# letters to nature

among islands and regions using nested, mixed-model ANOVA. We screened several potential estimators to find that the Chao 1 procedure provided the most stable values for local species richness. This estimator is the sum of the observed number of species and the quotient  $a^2/2b$ , where *a* and *b* equal the number of species represented by one and two colonies, respectively.

To analyse the local–regional species richness relationship in each habitat, we used a simple linear regression of the mean local richness per site in a region against habitat-specific regional richness. Linearity is supported by these and supplemental regressions using: (1) log-transformed richness data; (2) local richness standardized to 100 or more colonies per sample<sup>18</sup>; and (3) the two alternative measures of local species diversity, Fisher's alpha and the Chao 1 estimator of local richness.

Received 14 November 2003; accepted 24 May 2004; doi:10.1038/nature02685. Published online 16 June 2004.

- Ricklefs, R. E. & Schluter, D. (eds) Species Diversity in Ecological Communities: Historical and Geographical Perspectives (Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1993).
- 2. Levin, S. A. The problem of pattern and scale in ecology. *Ecology* 73, 1943–1967 (1992).
- Roughgarden, J., May, R. M. & Levin, S. A. (eds) Perspectives in Ecological Theory (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1989).
- Yodzis, P. Introduction to Theoretical Ecology (Harper & Row, New York, 1989).
  Tilman, D. & Kareiva, P. (eds) Spatial Ecology: The Role of Space in Population Dynamics and
- Tilman, D. & Kareiva, P. (eds) Spatial Ecology: The Kole of Space in Pop Interspecific Interactions (Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersev, 1997).
- Interspecific Interactions (Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey, 1997).
- Ricklefs, R. E. Community diversity: relative roles of local and regional processes. *Science* 235, 167–171 (1987).
- Cornell, H. V. & Lawton, J. H. Species interactions, local and regional processes, and limits to the richness of ecological communities: a theoretical perspective. J. Anim. Ecol. 61, 1–12 (1992).
- Cornell, H. V. & Karlson, R. H. Diversity of reef-building corals determined by local and regional processes. J. Anim. Ecol. 65, 233–241 (1996).
- Caley, M. J. & Schluter, D. The relationship between local and regional diversity. *Ecology* 78, 70–80 (1997).
- Hughes, T. P., Bellwood, D. R. & Connolly, S. R. Biodiversity hotspots, centres of endemicity, and the conservation of coral reefs. *Ecol. Lett.* 5, 775–784 (2002).
- Karlson, R. H. & Cornell, H. V. Scale-dependent variation in local vs. regional effects on coral species richness. *Ecol. Monogr.* 68, 259–274 (1998).
- Karlson, R. H. & Cornell, H. V. Species richness of coral assemblages: detecting regional influences at local scales. *Ecology* 83, 452–463 (2002).
- 13. Elton, C. The Ecology of Animals (Methuen, London, 1933).
- 14. MacArthur, R. H. Patterns of species diversity. Biol. Rev. 40, 510-533 (1965).
- Hughes, T. P. et al. Patterns of recruitment and abundance of corals along the Great Barrier reef. Nature 397, 59–63 (1999).
- Fisher, R. A., Corbet, A. S. & Williams, C. B. The relation between the number of species and the number of individuals in a random sample of an animal population. J. Anim. Ecol. 12, 42–58 (1943).
- Colwell, R. K. & Coddington, J. A. Estimating terrestrial biodiversity through extrapolation. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 345, 101–118 (1994).
- Hayek, L.-A. C. & Buzas, M. A. Surveying Natural Populations (Columbia Univ. Press, New York, 1997).
- Caswell, H. & Cohen, J. E. in Species Diversity in Ecological Communities: Historical and Geographical Perspectives (eds Ricklefs, R. E. & Schluter, D.) 99–107 (Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1993).
- Loreau, M. & Mouquet, N. Immigration and the maintenance of local species diversity. Am. Nat. 154, 427–440 (1999).
- Shurin, J. B. & Allen, E. G. Effects of competition, predation, and dispersal on species richness at local and regional scales. Am. Nat. 158, 624–637 (2001).
- Pacala, S. W. & Silander, J. A. Jr Field tests of neighborhood population dynamic models of two annual weed species. *Ecol. Monogr.* 60, 113–134 (1990).
- Stoll, P. & Prati, D. Intraspecific aggregation alters competitive interactions in experimental plant communities. *Ecology* 82, 319–327 (2001).
- 24. Hughes, T. P. et al. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs. Science 301, 929–933 (2003).
- Holt, R. D. in Species Diversity in Ecological Communities: Historical and Geographical Perspectives (eds Ricklefs, R. E. & Schluter, D.) 77–88 (Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1993).
- Holt, R. D. in *Metapopulation Biology. Ecology, Genetics, and Evolution* (eds Hanski, I. A. & Gilpin, M. E.) 149–164 (Academic, San Diego, California, 1997).
- Veron, J. E. N., Pichon, M. & Wijsman-Best, M. Scleractinia of Eastern Australia. Part II Families Faviidae, Trachyphylliidae: Australian Institute of Marine Science Monograph Series (Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, 1977).
- Veron, J. E. N. & Pichon, M. Scleractinia of Eastern Australia. Part IV Family Poritidae: Australian Institute of Marine Science Monograph Series (Australian National University Press, Canberra, 1982).
   Wallace, C. C. Staghorn Corals of the World (CSIRO, Collingwood, Victoria, 1999).
- Veron, J. E. N. Corals of the World (Australian Institute of Marine Sciences, Townsville, Queensland, 2000)

