Overview of the MEDLI Project

Michael J. Gazarik
NASA Langley Research Center
MS 468
Hampton, VA 23681
757-864-1243
Michael.J.Gazarik@Nasa.Gov

Michael J. Wright
NASA Ames Research Center
MS 230-2
Moffett Field, CA 94035
650-604-4210
Michael.J.Wright@Nasa.Gov

Alan Little, F. McNeil Cheatwood, Jeff A. Herath, Michelle M. Munk, Frank J. Novak
NASA Langley Research Center
MS 434
Hampton, VA 23681
757-864-1656
A.Little@Nasa.Gov
F.M.Cheatwood@Nasa.Gov
Jeffrey.A.Herath@Nasa.Gov
Michelle.M.Munk@Nasa.gov
Frank.J.Novak@Nasa.Gov

Edward R. Martinez
NASA Ames Research Center
MS 230-2
Moffett Field, CA 94035
Edward.R.Martinez@Nasa.Gov

Abstract—The Mars Science Laboratory Entry, Descent, and Landing Instrumentation (MEDLI) Project’s objectives are to measure aerothermal environments, sub-surface heatshield material response, vehicle orientation, and atmospheric density for the atmospheric entry and descent phases of the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) entry vehicle. The flight science objectives of MEDLI directly address the largest uncertainties in the ability to design and validate a robust Mars entry system, including aerothermal, aerodynamic and atmosphere models, and thermal protection system (TPS) design. The instrumentation suite will be installed in the heatshield of the MSL entry vehicle.

The acquired data will support future Mars entry and aerocapture missions by providing measured atmospheric data to validate Mars atmosphere models and clarify the design margins for future Mars missions. MEDLI thermocouple and recession sensor data will significantly improve the understanding of aeroheating and TPS performance uncertainties for future missions. MEDLI pressure data will permit more accurate trajectory reconstruction, as well as separation of aerodynamic and atmospheric uncertainties in the hypersonic and supersonic regimes. This paper provides an overview of the project

including the instrumentation design, system architecture, and expected measurement response.^{1,2}

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.	MEASUREMENT OBJECTIVES.....	4
3.	SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE	5
4.	SUMMARY	9
5.	REFERENCES.....	10
6.	BIOGRAPHY.....	10

1. INTRODUCTION

MSL is taxing the limits of current modeling capabilities for Mars entry missions. Aeroheating uncertainties are greater than 50% on the heatshield, due primarily to early transition to turbulence, surface chemistry, and ablation induced roughness. A primary source of this uncertainty is a lack of relevant flight data to validate and improve computational models. Flight data are required for engineering validation

¹ _____

¹ “U.S. Government work not protected by U.S. copyright.”

² IEEEAC paper #1510, Version 3, Updated December 15, 2007

of atmospheric models especially in terms of Martian atmospheric winds and density, aerothermodynamics models, entry system aerodynamics models, and TPS response models. The data obtained will allow validation of Earth-based physical models and subscale testing procedures for the Martian carbon-dioxide atmosphere. In addition, Entry, Descent, and Landing (EDL) flight data will allow separation of the atmospheric and aerodynamic performance issues that is not possible with inertial data alone. Uncertainties in these models are significant, and limit the ability to design and validate a more robust EDL architecture for future planetary missions.

The database of EDL flight data is sparse, with the bulk of data acquired in the 1960s, primarily in support of the Apollo program. Furthermore, for the most part, these data sets have not been critically evaluated or used for code-validation purposes in more than 30 years.¹ Recent attempts to propose dedicated flight experiments have failed to reach fruition, largely because of budget constraints and competing mission objectives. While many early planetary missions contained at least a minimal set of engineering instruments (including Pioneer, Venus, Viking, and Galileo), attempts to instrument recent science mission vehicles have been largely unsuccessful. This policy change was mainly because of conflicting mission assurance concerns and the high priority on utilization of limited financial resources for science payloads.

While a brief highlight of MEDLI-relevant EDL flight experience is given here, a more comprehensive summary of aeroheating flight data is provided in [1] and an overview of the flush air data system flown on the Shuttle is given in [2].

In 1976, the two Viking entry vehicles were instrumented with pressure and temperature sensors and provided the first aerodynamic flight data on Mars. Both probes were 70-degree sphere-cones with an ablative forebody heat shield made of a lightweight silicone-based ablator, called SLA-561V, injected into a phenolic fiberglass honeycomb structure. Atmospheric properties along the reconstructed flight trajectories are provided by Seiff and Kirk.³ Each probe included a base pressure sensor and two surface-mounted aft-body temperature sensors: one on the fiberglass inner cone and one on the aluminum skin of the outer cone.⁴ The base pressure sensor was mounted inside the vented aeroshell. Three of the four temperature sensors functioned as expected, but the sensor on the aluminum outer cone of the Viking I entry vehicle failed near the peak heating point. Edquist et. al examined the aft-body thermocouple data on Viking I and showed that modern computational tools generally under-predict the measured heating rates.⁵

