experiments is really phase B, we made some solubility determinations at 50° with the pure substance. The results were 51.64, 52.21 and 52.20. By a reference to the curve it will be seen that these values are a little too high for curve B, but near enough to show that phase B had been obtained.

The values in the last vertical column are probably somewhat too low, since no allowance could be made for the effect of adsorption. In the third experiment the best result for phase B was probably obtained, since the moist crystals were centrifuged quickly before analysis and most of the mother liquor was thus removed. The value $\text{NaVO}_3 \cdot 1\frac{3}{4}\text{H}_2\text{O}$ obtained by this method, and the value $\text{NaVO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ obtained by centrifuging alone, seem to us to show that phase B is $\text{NaVO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$. From the last two experiments in the table, we conclude that the formula of phase A is NaVO_3 .

[CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE RESEARCH LABORATORY OF PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY OF THE MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY. No. 83.]

A SYSTEM OF QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS FOR THE COMMON ELEMENTS.

PART V.—DETECTION OF THE ACIDIC CONSTITUENTS.¹

BY ARTHUR A. NOYES.

Received March 26, 1912.

Introduction.

This article forms a continuation of those previously published in THIS JOURNAL under the same main title.²

The purpose of the investigation here described was to work out a more systematic, generally applicable plan for detecting the acidic constituents of substances than those commonly employed. The attempt was also made to develop a series of tests for the various constituents of known and roughly equal sensitiveness. Moreover, the desirability of employing methods by which an approximate estimate of the quantities present could be formed was taken into consideration, as has been done throughout this system of analysis.

The execution of this research has been made possible through the effective aid rendered by Dr. W. C. Bray, Mr. R. D. Gale, and Dr. K. G. Falk, to all of whom I wish to express my great obligation. I am indebted to Dr. W. C. Bray also for invaluable assistance in the preparation of this article for publication.

General Discussion.

1. The usual method of testing for salts of the very volatil acids consists in liberating them by heating with a stronger acid and testing the

¹ Copyright 1912, by Arthur A. Noyes.

² THIS JOURNAL, 29, 137-205 (1907); 30, 481-563 (1908); 31, 611-637 (1909).

vapors with test papers or suspended drops of reagents. As this method gives little idea of the quantities present, it was considered inadmissible. Moreover, the usual separation of the acidic constituents from the heavier metallic elements by boiling with concentrated sodium carbonate solution is not satisfactory as a general procedure, since it fails to decompose most minerals and many other insoluble substances, since some metallic hydroxides (such as those of copper and aluminum) dissolve and separate from it upon neutralization, and since the necessity of decomposing the large quantity of sodium carbonate present in the solution makes certain tests impossible and others insufficiently delicate. A method free from these objections seemed to be the distillation of the substance with a strong non-volatile acid and collection of the distillate in water or a weak alkali solution; for this would serve to separate all the volatile acids from all the metallic elements, and would yield the former in a solution free from all other substances. A series of experiments showed in fact that a convenient and satisfactory procedure could be worked out by distilling the substance with phosphoric acid, which has been employed in quantitative analysis for a similar purpose.

This procedure must of course be supplemented by tests with fresh portions of the substance for the non-volatile acids, phosphoric and boric; for hydrofluoric acid, since this may be used up by action upon the glass; and for those acids which are decomposed by the distillation, such as thiosulfuric, hypochlorous, chloric, oxalic; but these last tests are necessary only in cases where their decomposition products have been found in the distillate.

2. The procedure finally adopted for the distillation consists in heating the solid substance in a flask with the pure 85% phosphoric acid of trade diluted with $2\frac{1}{2}$ times its volume of water and leading the vapors into a receiver containing barium hydroxide solution, until about half the water has distilled over. Then the solution in the receiver is replaced by pure water; and the distillation is continued till the phosphoric acid becomes nearly anhydrous.

By proceeding in this way the acids divide themselves into two main groups upon the basis of the readiness with which they pass over during the distillation. The first distillate contains the readily-volatile, slightly-ionized acids, namely carbonic acid, hydrosulfuric acid, sulfurous acid (from either sulfites or thiosulfates), hydrocyanic acid (from cyanides, ferro- or ferri-cyanides), nitrous acid, and free halogen (from oxyhalogen salts together with some reducing substance, or from halides together with some oxidizing substance). The second distillate contains the less volatile and largely ionized acids, namely, hydrochloric, hydrobromic, hydriodic, thiocyanic, nitric, and hydrofluoric acids; also chlorine from chlorates and carbonic acid from oxalates.

The separation of these two groups of acids is not a perfectly sharp one. A small quantity of some of those of the second group passes into the first distillate, but never in sufficient proportion to affect the tests for them in the second distillate. Of those of the first group, hydrosulfuric acid may occasionally be found wholly in the second distillate, namely, when it is present in difficultly soluble sulfides, some of which are decomposed only after the phosphoric acid has become concentrated. Some ferrocyanides are also so slowly acted upon that the hydrocyanic acid from them is found largely in the second distillate. When treating insoluble substances, it is therefore necessary to test for these two acids in both distillates. This involves little trouble, however, and the separation of the distillate into two parts has the great advantage that the tests for sulfurous and carbonic acids in the first distillate are not interfered with by the presence of sulfuric and phosphoric acids, which may pass over in small quantity into the second distillate.

An important proportion of the sulfuric acid and of the boric acid present does not pass over even into the second distillate, provided the distillation is stopped as soon as the phosphoric acid begins to fume. By adding finely divided copper and continuing the heating, sulfuric acid is reduced to sulfur dioxide, which passes over into a "third distillate," and can be there tested for in the usual way. It was found that one milligram of SO_4 could be readily detected in this way. It was thought that boric acid might also be distilled off from the phosphoric acid as methyl ester by adding methyl alcohol; but this was found not to be the case, apparently owing to the formation of a compound, boron phosphate (BPO_4),¹ with the phosphoric acid.

A disadvantage of this method of preparing the solution for the detection of the volatil acids is that certain acidic constituents in the substance, owing to decomposition, may give rise to the same product in the distillate; thus sulfites and thiosulphates both yield sulfurous acid; chlorates, hypochlorites, and chlorides (in presence of oxidizing substances), may all yield both chlorine and hydrochloric acid. When sulfurous acid or free halogen is found in the distillate, provision is therefore made (in Procedures 117-119) for testing an aqueous solution or aqueous extract of the original substance for sulfites and thiosulfates and for the oxyhalogen salts. This disadvantage, however, is compensated by the fact that the distillation shows whether special tests for these constituents are necessary, which, owing to their comparatively rare occurrence, is seldom the case.

3. The plan of analysis of the separate distillates may be seen in outline by reference to Tables XI and XII on the following pages. These outlines will not only serve to give the general reader a survey of the pro-

¹ Cf. Mylius and Meusser, *Ber.*, 37, 397 (1904).

cess, but they should be frequently referred to by any one employing the scheme for the first time, in order that the principles involved may be clear and the various procedures properly correlated.

The first distillate is collected in barium hydroxide solution, because loss of very volatile acids, like carbonic acid, is thereby avoided, and because the non-formation of a precipitate at once shows the absence of two acids of the group, carbonic and sulfurous acids. The detection of these two acids in the presence of each other and their separation from the other acids is complicated by the difficulty of filtering out their barium precipitates so as to yield a clear filtrate. To obviate this difficulty the plan was worked out in detail in the manner shown in Table XI and in Procedure 102. The presence or absence of another group of acids, namely, of nitrous acid and those acids yielding free halogens, is then shown by the addition of chloroform followed by that of potassium iodide.

Special tests for nitrite, sulfide, and cyanide are provided for. That employed for nitrite is the evolution of nitrogen by the action upon urea, which in acid solution is highly characteristic. Sulfide is tested for in acetic acid solution by the addition of a cadmium salt. Cyanide is detected in the usual way by its conversion into ferric ferrocyanide. Provision is also made for determining whether the hydrocyanic acid in the distillate originated from a readily decomposable cyanide or from a ferro- or ferricyanide; namely, by warming the original substance with a solution containing free carbonic acid (which displaces the hydrocyanic acid from simple and most complex cyanides, but not from the relatively stable ferro- and ferricyanides), and testing for hydrocyanic acid in the distillate.

4. In the analysis of the second distillate, silver nitrate and nitric acid are first added to determine the absence or presence of the acids that give a precipitate with silver salts; and then such special tests are applied as may still be necessary.

For the detection of chlorine in the presence of the other halogens, the method of distillation with sulfuric acid and bichromate was rejected, because of its lack of delicacy, because of the necessity of working with the dry substance, and because of the inconvenience which it involves. The method adopted is similar to that worked out by Jannasch¹ and Küster,² which is based on the successive liberation of the iodine and bromine by permanganate by producing the proper hydrogen-ion concentration in the solution and on their removal by boiling. This method was investigated in this laboratory by Dr. W. C. Bray and Mr. R. D. Gale, and was modified so as to make the tests for iodide and bromide more simple and delicate. The modification consisted in adjusting the acid concen-

¹ *Z. anorg. Chem.*, **1**, 144, 248 (1892).

² See Crotochino, *Z. anorg. Chem.*, **24**, 231 (1900). Böttger, *Qual. Anal.*, 387 (1908).

trations so that iodine and bromine were successively liberated at room temperature and in shaking them out into a solvent such as chloroform.¹

For the detection of nitric acid the usual ring test with ferrous sulfate and sulfuric acid was found not to be delicate enough to detect even five milligrams with certainty. The highly characteristic and delicate test based on its reduction to nitrous acid by boiling with ferrous sulfate and on the reaction of the nitrous acid in the distillate with potassium iodide was therefore adopted.

5. As stated above, supplementary tests must be made with the original substance in cases where sulfurous acid is found in the first distillate or where chlorine is found in either the first or second distillate, to determine the nature of the acidic constituents from which these substances originated. For these tests the solution is prepared by treating the substance either with water or (in order to free it from precipitable bases) with sodium carbonate solution, filtering, and acidifying slightly with acetic acid.

For the detection of sulfite and thiosulfate in this solution the method of Autenrieth and Windaus² is used, which is based on the fact that sulfite is precipitated by strontium nitrate, while thiosulfate is not. The latter constituent is then readily detected in the filtrate by the separation of sulfur which occurs on adding hydrochloric acid.

For the detection of hypochlorite, its characteristic power of oxidizing lead salts to brown lead dioxide is made use of. Chlorate is detected in the presence of hypochlorite or halides by reducing the former to chloride with sodium arsenite, precipitating all the halide present by silver nitrate, filtering, and adding sulfurous acid to the filtrate to reduce the chlorate to chloride.

Supplementary tests for certain non-volatile acidic constituents and for fluoride are also provided for. Phosphate, if not already detected in the analysis for the basic constituents, is tested for in nitric acid solution in the usual way with ammonium molybdate. The most satisfactory method of testing for boric acid, so as to afford at the same time a rough estimate of its quantity, seemed to be to heat the dry substance with methyl alcohol and sulfuric acid, to collect the distillate in a mixture of methyl alcohol and concentrated hydrochloric acid, and to add to it turmeric solution.³ Under these conditions an orange or red color results when borate is present. Fluoride is tested for by the Berzelius method of heating the dry substance in a tube with finely divided silica and acid potassium sulphate, and noting whether a deposit of silica forms on the cold part of the tube.

¹ Compare the volumetric method of titrating iodide described by Bray and MacKay, *THIS JOURNAL*, 32, 1193 (1910).

² *Z. anal. Chem.*, 37, 290 (1898). See Böttger, *Qual. Analyse*, 382 (1908).

³ A quantitative method based on this color reaction has been described by Hebebrand (*Z. Nahr. und Genussm.*, 5, 56 (1902)).

Finally provision is made for analyzing silicates and other substances that are not decomposed in the phosphoric acid distillation, by fusing them with sodium carbonate and testing the aqueous extract for the acidic constituents that are likely to be present, namely for silicate, sulfate, phosphate, borate, fluoride, and chloride.

6. As has been done throughout this System of Analysis, the process has been described in such a way as to provide for the case that every constituent is present, it being left to the analyst to shorten it when certain constituents are proved absent in the course of the analysis or when information in regard to the character and source of the material excludes their presence. This method of presentation often makes the process seem longer than it is in practice; and this is especially true of this part which treats of the detection of the acidic constituents; first, because many of these are mutually exclusive, like hydrosulfuric, sulfurous, and nitrous acids, and free halogens; secondly, because the nature of the metallic element considered in connection with the solubility of the substance often makes the presence of certain of them impossible; and thirdly, because the nature of source of the substance, especially when it is a mineral or metallurgical product, excludes many of them.

7. Attention may finally be called to a simple modification of this plan for detecting the acidic constituents which it may be thought preferable to adopt as the general procedure, and which at any rate it may be advisable to employ in the analysis of certain classes of substances, for example of minerals not much acted upon by acids. The modification referred to consists in using the phosphoric acid distillation only for detecting the very volatil or readily decomposable acids (carbonic, hydrosulfuric, sulfurous, hydrocyanic, nitrous, and oxyhalogen acids), and in testing for the other acids (hydrochloric, hydrobromic, hydriodic, nitric, chloric, oxalic), which pass over (as such or as decomposition products) into the second phosphoric acid distillate, not in that distillate, but in an acid solution prepared directly from the original substance (or from a fusion of it with sodium carbonate). This is possible, since the tests for these last acidic constituents can all be made in acid solution, and since they are not interfered with by the presence of basic constituents. This modification might considerably simplify the formal description of the procedure and facilitate its comprehension by beginners. Attention is called to it also for the sake of emphasizing the ideas that the distillation procedure is especially valuable for detecting the readily volatil acids, and that, whether or not it is well to adopt it entirely, it affords a far more satisfactory method of testing for those acids than does the usual plan of liberating them in an open tube by warming with hydrochloric or concentrated sulfuric acid and examining the vapor as to its odor or color or as to its effect on test papers or suspended drops of liquids.

