This article was downloaded by: [New York University]

On: 14 January 2015, At: 08:15

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ttrs20>

NOTE ON RECURRENENTS RESOLVABLE INTO A SEQUENCE OF ODD INTEGERS

Sir Thomas Muir LL.D. ^a

^a Rondebosch, S.A. August 14th, 1918.

Published online: 01 Apr 2010.

To cite this article: Sir Thomas Muir LL.D. (1919) NOTE ON RECURRENENTS RESOLVABLE INTO A SEQUENCE OF ODD INTEGERS, Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa, 8:1, 27-32, DOI: [10.1080/00359191909519975](https://doi.org/10.1080/00359191909519975)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00359191909519975>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

NOTE ON RECURRENENTS RESOLVABLE INTO A SEQUENCE
OF ODD INTEGERS.

BY SIR THOMAS MUIR, LL.D.

(1) In an examination of the properties of the series

$$1, 3, 10, 35, 126, \dots,$$

the r^{th} term of which is $C_{2r-1, r-1}$, a determinant made its appearance which for the 4th order is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & . & . \\ 2 \cdot 3_1 & 1 & 1 & . \\ 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 5_2 & 4 \cdot 3_1 & 1 & 1 \\ 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 7_3 & 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 5_2 & 6 \cdot 3_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

and has the value $-9 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$. The fact that the value was the product of a sequence of odd integers led to the discovery that under another form it had in effect been already studied. The alternative form in the case of the 4th order is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & . & . \\ 3_1 & 1 & 4 & . \\ 5_2 & 3_1 & 1 & 6 \\ 7_3 & 5_2 & 3_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

and is clearly the more convenient of the two. It was brought forward in 1892 in a paper* connected with the theory of integers, where it had for a companion the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & . & . \\ 3_1 & 1 & -4 & . \\ 5_2 & 3_1 & 1 & -6 \\ 7_3 & 5_2 & 3_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

These for the n^{th} order, which we may denote by R_n and R'_n , their discoverer had quite skilfully evaluated, his results being

$$R_n = (-1)^{n-1} (2n+1) (2n+3) \dots (4n-3),$$

$$R'_n = (2n+3) (2n+5) \dots (4n-1).$$

(2) The main object of the present paper is to establish a pair of much more general equalities, and to do so not by a mere extension of Reich's

* Reich, K., "Zur Theorie der quadratischen Reste," *Archiv d. Math. u. Physik.* (2), xi, pp. 176-193.

method, but by a quite different and more rapidly effective procedure. Before entering on this, however, we shall, in introducing four fresh results, establish them by the old method, in order that a knowledge of both may be available.

(3) Increasing the last row of R_n , namely,

$$(2n-1)_{n-1}, (2n-3)_{n-2}, \dots, 5_2, 3_1, 1,$$

by

$$\text{row}_{n-1} \cdot 2 + \text{row}_{n-2} \cdot 2 + \text{row}_{n-3} \cdot 4 + \dots,$$

where the r^{th} of the multipliers

$$2, 2, 4, 10, 28, \dots$$

is $\frac{4}{r} C_{2r-3, r-2}$, we find that the new n^{th} row is divisible by $4n-3$, and that

this factor being removed the row becomes

$$\frac{2}{n}(2n-3)_{n-2}, \frac{2}{n-1}(2n-5)_{n-3}, \dots, \frac{2}{3} \cdot 3_1, \frac{2}{2} \cdot 3_0, 1.$$

It thus follows that, if we denote the resulting determinant by U_n , we have

$$U_n = \frac{R_n}{4n-3} = (-1)^{n-1} (2n+1) (2n+3) \dots (4n-5); \dots \quad (\text{I})$$

for example,

$$U_4 \equiv \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & . & . \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & . \\ 10 & 3 & 1 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = -9 \cdot 11.$$

