This article was downloaded by: [Virginia Tech Libraries]
On: 27 February 2015, At: 05:19
Publisher: Taylor & Francis
Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number:
1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street,
London W1T 3JH, UK

Annals and Magazine of Natural History: Series 8

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tnah14>

XXIII.—On Stenopylis, a proposed new genus of Endodontidæ

Hugh C. Fulton

Published online: 15 Sep 2009.

To cite this article: Hugh C. Fulton (1914) XXIII.—On Stenopylis, a proposed new genus of Endodontidæ, Annals and Magazine of Natural History: Series 8, 14:80, 163-164, DOI: [10.1080/00222931408693561](https://doi.org/10.1080/00222931408693561)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222931408693561>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly

or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

vein to the small cross-vein, including a large hyaline spot in the submarginal and in the first basal cell; a brown patch at ends of second and third veins, including two hyaline spots, one after the other, in the apical part of the submarginal cell; the hind cross-vein is besides margined with fuscous; small cross-vein with a broad brown border; there is also a brown shade outwards of basal and anal cross-vein.

NOTE.

Trypeta (*Acinia*) *ferruginea*, Walker ('Insecta Saundersiana,' iv. 1853, p. 387), described from the East Indies, belongs to the Pyrgotinae, according to a notice sent me by Dr. Speiser, who has seen the type at the British Museum. The wing-pattern is very different from that of any other at present known Indian species, and approaches that of the Ethiopian *Tephritopyrgotæ* or the Australian *Epicerellæ*.

XXIII.—On *Stenopylis*, a proposed new Genus of Endodontidæ. By HUGH C. FULTON.

THIS new genus is proposed for three closely allied species which have been referred to several genera; the comparatively large *Brazieria*, Ancey, has a similar-shaped peristome, and the many-whorled *Microphyura*, Ancey, is somewhat similar in form, but neither has the internal processes of *Stenopylis*.

STENOPYLIS, gen. nov.

Shell minute, planorboid; umbilicus broad; whorls $3\frac{1}{2}$, apparently smooth, but with microscopic spiral incised lines on the underside of shell; aperture constricted; peristome continuous, thickened, and almost free, bent inwards near the middle of the columellar margin; *parietal wall with two spiral laminae*.

Type, *S. hemiclausula*, Tate.

No. 1. *Stenopylis hemiclausula*, Tate. Central Australia.

1894. *Planispira hemiclausula*, Tate, Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Aust. vol. xviii. p. 192.

1896. *Microphyura hemiclausula*, Tate, Horn Exped. (Mollusca) p. 185, pl. xvii. fig. 1.

Tate's figure, perhaps, rather exaggerates the prominence of the spiral incised lines; they are only just discernible under the microscope. The description makes no mention of the internal spiral laminæ. Specimens examined by me were part of those collected by the Horn Expedition.

No. 2. *Stenopylis coarctata*, Mölldff. Masbate, Bohol,
and Panglao Islands.

1894. *Plectopylis coarctata*, Mölldff. Nachr. d. d. Malak. Ges. p. 113;
Tryon's Man. of Conch. (series ii.) vol. ix. p. 146.
1897. *Brazieria coarctata*, Mölldff. Abhand. d. Naturf. Ges. Görlitz,
p. 123.
1897. *Brazieria coarctata*, v. *majuscula*, Mölldff. Abhand. d. Naturf.
Ges. Görlitz, p. 123.

In this species the laminæ are reduced to two small nodules. Specimens received from Quadras of *coarctata* from Panglao Island and of *coarctata*, var. *majuscula*, from Masbate Island show no appreciable difference in size or otherwise. I have not been able to find any description of the v. *majuscula*.

No. 3. *Stenopylis microdiscus*, Bavay. Humboldt Bay,
New Guinea.

1908. *Helix (Polygyra) microdiscus*, Bavay, Moll. terr. et fluv., Résultats de l'Expéd. Sc. Néerland. à la Nouv. Guinée, v., Zool. p. 283,
pl. xiv. figs. 10 a-d.

A co-type in the collection of Prof. Bavay has $3\frac{1}{2}$ whorls, thus agreeing with the description quoted above, but the figure given has $4\frac{1}{2}$ whorls. If Prof. Bavay's specimen agrees with the type, *microdiscus* is not, in my opinion, separable from *hemicleusa*.

The wide geographical range of the foregoing species has been suggested as a difficulty in placing them together in one genus, but to me, judging by their shells only, it seems highly improbable that such closely allied forms can belong to different genera.

I am indebted to J. H. Ponsonby, Esq., for valuable suggestions, and also to Prof. Bavay for allowing me to examine his specimen of *S. microdiscus*.