TABLE XI.—DETECTION OF THE ACIDIC CONSTITUENTS: DISTILLATION WITH PHOSPHORIC ACID AND ANALYSIS OF THE FIRST DISTILLATE. Distil 2 g. of the substance with dilute H_3PO_4 . Collect the first half of the distillate in $Ba(OH)_2$ solution and the second half in water. To the residue add Cu and distil again, collecting this third distillate in water (P. 101).

FIRST DISTILLATE.	Precipitate: BaCO ₃ , BaSO ₃ .S. Solution: Barium hypohalites (with halides), Ba(NO ₂) ₂ , BaS, Ba(CN) ₂ .	SECOND AND THIRD DISTILLATES: see Table XII.
To the whole mixture add HAc (acetic acid) (P. 101).	Precipitate: BaSO ₃ .S. Solution: H ₂ CO ₃ , Cl ₂ , Br ₂ , I ₂ , HNO ₂ , H ₂ S, HCN.	
Treat portions of the unfiltered mixture as follows:		
Add HCl and filter (P. 102).	Add HAc and CHCl₃ (P. 103) (Purple color shows IODINE.) (Orange color shows BROMINE.) <i>Treat portions of the aqueous layer as follows:</i>	Introduce 1 cc. in-Filter and add to an inverted Cd(NO ₃) ₂ (P. 105). Precipitate: CdS. (Shows SULFIDE.)
Residue: S. (Shows SULFIDE or THIOSULFATE.)	add KI and CHCl₃ colorless, tract with CHCl ₃ , Add HNO ₃ and ex-	Treat with NaOH, FeSO₄, FeCl₃; boil, and add HCl (P. 106).
Precipitate: BaSO₃. (Shows CARBONATE.)	Disfil into Ba(OH)₂. Precipitate: BaCO₃. (Shows CARBONATE.)	Blue precipitate Fe₂(Fe(CN))₆. Shows CYANIDE.)
Purple color-ation shows CHLORINE or NITRITE.	Purple color-ation shows CHLORINE or NITRITE.	
Water layer: HI, HBr, HCl.	Water layer: HI, HBr, HCl.	
Test for separate halogens by P.	Test for separate halogens by P.	
	110.	

¹ Shows SULFITE or THIOSULFATE.

² The solution is subjected to this treatment only when the other tests have not led to definite conclusions as to the presence or absence of each of the three halogens.

TABLE XII.—DETECTION OF THE ACIDIC CONSTITUENTS: ANALYSIS OF THE SECOND AND THIRD DISTILLATES.
 Second distillate: HCl, HBr, HI, HSCN, H₃S, HCN, HNO₃. Treat separate portions as follows:

Add AgNO ₃ (P. 107).	If AgNO ₃ gives a precipitate, treat separate portions as follows:				THIRD DISTILLATE: H ₂ SO ₄ , Add HCl, BaCl ₂ , and Br ₂ (P. 112).
	¹ Add Cd(NO ₃) ₂ (P. 105). Precipitate: CdS. (Shows SULFIDE.)	¹ Test for CN (P. 106). Blue precipitate: Fe ₄ (Fe(CN) ₆) ₃ (SHOWS FERRO- or FERRICYANIDE.)	Add FeCl ₃ and HNO ₃ (P. 108). Red color: Fe(SCN) ₃ (SHOWS THIOCYANATE.)	CHCl ₃ layer: Water layer. Remove free halogen if present, by repeated shaking with CHCl ₃ ; then add NaAc, HAc, and KMnO ₄ , and extract with CHCl ₃ (P. 110). If colorless, add KI. Purple color: I ₂ . (Shows CHLORINE.)	
				CHCl ₃ layer: I ₂ . (Shows IODIDE.)	Distillate: HNO ₃ . Add KI and CHCl ₃ . Purple color: (Shows NITRATE.)
				CHCl ₃ layer, Water layer. Add H ₂ SO ₄ and more K MnO ₄ and CHCl ₃ . Boil to expel Br ₂ , filter, add H ₂ SO ₄ , HNO ₃ , and AgNO ₃ . Precipitate: AgCl. (Shows CHLORIDE.)	

¹ These tests are made only when the substance did not dissolve in the H₃PO₄ in the first part of the distillation.

² Purple coloration shows IODINE, orange coloration, BROMINE.

TABLE XIII.—DETECTION OF THE ACIDIC CONSTITUENTS: SUPPLEMENTARY PROCEDURES.

Treat portions of the original substance as follows:

Distil with CH_3OH and H_2SO_4 (P. 113).	Heat with SiO_2 and KHSO_4 (P. 114).	Boil with HNO_3 , add $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{MoO}_4$ (P. 115).	¹ Treat with water, HAc , (to reduce hypochlorite), and filtrate add $\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ and HAc (P. 119).	² Add Na_2CO_3 solution and filter; to filtrate add $\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ and HAc (P. 119).	³ Fuse with Na_2CO_3 , extract with water, and filter (P. 116); acidify the filtrate, and test portions for chloride, sulfate, borate, fluoride, phosphate, and silicate.
Distillate: $\text{B}(\text{OCH}_3)_3$. Collect in CH_3OH and add HCl , and add turmeric.	Gases evolved: SiF_4 and H_2O . Deposit on cold part of tube: SiO_2H_2 . (Shows FLUORIDE.)	Yellow precipitate: $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{PO}_4$. 12MoO_3 . (Shows PHOSPHATE.)	¹ Precipitate: AgCl , etc. Rejected. Filtrate: AgClO_3 . Add H_2SO_4 . Precipitate: AgCl . (Shows CHLORATE.)	Precipitate: SrSO_4 , SrSO_3 . Treat with HCl and add BaCl_2 . Filtrate: H_2SO_4 . Add Br_2 . Precipitate: BaSO_4 . (Shows SULFITE.)	
Orange color (Shows BORATE.)				Precipitate: BaSO_4 . Filtrate: H_2SO_4 . Add Br_2 . Precipitate: BaSO_4 . (Shows SULFITE.)	

¹ These tests are made when free halogen was found in the first or second H_3PO_4 distillate.² These tests are made when H_2SO_3 was found in the first H_3PO_4 distillate.³ These tests are made only when the substance is not completely decomposed by hot concentrated acids.

Procedures and Notes.

Distillation with Phosphoric Acid.

Procedure 101.—Place 2 grams of the finely powdered substance and a few glass beads in a 100 cc. round bottomed Jena flask fitted with a rubber stopper through which pass a straight tube 20–30 cm. long leading to the bottom of the flask and a delivery tube. Fasten the flask in position at an angle of about 60° . Lead the end of the delivery tube through a two-hole stopper into 40 cc. of nearly saturated $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ solution contained in a 100 cc. flask supported in a large beaker of cold water. Boil in a small flask for about a minute a mixture of 25 cc. water and 10 cc. 85% H_3PO_4 (to expel any CO_2 present in it). Pour this mixture into the distilling flask with the aid of a small funnel connected with the long, straight tube. Heat the mixture to boiling, distil till about 10 cc. have passed over, and then remove the distillate. (White precipitate, presence of *carbonate* or some *sulfur-containing constituent*.)

Cool the distillate and make it slightly acid with acetic acid. (Complete or partial solution of the precipitate, presence of *carbonate*; residue (S or BaSO_3), presence of free *sulfur*, *sulfide*, *sulfite*, or *thiosulfate*)¹. If there is a residue, treat one-half of the mixture immediately by P. 102, and separate portions of the remainder by P. 103, 104, 105, and 106. If there is no residue, treat separate portions of the whole distillate by P. 103, 104, 105 and 106.

Introduce the end of the delivery tube of the distilling flask into another receiver containing 35 cc. water. Continue the distillation until the phosphoric acid becomes syrupy, boils more quietly, and begins to give off fine white fumes. Treat this distillate as directed in P. 107.

To the contents of the distilling flask, while still warm, add 5–10 grams of copper filings or turnings. Distil for 3–5 minutes longer, collecting the distillate in 15 cc. of water. Note the odor of the distillate, and treat it by P. 112.

Notes.—1. Since many of the tests for the different acids must be made in separate portions of the distillate, a larger sample is taken than for the analysis of the metallic elements, in order that detectable amounts may be present in these separate portions.

2. It is necessary to use a Jena glass flask, since one of ordinary glass is quickly destroyed by the action of hot, concentrated phosphoric acid. The boiling is sometimes violent, especially when much insoluble material is present. The addition of the glass beads serves to reduce the bumping; and placing the flask in a slightly inclined position prevents material from being thrown over into the distillate, which would lead to error in the subsequent tests. In any case in which it seems possible that some of the boiling liquid has been thrown over into the distillate, a small portion of the latter should be tested for phosphate by adding an equal volume of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{MoO}_4$ solution (see P. 115).

3. The point at which the second distillation should be stopped is fairly distinct.

¹ Such statements always refer to the presence of the constituent in the original substance, unless otherwise stated.

The chief indications of this point are that the phosphoric acid has become syrupy, that the boiling takes place more quietly, and that a hotter flame is required to maintain it. Also fine white fumes usually begin to appear, but they are not always plainly visible.

4. The saturated $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ solution in which the first distillate is collected is best prepared by adding 40 grams of the commercial crystallized hydrate to 500 cc. of boiling water, boiling 2 or 3 minutes, allowing the solution to cool and stand over night in a high, narrow vessel, and siphoning off the clear solution. The solution should, of course, be protected from the air as much as possible during the whole process. A mixture of BaCl_2 and NH_4OH can not well be substituted for the $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$, since the ammonium acetate produced by the subsequent neutralization prevents the precipitation of a small quantity of BaCO_3 .

5. Phosphoric acid, which is ionized into H^+ and H_2PO_4^- to a moderate extent (about 27% in 0.1 molal solution), displaces almost completely from their salts (unless these are very difficultly soluble) the much less ionized acids, H_2CO_3 , HNO_3 , H_2S , HClO , HCN , HF , and H_3BO_3 , and also to a large extent the moderately ionized H_2SO_3 . Since all these acids, except HF and H_3BO_3 , volatilize readily out of aqueous solution, they pass over almost or quite completely into the first distillate, HClO in the presence of chloride giving Cl_2 . The largely ionized acids, HCl , HBr , HI , HSCN , HNO_3 , HClO_3 , $\text{H}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$, and $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$, are not found in any considerable proportion in the first distillate, since they are displaced from their salts much less completely, and since in addition they are much less volatil. Of these the first five pass over unchanged and almost completely into the second distillate; for after the H_3PO_4 has become fairly concentrated, the acids are displaced to a greater extent and volatilize more readily in consequence of the higher temperature at which the mixture boils and the smaller proportion of water it contains. From the stronger H_3PO_4 solution HF also passes over in large quantity; but this is not true of H_3BO_3 and H_2SO_4 , which volatilize only in insignificant amounts even when the acid has become nearly anhydrous. The three acids, HClO_3 , $\text{H}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$, and $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$, are not volatil as such, but are decomposed by the H_3PO_4 after it becomes fairly concentrated— HClO_3 with formation of Cl_2 and HCl , $\text{H}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ and $\text{H}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ with formation of free HCN . In regard to the acids that may be present in the two distillates, see also G. D., Section 2, and Tables XI and XII.

6. The barium salts of all the acids passing into the first distillate, except the carbonate and sulfite, remain in solution. Phosphoric acid, if thrown over mechanically, would, however, also give a precipitate. Sulfur, when present in the free state or when liberated from a polysulfide or thiosulfate, volatilizes with the steam, and gives a turbid appearance to the water condensed in the delivery tube and to the barium hydroxide solution, by which it is little acted on in the cold. Chlorine is converted by the barium hydroxide into barium chloride and hypochlorite; bromine, into bromide, hypobromite, and bromate; and iodine, mainly into iodate and iodide.

7. On acidifying the first distillate slightly with acetic acid, BaCO_3 dissolves, but BaSO_3 does not. This difference in behavior is due to the fact that hydrocarbonate-ion (HCO_3^-) is much less ionized than hydrosulfite-ion (HSO_3^-). Sulfur, if present, also remains undissolved. The addition of acetic acid causes the liberation almost at once of chlorine, bromine, or iodine from a mixture of hypochlorite and chloride, hypobromite and bromide, or iodate and iodide; but bromine is set free somewhat more slowly from a mixture of bromate and bromide.

8. A small precipitate obtained in this procedure (or in the following one) does not prove the presence of carbonate in the mixture unless the prescribed precautions are carefully observed—namely, the boiling of the original phosphoric acid solution, and avoiding the exposure to the air of the various solutions, especially that of barium

hydroxide. Even with these precautions, however, it is seldom possible to prevent the absorption of enough carbon dioxide to produce a slight turbidity in the barium hydroxide solution.

9. Upon boiling the H_3PO_4 with the copper, H_2SO_4 , if present, is reduced to H_2SO_3 ; and this passes over into the distillate in the form of SO_2 gas. Less than 1 mg. SO_4 can be detected by this process of distillation. The copper should be finely divided and should be added while the liquid is still warm, since on cooling it solidifies to a glassy mass, which consists of pyrophosphoric acid ($\text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7$). The heating should be continued for 5–10 minutes; but, if much more prolonged, the contents of the flask change to a solid mass, owing to conversion of the pyro to metaphosphoric acid (HPO_3), which can afterwards be removed only with much difficulty.