The Shuttle Entry Air Data System (SEADS) was a flush mounted orifice air data system in the nose cap of the Shuttle Orbiter. It provided accurate data across the Orbiter speed range throughout the sensible atmospheric flight

region. Fourteen pressure ports were located in the shape of a cruciform in the nose cap. Acquired data, when coupled with static pressure ports mounted on the fuselage, permitted computation of angle of attack, angle of side slip, Mach number, and velocity.²

In 1997, the entry vehicle of Mars Pathfinder was instrumented with nine thermocouples (TCs) embedded at various depths within the vehicle's TPS (also SLA-561V) and three platinum resistance thermometers (PRTs) used to provide a reference calibration point for the TC data.¹ Three of the nine thermocouples (including two of three on the backshell) returned no useful data, and apparently failed prior to or during launch.⁶ Of the other six thermocouples, the temperature data from those on the heatshield (TC2-TC6) is incorrect because the cold-junction temperature from PRT2 was pegged at the low temperature cutoff during entry. This calibration error was partially corrected with pre-launch calibration data, and the resulting reconstructed heat flux was consistent with approximately 85% of the predicted laminar fully catalytic level.⁶

The MEDLI dataset will provide the first non-Earth entry aeroheating data since the Pathfinder mission, and will provide more than an order of magnitude more data than all previous Mars entry missions combined. The acquired data will help answer some of the fundamental questions relating to leeside turbulent heating levels, forebody transition, and TPS material response in a carbon dioxide atmosphere, and will permit a more accurate trajectory reconstruction, as well as enable separation of aerodynamic and atmospheric uncertainties in the hypersonic and supersonic regimes.

Overview of MSL

The MSL EDL system is a new architecture based on Viking heritage technologies and designed to meet the challenges of landing larger mass payloads on Mars.⁷ In accordance with level-1 requirements, the MSL EDL system is being designed to land an 850 kg rover to altitudes as high as 1 km above the Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA) defined areoid and within 10 km of the desired landing site. Accordingly, MSL will enter and land the greatest entry mass, fly the largest aeroshell, generate the highest hypersonic lift-to-drag ratio, and deploy the largest Disk-Gap-Band supersonic parachute of any previous mission to Mars. One primary departure from heritage design is the use of the sky crane terminal descent system, which will replace the Pathfinder heritage airbags and lower the rover to the Mars surface on a tether. A second major departure from Viking heritage may be the choice of heatshield TPS material. Due to the high heating and shear environments encountered during MSL entry, the program has recently baselined Phenolic Impregnated Carbon Ablator (PICA) as the heatshield TPS material, with SLA-561V carried as a backup. PICA has flown previously on Stardust,⁷ and is the current baseline material for NASA's Orion Crew Exploration Vehicle (CEV) heatshield. One

important distinction is that PICA will require a tiled ablator integration, whereas SLA is a monolithic material embedded in a honeycomb structure.

Major EDL events include the hypersonic guided entry, supersonic parachute deploy and inflation, subsonic heatshield jettison, terminal descent sensor acquisition, powered descent initiation, sky crane terminal descent, rover touchdown detection, and descent stage flyaway. As shown in Figure 1, MSL contains five major subsystems. The cruise stage provides protection and support to the entry vehicle and rover throughout the in-space cruise phase and is jettisoned prior to atmospheric entry. The backshell and heatshield form the entry vehicle which houses the rover and descent stage. While descending on the subsonic parachute, the heatshield is separated from the entry vehicle. The descent stage then separates from the backshell and performs the terminal descent, and lowers the rover to the Martian surface. The major phases of the MSL EDL sequence are discussed in greater detail in [8].

Figure 1. MSL Major Subsystems.

Overview of MEDLI instrumentation

The MEDLI instrumentation suite consists of seven pressure ports and seven integrated sensor plugs, as well as supporting electronics and wiring. The suite consists of three main subsystems:

1. MEDLI Integrated Sensor Plug (MISP)
A circular plug formed from the heatshield TPS material containing four embedded thermocouples and a recession sensor.
2. Mars Entry Atmospheric Data System (MEADS)
A series of through-holes, or ports, in the TPS that connect via tubing to pressure transducers mounted on the heatshield interior.

3. Sensor Support Electronics (SSE)
Electronics that condition sensor signals, provide power to the sensors, and interface to the MSL data acquisition system.

All instrumentation is installed in the forebody heatshield of the MSL entry vehicle at locations determined by the science team to maximize the value of the aerothermal, aerodynamic, and TPS material response data. The stacked thermocouples will record temperature data at varying depths in the heatshield TPS. The recession sensors will measure an isotherm in the thermal protection system as it ablates during atmospheric entry. The pressure ports are arranged in a bilaterally symmetric pattern about the stagnation point to provide a flush atmospheric data system from which aerodynamic data can be computed.

Figure 2. Locations of MISP plugs and MEADS ports on the MSL heatshield.

The locations of the pressure ports (P) and sensor plugs (T) are shown in Figure 2. The heatshield is colored by the predicted peak heating rate during entry. No instrumentation was placed in the backshell due to schedule considerations; the backshell is on the critical path for the overall aeroshell delivery. The MEDLI subsystems are shown in the cross-sectional view of the MSL entry vehicle in Figure 3.

