The Classical Review

<http://journals.cambridge.org/CAR>

Additional services for *The Classical Review*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)

Selected Orations and Letters of Cicero, with Introduction, Notes, etc., by Harold W. Johnston, Ph. D. Chicago: Albert, Scott & Co. 1892.

E. P. Morris

The Classical Review / Volume 7 / Issue 10 / December 1893, pp 471 - 472

DOI: 10.1017/S0009840X00199111, Published online: 27 October 2009

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0009840X00199111

How to cite this article:

E. P. Morris (1893). The Classical Review, 7, pp 471-472 doi:10.1017/S0009840X00199111

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

line 'Catus in obscuris cepit pro sorice picam,' quoted under *SORICE*, is also used by the glossarist, whose compilation R. Ellis describes as among Sir Thomas Phillipps' MSS. at Cheltenham (*Journal of Philol.* viii. p. 122). This has not escaped the observation of the editor (p. 273, *n.*). The compiler's address to schoolboys at the end will show his own style of Latinity:—

Hunc, pueri parvi, nostrum craxate libellum,

Imbuat et mentes discentes iura metrorum.
(*craxate* = *charaxate*, 'write out.')

It would be impossible, in the short space at our disposal, to give more than a very slight sample of the book. The editor's work is extremely well done, and will prove of service not merely to patriotic Germans, but to all students of medieval Latin.

J. H. LÜPTON.

The Tutorial History of Rome to 14 A.D.
(A. H. ALLCROFT and W. F. MASON. Univ. Corr. Coll. Tutorial Series).

WE have had till lately no good short history of Rome. Every one was tired of little Smith: on the other hand it was hardly safe to let the schoolboy gather his first impressions of Cicero from the abridged Mommsen, which seemed the only substitute. Mr. Pelham's recently published history has filled the vacant place very efficiently; and the volume under review ought also to be found useful. Of course it cannot claim to be based on so thorough a knowledge of the subject as Mr. Pelham's book: but it is quite good enough to deserve serious criticism. The authors have studied the most important recent historians, and know what it is really important to tell: we are spared the well-worn anecdotes about Fabricius and the mother of the Gracchi, and thus space is left for some account of social developments. The book is well packed with facts, but the details seldom become oppressive. The criticism of the legends is excellent: the vexed question of the comitia is treated with admirable clearness, which is all that we can demand where certainty is not to be had: and there is a good chapter on the changes at Rome in the third and second centuries. The most serious fault of the book is its want of proportion. Nearly three quarters of the whole are taken up with the period before the Gracchi: thus the authors waste time over the history of the kings, and have to crowd events when they get to the fall of the Republic. Pelham's history is far better arranged in this aspect. Some minor points also require criticism. In dealing on a small scale with the early history of Rome it is often necessary to state hypotheses as facts, otherwise the reader would get no definite impression at all. But the authors have now and then carried this principle too far. They have no right to state that the Umbrians ruled north Italy for 300 years before they were expelled by the Etruscans (p. 7), nor that 'Porsena was probably one of the Etruscan dynasty which bore away over Rome' (p. 55): still less ought they to insert Ihne's wild hypothesis that Regillus was a battle won by allied Latins and Romans in revolt against Etruscan rule. Melpum is wrongly identified with Mediolanum (p. 10). It is not correct to say (p. 58) that 'the curia unlike the familia or gens was a topographical division': in theory at any rate the curia was merely an extension of the gens just as the gens was an extension of the family. P. 109 we are told that the praetor 'possessed the imperium in a less degree than did the consul, and his powers were in theory judicial only.' This is a misunderstanding of the

expressions *majus* and *minus imperium*: the imperium was in theory indivisible, and it was simply as a matter of convenience that the praetor was usually confined to judicial duties. P. 204 it is stated that 'modern historians are practically unanimous in deciding for the Little St. Bernard' as the pass by which Hannibal crossed the Alps. The little St. Bernard was no doubt the favourite till lately, but the most recent writers have gone decidedly against it. There is not sufficient evidence for the view adopted on p. 273 that the quaestores classici enrolled crews for the fleet. On p. 320 the number of new citizens enrolled after the Social War is stated at 80,000: this is obviously wrong, but cannot be defended even as a misprint for 800,000. The real number was about 500,000.

The want of an index is a serious drawback to the book, and some account of the ancient authorities ought to have been given.

R. J. G. MAYOR.

Selected Orations and Letters of Cicero, with Introduction, Notes, etc., by HAROLD W. JOHNSTON, Ph. D. Chicago: Albert, Scott & Co. 1892.

THE orations are Catiline i.—ii., Murena, Catiline iii.—iv., Sulla, Sestius, Milo, arranged in chronological order. Between Cat. iii. and Cat. iv. is an extract from Sallust (ch. 51), giving Caesar's speech in the debate on the punishment of the conspirators, and after the Sulla are some twenty letters from the period of the exile, 58—57. The edition differs therefore from its predecessors in the omission of the Manilian Law, the Archias and one or two of the Philippics and the substitution of the Murena, the Sulla and the Sestius.