10. With reference to the analysis of the first and second distillate, provided for in the subsequent procedures, certain facts are to be noted which in many cases greatly reduce the number of these special tests which it is necessary to make. In the first place, some of the acids are incompatible with each other, so that when certain ones are found, others need not be tested for: thus in the acidified distillate H_2S can not be present with H_2SO_3 , HNO_2 , or free halogen; HNO_2 and H_2SO_3 can not be associated with each other or with free halogen, etc. Secondly, the nature of the metallic elements taken in connection with the solubility of the substance often excludes certain acidic constituents; thus in a substance containing barium and soluble in water or very dilute acid, sulfate can not be present; in a water-soluble substance containing silver, none of the acids precipitated by silver nitrate can be present. Thirdly, the general character or known source of the substance may make many of the tests unnecessary; thus, it is useless to test a mineral for nitrite, sulfite, oxyhalogen salts, simple or complex cyanides, oxalate, or other organic salt. The analyst should, therefore, always consider these aspects of the problem, shortening the procedure in such ways as his knowledge makes possible.

Analysis of the First Distillate.

Procedure 102.—*Detection of Carbonate and Sulfur-containing Constituents.*—To one-half of the first distillate (P. 101), if there was a residue on adding acetic acid, add 1–2 cc. HCl (1. 12). (Residue, presence of free sulfur, sulfide, or thiosulfate.) Filter, and add to the filtrate saturated bromine solution till the liquid becomes slightly yellow. (White precipitate, presence of sulfite or thiosulfate.) Transfer the mixture to a distilling apparatus such as is used in P. 101, first filtering out the precipitate if it is large, distil for a minute or two, collecting the vapors in 20 cc. saturated $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ solution. (White precipitate, presence of carbonate.) Acidify slightly with acetic acid. (Solution of the precipitate, presence of carbonate.)

If H_2SO_3 is found in the distillate, treat a fresh portion of the original substance by P. 119, to determine whether it comes from a sulfite or thiosulfate.

Notes.—1. See P. 101, N. 6–8. Since H_2SO_3 slowly oxidizes to H_2SO_4 in the air, the solution should be treated with HCl at once. If any H_2SO_4 has been formed in this way, it will be precipitated as BaSO_4 before the addition of Br_2 . Care must be taken to add enough Br_2 to complete the oxidation, since otherwise in the subsequent distillation SO_2 will distil over and might be mistaken for carbonate.

2. If there is a large precipitate of BaSO_4 , it is filtered out, since otherwise it is difficult to avoid violent bumping during the distillation. Exposure to the air should, however, be avoided so far as possible, so that CO_2 may not be absorbed from it.

3. A residue of sulfur may arise from the presence in the substance of free sulfur, of a persulfide, of an ordinary sulfide together with some oxidizing substance, or of a thiosulfate.

Procedure 103.—*Detection of Nitrite and Constituents Yielding Free Halogen.*—To one-fourth of the first distillate (P. 101), or of what remains of it (P. 102), add 1–2 cc. 30% acetic acid and 2–3 cc. of chloroform, and shake vigorously. (Purple coloration of the chloroform, presence in the distillate of free *iodine*; yellow or orange coloration, of free *bromine*.)

If there is no coloration, pour about a third of the aqueous layer into a test tube, add 1 cc. chloroform and a few drops 1% KI solution, and let the mixture stand for 5 minutes, noting whether the color due to liberated iodine increases. (Purple color, presence in the distillate of *chlorine* or *nitrous acid*; no color, absence of *nitrite* in the substance.)

If there is a coloration after the addition of KI, test a 1 cc. portion of the first distillate (P. 101) for *nitrite* by P. 104.

If these tests show the absence of nitrite and the presence of free halogen, but do not warrant definite conclusions as to the presence or absence of each one of the three halogens, proceed as follows: Add 5 cc. HNO_3 (1.20) to the remaining two-thirds of the aqueous layer, separate the chloroform from it by means of a separating funnel, shake that layer a second and third time with 3 cc. of fresh chloroform, and separate the layers sharply again. Unite the three portions of chloroform, wash it once in the separating funnel by shaking it with about one-third its volume of HNO_3 (1.05), add the chloroform to an equal volume of H_2SO_3 solution in a separating funnel, shake, draw off and reject the chloroform layer, and test the aqueous layer for bromide and chloride by P. 110.

If free halogen is found present in the distillate, treat fresh portions of the original substance for hypochlorite by P. 117 and for chlorate by P. 118.

Notes.—1. For the reactions between the halogens and barium hydroxide and their reformation on acidifying with acetic acid, see P. 101, N. 6 and 7.

2. The free halogens distribute themselves between the chloroform and water phases. In the case of pure bromine or iodine the ratio of the concentration in the chloroform to that in the water layer is very large and is almost independent of the concentration. This is in accordance with the so-called distribution law, which requires that the ratio of the concentrations of a given molecular species, such as Br_2 or I_2 , in the two solvents be constant. When an iodide, like HI, is also present, the proportion of iodine extracted by the chloroform is greatly reduced, since the iodine in the aqueous layer is largely combined with the iodide in the form of the triiodide (HI_3); but it is still sufficient to make the color test a very delicate one.

3. The characteristic purple color given to chloroform is so delicate a test that even 0.05 mg. of iodine in the solution tested can be detected by this procedure. Bromine may be detected, but only in the absence of iodine, by the orange or yellow color

of the chloroform layer when not less than 0.5 mg. of bromine is present in the solution tested. (If a few drops of KI solution be added to one-third of the chloroform layer, the more intense color of the iodine liberated will enable a smaller quantity of bromine to be detected.) Chlorine gives no decided color to the chloroform, but like bromine causes liberation of iodine on the addition of KI. For extracting the halogens from aqueous solutions carbon tetrachloride or carbon disulfide may be used instead of chloroform; but carbon disulfide has the disadvantage of being highly inflammable.

4. Nitrous acid, like bromine or chlorine, liberates iodine from KI. The reaction is delicate enough to enable 0.1 mg. NO_2 to be detected in the solution tested. A peculiarity of this reaction is that the nitric oxide which is formed by it is rapidly re-oxidized by the oxygen of the air to nitrous acid, which then reacts with the iodide so that a continuous liberation of iodine results. Thus the nitrous acid acts as a catalyzer of the reaction between oxygen and HI. This progressive liberation of iodine is highly characteristic of nitrous acid, but renders it difficult to estimate the amount of it present. Since this behavior may not be a conclusive indication of nitrous acid, a fresh portion of the distillate is tested for it by P. 104.

5. If nitrous acid is found in the distillate, it shows the absence of free halogens, since nitrous acid is oxidized by chlorine or bromine very rapidly at room temperature and by iodine during the distillation with phosphoric acid.

6. An aqueous solution of chlorine contains a considerable proportion of HClO and HCl , sufficient to satisfy the equilibrium conditions of the reaction $\text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{HClO} + \text{H}^+ + \text{Cl}^-$. Since the proportion present as Cl_2 decreases with decreasing hydrogen-ion concentration, and since only the Cl_2 dissolves in the chloroform, the quantity of chlorine extracted from a nitric acid solution must, in accordance with the distribution law, be much larger than from an acetic acid solution. In order, however, to extract small amounts of chlorine nearly completely it is necessary to add chloride as well as the acid.

7. When free halogen is detected by these tests it shows either that the halogen was present in the original substance in the free state, or (what is more common) that it was liberated during the distillation with phosphoric acid, from a halide by the action of some oxidizing substance, or from an oxyhalogen salt (such as a hypochlorite, chlorate, bromate, etc.), by spontaneous decomposition or by reaction with some reducing substances. When free halogen is found in the distillate, a portion of the original substance is therefore further tested (by P. 117 and 118) to determine from what source the halogen originated.

Procedure 104.—Detection of Nitrite.—If the results of P. 103 show that the first distillate may contain HNO_3 , determine its presence or absence as follows: Fill a weighing tube with a 20% solution of urea in HCl (P. 112), and invert it over a small dish containing more of the same solution. Introduce 1 cc. of the first distillate (P. 101) into the weighing tube by means of a small tube which has one end closed with a rubber nipple and the other end drawn out and bent so as to form a small U. Take care not to introduce an air bubble at the same time. (Formation of gas, presence of *nitrite*.)

Notes.—1. The reaction between urea and nitrous acid is

The N_2 is liberated in the form of minute bubbles which collect at the top of the tube. When much CO_2 is produced, it also separates as a gas; but a small quantity remains dissolved in the liquid. The amount of nitrite present may be estimated by making a comparative test with a known quantity of *nitrite*.

2. This procedure enables 0.1 mg. NO_2 in the 1 cc. of solution tested to be detected; but since such a small portion of the distillate is used a more delicate test may be desirable. If so, make a larger amount of the distillate slightly alkaline with NaOH , evaporate it to about 1 cc., acidify with a drop or two of HCl , and treat this solution as described in the procedure. In this process, before evaporating the solution, it is made alkaline so as to avoid loss of HNO_2 . The mixture is afterwards acidified so that carbonic acid may be expelled from it before it is introduced into the tube.

3. The halogens, chlorine, bromine, and iodine, when dissolved in alkali decompose urea with evolution of nitrogen, but they do not do so when dissolved in concentrated HCl . They do not therefore interfere with the test when carried out as above described.

4. Since all nitrites are at least moderately soluble in water, this test may be made with an aqueous solution or aqueous extract of the original substance. The test should be so made when the nature of the substances present makes it possible that nitrous acid may have been completely decomposed during the distillation with H_3PO_4 .

Procedure 105.—*Detection of Sulfide.*—To one-half of what still remains of the first distillate (P. 101), add 2 cc. 10% $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ solution. (Yellow precipitate, presence of sulfide.)

Notes.—1. The presence of H_2S is usually detected in the distillate by its odor; but the precipitation of CdS from a weakly acid solution has the advantage of enabling the amount of sulfide to be approximately estimated. When this test is applied to a strongly acid solution from any other source, sodium acetate should also be added.

2. A negative test for H_2S in the first distillate does not prove the absence of sulfide in the original substance, unless the latter has dissolved completely in the dilute H_3PO_4 ; for some difficultly soluble sulfides, such as CuS , are decomposed only when the H_3PO_4 becomes concentrated, as it does in the latter part of the distillation. It is therefore directed in P. 107 and 112 to test also the second and third distillates for sulfide.

Procedure 106.—*Detection of Cyanide.*—Place what remains of the first distillate in a casserole; add 1–2 cc. 10% NaOH solution, about 0.5 cc. 10% FeSO_4 solution (or about 0.1 g. solid $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$), and 1 or 2 drops 10% FeCl_3 solution; and boil for one minute. To the hot mixture add HCl (1.12) a few drops at a time until on shaking the dark colored precipitate of ferrous and ferric hydroxides is dissolved. Cool the mixture. If a precipitate is not plainly visible, filter, and wash out the filter paper once with water. (Blue precipitate, presence of cyanide.)

Notes.—1. This test is based upon the formation of ferrocyanide by the action of cyanide on ferrous hydroxide and upon the reaction between this substance and the ferric salt whereby ferric ferrocyanide (Prussian blue) is formed, which is difficultly soluble in dilute hydrochloric acid.

2. A small precipitate is not readily detected in the hot reddish yellow solution, but is more easily seen in the cold light colored solution, especially after standing, or when collected on a filter. If the precipitate on the filter is not dark blue, it should be washed with a little hot, dilute hydrochloric acid. With these precautions, the test enables 0.2 mg. CN in the solution tested to be detected.

3. Cyanides may be present in the original substance in the form either of simple or of complex cyanides. The latter are characterized by complex anions (such as $\text{Ag}(\text{CN})_2^-$ and $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-}$). These differ very greatly in their stability towards decomposing agents, the difference depending on the extent to which they are disso-

ciated into the simple ions (Ag^+ and CN^- or Fe^{++} and CN^-). Ferrocyanides, ferricyanides, and cobaltcyanides are so slightly dissociated in this way that scarcely any HCN is produced when dilute HCl , HNO_3 , or H_2SO_4 is added to their cold solutions; but almost all the other complex cyanides (such as $\text{KAg}(\text{CN})_2$ or $\text{K}_2\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4$) are readily decomposed by these acids.

In the distillation with phosphoric acid (P. 101), not only the simple cyanides, but also nearly all the complex cyanides are decomposed during the first part of the distillation; but a few very stable substances (such as Prussian blue) are completely decomposed only in the second part of the distillation. A further test for cyanide with a portion of the second distillate is therefore necessary when such complex cyanides may be present.

4. The following procedure enables 2 mg. cyanide to be detected in the presence of ferro or ferricyanide: Place in a 20 cc. distilling flask provided with a thistle tube 0.5–1 gram of the original substance, 2 grams powdered CaCO_3 , and 10 cc. water. Add very gradually through the thistle tube 2 cc. HCl (1.12), enough to decompose some, but not all of the CaCO_3 . Allow the gas which is evolved to pass into a small test tube containing 3 cc. 10% NaOH and 3 cc. water. Finally heat the contents of the flask almost to boiling. Test the NaOH solution for cyanide by P. 106. This separation depends upon the fact that HCN is displaced by H_2CO_3 from simple cyanides and from the relatively unstable complex cyanides, such as $\text{Ag}(\text{CN})_2^-$ or $\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4^{=}$, but not from ferro or ferricyanides.

5. Ferrocyanide and ferricyanide may be detected and distinguished from each other when only one of them is present, by adding a ferric salt to one portion of an aqueous or dilute acid solution, and by adding ferrous salt to another portion of the solution. A ferric salt gives a blue precipitate of ferric ferrocyanide with ferrocyanide, but no precipitate with a ferricyanide. A ferrous salt gives the same blue precipitate (of ferric ferrocyanide) with a ferricyanide; but it also gives with a ferrocyanide a precipitate (of ferrous ferrocyanide), which is white if no ferric salt is present, but which rapidly turns blue in contact with the air.