Timeline and operational concept

The MEDLI instrumentation will be operational from approximately ten minutes before atmospheric entry to heatshield separation, totaling approximately fourteen minutes in duration. Acquired data will be recorded and stored on the MSL rover. After landing, the data will be transmitted back to Earth for analysis. MEDLI instrumentation will generate approximately 0.9 MBytes of

raw data. With overhead and synchronization data, the total un-margined data volume is expected to be 1.13 MBytes.

2. MEASUREMENT OBJECTIVES

The MEDLI science package consists of two subsystems. The MISP subsystem gathers temperature and recession data to support aerothermal and TPS response model validation, while the MEADS system gathers pressure data to support the validation of aerodynamic and atmospheric models.

Figure 3. MEDLI Instrumentation in MSL entry vehicle.

Aerothermal/TPS Objectives

The design of a low mass and reliable TPS system for Martian atmospheric entry requires an accurate prediction of the encountered aerothermal environment and the subsequent TPS material response. The peak heat flux (along with surface pressure and shear stress) determines the thermal protection material selected for the heatshield, while the total integrated heat load and predicted recession determine the required TPS thickness.

The primary uncertainty in the ability to accurately predict Mars entry heating levels for MSL class vehicles is the onset of turbulent heating. Although prior Mars missions were predicted to be dominated by laminar heating, the larger, lifting, higher ballistic coefficient MSL entry vehicle is predicted to transition to turbulence well before the peak heating point on the entry trajectory. Significant uncertainties exist in our ability to predict such a turbulent flow, particularly in a reacting carbon dioxide atmosphere. Baseline turbulent heating levels can be further augmented by roughness effects due to ablation of the TPS material. Recent wind tunnel tests in support of MSL have also shown an apparent localized heating augmentation (occasionally attributed to transition) in the stagnation region of the vehicle. A second significant source of aeroheating uncertainty is catalytic heating in a dissociated

carbon dioxide atmosphere. Catalysis, in which the TPS surface facilitates the recombination of incident species, can be a large contributor to the total heating rate. No fully validated model currently exists to accurately predict the catalytic behavior of Mars capable TPS materials under flight conditions, and thus conservative design assumptions are employed to model this effect. These aeroheating uncertainties, combined with other effects such as angle of attack and atmospheric dispersions, result in a 3σ uncertainty level of over 50% for this mission.⁹ A more detailed discussion of the aerothermal modeling needs and current state of the art for future Mars entry missions is given in [10].

In regards to TPS material response modeling, the primary uncertainty is the recession rate of the TPS material, particularly in a dissociated shear flow environment as is encountered on the leeside of the forebody heatshield. This is true for either the primary or backup TPS material. PICA recession is governed by oxidation of the carbon char, which has significant uncertainty in a dissociated carbon dioxide atmosphere. In contrast, SLA-561V is a glassy ablator, and therefore the surface recession is governed by a complex combination of vaporization and glass melt flow (above hot wall heat fluxes of approximately 90 W/cm^2) driven by shear gradients. As of this writing, neither material has been tested on the ground in a high enthalpy dissociated carbon dioxide environment, and it is unclear whether there is any US facility capable of conducting such a test. In contrast, the in-depth thermal performance of either TPS material is believed to be comparatively well understood (assuming that the surface recession is accurately predicted). The primary need for in-depth thermal data is therefore to validate the current TPS performance models in an in-situ flight environment.

Atmospheric/Aerodynamic Objectives

The MEADS subsystem will demonstrate the hypersonic through low supersonic performance of a blunt body Flush Atmospheric Data System (FADS) during a high-energy entry using in-situ pressure measurements, and will allow a more accurate assessment of the aerodynamic and aerothermodynamic performance of the entry system. The acquisition of a series of local, discrete surface pressure measurements on the MSL entry aeroshell during the atmospheric entry and decent phase, in conjunction with the MSL flight system inertial measurement unit (IMU) data, will allow a more accurate post-flight reconstruction of the complete entry aeroshell states and atmospheric environmental data (including low altitude wind information at supersonic conditions). In particular, the acquired pressure data will allow a more accurate estimation of the dynamic pressure (q_{bar}), angle-of-attack (α), and angle-of sideslip (β) by allowing separation of the aerodynamic and atmospheric density uncertainties. In addition, the measured pressure data coupled with the IMU data will allow the determination of the atmospheric

density, which is known to vary considerably within the Martian atmosphere.

In January 2004, the Mars Exploration Rover (MER) entry vehicles successfully landed on the Martian surface. However, the descent of the MER rover entry vehicles, exhibited larger dispersions in angle-of-attack than expected.¹¹ Expected angles-of-attack were on the order of 1-2 degrees while post-flight reconstruction suggested 4-9 degrees were exhibited. While a cause for the dispersion was identified, a pressure data set such as that provided by MEADS would have greatly improved the post-flight trajectory reconstruction, and assisted in the identification of the root-cause of the observed flight dynamic anomalies.

Summary of Science Objectives

Based on the preceding discussion, a set of twelve science objectives was defined for MEDLI. These are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. In each table, the objectives are listed in the first column, and the set of instrumentation (ports or plugs) that are used to satisfy the objective are listed in the subsequent columns. The objectives shown with gray shading partially satisfy the original science goals. Maximizing satisfaction of these objectives determined both the total number and placement of instrumentation on the MSL heatshield; however, other mission constraints prevented the MEDLI suite from fully answering all proposed objectives. In particular, a further understanding of the importance of catalytic heating on the overall basic heating rate can only be inferred from the baseline MEDLI instrumentation suite.