Acknowledgements We acknowledge J. Wolstenholme and C. Wallace of the Museum of Tropical Queensland for taxonomic training. We also thank J. Wolstenholme, M. J. Boyle, L. Dinsdale, A. Green, A. Baird, S. Connolly, M. Kospartov, P. Osmond and K. Osmond for their assistance in the field. Funding was provided by grants from the Australian Research Council, the National Science Foundation (International Programs and the Ocean Sciences Division) and the National Geographic Society.

**Competing interests statement** The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.H.K. (rkarlson@udel.edu).

# Global patterns in human consumption of net primary production

### Marc L. Imhoff<sup>1</sup>, Lahouari Bounoua<sup>1,2</sup>, Taylor Ricketts<sup>3,4</sup>, Colby Loucks<sup>3</sup>, Robert Harriss<sup>5</sup> & William T. Lawrence<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biospheric Sciences Branch, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA

<sup>2</sup>Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

<sup>3</sup>World Wildlife Fund, 1250 Twenty-fourth Street NW, Washington DC 20037, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

<sup>5</sup>Environmental & Societal Impacts Group, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Foothills Laboratory, 3450 Mitchell Lane, Boulder, Colorado 80307-3000, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Natural Sciences, Bowie State University, Bowie, Maryland 20715, USA

The human population and its consumption profoundly affect the Earth's ecosystems<sup>1,2</sup>. A particularly compelling measure of humanity's cumulative impact is the fraction of the planet's net primary production that we appropriate for our own use<sup>3,4</sup>. Net primary production-the net amount of solar energy converted to plant organic matter through photosynthesis-can be measured in units of elemental carbon and represents the primary food energy source for the world's ecosystems. Human appropriation of net primary production, apart from leaving less for other species to use, alters the composition of the atmosphere<sup>5</sup>, levels of biodiversity<sup>6</sup>, energy flows within food webs<sup>7</sup> and the provision of important ecosystem services8. Here we present a global map showing the amount of net primary production required by humans and compare it to the total amount generated on the landscape. We then derive a spatial balance sheet of net primary production 'supply' and 'demand' for the world. We show that human appropriation of net primary production varies spatially from almost zero to many times the local primary production. These analyses reveal the uneven footprint of human consumption and related environmental impacts, indicate the degree to which human populations depend on net primary production 'imports' and suggest policy options for slowing future growth of human appropriation of net primary production.