6. Ferrocyanide and ferricyanide may be detected in the presence of each other by proceeding as follows: Add to an aqueous or dilute solution of the substance AgNO_3 and then a moderate excess of NH_4OH . (White precipitate insoluble in NH_4OH , presence of ferrocyanide. Orange to red precipitate readily soluble in NH_4OH , presence of ferricyanide.) Filter out and wash the precipitate, and pour over it a little FeCl_3 solution. (Blue coloration, presence of ferrocyanide.) Acidify the ammoniacal filtrate with acetic acid, filter out and wash the precipitate, and pour through the filter containing it a little FeSO_4 solution (orange to red precipitate, which is turned blue by the FeSO_4 , presence of ferricyanide). This procedure enables 0.2 mg. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ as either ferro or ferricyanide to be detected when present alone; but the test for ferricyanide is much less delicate in the presence of much ferrocyanide.

Analysis of the Second and Third Distillates.

Procedure 107.—*Detection of Constituents Precipitable by Silver Nitrate.*—To one-eighth of the second distillate add 1 cc. HNO_3 (1.20) and 1 cc. 4% AgNO_3 solution. (White precipitate, presence of *chloride, cyanide, or thiocyanate*; yellowish precipitate, presence of *bromide or iodide*; black precipitate, presence of *sulfide*; no precipitate, absence of all these in this distillate.)

If there is a precipitate, test separate eighths of the second distillate for sulfide by P. 105, for cyanide by P. 106 (see, however, Note 2), and for

thiocyanate by P. 108; and then test the remainder for free halogen and halides by P. 109 and 110, and for nitrate by P. 111.

If there is no precipitate, test the distillate for nitrate by P. 111.

Notes.—1. All the common silver salts, except the halides, cyanide, thiocyanate, and sulfide are either soluble in water (as are the nitrate, sulfate, chlorate, and fluoride) or dissolve readily in nitric acid owing to displacement of the weaker acid (as do the phosphate, carbonate, borate, and sulfite). It should be noted, however, that salts of weak acids are not necessarily readily soluble in a strong acid. Thus this is true of Ag_2S and AgCN , which are precipitated from this distillate, if H_2S and HCN are present in it. Silver sulfide does not dissolve in dilute nitric acid because its solubility in pure water is so extremely small that there is only a very minute concentration of $\text{S}^{=}$ ion in the saturated solution, and this can yield, in accordance with the mass action law, only a relatively small concentration of SH^- and unionized H_2S with the H^+ ion of the HNO_3 . Silver cyanide has for another reason a very slight concentration of its anion in its saturated solution, namely, owing to the fact that this salt exists in the solution mainly as Ag^+ and $\text{Ag}(\text{CN})_2^-$, and scarcely at all as Ag^+ and CN^- .

2. It is not necessary to test for sulfide and cyanide in this distillate when the original substance dissolved completely in the phosphoric acid during the first part of the distillation. When it does not so dissolve, H_2S and HCN (coming from complex cyanides) may be found wholly or in part in the second distillate.

Procedure 108.—*Detection of Thiocyanate.*—If AgNO_3 produced a precipitate (P. 107), dilute another eighth of the second distillate (P. 101) to 5–10 cc., add 2–3 drops of a 10% FeCl_3 solution and 2–3 drops of HCl (1.12). (Red color, presence of *thiocyanate*.)

Notes.—1. The red coloration arises from the formation by metathesis of $\text{Fe}(\text{SCN})_3$, a substance whose degree of ionization is relatively small. The HCl is added to reduce the hydrolysis of the FeCl_3 and diminish the color imparted by it to the solution.

2. A distinct reddish yellow coloration is produced by 0.1 mg. SCN . A deep red color is obtained when 1 mg. or more is present.

3. Since in the distillation with phosphoric acid, thiocyanates are destroyed by certain oxidizing agents, such as nitrates, which do not act on it at ordinary temperatures, it is sometimes advisable to apply this test also to a solution of the original substance.

Procedure 109.—*Detection and Removal of Free Halogens.*—If AgNO_3 gave a precipitate in P. 107, to two-thirds of the remainder of the second distillate in a separating funnel add 2–3 cc. chloroform and shake. (Purple color, presence of *iodine*; orange or yellow color, presence of *bromine*.)

If the chloroform is colorless, separate it from the aqueous layer. Add to the chloroform layer a few drops of 1% KI solution. (Purple color, presence of *chlorine*, or of *bromine* in small quantity.) If there is no color, treat the aqueous layer left in the separating funnel by P. 110.

If any free halogen is present, add to the mixture in the separating funnel 10 cc. chloroform, shake, and separate the two layers. Repeat the extraction with a fresh 10 cc. portion of chloroform. (Purple color of the chloroform layer and brown color of the aqueous layer, showing slow extraction of iodine, presence of *iodide*.) Test the aqueous layers for halides by P. 110.

If further tests for free bromine or chlorine are necessary, shake the chloroform extracts with 10 cc. water, adding enough H_2SO_4 solution to reduce the halogen, and treat the aqueous layer by P. 110.

Notes.—1. As to these tests see the notes to P. 103.

2. As there stated, the proportion of the iodine extracted from the aqueous layer by chloroform is much smaller when iodide is also present, so that some tri-iodide then remains in the aqueous solution after two extractions. Since this behavior itself shows the presence of iodide, it is not necessary to attempt to extract all the iodine before testing for halides by P. 110.

Procedure 110.—*Detection of Halides.*—Place the aqueous layer which is to be tested for halides (P. 109) in a separating funnel; add 3 cc. chloroform, 5 cc. 20% sodium acetate solution, 2 cc. 30% acetic acid, and 1 cc. 1% KMnO_4 solution (in excess of that required to oxidize any H_2SO_3 present), and shake. (Purple color, presence of *iodide*.) If there is a color, pour the mixture into a flask, add 10 cc. more CHCl_3 , and then add gradually 1% KMnO_4 solution, shaking after each addition, till the aqueous layer becomes pink. Pour the mixture through a moistened filter to remove the chloroform and precipitated MnO_2 , and shake the filtrate once or twice with a fresh 10 cc. portion of chloroform to extract all the iodine.

Place the aqueous solution and 3 cc. chloroform in a separating funnel, add 5 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.20), and 1 cc. 1% KMnO_4 solution, unless such an excess is already present, and shake. (Yellow or orange coloration of the chloroform, presence of *bromide*.)

Transfer the aqueous layer to a casserole, add 5–20 cc. 1% KMnO_4 solution, and boil the mixture 3–5 minutes, or until the volume has been reduced to 10 cc. Filter off the MnO_2 , and, if the solution is still pink, add H_2SO_3 solution drop by drop until it is colorless. Dilute the solution to 100 cc., filter if necessary, and add 2 cc. HNO_3 (1.20) and 1–2 cc. 4% AgNO_3 solution. (White precipitate, presence of *chloride*.)

Notes.—1. This separation is based upon the different rates at which KMnO_4 sets free by oxidation the three halogens from their salts in a solution of definite hydrogen-ion (H^+) concentration. A dilute solution of acetic acid containing considerable sodium acetate has such a hydrogen-ion concentration that an iodide is immediately oxidized by KMnO_4 with liberation of iodine, while bromide and chloride are not oxidized to an appreciable extent in the time required for the operations. When the H^+ -concentration is increased by the addition of the prescribed quantity of H_2SO_4 , the bromide is oxidized very rapidly while the rate of the corresponding reaction for the chloride is still so small at room temperature that scarcely any chlorine is set free. Even when the solution is boiled to expel the bromine, only a small fraction of the chloride present is oxidized to chlorine.

2. To secure satisfactory results, the directions as to the quantities of the acids added must be followed carefully. The proper quantity of H_2SO_4 is that required to react with all the sodium acetate, and in addition to give an excess equal to about 1 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.20) per 20 cc. of solution.

3. A very small precipitate of AgCl obtained at the end of the procedure does not necessarily indicate the presence of chloride in the substance, unless the reagents used have been proved to be entirely free from chloride. Even then a very slight precipitate

(corresponding to less than 0.1 mg. Cl) may result from a reaction between the permanganate and chloroform. For these reasons a blank test should be made in any doubtful case.

4. The yellow color of bromine in 3-5 cc. chloroform enables about 0.5 mg. Br to be detected in this procedure, which corresponds to 1-1.5 mg. Br in the whole of the second distillate. A much smaller quantity might be detected by separating the chloroform solution and adding KI solution to it; but when much chloride is present, this is an unreliable indication of bromide, since in that case an appreciable quantity of free chlorine may have been liberated by the permanganate. If it is desired to detect with certainty a smaller quantity of bromine, one may do so by treating the chloroform solution (obtained as described in the second paragraph of the above procedure), which contains the liberated bromine and perhaps a little chlorine, as follows: Shake it with 15 cc. water and 5 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.20), to which a few drops of saturated SO_2 solution are added; then add 1% KMnO_4 solution till the water layer becomes pink, and finally 1 cc. more. Shake well, separate the chloroform layer by means of a separating funnel, add to it a few drops KI solution, and shake again. A purple color shows the presence of *bromide*.

5. If HCN , H_2S , or HSCN are present in the distillate, they will be expelled or destroyed by the boiling with KMnO_4 before the final test for chloride with AgNO_3 is applied.

Procedure 111.—*Detection of Nitrate.*—To the remainder of the second distillate (after removing any iodide or thiocyanate present by shaking with solid Ag_2SO_4 and filtering) add 3 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.84) and 5 cc. saturated FeSO_4 solution. Place the mixture in the apparatus in P. 101, and distil until only about 5 cc. remain, collecting the distillate in a mixture of 20 cc. water and 2 cc. 10% NaOH . Make the distillate acid with H_2SO_4 , add 2-3 cc. chloroform and shake. If the chloroform is colorless, add a few drops of KI solution, and shake again. (Purple color, presence of *nitrate*.)

Notes.—1. In this procedure the nitrate is reduced by the FeSO_4 to nitric oxide (NO), which passes over as a gas into the receiver, where it is oxidized by the oxygen of the air to HNO_3 , which is then absorbed by the NaOH . When the solution is acidified and KI added, I_2 is liberated by the HNO_3 (see P. 103, N. 4). By this procedure 0.2 mg. NO_3 in the liquid submitted to distillation can be detected.

2. The reaction is highly characteristic for nitrates, since any nitrous acid present passed over completely into the first distillate, and since other oxidizing substances (for example, chlorine or bromine) which might liberate iodine from potassium iodide are reduced by the FeSO_4 to compounds which, even if they pass over into the distillate, have no action on KI. The only substances that may interfere are iodide and thiocyanate; and provision is therefore made for first removing these by adding solid Ag_2SO_4 , shaking, and filtering.

Procedure 112.—*Detection of Sulfate.*—To the third distillate obtained upon heating with copper (P. 101), add 1-2 cc. HCl (1.12), 5 cc. 2% BaCl_2 solution, and saturated Br_2 solution till the liquid becomes yellow. (White precipitate, presence of *sulfate*.)

Notes.—1. By the action of copper in the presence of concentrated H_3PO_4 on sulfates (even the very difficultly soluble BaSO_4) SO_2 is formed. This is oxidized by the Br_2 to H_2SO_4 , which then precipitates as BaSO_4 . In this way 1 mg. SO_4 may be

detected. Even when this small amount is present in the substance, only an inconsiderable proportion of it passes into the first and second distillates.

2. Much H_2PO_4 also passes over into the distillate; and the HCl is added to prevent its precipitation as BaHPO_4 . Too much HCl must not be added since BaSO_4 is appreciably soluble in it.

3. When a sulfide is present which has not already been decomposed, sulfur and H_2S may pass into the third distillate, after the acid has become concentrated. The H_2S may be tested for in a portion of the distillate by P. 105.

Supplementary Procedures.

Procedure 113.—*Detection of Borate.*—Place 1–2 grams¹ of the finely powdered substance in the distilling apparatus used in P. 101, and add 10 cc. of methyl alcohol (CH_3OH) and two or three glass beads. Pour in carefully 3 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.84), and distil off the alcohol, collecting it in a mixture of 5 cc. CH_3OH and 3 cc. HCl (1.20). Make the distillate up to 18 cc. with CH_3OH , cool, and add five drops of a saturated solution of turmeric in ethyl alcohol. (Red or orange color, presence of borate.) To estimate roughly the quantity present, compare the color with that given by adding the turmeric solution to known quantities of borate dissolved in a mixture of 3 cc. HCl (1.20) and 15 cc. CH_3OH .

Notes.—1. Methyl alcohol reacts with boric acid to form its methyl ester $\text{B}(\text{OCH}_3)_3$, which is a readily volatil liquid. The color given by turmeric to a solution of this ester in methyl alcohol and strong hydrochloric acid is so intense that the test is very delicate if the proportions given are reproduced. The presence of 1 mg. BO_2 in the substance distilled may readily be detected.

2. If the substance be distilled with H_2SO_4 and ethyl alcohol (instead of methyl alcohol), much less borate passes into the distillate, and the test is much less delicate.

Procedure 114.—*Detection of Fluoride.*—Mix 0.2 g. of the dry, finely powdered substance with twice its weight of powdered KHSO_4 and with 10–20 mg. dry, finely powdered or precipitated SiO_2 . Blow a thick-walled bulb $1\frac{1}{2}$ –2 cm. in diameter at the end of a glass tube of 5–8 mm. bore. Place the mixture in the bulb (not using more of it than will one-third fill the bulb). Heat the bulb carefully until the KHSO_4 is melted, taking care that the mixture does not froth up into the tube. Continue to heat the bulb and the lower part of the tube until there is a deposit of a solid substance or of condensed acid 3 or 4 cm. above the bulb. After it has cooled, cut off the tube close to the bulb. Dip the tube several times in water, dry it in a flame, and heat it strongly. (White deposit in the middle part of the tube and etched surface at the lower end, presence of fluoride.)

Notes.—1. This test depends on the following reactions:

¹ In this procedure and the subsequent ones, it is directed to take such an amount of substance as will enable 0.1–0.2% of the acidic constituent in question to be detected. The amount taken may of course be varied in accordance with the nature of the material and the object in view.