As seen from Tables 1 and 2, the majority of the science objectives for MEDLI involve an improved understanding of the Mars entry incident aerothermal and aerodynamic environments. As stated previously, the TPS-centric objectives (recession and subsurface response) are equally important for either TPS material selection. As a consequence, the science requirements of MEDLI are relatively insensitive to the choice of TPS material. In particular, the number and location of key sensors (plugs and ports) remain the same for both PICA and SLA. In terms of data reduction PICA is preferred from a MISP standpoint since the thermal performance of the material is much simpler and thus data reduction (reconstruction of incident aeroheating from subsurface temperature measurements) will be more straightforward.

3. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

MEDLI consists of seven pressure ports and seven integrated sensor plugs all installed in the forebody heatshield of the MSL vehicle. The sensors are wired to the SSE that provides electrical power, conditions, and digitizes the sensor signals. The digital data stream is sent to the MSL Descent Stage Power and Analog Module (DPAM)

over an RS-422 bus. The DPAM then relays the data to one of the MSL Rover Compute Elements (RCE) for storage until the data set is sent to Earth after landing.

Table 1. Aerothermal/TPS Science Objectives

Technical Objectives	Location						
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7
Basic Aeroheating	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Stagnation Point Heating	X			X			
Turbulent Heating Leeside		X	X		X	X	X
TPS Recession Rate		X	X		X	X	X
Windside Heating Augmentation	X			X			
TPS Total Recession		X	X		X	X	X
Subsurface Material Response.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Turbulent Transition		X	X			X	X

Table 2. Aerodynamic/Atmospheric Science Objectives

Technical Objectives	Location						
	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7
Basic Pressure Distribution	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Angle of Attack	X	X	X	X	X		
Angle of Sideslip				X		X	X
Dynamic Pressure	X	X					
Mach Number	X	X					

MEDLI utilizes three basic measurements in combination to achieve its science objectives: Temperature, Isotherm motion, and Pressure.

- Temperature measurements will be made using commercial type-K thermocouples installed at various depths within the TPS material.
- Isotherm motion measurements will be made using a series of Hollow aErothermal Ablation and Temperature (HEAT) sensors, developed at NASA Ames Research Center. The resulting data allow reconstruction of the local TPS recession rate.

- Pressure measurements will be made using pressure transducers mounted on the inside of the MSL heatshield, and connected to the outside surface through tubing, and a through-hole port in the TPS material.

MEDLI utilizes one autonomous mode: upon initiation of power, the SSE will begin conditioning and digitizing the sensor signals. From cruise stage separation until heatshield separation, the DPAM will request the MEDLI sensor data from the SSE, and the RCE in the rover will request and store the acquired data from the DPAM. The DPAM will request the data from each address assigned to MEDLI at a 64 Hz rate. The SSE multiplexes the MEDLI data into these addresses achieving effective sample rates of 8 Hz, 2 Hz, and 1Hz for its various sensors. This data is held in a buffer in the DPAM and transferred across the EDL-1553 bus at the request of the RCE. These are standard functions of the DPAM and RCE, and require only changes to the look-up table that selects the channels to be sampled and their sample rate.

MSL Accommodations

MSL provides the accommodations for the MEDLI instrumentation and avionics. MSL provides the mechanical, electrical and avionics interfaces as shown in Figure 4. The MEDLI mass allocation is 12.5 kg on the heatshield for the SSE, MEADS, and MISP, and 2.5 kg on the backshell for power, avionics cabling, and the associated cable cutters. The power allocation for MEDLI is 30W during EDL while no power is provided during the launch and cruise phases. The avionics interface to the DPAM is an RS-422 bus. The memory allocation in the RCE is 1.5 Mbytes for storage of the acquired data. The cable harness from the SSE to the DPAM is routed through a cable cutter at the heatshield/backshell interface, and then through a second cable cutter at the descent stage/backshell interface.

The components mounted on the inside of the heatshield, the MEADS transducers and the SSE, are fastened to standard inserts in the heatshield structure with thermal isolation standoffs. A custom designed through-hole with a stainless steel sleeve provides a clear and secure path from the port in the TPS through the heatshield honeycomb structure to the pressure transducer mounted in the heatshield interior. The sensor plugs will be integrated into the TPS by Lockheed Martin Space Systems Corporation (LMSSC) using a flight-qualified TPS repair procedure.

MISP

MISP is an instrumented plug that is installed in the TPS. Each plug is made from the heatshield TPS material and includes four embedded thermocouples at varying depths and one recession sensor. The sensor wiring is routed through penetrations in the TPS behind each plug and through the heatshield structure. Figure 5 illustrates the

MISP plug and the cavity in the TPS (SLA shown) with heatshield structure in which it is installed using a standard LMSSC TPS repair technique.

Figure 4. MSL Accommodations and Interfaces.