An influential study<sup>3</sup> has estimated global human appropriation of terrestrial net primary production (HANPP) to be 31% of the total amount of net primary production (NPP) generated on land, with 'low' and 'high' estimates of 3% and 39%, respectively. A more recent study, using the same definition of appropriation, estimated a similar value for global HANPP (32%)<sup>4</sup>, but high uncertainty was reported (10-55%; see ref. 7). This is a remarkable level of co-option for a species that represents roughly 0.5% of the total heterotroph biomass on Earth<sup>9</sup>. These previous estimates, however, were derived from global and biome averages, so that important spatial patterns in both NPP and HANPP remain hidden7,10. Understanding these patterns is critical for identifying areas experiencing severe human impacts on their ecosystems, for illuminating the effects of different consumption patterns among regions and cultures, and for indicating the directions of net energy flow due to regional and global trade.

To examine these issues we developed a global map of HANPP, which allows spatial comparison to NPP within the context of the global carbon cycle. We defined HANPP as the amount of terrestrial NPP required to derive food and fibre products consumed by humans, including the organic matter that is lost during the harvesting and processing of whole plants into end products. We started with data from the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) on products consumed in 1995, for 230 countries in seven categories: vegetal foods, meat, milk, eggs, wood (for building and fuel), paper and fibre<sup>11</sup>. To these data we applied harvest, processing and efficiency multipliers, as well as estimates of below-ground production, to reconstruct the total amount of NPP required to derive the final products (Table 1, see Methods). We then calculated the per capita HANPP of each country and applied these values to a gridded database of the human population with a 0.25° spatial

resolution<sup>12</sup> (this resolution was chosen to match that of the NPP data, see below). Our method assumes a homogeneous per capita consumption rate within each country, which, although unsupported in some cases, is a reasonable starting point<sup>7,10</sup>. In addition, terrestrial HANPP does not directly capture other important forms of environmental impact, including freshwater co-option, use of fossil fuels and appropriation of NPP from freshwater or marine systems<sup>1</sup>.

The resulting map depicts the spatial pattern of HANPP, showing where the products of terrestrial photosynthesis are consumed (Fig. 1a). Summing for the globe, we estimated annual HANPP to

Table 1 Product multipliers for estimation of HANPP					
Product/multiplier*	High estimate	Intermediate estimate	Low estimate		
Vegetal food, fibre and grain fed to livestock <sup>+</sup>					
Post harvest losses <sup>20,21</sup>	0.4	0.10 (I) 0.40 (D)	0.1		
Crop residue <sup>22</sup>	1.28	0.71	0.14		
Milk efficiency factor <sup>26,27</sup> (kg grain/kg milk)	0.3	0.3 (I) 0.20 (D)	0.2		
Eggs efficiency factor <sup>26,27</sup> (kg grain/kg eggs)	2.2	2.2 (I) 1.6 (D)	1.6		
Wood and paper					
Milling loss <sup>23</sup>	0.34	0.07 (I) 0.34 (D)	0.07		
Harvest loss <sup>24</sup>	0.54	0.22 (I) 0.54 (D)	0.22		
Recycling (paper only) <sup>25</sup>	-0.20	-0.25 (I) -0.20 (D)	-0.25		
Carbon/organic matter conversion <sup>29</sup>	0.50	0.475	0.45		
Roots (short/tall vegetation) <sup>13</sup>	2.0/1.5	2.0/1.5	2.0/1.5		

\*Multipliers were used to cumulatively add organic matter to the mass of FAO-reported products in a logical sequence (from top down in the table). Multipliers were either applied equally to all countries or were applied separately to industrialized (I) and developing countries (D). Development status was assigned according to ref. 22. +For meat products, the only variable adjusted to derive high and low estimates is the efficiency with which grain feeds are produced.

HANPP Units: log<sub>10</sub> (g carbon yr<sup>-1</sup>) 13.4 9.8 58 Excluded area HANPP Units: % of NPP 200-40,000 100-200 80-100 60-80 45-60 30 - 4520-30 10-20 0.1-10 0

Figure 1 Spatial distribution of the annual NPP resources required by the human population. As measured by **a**, HANPP and **b**, HANPP as a percentage of local NPP. Both maps use the intermediate estimate for HANPP and are in units of carbon.

