seems probable, whilst, on the other hand, that the more brilliant light of the sun should prevent auroral displays being seen in the day-time is not only probable but is borne out by what we know of the light of the stars and planets. No one believes that stars only shine at night-time, why then should there be a belief that auroral displays take place only at night-time, especially when it is remembered that the experiences of polar travellers in their sunless regions are distinctly against it? But this is a digression arising from the fact that in comparative daylight we have distinct and independent evidence of this aurora having been observed. In addition to those already