Each MISP plug is 33 mm (1.3") in diameter with a total depth of 20.3 mm (0.8") and contains four Type K thermocouples. The thermocouples are installed in a U-shaped design at 2.54, 5.08, 10.16, 15.24 mm (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6") from the surface of the plug. The plug itself is installed flush to the overall outer surface of the TPS. The MISP plug does not extend all the way to the structural interface (bondline). Therefore, since TC depths are defined from the outer surface, the overall MISP design is insensitive to changes in the heatshield TPS thickness. The top two thermocouples in each plug are used primarily to reconstruct the aerothermal environment during entry and descent, while the two deeper thermocouples are used to validate the thermal response of the TPS material. At some locations the near surface thermocouples may fail during descent due to over temping. This is expected and occurs due to the desire to select a single set of TC depths that is globally optimized for all seven locations and a wide variety of possible entry trajectories.

The recession sensor, named Hollow aErothermal Ablation and Temperature (HEAT), is shown schematically in Figure 6. The HEAT sensor measures the temporal progression of a 700 K isotherm through the TPS during entry and descent. This sensor operates on similar principles to the Analog Resistance Ablation Detector (ARAD) flown on the Galileo probe,¹² but the design has been significantly modified to allow for accommodation into a variety of TPS materials, and to produce improved signal quality and reduction of signal dropouts. A constant current excitation of 1 mA is provided by the SSE. The sensor element becomes electrically conductive as the surface of the TPS and the HEAT sensor is charred. As the char layer-virgin material interface advances, the HEAT length and voltage output decreases. The measurement range is 0 to 13 mm (0 to 0.52") from the TPS outer mold line with a measurement

accuracy of ± 0.5 mm. The HEAT sensor is sampled at 8 Hz by the SSE. More information on the development and operation of the HEAT sensor can be found in [13].

Figure 5. MISP Plug and Acreage TPS/heatshield structure cavity.

The TC measurement junctions are aligned with the center axis of the plug. The science measurement range requirement is 100 to 1300 K with an accuracy of ± 2.2 K or 2.0% below 273 K and ± 1.1 K or 0.4% above 273 K. The depth of the TCs with respect to the TPS outer mold line is ± 0.13 mm (0.005"). The near surface TCs are sampled at 8 Hz and the more slowly varying mid-depth and deep TCs are sampled at either 1 or 2 Hz depending on the location. Cold junction temperature measurement is performed at the SSE and data reduction of all sensor measurements is performed during ground post-processing.

The final MISP design and TPS integration process were validated via a series of developmental and risk reduction arc jet tests in the Aerodynamic Heating Facility (AHF) at NASA Ames Research Center. Testing has been performed for integration into both SLA-561V and PICA.

MEADS

In order to meet the aerospace measurement objectives, namely, when the free stream dynamic pressure is greater

than 850 Pa, enable reconstruction of the dynamic pressure (q_{bar}) within ± 2.0 percent of measured value, reconstruct Mach number within ± 0.1 , reconstruct angle of attack (α) within ± 0.5 degrees, and reconstruct angle of sideslip (β) within ± 0.5 degrees, each MEDLI pressure transducer will be calibrated to produce outputs that are ± 0.5 percent of reading between 850 Pa and 30 kPa.

Figure 6. Schematic of HEAT sensor.

As shown in Figure 7, the MEADS subsystem consists of three main components: a port through the TPS, pressure tube and spool through the heatshield structure, and a pressure transducer. Through a series of arc jet tests conducted at the Boeing-St. Louis Large Core Arc Tunnel Facility, the port diameter of 2.54 mm (0.1") was found to meet the accuracy and durability requirements. Additional testing has also shown that a sleeve through SLA-561V is not required. Testing found that pyrolysis gases from SLA-561V did not significantly affect the pressure reading. The need for a sleeve in PICA will be determined in a similar arc jet test series scheduled for early 2008 at the Boeing arc jet facility.

To simplify the interface to the heatshield, a stainless steel spool provides a clear pathway through the aluminum honeycomb interior. A fitting allows connection to the stainless steel tube. The tube finally connects to the pressure transducer head. The pressure transducer head is mounted through three mounts to standard inserts. The tube, transducer, and fittings require a volume of 76 x 86 x 183 mm (3.0" x 3.4" x 7.2") in the interior of the heatshield. This volume is sufficient to ensure clearance within the heatshield interior. In order to avoid crossing composite sheet interfaces, three configurations with slightly different orientations are required. The entire subsystem is designed

to meet 23 grms launch loads and 28 grms entry loads as required by MSL. Standoffs provide clearance for MSL's multi-layer insulating (MLI) blanket located on the interior of the heatshield. The pressure path is simple and requires two flare fitting connections between the heatshield outer mold line (OML) and the pressure transducer. The selection of stainless steel for the tubing mitigates the risk of burn-through during entry. The pigtail in the tube provides strain relief for mechanical and thermal distortions during launch, cruise, and entry.

Since power is not provided to MEDLI during the cruise phase, the expected thermal environment at the pressure transducer location prohibited incorporating conditioning electronics in the gauge head. Through a two wire interface, the pressure reading is transmitted to the SSE where the signal is appropriately conditioned. Key aspects of the transducer specification are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. MEADS Pressure Transducer Specifications

Key Parameter	Specification
Pressure range	0-35kPa (0-5 psia)
Accuracy	0.5% of reading
Temperature range	-225°C to +125°C
Mass	less than 368 grams

Through a Request For Proposal (RFP) acquisition process, a source selection board selected transducers from Stellar Technology Incorporated (STI) that utilize a strain gauge pressure measurement approach. The temperature of each transducer head is also recorded through a TC mounted on each transducer housing.