NATURE | VOL 429 | 24 JUNE 2004 | www.nature.com/nature

# letters to nature

Toble 0 Annual glabal HANDD actime	+
Table / Annual Global HANPP estima	les

•			
Product	Low estimate (Pg C)	Intermediate estimate (Pg C)	High estimate (Pg C)
Vegetal food	0.90	1.73	2.95
Meat	1.69	1.92	2.22
Milk	0.15	0.27	0.43
Eggs	0.09	0.17	0.26
Food (subtotal)	2.83	4.09	5.86
Paper	0.20	0.28	0.38
Fibre	0.32	0.36	0.42
Wood (fuel)	2.68	4.31	4.71
Wood (construction)	1.97	2.50	3.44
Wood and fibre (subtotal)	5.17	7.45	8.95
Total	8.00	11.54	14.81
% of annual NPP (56.8 Pg)	14.10	20.32	26.07

be 11.5 Pg carbon (24.2 Pg organic matter;  $1Pg = 10^{15}$  g) (Table 2). This value is lower than the intermediate estimates of earlier studies<sup>3,4</sup> (40.6 and 39 Pg organic matter, respectively), but the difference is largely due to items we have omitted. First, we included only the NPP required to produce consumed goods, not the components of NPP that are lost to land transformation (for example, 'shifting cultivation' and 'land clearing'<sup>3</sup>). Second, we do not treat below-ground biomass in grazed lands as being appropriated by humans because we assume that most of it survives grazing. If we include these components in our analysis, our global estimate increases to 20.8 Pg carbon (43.8 Pg organic matter), a value remarkably close to those reported in the previous studies<sup>3,4</sup>, despite differences in method.

To address uncertainties we bracketed our estimate of HANPP with low and high calculations using the range of efficiency, loss and residue multipliers reported in the literature (Table 1). For the intermediate estimate we applied these multipliers according to each country's development status<sup>11</sup>. For low and high estimates we applied to all countries the multipliers that produce minimum and maximum values. Our low and high estimates for HANPP are 8.0 and 14.8 Pg carbon, respectively (Table 2).

To compare HANPP to the global pattern of NPP, we mapped terrestrial NPP using a carbon model driven by global satellitederived vegetation index and climate data obtained between 1982 and 1998 (refs 13, 14). The use of data collected over such a long baseline reduces short-term variations in NPP while incorporating the cumulative and more recent effects of human influence on the land surface. The resulting global map of NPP matches both the spatial resolution and the time interval of our HANPP data. We estimate global annual NPP to be 56.8 Pg carbon (119.6 Pg organic matter); a value that is close to the average of previous estimates<sup>15</sup>.

Expressing HANPP as a percentage of NPP reveals a spatially explicit balance sheet of NPP 'supply' and 'demand' (Fig. 1b), revealing a level of spatial heterogeneity not seen in earlier studies. Globally, we found that humans appropriate approximately 20% of terrestrial NPP, with low and high estimates of 14 and 26%, respectively (Table 2). Some regions, however, such as western Europe and south central Asia, consume more than 70% of their regional NPP (Table 3). Conversely, HANPP in other regions is less than 15% of NPP, with the lowest value (about 6%) found in South America. At local scales the spatial variation in HANPP is even more striking, varying from nearly 0% of local NPP in sparsely populated areas to over 30,000% in large urban centres (Fig. 1b).

Human populations clearly are not limited to consuming the products of local photosynthesis. Regional and global trade transports these products widely, such that the environmental impacts of human consumption are partly realized far from where products are actually consumed. International trade may also affect HANPP because imported goods are often produced using different efficiencies than those in the consuming country (Table 1). Our high and low estimates set upper and lower boundaries for this latter uncertainty, but our analyses do not explicitly consider transportation issues. Nevertheless, the maps (Fig. 1) identify areas that clearly require net imports of NPP, and they depict the distribution of local impacts accompanying population and consumption (such as waste production, pollution and urbanization). Measuring the global flows of NPP-based goods is a significant future challenge to understanding the environmental impacts of human populations<sup>16,17</sup>.

