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# Mutation of the Stargardt Disease Gene (ABCR) in Age-Related Macular Degeneration 
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Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the leading cause of severe central visual impairment among the elderly and is associated both with environmental factors such as smoking and with genetic factors. Here, 167 unrelated AMD patients were screened for alterations in $A B C R$, a gene that encodes a retinal rod photoreceptor protein and is defective in Stargardt disease, a common hereditary form of macular dystrophy. Thirteen different AMD-associated alterations, both deletions and amino acid substitutions, were found in one allele of $A B C R$ in 26 patients (16\%). Identification of $A B C R$ alterations will permit presymptomatic testing of high-risk individuals and may lead to earlier diagnosis of AMD and to new strategies for prevention and therapy.

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the most common cause of acquired visual impairment in the elderly and is estimated to affect at least 11 million individuals in the United States ( $1-3$ ). U.S. studies show that mild forms of AMD occur in nearly $30 \%$ of those 75 years and older, and advanced forms occur in about $7 \%$ of people in this age group (2). Clinically, AMD is divided into two

[^0]subtypes: $80 \%$ of patients have "dry" AMD, the hallmarks of which include one or more of the following: the presence of cellular debris (drusen) in or under the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), irregularities in the pigmentation of the RPE, or geographic atrophy; $20 \%$ of patients have exudative or "wet" AMD, characterized by serous detatchment of the RPE or choroidal neovascularization or both. Severe vision loss is associated most often with geographic atrophy or exudative disease $(4,5)$. There are no reliable therapies for dry AMD, and only about $5 \%$ of patients with the wet subtype are candidates for laser photocoagulation therapy (4).

AMD is a multifactorial disorder that is associated with environmental risk factors such as cigarette smoking, diet, and cholesterol level $(3,6)$. Genetic factors also contribute to AMD (7, 8), although genetic studies have been confounded by the late onset and complex etiology of the disease. One approach to identifying the genes responsible for multifactorial disorders is to study inherited diseases with similar phenotypes. Several hereditary retinal dystrophies have phenotypic similarities to AMD. Sorsby fundus dystrophy, for example, resembles wet AMD and is caused by mutations in the tissue inhibitor of metallopro-teinases-3 (TIMP3) gene (9). However, no
mutations in TIMP3 or other retina-specific genes [for example, those for peripherin/ retinal degeneration slow (RDS) or rhodop$\sin$ ] have been described in either wet or dry $\operatorname{AMD}(10,11)$.

We recently identified a photoreceptorspecific gene (ABCR, also known as STGD1) on human chromosome 1 p21 that is mutated in Stargardt disease (STGD1) (12). STGD1 is the most common form of hereditary, recessive macular dystrophy (estimated incidence, 1 in 10,000 ) and is characterized by juvenile-to-young adult onset, central visual impairment, progressive bilateral atrophy of the macular RPE and neuroepithelium, and the frequent appearance of orange-yellow flecks distributed around the macula and the midretinal periphery (13). The ABCR protein is a member of the adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding cassette (ABC) transporter superfamily (12, 14), which includes active transporters of lipids, hydrophobic drugs, and peptides. ABCR corresponds to a previously identified rod outer segment protein called rim protein (RmP) (15-17).

Age-related maculopathies have several phenotypic similarities with STGD1, including the accumulation of drusen in and under RPE and the progressive atrophy of the macular RPE (18). These changes result in loss of photoreceptor function and vision impairment. To test the hypothesis that $A B C R$ defects contribute to $A M D$, we screened for $A B C R$ sequence alterations in two independent collections of 96 and $71(\Sigma=167)$ unrelated AMD patients (19). Primers were designed to amplify each exon of $A B C R$ and its corresponding intron junctions.