Some of the HF liberated by the molten KHSO_4 volatilizes and takes the silica required for the first reaction from the glass, thus producing the characteristic etched surface in the lower part of the tube. The SiF_4 gas and the water vapor liberated react in the cooler part of the tube according to the second equation, forming a white ring of solid silicic and fluosilicic acids in the tube. The reaction is reversed at higher temperatures, so that the deposit may be driven up the tube by heating. This white deposit is the most characteristic part of the test for fluoride, since in the subsequent washing H_2SiF_6 is removed and there remains H_2SiO_3 alone, which is converted into SiO_2 on heating. A deposit of SO_2 and H_2SO_4 may also form in the upper part of the tube, and might be mistaken for, or interfere with, the test for small amounts of fluoride, if the final washing with water is omitted. This procedure enables 0.5 mg. F to be easily detected.

2. The test fails with certain minerals which are not decomposed by fusion with KHSO_4 , but such cases are provided for by the treatment described in P. 116.

3. Fluoride is often tested for by heating the solid substance in a platinum crucible with H_2SO_4 alone, and detecting any HF involved by its etching action on a watch glass coated with wax through which markings have been made. This test has the disadvantage that in the presence of silica or silicate, which is very often present in minerals, it is unreliable owing to the conversion of the HF to SiF_4 by the reaction given in note 1.

4. In distilling a fluoride with H_3PO_4 by P. 101, much of the HF reacts with the glass, but enough of it passes into the second distillate to enable 2-3 mg. F in the substance to be detected, if the whole distillate be tested with $\text{NaC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$ and CaCl_2 as described in P. 116.

5. The precipitation of CaF_2 in an acetic acid solution from any source distinguishes fluoride from all the other acidic constituents except sulfate and oxalate, and is delicate enough to enable 0.2 mg. F in 10 cc. solution to be detected. The presence of fluoride in such a precipitate, even in a small one, can be confirmed by drying it, mixing it with a little KHSO_4 and SiO_2 , and heating as described in the procedure.

Procedure 115.—*Detection of Phosphate.*—To 0.1-0.2 g. of the finely powdered substance add about 5 cc. HNO_3 (1.20). If the substance does not dissolve, boil the mixture for 2 or 3 minutes, and filter. Add to the filtrate an equal volume of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{MoO}_4$ solution, and allow it to stand 5 to 10 minutes. (Yellow precipitate, presence of *phosphate*.)

Notes.—1. The yellow precipitate produced is a complex compound, ammonium phosphomolybdate, of a composition varying somewhat with the conditions, but approximating that represented by the formula $(\text{NH}_4)_3\text{PO}_4 \cdot 12\text{MoO}_3$.

2. In order that the test may be delicate, a large proportion of the $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{MoO}_4$ must be present to reduce the solubility of the precipitate; and a short time must be allowed for the formation of the complex phosphomolybdate. This is promoted by gentle warming; but in a hot solution arsenate or silicate may give rise to a similar yellow precipitate, while in the cold the reaction is given only by phosphate. By this test 0.1 mg. PO_4 may be easily detected. The great delicacy of this test should be borne in mind in estimating the quantity of phosphate present.

3. Phosphate will have been detected in Part III (P. 63) of this system of analysis when elements of the iron, aluminum, or calcium groups are present.

Procedure 116.—*Detection of the Acidic Constituents in Undecomposed Substances.*—If the substance is not completely decomposed by acids (as shown in P. 101 and 115) heat 1 g. of the finely powdered substance with

HNO_3 (1.20), filter out and wash the residue, dry it, separate from the filter, and mix it with 5–10 g. dry Na_2CO_3 in a platinum crucible (or in a porcelain crucible, if reducible metals may be present in it): Cover the crucible and heat it over a powerful burner for 5–10 minutes. If a perfectly clear fusion does not result, add more Na_2CO_3 , and heat again over a blast lamp. Cool, place the crucible in a casserole, boil it with water till the fused mass is disintegrated, and filter, rejecting the residue.

To a portion of the filtrate add HNO_3 (1.20) till it is distinctly acid, and test portions of it for *chloride* by adding AgNO_3 , for *phosphate* by P. 115, and for *sulfate* by adding BaCl_2 solution.

Test another portion of the filtrate for *borate* by evaporating it to complete dryness, adding H_2SO_4 (1.84) drop by drop, and treating the mixture by P. 113.

Test a third portion of the filtrate for *fluoride* as follows: add 5 cc. 20% $\text{NaC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$ solution and 5 cc. 10% CaCl_2 solution, allow the mixture to stand 10–15 minutes. (White precipitate, presence of *fluoride*.) To confirm its presence, filter out, wash, and dry the precipitate, and test it by P. 114.

If silicate needs to be tested for, evaporate a portion of the solution, heat the residue at 100–125° till it is perfectly dry, add HCl (1.20) and boil gently. (White residue, presence of *silicate* or *silica*.) To confirm its presence, treat the residue by P. 5 (Part I).

Notes.—1. Fusion with Na_2CO_3 metathesizes nearly all insoluble compounds in the way described in the notes to P. 8 (Part I of this System of Analysis).

2. If basic elements that may be reduced to the metallic state (those of the silver, copper, and tin groups) may be present in the insoluble residue, it must be fused in a porcelain instead of a platinum crucible. It is, however, less desirable to use a porcelain crucible, since it is more difficult to attain the high temperature necessary, and since it is attacked by the flux and silicate is thereby introduced into the solution.

3. In minerals or metallurgical products undecomposed by acids, it is usually necessary to test only for silicate, chloride, sulfate, phosphate, borate, and fluoride, since other acidic constituents are scarcely ever present.

4. In a substance undecomposed by acids the presence of halides, sulfide, or sulfate can also be detected by proceeding as follows: Place about 0.10 g. of the solid substance in an iron tube about 15 cm. long and 1.5 cm. in outside diameter, or in a Jena glass tube, heat for 2–3 minutes to drive off water and to decompose unstable substances, cool and add 100 mg. freshly cut sodium. Heat the mixture strongly under a hood for 2–3 minutes, and allow to cool. Add 1 cc. methyl alcohol and after several minutes a little water, drop by drop. Then add 5 cc. water, shake and filter into a test tube. Acidify with acetic acid, and treat 1–2 cc. with AgNO_3 and HNO_3 (P. 107). If there is a precipitate, test one-third of the acetic acid solution for *sulfide* by adding 2 cc. 10% $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ solution (P. 105), and test the remainder for *iodide*, *bromide*, and *chloride* by P. 110.

Compounds containing sulfur, even such stable substances as BaSO_4 , MoS_3 , CuS , are decomposed by this process with formation of sodium sulfide, and compounds containing chlorine, bromine, or iodine, even AgBr and AgI , are decomposed with formation of sodium halide. In the case of a substance of entirely unknown character,

only a small quantity (10–20 mg.) should be used at first, as the reaction with sodium might be a very violent one.

Procedure 117.—*Detection of Hypochlorite.*—To about 0.5 g. of the powdered substance mixed with 5 cc. water, or to 5 cc. of the alkalin solution, add acetic acid, a few drops at a time, until the solution is acid. Filter if there is much residue, add 2 cc. 20% lead acetate solution, heat the mixture to boiling, and let it stand for ten minutes. (Brown precipitate, presence of hypochlorite.)

Notes.—1. Hypochlorites are commonly met with either in alkalin solution or in the form of a powder (for example, in bleaching powder). Since they are prepared by the action of chlorine on alkali, chloride is ordinarily present in nearly equivalent amount. When the solid powder is treated with water, the hypochlorite passes into solution; and from it the unionized HClO is liberated upon the addition of the more largely ionized acetic acid. Chlorine is also formed until the equilibrium-conditions of the reaction $\text{HClO} + \text{Cl}^- + \text{H}^+ = \text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ are satisfied. When in neutralizing with acetic acid litmus paper is used, the paper will soon be bleached if hypochlorite is present; but the color at the first instant or on the edges of the bleached portion can usually be observed.

2. This test depends upon the oxidation of the lead salt to lead dioxide PbO_2 by the hypochlorite. The reaction takes place so slowly in the cold that not less than 10 mg. ClO in 5 cc. solution can be detected at room temperature, even if the mixture be allowed to stand a few minutes. But when the mixture is heated the limit of detectability is about 0.5 mg. in 5 cc. Since oxidation does not take place in the presence of a strong acid, such as HNO_3 , acetic acid is used in acidifying the solution.

3. Peroxides in alkalin solution react instantaneously with lead salts, forming PbO_2 ; but this reaction does not take place in the presence of acetic acid, even on boiling. Therefore in the above procedure a peroxide will not be mistaken for a hypochlorite. Peroxide and hypochlorite, moreover, cannot exist together, since they react very rapidly with formation of oxygen. If desired, peroxide may be tested for by treating the substance with a dilute acid and adding a few drops of a titanium sulfate solution (see P. 65b).

4. This test for hypochlorite may be made even more delicately in alkalin solution, provided peroxides are known to be absent. If the solution is only slightly alkalin, a small white precipitate of $\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_2$ or PbCO_3 is first formed; but this turns brown if hypochlorite is present when the mixture is heated and allowed to stand. The delicacy is of course diminished by the presence of large amounts of $\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_2$ or PbCO_3 ; but 1 mg. ClO can be detected in the presence of even 2 or 3 grams of these substances, provided an excess of the lead salt is still present in the solution, and the mixture is boiled vigorously, preferably in a casserole.

5. Hypobromite in alkalin solution or bromine in acetic acid solution also oxidizes lead salts to PbO_2 . Hypobromite is, however, so unstable that it will not be met with except in a solution freshly prepared from bromine and alkali. The results of previous tests will usually show whether HClO or Br_2 has given rise to the PbO_2 precipitate. In a doubtful case the halogens may be extracted by chloroform from an acid solution, and tested for by P. 109 and 110. On account of the equilibrium referred to in note 1 chlorine will be extracted in large proportion only if a strong acid or if much chloride is present.

Procedure 118.—*Detection of Chlorate.*—If chlorine has been found present in the first or second H_3PO_4 distillate, treat 0.5 g. of the sub-

stance in the cold with 50 cc. water and 10 cc. HNO_3 (1.20). (If hypochlorite is present as shown by P. 117, reduce it by adding Na_3AsO_3 solution in excess.) Add 4% AgNO_3 solution as long as a precipitate forms and then about 5 cc. more. Shake the mixture and filter off the precipitate. To the filtrate add 5 cc. saturated SO_2 solution, heat the mixture or allow it to stand five minutes. (White precipitate, presence of *chlorate* (or *bromate*).)

Notes.—1. In this procedure chlorate is reduced to chloride by the H_2SO_3 . The reaction is not instantaneous; but it is so rapid in the presence of a large excess of H_2SO_3 that at room temperature 1 mg. ClO_3 gives a distinct precipitate of AgCl within 5 minutes, even in a volume of 100 cc.

2. If iodate is present, it is precipitated upon the first addition of AgNO_3 , along with the silver halides; but if bromate is present, some of it remains in the solution and shows the same behavior as chlorate. If necessary to distinguish between them, the final precipitate with AgNO_3 may be treated as follows: Suspend it in 25 cc. water, pass in H_2S until the mixture is saturated with it, heat to boiling, filter off the precipitated Ag_2S , boil the filtrate till the H_2S is expelled, and test it for bromide and chloride by P. 110.

Procedure 119.—*Detection of Sulfite and Thiosulfate.*—If in P. 102 the first distillate was found to contain H_2SO_3 , add 0.5 gram of the finely powdered original substance to 5 cc. water and 1–5 cc. 10% Na_2CO_3 solution, shake the mixture, filter out any precipitate or residue, and add to the solution 3 cc. 10% $\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ solution, then at once add slowly 30% acetic acid, at the last a few drops at a time, until the precipitate of SrCO_3 dissolves on shaking, or until the solution reacts acid to litmus. Heat the mixture to boiling, add a drop or two of acetic acid if a flocculent precipitate forms, and let the mixture stand 15 minutes. (No precipitate, absence of *sulfite*.)

Filter out the $\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ precipitate, wash it once with a little cold water, pour a portion of 3–5 cc. HCl (1.06) two or three times through the filter, and add to the solution 5 cc. BaCl_2 solution. (If a precipitate of BaSO_4 forms, filter it out.) Then add to the solution saturated Br_2 solution till the liquid is slightly yellow. (Precipitate, presence of *sulfite*.)

To the filtrate from the $\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ precipitate, add 1–2 cc. HCl (1.20), heat the mixture to boiling, and let it stand overnight. (White turbidity or yellow precipitate, presence of *thiosulfate*.)

Notes.—1. Na_2CO_3 is added at the beginning of this procedure to separate from the acidic constituents in question any basic constituents present that may be precipitable by it. Its addition and the subsequent neutralization of it by acetic acid also serve to give a solution of small H^+ concentration, which is essential for a satisfactory separation of sulfite and thiosulfate. When the H^+ concentration is large, SrSO_3 dissolves and the thiosulfate decomposes with formation of sulfur; but in acetic acid solution the latter reaction is negligible even on heating in the presence of a considerable excess of the acid. SrSO_3 separates as a crystalline precipitate, slowly in the cold when present in small quantity, but much more rapidly when the mixture is heated. This procedure enables 1 mg. SO_3 in an initial volume of 10 cc. to be easily detected.

2. Upon the addition of a moderate excess of HCl over that required to react with all the sodium acetate present, the thiosulfate decomposes with the separation of sulfur. When 10 cc. of solution are treated by the above procedure, a precipitate of S forms within 30 minutes with 3 mg. S_2O_3 , and on standing over night with 1 mg. S_2O_3 .

Confirmatory Experiments.

P. 101-112. *Test Analysis*.—A mixture of 2 mg. of each of the constituents CN, Cl, Br, I, NO_3 , SO_4 , SO_3 , CO_3 , as the sodium or potassium salt, was dissolved in 2 cc. water and tested according to P. 101-112: distinct and satisfactory tests were obtained for each constituent.