The equation I = PAT (ref. 18) describes the overall ecological impact (I) of human activities, which involves the product of population size (P), per capita consumption (A, for 'affluence') and the technologies employed (T). Our results illustrate the importance of each of these factors. The role of population is clear from Fig. 1, despite vast differences in consumption among nations. For example, east and south central Asia, with almost a half of the world's population (Table 3), appropriates 72% of its regional NPP despite having the lowest per capita consumption of any region (1.29 tonnes (t;  $1t = 10^6 \text{ g}$ ) yr<sup>-1</sup>). Affluence also plays an important part. Average annual per capita HANPP for industrialized countries (3.2 t) is almost double that of developing nations (1.8 t), which host 83% of the global population<sup>19</sup>. If the per capita HANPP of developing nations increased to match that of industrialized countries, global HANPP would increase by 75% to 20.2 Pg carbon (that is, 35% of the current global NPP). Finally, technologies strongly determine the efficiency with which NPP is appropriated. In industrialized countries, for example, 1t of milled lumber requires approximately 1.3 t of harvestable above-ground tree biomass, whereas in developing countries over 2t is required (Table 1).

Changing patterns of HANPP will have important consequences for human welfare and global biodiversity. Further growth and development in areas of high HANPP is likely to impoverish local ecosystems and diminish the vital services they provide<sup>8</sup>. These areas will also require increased NPP imports, alterations to flows of NPP-based products and exertions of greater pressure on ecosystems elsewhere<sup>16,17</sup>. Improved technologies, meanwhile, may help to reduce HANPP through better efficiencies and product substitutions. These changes will be complex, interacting and difficult to predict. Spatially explicit measures of HANPP, however, will help to illuminate current human impacts on the biosphere, monitor changes in these impacts over time and explore the potential of various policies for alleviating them. □

Table 3 HANPP for selected regions of the world (intermediate estimate)							
Region*	Area (10 <sup>6</sup> km <sup>2</sup> )	Population (10 <sup>6</sup> )	Per capita HANPP (t)	NPP (Pg)	HANPP (Pg)	HANPP (% of NPP)	
Africa	31.1	742	2.08	12.50	1.55	12.40	
East Asia	11.9	1,400	1.37	3.02	1.91	63.25	
South central Asia	10.9	1,360	1.21	2.04	1.64	80.39	
Western Europe	1.20	181	2.86	0.72	0.52	72.22	
North America	19.7	293	5.40	6.67	1.58	23.69	
South America	18.4	316	3.11	16.10	0.98	6.09	

\*Definitions of regions were taken from ref. 22.

# letters to nature

## Methods

#### FAO data

We scanned the country-level FAO data for 1995 for internal consistency, missing data and reporting errors. Missing data were assigned values using the average per capita consumption of countries in the same development category. Over-reporting because of multiple entries for the same country was corrected. For national entities or territories reporting under another administrative country, their populations were added to that of the reporting country to compute the per capita consumption. We defined consumed products as the domestic supply (that is, production plus imports minus exports) to constrain the country totals to products consumed *in situ*.

### **HANPP** calculations

For vegetal foods and fibre, mass was successively added to account for post-harvest processing, transport losses<sup>20,21</sup> and crop residue<sup>22</sup>. Crop residue is the residue to product ratio. For the intermediate estimate we used the weighted mean for major world crops whereas high and low estimates are  $\pm$  1 s.d. (Table 1). For wood, fuel wood and paper products, organic matter was added to account for processing<sup>33</sup> and harvest losses<sup>24</sup>. For paper, recycling was also considered<sup>25</sup>. If the individual plant is killed (all case except pasture) we included the biomass of the root system using the values in Table 1 (ref. 13).