Table 1. Prevalence of AMD-associated variants in ABCR (23). NA, data not available.

| Mutation | AMD <br> $(n=167)$ | STGD <br> $(n=98)$ | General <br> popula- <br> tion <br> $(n=220)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| E471K | $2(1.2 \%)$ | NA | $0(0 \%)$ |
| R1129L | $1(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)^{\star}$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| T1428M | $1(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| R1517S | $1(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| I1562T | $2(1.2 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| G1578R | $1(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| $5196+1 G$ | $1(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| $\rightarrow$ A | $1(0.6 \%)$ | $4(4 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| R1898H | $6(3.6 \%)$ | $8(8 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| G1961E | $1(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| L1970F | $1(0.6 \%) \dagger$ | $1(1 \%) \dagger$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| $6519 \Delta 11 b p$ | $7(4.2 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $1(0.45 \%)$ |
| D2177N | $1(0.6 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| $6568 \Delta \mathrm{C}$ | $26(16 \%)$ | $13(13 \%)$ | $1(0.45 \%)$ |
| Totals | 26 |  |  |

*A substitution to a different amino acid (R1129C) was detected in one STGD1 patient. $\dagger$ The two individuals with this variant are related (Fig. 2).

Single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) and heteroduplex analysis (HA) were used to scan for variants; each alteration was characterized by direct sequencing (20). The exons found to be altered in AMD were also examined, by identical methodology, for variations in 98 unrelated STGD1 patients and in 220 racially matched population controls (12, 13, 18, 19).

All 51 exons (21) of $A B C R$ were screened for variations in each AMD patient, and the results were grouped into AMD-associated alterations [those found in AMD and not in controls or found significantly more frequently in AMD (Table 1)] and other alterations (Table 2). A total of 13 different AMD-associated alterations were detected in 26 AMD patients $(16 \%)$. Most were missense mutations in conserved amino acid positions, but two deletions representing frame shifts and one splice donor site change were found also (22) (Table 1). Although 33 of the 167 patients ( $20 \%$ ) had wet AMD, $A B C R$ alterations were found in only one such patient. Thus, this form of AMD may be associated with factors other than $A B C R$ alterations. There was no obvious correlation between the type of ABCR alterations and visual impairment, age of detection of retinal pathology, or other pathological parameters. Among the 26 individuals with alterations, 13 (50\%) had a first- or second-degree relative with AMD, which is significantly higher ( $P<$ 0.005 ) than the fraction in the entire sample ( $24 \%$ ).

One alteration, Asp ${ }^{2177} \rightarrow$ Asn $^{2177}$ (D2177N) (23), was present in 7 of the 167

AMD patients and in only 1 of 220 controls, which is a significant difference by Fisher's exact test ( $P=0.023$ ). The associated retinal pathology ranged from fine macular cuticular drusen (age 62 years), to normal maculas but extensive extramacular and peripheral drusen (ages 72 and 74 years), to geographic atrophy involving the central third of the macula in each eye (ages 61 to 86 years). Six patients had a G1961E (23) alteration. The associated pathology ranged from a few tiny juxtafoveal drusen in one eye of one patient (age 74 years), to confluent drusen and drusenoid RPE detachments (age 78 years), to various forms of soft to calcific macular drusen and extensive geographic atrophy ( $>1$ disc diameter) (ages 81 and 82 years). Other alterations were associated with various patterns of foveal, extrafoveal, and peripheral drusen, some with geographic atrophy of the RPE.

The AMD-associated alterations were scattered throughout the coding sequence of the $A B C R$ gene, although more were located toward the $3^{\prime}$ end (Fig. 1). Three of the 13 alterations in AMD patients were also detected in STGD1 patients, which suggests that some mutations that cause recessive STGD1 may enhance susceptibility to AMD in the heterozygous state (24). Indeed, we identified two families in which the parents or grandparent of STGD1 probands have AMD (former pedigree, Fig. 2). Given the limited number of STGD1 families screened (98) [(12) and this study], it is unclear whether the remaining 10 alterations do not occur in STGD1 or whether they were simply not represented in our patient collection. In contrast to STGD1 mutations,


Fig. 1. Diagram of the $A B C R$ gene with STGD and AMD alterations. Transmembrane domains predicted by hydropathy plot are shown as black bars, and the ATP-binding domains are shown as hatched bars. AMD-associated alterations are shown above the diagram, and those in STGD1 patients are shown below. Arrows indicate alterations identified in both STGD1 and AMD patients. Asterisks, missense mutations; D, deletions; S, splice donor site mutations; X , stop codon-generating mutation. The number at the right signifies the last codon.