SSE

The SSE contains two electronic boards housed in a $76.2 \times 247.7 \times 336.6$ mm (3.0" $\times$ 9.75" $\times$ 13.25") aluminum chassis. The 158.75×304.8 mm (6.25" $\times$ 12.0") digital board contains the RS-422 interface and power interface to the DPAM. An ACTEL field-programmable gate array will manage the analog-to-digital converter and provide the RS-422 electrical interface. The digital board also provides conditioning of the MSL provided 28V power and conversion to ± 15.0 V, 5.0V and 2.5V power, and contains the pressure transducer signal conditioning electronics. The analog board, also 158.75×304.8 mm (6.25" $\times$ 12.0") in size, contains the interface for up to 24 thermocouples from the sensor plugs, up to seven thermocouples for the pressure transducers, up to six HEAT sensor inputs, and the pressure transducer signals (conditioned on the digital board). A multiplexer routes all inputs into a single, 14 bit analog-to-

digital converter. Each sample is 16 bits wide with two bits reserved for data synchronization. MEDLI will generate approximately 0.9 MBytes during operations. The raw data rate from MEDLI to the DPAM is 4 kilobits per second. The DPAM will add 1/64 second time tags (16 bits each) to each data frame resulting in an additional 225 Kbytes for 30 minutes of operation. The total MEDLI data volume is expected to be 1.13 MBytes. Memory is allocated in the Rover Compute Element (RCE) when MEDLI instrumentation is activated approximately ten minutes before atmospheric interface, and is held until the MEDLI data is transmitted to Earth.

Figure 7. MEADS Overview.

Each of the TC inputs and HEAT inputs use transient voltage suppressors to ensure that sensor anomalies do not damage the processing electronics. In addition to the sensor signals, a series of housekeeping signals including cold junction compensation (CJC) signals, reference voltages, and chassis temperature are recorded. As shown in Table 4, 77 channels are recorded in total.

Table 5 shows the sample strategy for the MISP plugs. The sample rates for each TC were determined based on expected responses from aerothermal and TPS thermal response modeling analysis. In each plug TC1 is the TC nearest the OML (2.54 mm (0.1" depth)) and TC4 is nearest the bondline (15.24 mm (0.6" depth)). The interface to the DPAM limits the number of channels and sample rate. The HEAT sensor from location T4 is at the stagnation point where little recession is expected. Hence, its signal will not be recorded (this channel was employed for additional reference temperature and HEAT calibration measurements). The deeper TCs at locations T5 and T7 will not be recorded because only 24 total TC channels are available, and these four locations were prioritized lowest in terms of satisfaction of MISP science objectives.

Table 4. Channel Allocation.

Sensor Type	Number of Channels	Sample Rate
Thermocouple	12	8 Hz
Thermocouple	4	2 Hz
Thermocouple	8	1 Hz
Thermocouple Baseline Reference	4	1 Hz
Thermocouple Local Calibration	4	1 Hz
Heat Sensors	6	8 Hz
Heat Sensor Local Calibration	2	1 Hz
Pressure Sensors	7	8 Hz
Pressure Baseline Reference	1	1 Hz
Pressure Temperatures	7	1 Hz
Pressure Temp Baseline Reference	1	1 Hz
CJC Temperatures	3	1 Hz
Housekeeping Temperatures	3	1 Hz
Temperature Local Calibration	2	1 Hz
Housekeeping Voltages	8	1 Hz
On-board Reference Voltages	5	1 Hz
Total	77	

Table 5. MISP Sample Strategy

Plug ID	TC1	TC2	TC3	TC4	HEAT
T1	8 Hz	8 Hz	1 Hz	1 Hz	8 Hz
T2	8 Hz	8 Hz	2 Hz	2 Hz	8 Hz
T3	8 Hz	8 Hz	1 Hz	1 Hz	8 Hz
T4	8 Hz	8 Hz	1 Hz	1 Hz	None
T5	8 Hz	8 Hz	None	None	8 Hz
T6	8 Hz	8 Hz	1 Hz	1 Hz	8 Hz
T7	2 Hz	2 Hz	None	None	8 Hz

The SSE chassis design is largely driven by thermal requirements. Standoffs provide thermal isolation from the cold heatshield and provide clearance for MSL's interior MLI blanket. The SSE location was chosen to minimize interference with the MSL rover and located under the rover's Radioisotope thermoelectric generator (RTG) to take advantage of the thermal energy provided by the RTG, especially during the cruise phase when no power is provided to MEDLI. The chassis surface will be anodized black to provide high thermal absorption and reduce glint. For ease of cable routing, one side of the chassis will house all sensor connections, while the opposite side will house all descent stage connections. The box will be vented through a 0.2 micron high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter to allow pressurization equalization and meet planetary protection requirements.