Meat consumption was based on wet carcass weight and it combined all meat types. The meat component of the total HANPP was estimated by summing the NPP required for grain and pasture-based feed, assuming a global average of 62% grain and 38% forage<sup>26</sup>. We estimated the amount of organic matter used as feed by applying efficiency values for grain (an average of 2.3:1 kg grain/kg carcass for all meat types) and for pasture (21.46:1 for ruminants) using data from previous studies<sup>27,28</sup>. The total NPP required for grain feed was then calculated in the same way as for vegetal foods, adding residue and loss factors appropriate to each country's development status. Because grazing occurs *in situ*, no loss or residue factors were added to pasturage. Efficiency factors for milk and eggs are for the grain component only. Carbon/organic matter ratios used for the high, intermediate and low estimates span the range (intermediate estimate uses average value) of reported values for various plants<sup>29</sup>.

## NPP model

We used the Carnegie Ames Stanford Approach carbon model to estimate NPP. The model incorporates satellite and climate data to estimate the fixation and release of carbon based on a spatially and temporally resolved prediction of NPP in a steady state. The performance of this model is evaluated in ref. 15.

#### Received 6 January; accepted 5 May 2004; doi:10.1038/nature02619.

- Vitousek, P. M., Mooney, H. A., Lubchenco, J. & Melillo, J. M. Human domination of Earth's ecosystems. *Science* 277, 494–499 (1997).
- Wackernagel, M. et al. Tracking the ecological overshoot of the human economy. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 99, 9266–9271 (2002).
- Vitousek, P. M., Ehrlich, P., Ehrlich, A. & Matson, P. M. Human appropriation of the products of photosynthesis. *Bioscience* 36, 368–373 (1986).
- Rojstaczer, S., Sterling, S. M. & Moore, N. J. Human appropriation of photosynthesis products. Science 294, 2549–2552 (2001).
- Schimel, D. et al. Contribution of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> and climate to carbon storage by ecosystems in the United States. Science 287, 2004–2006 (2000).
- Haberl, H. Human appropriation of net primary production as an environmental indicator: Implications for sustainable development. *Ambio* 26, 143–146 (1997).
- 7. Field, C. B. Global change: Enhanced: Sharing the garden. Science 294, 2490-2491 (2001).
- Daily, G. C. et al. Ecosystem services: benefits supplied to human societies by natural ecosystems. Issues Ecol. 2, 1–16 (1997).
- 9. O'Neill, R. V. & Kahn, J. R. Homo economus as a keystone species. *Bioscience* **50**, 333–337 (2000). 10. Haberl, H., Krausmann, F., Erb, K. H. & Schulz, N. B. Human appropriation of net primary
- production. *Science* **296**, 1968–1969 (2002). 11. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, *FAOSTAT 2001 Database* (UNFAO, Rome.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, FAOSTAT 2001 Database (UNFAO, Rome 2001).
- Center for International Earth Science Information Network, Gridded Population of the World (GPW) version 2 (Columbia Univ.; International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI); and World Resources Institute, New York, 2000).
- Potter, C. S. et al. Terrestrial ecosystem production: a process model based on global satellite and surface data. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 7, 811–841 (1993).
- Slayback, D. A., Pinzon, J. E., Los, S. O. & Tucker, C. J. Northern hemisphere photosynthetic trends: 1982–99. *Glob. Change Biol.* 9, 1–15 (2003).
- Cramer, W. et al. Comparing global models of terrestrial primary productivity (NPP): overview and key results. Glob. Change Biol. 5(Suppl. 1), 1–15 (1999).
- Luck, M. A., Jenerette, G. D., Wu, J. G. & Grimm, N. B. The urban funnel model and spatially heterogeneous ecological footprint. *Ecosystems* 4, 782–796 (2001).
- van den Bergh, J. & Verbruggen, H. Spatial sustainability, trade, and indicators: an evaluation of the 'ecological footprint'. *Ecol. Econ.* 29, 61–72 (1999).
- Holdren, J. P. & Ehrlich, P. R. Human population and global environment. Am. Sci. 62, 282–292 (1974).
- United Nations Population Division World Population Prospects: The 2000 Revision and The World Population in 2003 (United Nations Population Division Department of Economic and Social Affairs, New York, 2003).
- World Resources Institute Disappearing Food: How Big are Postharvest Losses? (World Resources Institute Sustainable Development Information Service, Washington DC, 1998); available at (http:// www.wri.org/trends/foodloss.html).
- De Padua, D. B. in Accelerating Agricultural Development (eds Drilon, J. D. & Sanguiguit, G. F.) 135–154 (SERCA College, Laguna, 1978).
- 22. Smil, V. Biomass Energies: Resources, Links, Constraints (Plenum, New York, 1983).