Note should be taken of the relation between the the series of multipliers and the row which ultimately comes of using them, namely, that *the multipliers when halved give the elements of the said n^{th} row in reverse order.*

(4) Again, by adding to 3 times the n^{th} row of R_n

$$1 \cdot \text{row}_{n-1} + 2 \cdot \text{row}_{n-2} + 5 \cdot \text{row}_{n-3} + \dots$$

we obtain a new n^{th} row which is divisible by $2n+1$ and which on removal of the said factor becomes

$$\frac{2}{n+1}(2n-1)_{n-1}, \frac{2}{n}(2n-3)_{n-2}, \dots, \frac{2}{4} \cdot 5_2, \frac{2}{3} \cdot 3_1, \frac{2}{2} \cdot 1_0;$$

so that, if the resulting determinant be denoted by V_n , we have

$$V_n = (-1)^{n-1} 3 (2n+3) (2n+5) \dots (4n-3) \dots \quad (\text{II})$$

Here the multipliers are

$$1, 2, 5, 14, \dots, \frac{2}{n}(2n-3)_{n-2}$$

and the last row of V_n is

$$\frac{2}{n+1}(2n-1)_{n-1}, \dots, 14, 5, 2, 1.$$

(5) Similarly we may treat R'_n as R_n has been treated in § 3, the difference being that the addition of the sum of multiples of rows is made to -3 times the n^{th} row, and that the factor removed is $-(4n-1)$. Calling the new determinant U'_n , which, be it noted, has the same last row as U_n , we have

$$U'_n = 3(2n+3)(2n+5) \dots (4n-3) \quad \dots \quad (\text{III})$$

(6) Lastly, we may treat R'_n as R_n has been treated in § 4, the difference being that the addition of the sum of multiples of rows is made to -5 times the n^{th} row, and that the factor removed is $-(2n+3)$: so that if the resulting determinant be denoted by V'_n we have

$$V'_n = 5(2n+5)(2n+7) \dots (4n-1); \quad \dots \quad (\text{IV})$$

for example,

$$V' \equiv \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & . & . & . \\ 3 & 1 & -4 & . & . \\ 10 & 3 & 1 & -6 & . \\ 35 & 10 & 3 & 1 & -8 \\ 42 & 14 & 5 & 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 5 \cdot 15 \cdot 17 \cdot 19.$$

(7) Comparing the equalities obtained in §§ 4, 5, we reach the otherwise curious result

$$U'_n = (-1)^{n-1} V_n; \quad \dots \quad (\text{V})$$

for example,

$$U'_5 \equiv \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & . & . & . \\ 3 & 1 & -4 & . & . \\ 10 & 3 & 1 & -6 & . \\ 35 & 10 & 3 & 1 & -8 \\ 14 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & . & . & . \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & . & . \\ 10 & 3 & 1 & 6 & . \\ 35 & 10 & 3 & 1 & 8 \\ 42 & 14 & 5 & 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

(8) The four equalities upon which depends the finding of the new n^{th} rows in §§ 3, 4, 5, 6 are properties of the series

$$1, 3, 10, 35, 126, \dots$$

referred to in § 1. They are all, however, reducible to one fundamental equality, namely,

$$(2m+1)_m + \frac{1}{2}(2m-1)_{m-1} \cdot 1_0 + \frac{1}{3}(2m-3)_{m-2} \cdot 3_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{m+2}(2m+1)_m \\ = \frac{3}{2}(2m+1)_m$$

or, if we call the members of the series $t_1, t_2, \dots$

$$\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{m+2} \right) (t_{m+1}, t_m, \dots, t_1, 1) (1, t_1, \dots, t_{m+1}) = \frac{3}{2} t_{m+1}.$$

It is not essentially different from Reich's principal equality (p. 181) which he proves gradationally, that is to say, by proceeding from one value of m to the next higher.