P. 101. *Distillation with Phosphoric Acid*.—The experiments tabulated below were carried out to determine the behavior of the various acidic constituents in the phosphoric acid distillation. The division into first and second distillates corresponds to that given in P. 101. The substances taken are given in the first column, the substances tested for in the distillates are given in the second column, and the results of the tests are given in the third and fourth columns. The numbers in the last two columns show the amount of substance estimated to be present in the distillates. The letter S denotes that the test was satisfactory, and that the amount of substance found seemed to correspond to that taken.

Substance taken.	Constituent tested for	First distillate.	Second distillate.
2 mg. Cl as NaCl.....	{ Chloride	o	S
	{ Chlorine	o	o
1500 mg. Cl as NaCl.....	Chlorine	o. 1	o
15 mg. Cl as AgCl.....	Chloride	o	3-5
1 mg. Cl_2 as bleaching powder....	Chlorine	S	o
1 mg. Br as KBr.....	Bromide	..	S
1500 mg. Br as KBr.....	{ Bromide	small	S
	{ Bromine	..	o. 5
100 mg. Br as AgBr.....	{ Bromide	o	o
	{ Bromine	o	o
2 mg. Br_2	Bromine	S	o
1 mg. I as KI.....	Iodide	..	S
100 mg. I as AgI.....	{ Iodide	o	o
	{ Iodine	o	o
100 mg. F as NH_4F	Fluoride	2-4	20-30
3 mg. F as KF.....	Fluoride	o	small
2 mg. F as KF.....	Fluoride	o	slight
100 mg. F as CaF_2	Fluoride	o	4-5
1 mg. SCN as KSCN.....	Thiocyanate	slight	S
2 mg. SCN as KSCN.....	Thiocyanate	small	S
1000 mg. SCN as KSCN.....	{ Thiocyanate	large	large
	{ Sulfide	small	small ¹
1 mg. CN as KCN.....	Cyanide	S	o
2 mg. $Fe(CN)_6$ as $K_4Fe(CN)_6$	Cyanide	S	o
5 mg. $Fe(CN)_6$ as $K_4Fe(CN)_6$	Cyanide	S	o
500 mg. $Fe(CN)_6$ as $K_4Fe(CN)_6$	{ Cyanide	large	large
	{ Ferrocyanide	o	o
	{ Ferricyanide	o	o
2 mg. $Fe(CN)_6$ as $K_3Fe(CN)_6$	Cyanide	slight	o

¹ Some free sulfur was also present.

Substance taken.	Constituent tested for	First distillate.	Second distillate.
5 mg. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ as $\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$	Cyanide	small	o
600 mg. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ as $\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$	Cyanide	large	large
	Ferrocyanide	o	o
	Ferricyanide	o	o
700 mg. Prussian blue.....	Cyanide	large	large
100 mg. S as CuS	Sulfide	o	trace ¹
100 mg. S as FeS	Sulfide	large	small
900 mg. galena.....	Sulfide	large	large
700 mg. pyrite.....	Sulfide	o	large ¹
710 mg. MoS_2	Sulfide	o	o
1 mg. NO_2 as NaNO_2	Nitrite	S	..
20 mg. NO_2 as NaNO_2	Nitrite	S	..
1 mg. SO_3 as Na_2SO_3	Sulfite	doubtful	o
2 mg. SO_3 as Na_2SO_3	Sulfite	S	o
20 mg. SO_3 as Na_2SO_3	Sulfite	S	o
400 mg. C_2O_4 as $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$	Carbonate	o	large
5 mg. ClO_3 as KClO_3	Chloride	o	slight
	Chlorine	slight	o
1500 mg. ClO_3 as KClO_3	Chloride	o	large
	Chlorine	slight	large
45 mg. BrO_3 as KBrO_3	Bromide	large	large
	Bromine	large	large
50 mg. S_2O_3 as $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$	Sulfide	large	small
	Sulfur	small	small
2 mg. S_2O_3 as $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$	Sulfide	S	..

P. 101, N. 4. *Detection of Carbonate*.—Several experiments were made in which the first distillate was collected in a weakly ammoniacal solution. It was found that the addition of $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ often failed to precipitate small amounts of carbonate, especially when the NH_4OH had been first neutralized with acetic acid.

P. 101, N. 5 and 9. *Action of Phosphoric Acid and Copper on Sulfates*.—100 mg. SO_4 as Na_2SO_4 were placed in a distilling flask together with 10 cc. of H_3PO_4 and 3-4 grams of fresh Cu turnings, and the mixture was boiled down to the point where faint fumes were first seen: the distillate was found to contain neither H_2SO_3 nor H_2SO_4 . The distillation was then continued 3 to 5 minutes longer and the vapors collected in water: the distillate now smelled strongly of SO_2 , and on adding HCl , Br_2 , and BaCl_2 (P. 112) a large precipitate was obtained. This experiment was repeated with 1 mg. SO_4 , except that the distillate was collected in a dilute NaOH solution: a precipitate of BaSO_4 was obtained in P. 112, which was estimated to be equivalent to the SO_4 taken. The experiment was repeated with no sulfate: a satisfactory blank test resulted. The experiment was repeated with 125 mg. and with 2 mg. SO_4 as precipitated BaSO_4 , the vapors being collected in water; the BaSO_4 precipitates in P. 112 were estimated to contain 20 mg. and 2 mg. SO_4 , respectively.

P. 101, N. 8. *Absorption of Carbon Dioxide from the Air*.—10 cc. H_3PO_4 were added to 25 cc. water, the mixture was placed in the distilling flask and boiled 2-3 minutes, the distillate being collected in nearly saturated solution of $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ contained in a 100 cc. flask fitted with a two hole stopper: a small but distinct precipitate was obtained, becoming larger on cooling. The outlet hole of the receiving flask was fitted with a small soda-lime tube and the distillation repeated: a small precipitate was again

¹ Some free sulfur was also present.

obtained. 10 cc. H_3PO_4 were mixed with 20 cc. water, the mixture was brought to boiling and was then added through the upright safety tube to the distilling apparatus to which the receiving $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ flask had previously been attached: on distilling a few minutes only a very slight turbidity appeared in the $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ solution.

P. 101, N. 7. *Separation of Carbonate and Sulfite*.—Three 15 cc. samples, containing 3 mg. SO_3 and 0, 1, and 2 mg. CO_3 , respectively, were treated with 3 cc. of a saturated $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ solution acidified with $\text{HC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$, with 1 cc. HCl (1.12), and with bromine water till distinctly yellow. The different samples were then distilled into a $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ solution. The first gave a slight turbidity, the second a pronounced turbidity, and the third a distinct precipitate of BaCO_3 .

Several attempts were made to effect the separation of sulfite from carbonate by filtering out BaSO_3 from an acetic acid solution of the carbonate. It was not found possible to prevent the finely divided sulfite from passing through the filter.

P. 103, N. 2. *Distribution Ratios*.—Approximate values of the distribution ratio of iodine and bromine at 25° between certain organic solvents and water are given in the following table:

Solvent.....	CCl_4 .	CHBr_3 .	CS_2 .
Distribution ratio for iodine.....	85	450	600
Distribution ratio for bromine.....	30	66	80

Jakowkin's data¹ show that the change with the concentration of the halogen is small. From the solubility determinations of Bruner,² it seems probable that the distribution ratios for CHCl_3 lie between those for CCl_4 and CHBr_3 .³

According to Jakowkin,⁴ the distribution ratio of the molecular species Cl_2 between CCl_4 and water is 20 at 25° , but that of the total dissolved chlorine is much less at small concentrations; thus it becomes less than unity when the chlorine concentration in water is as small as 2.6 millimols per liter.

The Hydrolysis of Chlorine.—See Jakowkin, *Z. physik. Chem.*, **29**, 613 (1899).

The Hydrolysis of Iodine and Bromine.—See Bray, *THIS JOURNAL*, **32**, 932 (1910); **33**, 1485 (1911).

Potassium Tri-iodide.—See Jakowkin *Z. physik. Chem.*, **20**, 19 (1896); and Bray and Mackay, *THIS JOURNAL*, **32**, 914 (1910).

P. 103, N. 3. *Delicacy of the Tests for Halogens*. *Iodine*.—0.5 mg. I_2 was dissolved in 8 cc. water and 2 cc. 85% H_3PO_4 ,⁵ and shaken with 3 cc. chloroform: the chloroform had a faint but distinct pink color.

Bromine.—0.7 and 0.5 mg. Br_2 were dissolved in 8 cc. water and 2 cc. H_3PO_4 and shaken with 3 cc. chloroform: the chloroform layer had a faint but distinct yellow color in each case. The experiment was repeated with 0.3 mg. Br_2 : the test was unsatisfactory, since the faint yellow color of the chloroform could be observed only by comparison with pure chloroform. The limit for this test is therefore about 0.5 mg. Br_2 in 3 cc. CHCl_3 .⁶

A third of the aqueous phase in the preceding experiment with 0.7 mg. Br_2 was carefully poured into a test tube containing 1 cc. 1% KI solution, and 1 cc. chloroform was added and the mixture shaken. The aqueous solution was practically colorless, but the chloroform showed a very faint pink color. The experiment was repeated

¹ *Z. physik. Chem.*, **18**, 588–90 (1895).

² *Ibid.*, **26**, 147 (1898).

³ Cf. Seidell's "Solubilities."

⁴ *Z. physik. Chem.*, **29**, 634–6 (1899).

⁵ In the first form of the Procedure, phosphoric acid was added in place of acetic acid, but this could hardly influence the delicacy of the tests.

⁶ Cf. C. E. P., 110.

except that the water-chloroform mixture (containing bromine) was shaken before pouring one-third of it into the KI solution: the aqueous layer was yellow and the chloroform layer pink. This last experiment was repeated with the above mixture containing 0.3 mg. Br_2 ; the aqueous layer was faintly yellow and the chloroform distinctly pink, thus showing that a smaller quantity of bromine could have been detected in this procedure.

Bromine in the First Distillate.—2 mg. Br_2 were added to 5 cc. $\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2$ solution, the mixture was heated, cooled, and acidified with acetic acid, an excess of 1 cc. being added. The mixture having a volume of 10 cc. was shaken with 2–3 cc. chloroform: a distinct yellow color resulted, which corresponded to about 1 mg. Br_2 . The experiment was repeated with 1 mg. Br_2 : a faint yellow color resulted, which corresponded to about 0.5 mg. Br_2 . The yellow color disappeared on standing, and special experiments showed that the chief loss was due to volatilization. The experiment was repeated with 10 mg. Br_2 , the Br_2 was extracted 3 times within 3 min., and KI solution and chloroform added to the aqueous layer: a slight pink coloration resulted, which corresponded to about 0.2 mg. Br_2 . This showed that the reaction between bromate and bromide in acetic acid solution was so rapid that the apparent loss of bromine in the first experiments could not be attributed to the presence of bromate.

The first experiment in the preceding paragraph was repeated with 0.3 mg. Br_2 and with 0.2 mg. Br_2 : the chloroform layer was colorless. The mixture was shaken and one-third of it added to a KI solution: the chloroform layer showed a faint but distinct pink color in the first case, but not in the second.

Chlorine.—An amount of bleaching powder containing 1 mg. of available chlorine was treated by P. 101; one-fifth of the distillate was acidified with acetic acid and a few drops of KI solution were added: a distinct liberation of iodine was observed.

A solution containing 20 mg. Cl_2 dissolved in 8 cc. water and 2 cc. 10% NaOH solution was acidified with acetic acid and 5 cc. HNO_3 (1.20) were added. The mixture was shaken with 2 cc. CHCl_3 and then with 3 cc. The amount of chlorine extracted was estimated to be about 12 mg. by adding a little KI solution to the chloroform. A third treatment with CHCl_3 extracted 3 mg. Cl_2 , and the amount remaining in the solution (5 mg.) was estimated by adding chloroform and a little KI solution to the aqueous phase. The experiment was repeated three times, except that 2 cc. 30% acetic acid were added instead of 5 cc. HNO_3 (1.20): the amounts of chlorine extracted in the first two treatments with CHCl_3 were estimated to be 4, 6, and 6 mg., respectively, in the three experiments.

P. 103, N. 4. *Delicacy of the Iodide Test for Nitrous Acid.*—0.1 mg. NO_2 as NaNO_2 was added to 10 cc. water and 1 cc. 30% acetic acid; KI solution and chloroform were added and the mixture shaken; the mixture was allowed to stand 10 min. and again shaken. A distinct pink color was produced at once, and the depth of color increased on standing.

Distribution of Nitrous Acid between Water and Chloroform.—2.0 mg. NO_2 as NaNO_2 were added to 10 cc. water and 1 cc. acetic acid, and the mixture was extracted twice with chloroform; KI was then added to the chloroform layer: an amount of iodine was liberated which corresponded to less than 0.1 mg. NO_2 . The experiment was repeated with 15 mg. NO_2 : a very distinct test for iodine was obtained when KI was added to the chloroform layer, and the amount of iodine increased on standing.

P. 103, N. 5. *Action of Bromine and Iodine on Nitrous Acid.*—3.5 mg. Br_2 in 1 cc. water were added to 2 cc. of a 1% solution of NO_2 as NaNO_2 : the color of the bromine disappeared immediately. The same result was obtained in the presence of acetic acid.

To a solution of 1 mg. NO_2 as NaNO_2 in water containing 1 cc. acetic acid, an ex-

cess of I_2 was added. After 10 minutes the solution was extracted three times with fresh portions of $CHCl_3$, and the aqueous layer was then shaken with fresh $CHCl_3$ and KI solution: there resulted a slight pink color, corresponding to 0.2 mg. I_2 .