- Ince, P. J. Industrial Wood Productivity in the United States 1900–1998 (Research Note FPL-RN-0272, USDA Forest Service, Madison, 2000).
- Pulkki, R. E. Literature Synthesis on Logging Impacts in Moist Tropical Forests (working paper GFSS/ WP/06, Forest Products Division, UNFAO, Rome, 1997).
- Skog, K. E., Ince, P. J. & Haynes, R. W. Wood fiber supply and demand in the United States. Proc. N. Am. For. Comm. [online] (http://www.fpl.fs.fed.us/documnts/pdf2000/skog00a.pdf), 1998.
- Sere, C. & Steinfeld, H. World Livestock Production Systems (Animal Production and Health Paper 127, FAO, Rome, 1996); available at (http://www.fao.org/WAICENT/FAOINFO/AGRICULT/AGA/LSPA/ Paper127/cover1.htm).
- Council for Agricultural Science and Technology, Animal Agriculture and Global Food Supply (Task Force Report R135, Ames, 1999); available at (http://www.cast-science.org/cast/pub/anag\_nr.htm).
- Oltjen, J. W., George, M. R. & Drake, D. J. in *Computers in Agricultural Extension Program* (eds Watson, D. G., Zazuelta, F. S. & Bottcher, A. B.) 58–63 (American Society of Agricultural Engineers, St Joseph, 1992).
- Lieth, H. in Primary Productivity of the Biosphere (eds Lieth, H. & Whittaker, R. H.) 119–130 (Springer, New York, 1975).

**Acknowledgements** We thank K. Carney, N. Christiansen, G. Daily, R. Defries, J. Hellmann, J. Hicke, G. Orians, N. Sanders, P. Vitousek, P. Matson and P. Ehrlich for comments, C. Tucker for providing satellite data, and G. Asrar, J. Kaye, G. Gutman and D. Wickland of the US National Aeronautics and Space Administration for financial support.

**Competing interests statement** The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to M.L.I. (Marc.L.Imhoff@nasa.gov).

# A proteoglycan mediates inductive interaction during plant vascular development

## Hiroyasu Motose<sup>1</sup>\*, Munetaka Sugiyama<sup>2</sup> & Hiroo Fukuda<sup>1,3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
 <sup>2</sup>Botanical Gardens, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Hakusan 3-7-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-0001, Japan
 <sup>3</sup>Plant Science Center, RIKEN, Suehiro 1-7-22, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 230-0045, Japan

\* Present address: Section of Plant Biology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, California 95616, USA

Inductive cell-cell interactions are essential for controlling cell fate determination in both plants and animals<sup>1</sup>; however, the chemical basis of inductive signals in plants remains little understood. A proteoglycan-like factor named xylogen mediates local and inductive cell-cell interactions required for xylem differentiation in Zinnia cells cultured in vitro<sup>2,3</sup>. Here we describe the purification of xylogen and cloning of its complementary DNA, and present evidence for its role in planta. The polypeptide backbone of xylogen is a hybrid-type molecule with properties of both arabinogalactan proteins and nonspecific lipid-transfer proteins. Xylogen predominantly accumulates in the meristem, procambium and xylem. In the xylem, xylogen has a polar localization in the cell walls of differentiating tracheary elements. Double knockouts of Arabidopsis lacking both genes that encode xylogen proteins show defects in vascular development: discontinuous veins, improperly interconnected vessel elements and simplified venation. Our results suggest that the polar secretion of xylogen draws neighbouring cells into the pathway of vascular differentiation to direct continuous vascular development, thereby identifying a molecule that mediates an inductive cellcell interaction involved in plant tissue differentiation.

The plant vascular system, comprised of xylem and phloem, forms a continuous network throughout the plant body to transport water, nutrients and signalling molecules. Vascular cells differentiate