(9) Let us now consider a determinant differing from R_n in the last row, which instead of being

$$(2n-1)_{n-1}, (2n-3)_{n-2}, \dots, 5_2, 3_1, 1$$

is

$$(2n+m-4)_{n-1}, (2n+m-6)_{n-2}, \dots, (m+2)_2, m_1, 1.$$

This determinant may appropriately be denoted by $R_n(m)$, which implies, of course, that what we have hitherto called R_n would now be denoted by $R_n(3)$.

On account of the equality

$$r_s = (r-1)_s + (r-1)_{s-1}$$

the last row of $R_n(m)$ may be partitioned into two, namely, into

$$+ \begin{array}{ccccccc} (2n+m-5)_{n-1}, & (2n+m-7)_{n-2}, & \dots, & (m+1)_2, & (m-1)_1, & 1, \\ (2n+m-5)_{n-2}, & (2n+m-7)_{n-3}, & \dots, & (m+1)_1, & 1, & 0; \end{array}$$

so that we have at once the recurrent law of formation

$$R_n(m) = R_n(m-1) - 2(n-1) R_{n-1}(m+1) \quad \dots \quad \text{(VI)}$$

If now we view $R_n(3)$ as known, namely,

$$R_n(3) = (-1)^{n-1} (2n+1) (2n+3) \dots (4n-3)$$

we can readily evaluate $R_n(m)$. For, when m is 1 the n^{th} row of the determinant is identical with the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ row save in the n^{th} place, so that we have

$$R_n(1) = - (2n-3) R_{n-1}(3)$$

whence by substitution

$$R_n(1) = (-1)^{n-1} (2n-3) (2n-1) \dots (4n-7);$$

and, in the next place, putting $m=2$ in (VI) we have

$$R_n(2) = R_n(1) - 2(n-1) R_{n-1}(3)$$

whence by substitution

$$R_n(2) = (-1)^{n-1} (2n-1) (2n+1) \dots (4n-5).$$

We thus have a formula for $R_n(m)$ which holds for three consecutive values of m ; and, this being the case, the recurrence formula (VI) enables us to show that it holds generally, namely,

$$R_n(m) = (-1)^{n-1} (2n+2m-5) (2n+2m-3) \dots (4n+2m-9) \quad \dots \quad \text{(VII)}$$

(10) It is of importance, however, not to assume a knowledge of the value of $R_n(3)$, but to establish another recurrence-formula which is effective without it, namely,

$$R_n(m) = - (2n+2m-5) R_{n-1}(m+2) \quad \dots \quad \text{(VIII)}$$

This is done by performing on $R_n(m)$ the operation

$$(2n-2) \cdot \text{row}_n - \text{row}_{n-1} \\ - (m-1) \left\{ \text{row}_{n-2} + \frac{1}{2} (m+2)_1 \cdot \text{row}_{n-3} + \frac{1}{3} (m+4)_2 \cdot \text{row}_{n-4} + \dots \right\}$$

(13) With the closely resembling values of $R_n(m)$ and $R'_n(m)$ before us it is easy to suggest relations the proofs of which would form interesting exercises in the transformation of determinants. Thus, since from § 9 we have

$R_n(m+1) = (-1)^{n-1}(2n+2m-3)(2n+2m-5) \dots (4n+2m-7)$
it follows with the help of (XI) that

$$R_n(m+1) = (-1)^{n-1}R'_n(m)$$

i.e., when n is 5

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & . & . & . \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & . & . \\ 10 & 3 & 1 & 6 & . \\ 35 & 10 & 3 & 1 & 8 \\ (m+7)_4 & (m+5)_3 & (m+3)_2 & (m+1)_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & . & . & . \\ 3 & 1 & -4 & . & . \\ 10 & 3 & 1 & -6 & . \\ 35 & 10 & 3 & 1 & -8 \\ (m+6)_4 & (m+4)_3 & (m+2)_2 & m_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

RONDEBOSCH, S.A.;
August 14th, 1918.