10 mg. NO_2 as $NaNO_2$ and 10 mg. I_2 (about one-fifth of the amount necessary to oxidize the nitrite to nitrate) in 10 cc. H_2O were distilled with dilute H_3PO_4 according to P. 101. The first distillate was collected in barium hydroxide solution and acidified with acetic acid: it gave no test for nitrous acid with urea by P. 104, and a strong test for I_2 on extracting with $CHCl_3$.

P. 103, N. 6. See above, N. 2 and 3.

P. 104, N. 2. *Delicacy of the Urea Test for Nitrite.*—0.1 mg. NO_2 as $NaNO_2$ in 1 cc. H_2O was added to a 20% solution of urea in HCl (1.12): a large number of very minute bubbles formed slowly and rose to the top of the inverted tube. The experiment was repeated with 1.0 mg.: the bubbles formed quickly and united to a large bubble at the top of the tube. The experiment was repeated with 1 cc. water which had been shaken with air: a few minute bubbles appeared at once, but not nearly so many as in the experiment with 0.1 mg. NO_2 . The same experiments were tried with a 20% solution of urea in H_2SO_4 (1.20): the results were the same.

P. 104, N. 3. *Behavior of Halogens in the Urea Test for Nitrite.*—10 mg. Br_2 were dissolved in 1 cc. $Ba(OH)_2$ solution and added to a solution of urea in HCl : a few minute bubbles (of air) appeared, which corresponded in amount to the test with 1 cc. water (preceding paragraph). The experiment was repeated, except that the solution of Br_2 in $Ba(OH)_2$ was first acidified with HCl : the result was the same. The last experiment was repeated with a solution of chlorine, using a 20% solution of urea in H_2SO_4 : there was no evolution of gas.

P. 105, N. 2. *Decomposition of Difficultly Soluble Sulfides by Phosphoric Acid.*—See C. E. P., 101.

P. 106, N. 2. *Delicacy of the Test for Cyanide.*—Several separate solutions containing 0.1 and 0.2 mg. CN as KCN in 10 cc. were tested by P. 106. A good test was invariably found with 0.2 mg. and sometimes with 0.1 mg. The experiment with 0.2 mg. CN was repeated except that the $FeCl_3$ was added after boiling: a good test was obtained. The last experiment was twice repeated except that 4.0 cc. 10% $NaOH$ solution were added instead of 1.0 cc.: the test was very satisfactory but seemed slightly less distinct than before.

P. 106, N. 3. *Relative Solubilities of Complex Cyanides.*—See Abegg and Bodländer, *Z. anorg. Chem.*, 20, 453 (1899). Böttger, *Qual. Analyse*, 287, 289, 291, 191, 391, 396, and 432-5 (1908).

P. 106, N. 4. *Delicacy of the Test for Cyanide in the Presence of Ferro and Ferricyanide.*—2 mg. CN as KCN were tested by the procedure described in Note 4: a distinct test for cyanide was obtained in the distillate. The experiment was repeated first with 30 mg. $Fe(CN)_6$ as $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ and then with 30 mg. $Fe(CN)_6$ as $K_4Fe(CN)_6$: no CN was found in the distillate in either experiment. The experiment was repeated with a mixture of 2 mg. CN as KCN and 40 mg. $Fe(CN)_6$ as $K_3Fe(CN)_6$: a distinct test for CN was obtained in the distillate.

P. 106, N. 5. *The Blue Iron-cyanide Compounds.*—Hofmann, Heine and Höchtlen, *Liebig's Ann.*, 337, 1 (1904).

P. 106, N. 6. *Separation of Ferro and Ferricyanides.*¹—To 0.2 mg. $Fe(CN)_6$ as $K_4Fe(CN)_6$ in 10 cc. water were added a little acetic acid, 1 cc. 4% $AgNO_3$ solution, and finally excess of NH_4OH : a small white precipitate formed in the acid solution and did not dissolve on the addition of NH_4OH . This was collected in a filter, washed with water and moistened with a dilute solution of $FeCl_3$: the precipitate turned blue

¹ See also Böttger, *Qual. Analyse*, 392, 292 (1908).

at once. The experiment was repeated with 0.2 mg. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ as $\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$: A small brown precipitate formed in the acid solution but dissolved in NH_4OH . On acidifying with acetic acid, filtering, washing with water, and adding FeSO_4 solution the precipitate turned blue. The last experiment was repeated several times with 50 mg. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ as $\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ and 1 mg. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ as $\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$: the test for ferricyanide failed. 50 mg. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ as $\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ and 1 mg. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ as $\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ were dissolved in 10 cc. water, 2 cc. 1% KI solution, and 2 cc. chloroform were added, and HCl (1.20) was added drop by drop: on shaking, the chloroform layer became purple.

P. 110. Separation and Detection of the Halogens. Test Analyses.—Known amounts of KI, KBr, and KCl were dissolved in about 15 cc. water, and the mixtures were analyzed by P. 110. The following table shows the milligrams of I, Br, and Cl taken in each experiment, and the results obtained. The letter S signifies that the test or the blank was satisfactory. This was found to be true in every case.

Expt.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
I . . .	1 S	0.5 S	100 S	100 S	100 S	100 S	0 S	0 S	0 S
Br . .	0—	0—	0 S	1 S	0 S	1 S	0 S	100 S	100 S
Cl . .	0—	0—	0 S	0 S	500 S	500 S	1 S	0 S	1 S

Liberation of Bromine in the Iodide Test.—To solutions containing 100 mg. Br as KBr dissolved in 15 cc. water were added 5 cc. CS_2 , known amounts of a 10% sodium acetate solution, 1 cc. 30% acetic acid, and 20 cc. 0.1 N KMnO_4 solution; after 1 hour the mixtures were shaken, the CS_2 was drawn off, and the amount of Br_2 liberated was estimated from the color of the CS_2 : with 1 cc. 10% acetate solution about 5 mg. Br_2 were liberated; with 2 cc. about 1 mg.; and with 5 cc. not enough Br_2 to give a color to the CS_2 . In the last experiment, the proportion of acetate to acetic acid was the same as in the Procedure, but the KMnO_4 added and the time allowed was much greater. The result obtained therefore shows that there is no danger of liberating Br_2 under the conditions of the Procedure.

Action of Permanganate on Iodide to Form Iodate.—50 mg. I as KI in a volume of 15 cc. were treated with 5 cc. 10% $\text{NaC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$, 1 cc. 30% $\text{HC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$, and 15 cc. 0.1 N KMnO_4 solution (in the absence of CS_2). After standing 10 minutes the mixture was shaken several times with CS_2 until the last CS_2 portion was colorless. The water layer was treated with H_2SO_4 until colorless, allowed to stand 5 minutes, and then tested with CS_2 and KMnO_4 as described in the procedure: a very satisfactory test for iodide was obtained. This shows that iodate had been formed by the action of KMnO_4 on iodine (or iodide), and that the iodate was reduced by the treatment with H_2SO_4 . The experiment was repeated with 1 mg. I as KI: no iodine was extracted by the first treatment with CS_2 , thus showing that the initial presence of CS_2 and shaking are essential if a small amount of iodide is to be detected when excess of KMnO_4 is added. The experiment with 50 mg. I as KI was repeated except that CS_2 was initially present and that the iodine was extracted at once instead of after 10 minutes: some iodate was formed, but much less than in the above experiment.

Effect of Iodate on the Bromide Test.—A solution containing 100 mg. Br as KBr and 500 mg. KIO_3 in 20 cc. was treated with 5 cc. 10% $\text{NaC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$ and 1 cc. 30% $\text{HC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$ and allowed to stand 10 minutes. The mixture was shaken with 5 cc. CHCl_3 , and the CHCl_3 layer was drawn off and tested with a few drops of KI: there was no color, thus showing that no bromine would be liberated in the iodide test owing to the presence of iodate. 5 cc. chloroform and 5 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.20) were then added to the aqueous layer: bromine was liberated in large amount. The experiment was then repeated with 1 mg. Br as KBr and 500 mg. KIO_3 . After adding the H_2SO_4 the CHCl_3 layer showed the bromine color, and iodine was liberated on adding a few drops KI to the

CHCl_3 layer. This shows that the presence of iodate does not interfere with the test for bromine.

Liberation of Chlorine in the Bromide Test.—500 mg. Cl as NaCl in a volume of 15 cc. were treated with 1 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.20) and 5 cc. 0.2 N KMnO_4 , allowed to stand 30 minutes, and extracted with 5 cc. CHCl_3 ; the CHCl_3 was colorless, and on adding a few drops of KI the small amount of iodine liberated was estimated to correspond to about 0.3 mg. Cl_2 . A solution containing 500 mg. Cl as NaCl and 100 mg. KIO_3 in 15 cc. was treated with 2 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.20) and 15 cc. 0.1 N KMnO_4 solution, and 5 cc. CHCl_3 were added: the CHCl_3 layer remained colorless. After 5 minutes the mixture was shaken, the CHCl_3 drawn off and treated with a few drops of KI solution: the purple color showed the presence of about 0.2 mg. Cl_2 . 5 cc. more CHCl_3 were then added and tested in the same way with KI after 25 minutes: the amount of iodine liberated showed the presence of about 1 mg. Cl_2 . These experiments show that the liberation of chlorine in the bromide test is very slow, even in the presence of iodate and of large amounts of chloride. There is, therefore, no danger of oxidizing a small amount of chloride completely to chlorine.

Complete Removal of Bromide by Boiling.—A solution of 150 mg. Br as KBr in 20 cc. was treated with 2 cc. of H_2SO_4 (1.20) and 18 cc. of 0.2 N KMnO_4 , and boiled for about 2 minutes until the odor of bromine could no longer be detected. The solution was filtered and treated with 2 cc. of H_2SO_4 and 5 cc. of KMnO_4 , allowed to stand 5 minutes, and extracted with CHCl_3 ; no bromine was found.

Non-Removal of Chloride by Boiling with Permanganate in the Presence of Sulphuric Acid.—1 mg. Cl as chloride in 20 cc. was treated with 3 cc. H_2SO_4 (1.20) and 15 cc. of 0.2 N KMnO_4 , boiled for 3 minutes, and extracted with CHCl_3 ; the water layer was diluted, treated with H_2SO_3 to decolorize the excess of KMnO_4 , and to it AgNO_3 and HNO_3 were added: a distinct precipitate was formed, while a blank test carried out in a similar manner gave only a slight turbidity at this point.

Non-Effect of Thiocyanate on the Test for Chloride.—A solution of 20 mg. SCN as KSCN in 20 cc. was treated with 3 cc. of H_2SO_4 (1.20) and with 12 cc. of 0.2 N KMnO_4 ; this was decolorized as fast as it was added, with separation of MnO_2 ; and the clear colorless filtrate gave no color on adding FeCl_3 , nor any precipitate on treating with HNO_3 and AgNO_3 .

Delicacy of the Color Test for Free Bromine in Chloroform and Other Solvents.—1, 0.5, 0.3, and 0.0 mg. Br as KBr in 20 cc. water were each treated with 2 cc. of H_2SO_4 (1.20) and 1 cc. of 0.2 N KMnO_4 ; after standing 10 minutes each solution was extracted with 5 cc. CHCl_3 , and the chloroform layer washed once with water: the 1 mg. sample gave a pronounced color to the CHCl_3 , the 0.5 mg. one gave a distinct but faint color. Similar experiments with CS_2 and CCl_4 gave similar results; but the tests with these solvents seemed to be a little less delicate than with CHCl_3 .

P. 111, N. 1. *Delicacy of Nitrate Test.*—0.2 mg. NO_3 as NaNO_3 in 10 cc. water were treated by P. 111: a distinct liberation of iodine in the distillate resulted on adding H_2SO_4 and KI. 2 mg. NO_3 were treated by P. 101, and one-third of the distillate from this procedure was treated by P. 111: a good test for nitrate was obtained.

P. 111, N. 2. *Interference of Iodide with the Nitrate Test and Its Removal.*—50 mg. I as KI were put through P. 111. On making the distillate acid a large amount of iodine was liberated.

50 mg. I as KI and 0.3 mg. NO_3 as NaNO_3 in 10 cc. water were treated with 15 cc. of a nearly saturated $\text{AgC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$ solution, boiled 1 minute, allowed to stand a short time, and filtered; and the filtrate was treated by P. 111: on making the distillate acid with H_2SO_4 no iodine was liberated and on adding a few drops of KI solution a distinct yellow color was given to the mixture. This experiment was repeated with 50 mg.

I and no NO_3 : a little color due to liberated iodine was observed in the final test, but distinctly less than in the preceding experiment with 0.3 mg. NO_3 . This small test was later shown to be due to a trace of nitrate existing as impurity in the $\text{AgC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$ used.

Non-Interference of Cyanide in the Nitrate Test.—A solution of 100 mg. CN as KCN and 1 mg. NO_3 as NaNO_3 in 10 cc. water was treated by P. 111: a small but distinct color resulted in the distillate on adding acid and KI. The experiment was repeated with 100 mg. CN as KCN and no NO_3 in 10 cc. water: the distillate treated with acid and KI gave no color.

Interference of Thiocyanate in the Nitrate Test.—0.5 mg. NO_3 as NaNO_3 and 100 mg. SCN as KSCN in 10 cc. water were treated by P. 111: the distillate smelled strongly of H_2S and gave no liberation of iodine when treated with acid and KI.

P. 112, N. 1. *Delicacy of Test for Sulfate.*—See C. E., P. 101, N. 5 and 9.

P. 113, N. 2. *Delicacy of the Borate Test.*—2 mg. BO_2 as $\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$ were treated by P. 113: a distinct color resulted. On setting fire to the orange tinted solution to which the turmeric had been added, no green color was noticeable in the flame. The experiment was repeated with 5 mg. BO_2 : a good test with turmeric was obtained; and a flash of green could be seen just at the moment of setting fire to the solution.

P. 113, N. 2. *Use of Ethyl Alcohol in the Borate Test.*—5 mg. BO_2 as $\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$ were treated by P. 113, except that $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ was substituted for the CH_3OH : the color obtained with turmeric was so slight as to be doubtful.