4. SUMMARY

Authorized by NASA in late 2006, MEDLI is the most recent effort to acquire much needed EDL engineering flight data from planetary probe missions. Expected to enter the Martian atmosphere in 2010, MEDLI instrumentation, installed in the entry vehicle of MSL, will provide thermal, recession, and pressure data that will capture important measurements of the aerothermal environments, sub-surface heatshield material response, vehicle orientation, and atmospheric density of the Martian atmosphere. The flight science objectives of MEDLI directly address the largest uncertainties in the ability to design and validate a robust Mars entry system, including aerothermal, aerodynamic and atmosphere models, and thermal protection system design.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Wright, M.J., Milos, F.S., and Tran, P., "Afterbody Aeroheating Flight Data for Planetary Probe Thermal Protection System Design," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 43, No. 5, 2006, pp. 929-943.
- [2] Pruett, C. D., Wolf, H., Heck, M. L., and Siemers III, P.M., "Innovative Air-Data System for the Space Shuttle Orbiter," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 1983, pp. 61-69.
- [3] Seiff, A., and Kirk, D., "Structure of the Atmosphere of Mars in Summer at Mid-Latitudes," *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 82, Sept. 1977, pp. 4364-4378.
- [4] Martin-Marietta Corp., "Entry Data Analysis for Viking 1 and 2," NASA CR-159388, Nov. 1976.
- [5] Edquist, K.T., Wright, M.J., and Allen, G.A., "Viking Afterbody Heating Computations and Comparison to Flight Data," AIAA Paper No. 2006-0386, Jan. 2006.
- [6] Milos, F., Chen, Y.-K., Congdon, W., and Thornton, J., "Mars Pathfinder Entry Temperature Data, Aeroheating, and Heatshield Material Response," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 36, No. 3, 1999, pp. 380-391.
- [7] Covington, M., Balboni, J., Chen, Y., Goldstein, H., Olejniczak, J., and Terrazas-Salinas, I., "Performance of a Low Density Ablative Heat Shield Material," AIAA Paper No. 2004-2273, Jun. 2004.
- [8] Steltzner, A., Kipp, D., Chen, A., Burkhart, D., Guemsey, C., Mendeck, G., Mitcheltree, R., Powell, R., Rivellini, T., San Martin, M., and Way, D., "Mars Science Laboratory Entry, Descent and Landing System," IEEE Paper No. 2006-1307, Mar. 2006.
- [9] Edquist, K., Dyakonov, A., Wright, M., and Tang, C., "Aerothermodynamic Environment Definition for the Mars Science Laboratory Entry Capsule," AIAA Paper No. 2007-1206, Jan. 2007.
- [10] Wright, M.J., Edquist, K.T., Hollis, B.R., Brown, J., and Olejniczak, J., "Review of Aeroheating Modeling for Current and Future Mars Entry Missions," IEEE Paper No. 2006-1428, Mar. 2006.
- [11] Tolson, R.H., Willcockson, W.H., Desai, P.N., Thomas, P., "Anomalous Disturbance Torques during the Entry Phase of the Mars Exploration Rover Missions - A Telemetry and Mars-Surface Investigation," 29th Annual AAS Guidance and Control Conference, AAS 06-087, February, 2006.
- [12] Milos, F., Chen, Y.-K., Squire, T., and Brewer, R., "Analysis of Galileo Probe Heatshield Ablation and Temperature Data," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 36, No. 3, 1999, pp. 298-306.
- [13] Oishi, T., Martinez, E., Fu, J., Santos, J., Mach, J., Gorbunov, S., and Kihara, S., "Development and Application of a TPS Ablation Sensor for Flight," to be presented at the AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, Jan. 2008.

6. BIOGRAPHY

Michael Gazarik is the Branch Chief, for the Remote Sensing Flight Systems Branch (RSFSB) at the NASA Langley Research Center (LaRC) in Hampton, VA. RSFSB conducts development and research in advanced electro-optical and optical instrument systems leading to state-of-the-art instrument development for both remote sensing and in-situ measurements. Dr. Gazarik served as the Project Manager for MEDLI during the project's formulation and early design phases. In the late 1990s, Dr. Gazarik served as a Project Manager for the Geosynchronous Imaging Fourier Transform Spectrometer (GIFTS) project and supported the NOAA Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) program while at MIT Lincoln Laboratory. In industry, Dr. Gazarik led teams developing physical layer software for 3G cellular base stations for Texas Instruments, and firmware for Asynchronous Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) systems for Aware, Inc. He received his Ph.D. and MS. in Electrical Engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology in 1997 and 1989 respectively, and his BS. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Pittsburgh in 1987.

Michael Wright is a Senior Research Scientist at the NASA Ames Research center in the Reacting Flow Environments Branch, specializing in computational aerothermodynamics and thermal protection system material response for Earth and planetary entry vehicles. He is the developer of the DPLR hypersonic CFD code, which is the primary aerothermodynamic analysis tool at Ames. Dr. Wright has authored or co-authored more than 75 papers in the field, and is the two-time recipient of the AIAA Best Thermophysics Paper award (2001 & 2004). Dr. Wright received his Ph.D. in Aerospace Engineering from the University of Minnesota in 1997, and is an Associate Fellow of the AIAA. Dr. Wright is currently working on MSL both as the deputy TPS cognizant engineer and as the deputy principle investigator for MEDLI.