Table 2. Other variants in $A B C R$ (23).

| Alteration | AMD | STGD1 | General <br> population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V643G | $1 / 167(0.6 \%)$ | $0 / 98(0 \%)$ | $1 / 80(1.25 \%)$ |
| D846H | $0 / 167(0 \%)$ | $1 / 98(1 \%)$ | $1 / 50(2 \%)$ |
| G863A | $1 / 167(0.6 \%)$ | $13 / 150(8.7 \%)$ | $2 / 220(0.9 \%)$ |
| R934Q | $6 / 127(4.7 \%)$ | $4 / 47(9.5 \%)$ | $13 / 80(16.25 \%)$ |
| S22551 | $24 / 167(14.4 \%)$ | $8 / 98(8 \%)$ | $6 / 58(10.3 \%)$ |

which map primarily to the highly conserved ATP-binding regions of the ABCR protein, AMD alterations were found outside these domains (Fig. 1). We have not found more than one AMD-associated variant in $A B C R$ in any AMD proband, which is consistent with a dominant susceptibility locus. A thorough comparison of the genotypes and the phenotypes of large numbers of both STGD1 and AMD patients will be required to determine the significance of this observation and to clarify further the inheritance pattern of these alterations.

Most alterations detected in AMD patients were missense mutations outside of the ATP-binding domains. Conceivably, these variant proteins could accumulate in the rods and, in combination with age and other environmental factors, predispose carrier individuals to macular degeneration late in life. $A B C R$ mutations are associated with a wide range of clinical presentations. For example, patients with late-onset Stargardt disease were originally diagnosed with fundus flavimaculatus (25), a disease later defined to be allelic to STGD1 $(13,26)$. In addition, one form of autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa (RP19) maps to exactly the same chromosomal region as STGD1 and shares some phenotypic features with STGD1, which suggests that it might also be caused by an $A B C R$ mutation (27).

The ABCR protein is identical to rim protein ( RmP ), an abundant protein of un-


Fig. 2. Pedigree segregating AMD and STGD1. An STGD1 patient (solid square) is shown, whose parents and paternal uncle all had AMD (hatched symbols). PCR products of $A B C R$ exon 49 from the double-stranded region of an SSCP/HA gel are shown below the pedigree. The arrow at right indicates the 6519811bp mutation in the individual with STGD1. He was diagnosed with STGD1 at age 38, and the relatives with AMD were diagnosed between ages 55 and 70 . The mutation was inherited from his mother but was not found in either of his unaffected siblings (open symbols). His daughter also inherited the deletion, and at age 35 has normal vision and a normal retinal examination. A mutation in the proband's father has not yet been identified.
known function that colocalizes with peripherin/RDS to the rod outer segment (16, 17,28 ). ABCR binds ATP, which is consistent with its role as an active transporter (29). $A B C R$ is phosphorylated in response to light, which suggests that it plays a role in phototransduction (30). It has been hypothesized that $A B C R$ excretes metabolic products of phototransduction or lipids used by the rod outer segments $(12,16,17)$.

The discovery of specific $A B C R$ alterations in AMD patients may permit presymptomatic screening of high-risk individuals, which in turn may facilitate earlier diagnosis and clinical testing of preventive and therapeutic strategies. Functional studies of this ABC transporter, including the identification of its substrate, may facilitate the development of drugs that modulate or prevent AMD. The identification of a large number of rare variants in this single gene in a common disease suggests that other complex human disorders may result from mutations in a gene responsible for a rare Mendelian condition with a similar phenotype.
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