P. 114. *The Test for Fluoride.*—This method was described by Berzelius. The directions in P. 114 are similar to those given by Brush-Penfield, *Determinative Mineralogy and Blowpipe Analysis*.

A known small amount of F as powdered fluorite, CaF_2 , mixed with a few milligrams powdered SiO_2 and about 50 mg. powdered KHSO_4 , was heated in a small bulb blown on a tube of 5 mm. bore, and was treated as described in the Procedure: there was a ring of SiO_2 in the tube about 4 cm. from the bulb, and the etching in the lower part of the tube was very distinct even in the experiment with 0.5 mg. F. The experiment with 0.5 mg. F as CaF_2 was repeated with no silica but in the presence of 500 mg. KHSO_4 and with a large bulb: the ring test was small but distinct, but the etching test was unsatisfactory. Several experiments were tried in the absence of CaF_2 : satisfactory blanks were always obtained.

The experiment was repeated with 20 mg. CaF_2 , 20 mg. SiO_2 and about 100 mg. KHSO_4 . The tube was closed by a small rubber stopper through which a small capillary tube moistened on the inside passed to about 4 cm. from the bulb: there was a heavy ring of deposited solid in the entrance to the capillary and on the wall of the outside tube near the end of the capillary tube. This indicates that there is no tendency for the SiF_4 to escape from the tube. The experiment was repeated with 0.5 mg. F as CaF_2 : there was a distinct ring test on the wall of the large tube, but none in the capillary tube. There is therefore no advantage in inserting the moist capillary.

P. 114. *Modification of the Fluoride Test.*—4, 2 and 1 mg. F as solid CaF_2 were mixed with 50–100 mg. ignited precipitated SiO_2 in a dry weighing tube, and 8–10 drops H_2SO_4 (1.84) were added; a wet glass rod with a drop of water hanging from it was suspended from a stopper in the tube, and the mixture was warmed gently: upon heating there was in each case a distinct effervescence, and in the 4 mg. and 2 mg. experiments the wet walls of the rod, but not the drop of water, became distinctly opaque; in the 1 mg. experiment, the opaqueness produced was so slight as to be doubtful, thus showing that this form of the test is less delicate than that described in the Procedure.

P. 116, N. 4. *Reaction between Sodium and Certain Insoluble Substances.*—See Mulliken, *Identification of Organic Compounds*, Vol. 1.

2 mg. AgI were fused with sodium as described in P. 116, N. 4, and the solution tested by P. 110: a distinct test for iodide was obtained. 16 mg. BaSO₄ were fused with sodium, and the acetic acid solution was treated by P. 105: a large yellow precipitate of CdS formed. The last experiment was repeated with 4.4 mg. S as MoS₂ (molybdenite): a CdS precipitate was obtained, whose size indicated the complete decomposition of the MoS₂.

P. 117, N. 2 and 4. *Delicacy of the Test for Hypochlorite.*—In each experiment a known amount of an alkaline NaClO solution (made by treating a known weight of bleaching powder with a small excess of Na₂CO₃ solution to which some NaOH was added and filtering) was diluted to 5 cc. with water; 1 cc. 30% HAc and then 2 cc. 20% PbAc₂ soln. were added, and the mixtures were heated to boiling and allowed to stand for ten minutes: in the experiments with less than 0.5 mg. ClO, the solutions remained perfectly clear. In that with 0.5 mg. ClO a small, brown precipitate appeared within ten minutes. With 1.0 mg. ClO the brown precipitate was very distinct in one minute, and with 10 mg. it formed while the mixture was being heated.

The experiments were repeated, except that no acetic acid was added: the results were the same, except that small white precipitates of Pb(OH)₂ always formed, and that in experiments with 0.2 and 0.3 mg. ClO these turned brown in 10 or 15 minutes.

The experiments with and without acetic acid were repeated, except that after the addition of the lead acetate the mixtures were allowed to stand at room temperature: in experiments with 50 mg. ClO the brown precipitate formed almost immediately, while with 10 mg. ClO it appeared within 1 to 5 minutes.

Effect of the Presence of Nitric Acid.—The experiments described in the preceding section were repeated, except that 0.5 cc. HNO₃ (1.20) was added instead of acetic acid and 2 cc. 10% Pb(NO₃)₂ solution instead of lead acetate solution: the solutions remained clear and colorless even in the experiment with 50 mg. ClO. These experiments were repeated except that no nitric acid was added: white precipitates of Pb(OH)₂ were formed and turned brown as in the experiments with PbAc₂ in the absence of acetic acid, but the limit of detectability was about 1 mg. ClO.

Solubility of Lead Hypochlorite.—To 10 mg. ClO as NaClO dissolved in 10 cc. slightly alkaline solution were added 2 cc. 20% Pb(Ac)₂ solution; the mixture was shaken and filtered: the white precipitate turned brown on the filter. The filtrate was heated to boiling: a dark brown precipitate formed quickly, and showed the presence of at least 5 mg. ClO in the filtrate. It is to be noted that there is no evidence that any Pb(ClO)₂ precipitated.

Effect of the Presence of Carbonate and of the Absence of Excess of Lead Acetate.—In each experiment a known amount of ClO as NaClO was dissolved in 10 cc. 1% Na₂CO₃ solution in a casserole; 2 to 3 cc. 20% PbAc₂ solution were added, and the mixture was boiled vigorously: the brown color showed at once on heating with 10 mg. ClO, quickly with 2 mg. ClO, and was slight but distinct with 1 mg. ClO; in the last case it became more distinct on vigorous boiling. In some of these cases a portion of the solution was filtered off and Na₂CO₃ added: the formation of a white precipitate showed the presence of lead salt in excess.

The experiment with 10 mg. ClO was repeated, except that only 1 cc. 5% PbAc₂ was added: the test failed. In this and in several other experiments in which the test failed, the solutions were filtered off and shown not to contain lead in excess.

P. 117, N. 3. *Action of Lead Salts on Peroxides and on Hydrogen Peroxide.*—0.1 g. Na₂O₂ was dissolved in 10 cc. water and 2 cc. 20% PbAc₂ solution added: a brown precipitate formed at once, and remained when the mixture was boiled. The experiment was repeated with about 1 mg. Na₂O₂: a brown turbidity appeared at once. The experiment with 1 mg. Na₂O₂ was repeated, except that the PbAc₂ solution was poured

over the dry Na_2O_2 : a dark brown, almost black, precipitate formed at once. The experiment with 1 mg. Na_2O_2 was repeated in the presence of 0.5 cc. 10% Na_2CO_3 solution: the brown color could not be detected in the large white precipitate.

The experiment with 0.1 g. Na_2O_2 was repeated, except that the solution was made slightly acid with acetic acid (the presence of H_2O_2 in the solution was shown by adding a drop of a titanium sulfate solution to a small portion of the mixture): lead acetate solution gave no precipitate even when the mixture was boiled.

To 1 cc. 30% H_2O_2 was added 1 cc. 20% PbAc_2 solution: a brown turbidity appeared, but violent evolution of gas began almost at once and the brown color vanished. On heating the mixture to boiling, no precipitate formed. The experiment was repeated except that 1 drop 30% acetic acid was also added: the solution remained clear at room temperature, but on heating a violent evolution of gas began, and the mixture remained clear and colorless.

P. 118, N. 1. *The Test for Chlorate*.—5 cc. of the H_2SO_3 solution used in the following experiments were equivalent to about 15 cc. of the 4% AgNO_3 solution. Blank experiments were performed in which 10 cc. 4% AgNO_3 solution, 80 cc. water, and known amounts of HNO_3 (1.20) were mixed and 5 cc. H_2SO_3 solution added: a large white precipitate of Ag_2SO_3 formed in the presence of 3 cc. HNO_3 (1.20), but none in the presence of 4 cc. or 5 cc., even on heating to boiling. The experiments were repeated with 10–20 cc. water, instead of 80 cc.: the results were the same.

The experiment with 5 cc. HNO_3 (1.20) in 100 cc. was repeated in the presence of 1 mg. ClO_3 as KClO_3 : within 3 minutes at room temperature the mixture was turbid, and within ten minutes the precipitate was very distinct. This experiment was repeated in the presence of 25 cc. HNO_3 (1.20) instead of 5 cc.: the precipitate of AgCl formed somewhat more quickly than in the experiment with less acid, and was very distinct in less than 3 minutes. The experiment with 5 cc. HNO_3 in 100 cc. was repeated in the presence of 3 mg. ClO_3 as KClO_3 : the precipitate appeared almost at once and increased rapidly in amount.

Reduction of Hypochlorite by Arsenite.—The hypochlorite solution used was that described above in C. E., P. 117, N. 2, and was two weeks old. 100 mg. ClO as NaClO were dissolved in 50 cc. water and 10 cc. HNO_3 (1.20), 10 cc. 10% alkalin Na_3AsO_3 solution were added slowly, and at intervals a few drops of the solution were placed on filter paper moistened with KI solution. Even with this large excess of arsenite a very faint test for iodine was still obtained, doubtless due to the equilibrium, $2\text{HI} + \text{H}_3\text{AsO}_4 = \text{H}_3\text{AsO}_3 + \text{I}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$. AgNO_3 solution was then added in excess, the precipitate filtered off, and the filtrate tested for chlorate by P. 118: a precipitate of AgCl was obtained which was estimated to correspond to 3 to 5 mg. ClO_3 , which amount was probably present in the original NaClO solution.

P. 118, N. 2. *Action of H_2S on AgBr Suspended in Water*.—To 100 mg. Br as freshly precipitated AgBr were added about 70 cc. water and a few drops HNO_3 (1.20), and H_2S was passed into the mixture for 10 or 15 minutes: the white precipitate turned black almost immediately. The liquid was decanted through a filter, and the precipitate was treated repeatedly by the same process, except that in the fourth and fifth treatments the mixture was heated to boiling before filtration. Each filtrate was boiled until the H_2S was expelled, AgNO_3 solution was added, and the amounts of Br extracted by the successive treatments were estimated from the size of the precipitates to be about 50, 20, 10, 15 and 3 mg., respectively. This shows that about half the bromide had been extracted in the first treatment, even in the cold. The experiment was repeated with 1 mg. Br as AgBr : a good test for bromide resulted. A blank experiment was also made, which gave a very satisfactory negative result.

100 mg. Br as freshly precipitated AgBr were suspended in 25 cc. water (not con-

taining any HNO_3), H_2S was passed through for 15 minutes, the mixture heated to boiling, the liquid decanted through a filter, and AgNO_3 added: a precipitate of AgBr resulted which was apparently nearly as large as the amount taken. The residue from the H_2S treatment was washed and heated with HNO_3 (1.20): the residue (of AgBr and S) was less than one-fifth of the amount taken.

P. 119. *Delicacy of the Sulfite and Thiosulfate Tests.*—2 mg. SO_3 as Na_2SO_3 were dissolved in 8 cc. water and 2 cc. 10% Na_2CO_3 ; 3 cc. 10% $\text{Sr}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ solution were added, the mixture was acidified with acetic acid and the clear solution heated to boiling: a finely divided precipitate separated at once. After 15 minutes this was filtered off and treated as described in the Procedure: the precipitate of BaSO_4 was distinct within 5 minutes after adding the bromine. The experiment was repeated twice with 1 mg. SO_3 as Na_2SO_3 : in each case the clear solution became slightly turbid on boiling, and a small but distinct confirmatory test was obtained. The experiment was repeated several times in the absence of sulfite: the solutions remained clear on boiling. In some cases a flocculent precipitate of SrCO_3 formed while the mixture was being heated, but it dissolved on the addition of two or three drops of acetic acid.

Solutions containing 3 mg. S_2O_3 as $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ in 8 cc. water and 2 cc. 10% Na_2CO_3 were treated as described in the preceding paragraph. To the resulting clear solutions were added 2 cc. HCl (1.20), and the mixtures were heated to boiling and allowed to stand: white precipitates of sulfur usually appeared within half an hour. The experiment was repeated twice with 2 mg. S_2O_3 ; the solutions remained clear for about three hours, but were distinctly turbid in four hours. The experiment was repeated twice with 1 mg. S_2O_3 : the solutions appeared to be nearly clear on standing over night, but became turbid when the mixtures were then shaken and allowed to stand half an hour longer. These experiments were repeated with an excess of 8 cc. HCl (1.20) instead of 2 cc.: in an experiment with 3 mg. S_2O_3 the solution was clear after 1 hour, but became turbid over night, while in the experiments with 2 and 1 mg. no precipitates formed even on standing 24 hours. This shows that a large excess of acid interferes with the test.

To 1 mg. S_2O_3 as $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ dissolved in 10 cc. water were added 2 cc. HCl (1.12); the mixture was heated to boiling and allowed to stand: there was a small but distinct turbidity in half an hour which increased on standing.

Effect of Excess of Acetic Acid on the Thiosulfate Test.—100 mg. S_2O_3 as $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ in 8 cc. water and 2 cc. 10% Na_2CO_3 solution were tested for sulfite by the process described in the preceding section: the solution remained clear on boiling. The experiment was repeated, except that an excess of 5 cc. 30% acetic acid was added: the solution became turbid when it was boiled, but the small sulfur precipitate did not increase in amount when the mixture was set aside at room temperature. The experiment was repeated with an excess of only 1 cc. 30% acetic acid: a faint turbidity appeared when the mixture was boiled; the mixture was slightly turbid after 1 hour, but was clear after three hours.

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE INSTITUTE OF ANIMAL NUTRITION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE COLLEGE.]

ADIABATIC DEVICE FOR BOMB CALORIMETER.

By J. A. FRIES.

Received January 29, 1912.

The value of an adiabatic equipment to a bomb calorimeter lies in the fact that such a device, if only simple enough, may be a means both of saving time and of greater accuracy in determining the heat of com-