Alan Little is the MEDLI Project Manager at NASA's Langley Research Center. He previously served on a variety of earth remote sensing missions and recently served as the NASA-CNES interface manager and the payload assembly integration and test manager on the joint NASA/CNES CALIPSO Mission that was launched in April 2006. He has a MS in Optics from the University of Rochester.

Neil Cheatwood earned B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in aerospace engineering from NC State University. He has played key roles in a number of NASA's planetary atmospheric flight programs, and is a nationally recognized expert in aerosciences and flight mechanics for planetary entry systems. He is currently serving as the Hypersonics Project Scientist for the Fundamental Aeronautics Program with NASA's ARMD. Dr. Cheatwood is also the Principle Investigator for the Mars Science Laboratory Entry, Descent, and Landing Instrumentation (MEDLI) project. In recent years, he led NASA LaRC efforts to develop inflatable aeroshell technologies. He served as Co-Investigator to Claude Graves of NASA JSC on the NASA ESMD ESR&T Inflatable Aeroshell and TPS Development (IATD) Project. He served as the Principle Investigator for NASA LaRC's Inflatable Reentry Vehicle Experiment (IRVE), as well as the follow-on Program to Advance Inflatable Decelerators for Atmospheric Entry (PAI-DAE). Dr. Cheatwood was responsible for aerodynamic databases of Stardust, Mars Microprobe, Genesis, and Mars Exploration Rovers. He has also contributed to the Mars Global Surveyor and Mars Sample Return flight projects. Dr. Cheatwood is an AIAA Associate Fellow and the principle author or co-author of 60 technical publications in the fields of fluid dynamics, atmospheric entry, and systems engineering.

Jeff Herath serves as the Lead Systems Engineer and Chief Engineer for the Mars Science Laboratory Entry, Descent and Landing Instrumentation (MEDLI). He also serves as the Assistant Head of the Atmospheric Flight and Entry Systems Branch (AFESB) to plan, direct, and coordinate Branch activities in the areas of flight and entry systems research and development. Mr. Herath previously was the Acting Assistant Branch Head for Electronic Systems and served as the branch lead for new business activities, proposals and their development. He was also the Principal Investigator (PI) for the Radiation Tolerant Intelligent Memory Stack (RTIMS) Project which successfully developed and demonstrated an in-flight reconfigurable radiation tolerant stacked memory array. He co-founded Vianix, LC, a company developing and licensing voice compression technology, and served as its

Chief Technology Officer. He developed the company's voice compression technology and was responsible for all research & development, engineering, personnel and production efforts. He has 6 patents. As Manager of Hardware Development at Arc Second Inc., he designed and built a unique, laser based, three-dimensional positioning system which opened new markets for the company. At E-Systems, he successfully completed several military avionics programs and payload that were classified, and consisted of system, box, and board level designs.

Michelle Munk has been a NASA employee for nearly 20 years, first at the Johnson Space Center, then at the Langley Research Center. She has been involved in Mars advanced mission studies for many years, both robotic and human, contributing interplanetary trajectory analysis and entry and descent analysis. She has managed the delivery of International Space Station hardware, and was on the Mars Odyssey aerobraking operations team. In 2002, Ms. Munk accepted a detail assignment to become the Lead Engineer for Aerocapture Technology Development under In-Space Propulsion at Marshall Space Flight Center. She managed the technical work of ISP Aerocapture for nearly 5 years before becoming the Project Area Manager and returning to Langley in 2007. Ms. Munk is also a subsystem lead for the Mars Science Laboratory Entry, Descent and Landing Instrumentation (MEDLI) project and contributes to other NASA projects developing entry system technologies. She has a BSAE from Virginia Tech and completed graduate coursework at the University of Houston.

Frank Novak is an Assistant Branch Head for Remote Sensing Flight Systems Branch (RSFSB) at the NASA Langley Research Center (LaRC) in Hampton, VA. He serves as the MEDLI Subsystem Manager for the Sensor Support Electronics (SSE) system. Mr. Novak has over 20 years of experience in the design, development, and test of spaceflight electronics. He served as the lead development manager for the EVA IR Camera Project, lead engineer for the visible imager for the GIFTS project, lead electronics engineer for the pointing spectrometer for the Mars ARES project, lead integration and test engineer for the SAGE III project, and lead engineer for the interface adaptor module for SAGE III. He earned a BS in Physics from Christopher Newport University in 1999.

Ed Martinez is a Project Manager/Lead Scientist/Project Engineer with 20 years experience in the aerospace and electromechanical field. He is responsible for leading the Thermal Protection System (TPS) instrumentation programs for the NASA Ames Research Center. As Project Manager, he

simultaneously managed multiple teams of scientists, engineers and engineering technicians responsible for TPS projects including test, analysis and technology advancement. As Lead Scientist, he was engaged in the characterization and operations of the world's largest shock tube. This facility produced simultaneous overpressure and thermal environments at shock speeds up to Mach 5. As a Project Engineer, his experience included project initiation, coordination, and providing design and instrument criteria for operating multi-100 million dollar DoD facilities. Mr. Martinez also managed data handling, performed analysis, reporting of test results, and maintained technical proficiency in shockwave phenomenology.