Two or three advantages are secured by this selection. First, the teacher can read new matter, the Murena, Sulla and Sestius not having been hitherto accessible to American pupils. Second, the orations selected, together with the extract from Sallust and the letters, give a fairly complete account of that interesting bit of history, the conspiracy of Catiline, and one such bit of history carefully studied is worth more than much cramming of compendiums. Third, the work of explaining the setting, the historical relations of events and all the allusions which go so far to make Cicero difficult to the beginner, is lightened by the fact that it is done once for all in the introduction, leaving the note-space clear for comments upon the language. At the same time the attention of the student can be directed primarily to the facts about which he is reading, rather than to the language in which the story is told.

The editor's work is honestly done, with patience and with discrimination. Errors are rare, but there are some ambiguities in the notes which seem to be the result of over-condensation; e.g., p. 116, '*mallem*, often used in wishes instead of a particle'; and I should doubt the value of so many questions addressed to the student. The maps following p. 78 are wretched and should be changed in a second edition. The historical introduction, on the other hand, deserves the highest praise, not only for the clearness of the narrative and the excellent estimate

of Cicero's character and motives, but also for its scholarly accuracy, especially in such difficult points as the relation of Catiline to the conspiracy of 65 and to the radical party and the chronology of the year 63.

In short, the book deserves careful consideration from teachers who are pursuing the never-ending search for the 'best' edition of Cicero.

E. P. MORRIS.

Yale University.

NORTHERN FARMER.

NEW STYLE.

ME an' thy muther, Sammy, 'as beän a-
talkin' o' thee;
Thou's been talkin' to muther, an' she beän
a-tellin' it me.
Thou'll not marry for munny—thou's sweet
upo' parson's lass:
Noä—thou'll marry for luvv—an' we boäth
'on us thinks tha an ass.
Seeä'd her todaäy goä by—Saäint's daäy—
they was ringin' the bells.
She's a beauty thou thinks—an' soä is scoors
o' gells,
Them as 'as munny an' all—wot's beauty?
—the flower as blaws:
But proputt, proputt sticks, an' proputt,
proputt graws.
Doänt be stunt, taäke time: I knows what
maäkes tha sa mad.
Warn't I craäed fur the lasses mysén when
I wur a lad?
But I knaw'd a Quaäker feller as often 'as
towd ma this:
'Doänt thou marry for munny, but goä
wheer munny is.'
An' I went wheer munny war; an' thy
muther coom to 'and
Wi' lots o' munny laäid by, an' a nicetish
bit of land.
Maäybe she warn't a beauty—I niver giv it
a thowt—
But warn't she as good to cuddle an' kiss as
a lass as 'ant nowt?

* * * *

Luvv? what's luvv? thou can luvv thy lass
an' 'er munny too,
Maäking 'em goä together as they've good
right to do.
Could'n I luvv thy muther by cause o' 'er
munny laäid by?
Naäy, fur I luvv'd 'er a vast sight more fur
it: reäson why.

οἶον ἀρτίως ἀκούσας, Γρύλλε, τῆς μητρὸς
λόγον
μανθάνω· σὺ μὲν γὰρ αὐτῇ πάντ' ἔλεξας, ἡ δ'
ἐμοί.
χρημάτων γυναικ' ἄρ' οὐνεκ' οὐ σύ γ' ἄξισθαι
δοκεῖς,
ἀλλ' ἐρῶν ἐρῶσαν, ὠνόητε, τὴν τοῦ γείτονος,
τὴν ἐφ' ἱερὰ δὴ θέουσαν, τὴν μόνην ὄντως
καλὴν;
μυρίας μὲν οὖν ἂν εἰρὼν ἴσθι καὶ τῶν πλου-
σίων.
βραχὺ τὸ κάλλος, ὥσπερ ἄνθος· ἀπομαραίνεται
γὰρ οὖν·
μόνιμα δ' αὖ τὰ χρήματ' ἐστὶ ἀπέδωκεν ἐς
πλέον.
μὴ σύ γ' αὐθάδης γένῃ μηδ' ἰταμός· ἡ πολλὰς
ἐγώ,
νέος ὅτ' ἦ, ξένοιδ' ἐμαντῶ μείρακας θεωμένῳ·
ἦν δὲ Σωκράτους μαθητής, ὃς παρήγει μοι
τάδε,
μὴ τι τοῦ πλοῦτου χάριν γυναικα, πλουσίαν δ'
ἀγού.
ταῦτ' ἐγὼ πεισθεὶς ὅπως ἐπέτυχον ἢ ξύνειμι
ἔτι
γῆδιον καὶ βοῦς ἐχούση καὶ τι χρυσίου γι-
πρός,
πῶς ἔχοι κάλλους ἐμοὶ μὲν οὐκέτ' εἰσῆι σκο-
πεῖν,
καταφιλῶν δ' ἔχαιρον ὥς ἂν μηδὲ γρῦ κεκτη-
μένην.
ἀλλ' ἐρᾶς· ἀλλ' ἔστιν, ὦ μέλ', ἅμα κόρης καὶ
χρημάτων,
καὶ δικαία δὴ ξυνάδειν ἢ γυνή θ' ἢ τ' οὐσία·
οἶδα γοῦν ἔγωγε τερφθεὶς νῆ Δί' ἐπὶ κληρον
λαβῶν