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Take home messages

1. Protein sub-cellular localisation: available technologies and opportunities.
2. Reliance on computational biology to acquire reliable biological knowledge.

## Regulations



## Cell organisation



Spatial proteomics is the systematic study of protein localisations.

## Spatial proteomics - Why?

## Localisation is function

- The cellular sub-division allows cells to establish a range of distinct micro-environments, each favouring different biochemical reactions and interactions and, therefore, allowing each compartment to fulfil a particular functional role.
- Localisation and sequestration of proteins within sub-cellular niches is a fundamental mechanism for the post-translational regulation of protein function.

Re-localisation in

- Differentiation stem cells.
- Activation of biological processes.

Examples later.

## Spatial proteomics - Why?

Mis-localisation
Disruption of the targeting/trafficking process alters proper sub-cellular localisation, which in turn perturb the cellular functions of the proteins.

- Abnormal protein localisation leading to the loss of functional effects in diseases (Laurila and Vihinen, 2009).
- Disruption of the nuclear/cytoplasmic transport (nuclear pores) have been detected in many types of carcinoma cells (Kau et al., 2004).
- Sub-cellular localisation of MC4R with ADCY3 at neuronal primary cilia underlies a common pathway for genetic predisposition to obesity (Siljee et al., 2018).


## Spatial proteomics - How, experimentally



Figure: Organelle proteomics approaches (Gatto et al., 2010)

## Fusion proteins and immunofluorescence



Figure: Targeted protein localisation. Example of discrepancies between IF and FPs as well as between FP tagging at the N and C termini (Stadler et al., 2013).

## Spatial proteomics - How, experimentally

| Single cell direct observation | Population level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subcellular fractionation (number of fractions) |  |  |  |
|  | 1 fraction | 2 fractions (enriched and crude) | n discrete fractions | n continuous fractions (gradient approaches) |
| GFP Epitope Prot.-spec. antibody | Pure fraction catalogue | Subtractive proteomics (enrichment) | Invariant rich fraction (clustering) | PCP $\left(\chi^{2}\right)$ LOPIT (PCA, PLS-DA) |
| Cataloguing |  | Relative abundance |  |  |
| Tagging | Quantitative mass spectrometry |  |  |  |

Figure: Organelle proteomics approaches (Gatto et al., 2010).

Gradient approaches: Dunkley et al. (2006), Foster et al. (2006), based on works by de Duve, Claude and Palade.

Explorative/discovery approaches, steady-state global localisation maps.


Fractionation/centrifugation


Quantitation/identification by mass spectrometry


## Quantitation data and organelle markers

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|llll||l|}\hline & \text { Fraction }_{1} & \text { Fraction }_{2} & \ldots & \text { Fraction } \\ \mathrm{m}\end{array}\right)$ markers $\quad$.

## Data analysis

- Visualisation (cluster, unsupervised learning)
- Classification (supervised learning)
- Novelty detection (semi-supervised learning)
- Data integration (transfer learning)


## To uncover and understand biology

## Visualisation



Figure : From Gatto et al. (2010), Arabidopsis thaliana data from Dunkley et al. (2006)

## Supervised Machine Learning



Figure: Support vector machines classifier (after 5\% FDR classification cutoff) on the embryonic stem cell data from Christoforou et al. (2016).

## Importance of annotation



Incomplete annotation, and therefore lack of training data, for many/most organelles. Drosophila data from Tan et al. (2009).

## Semi-supervised learning: novelty detection



Figure : Left: Original Drosophila data from Tan et al. (2009). Right: After semi-supervised learning and classification, Breckels et al. (2013).

## Improving on LOPIT

Improving is obtaining better sub-cellular resolution to increase the number of protein that can be confidently assigned to a sub-cellular niche $\Rightarrow$ biological discoveries.



Figure : E14TG2a embryonic stem cells: old (left, published in Breckels et al. (2013)) vs. new, better resolved (right) experiments (Christoforou et al. (2016)).

## Improving on LOPIT

| LOPIT | Computational: <br> transfer learning |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dunkley et al. (2006) | Breckels et al. (2016a) |
| Gatto et al. (2014a) | Biological |
| Experimental: | discoveries |
| hyperLOPIT |  |
| Christoforou et al. (2016) |  |
| Mulvey et al. (2017) |  |

Experimental advances: hyperLOPIT Christoforou et al. (2016)


Figure : From Mulvey et al. (2017) Using hyperLOPIT to perform high-resolution mapping of the spatial proteome: (1) organelle separation and enrichment by density gradient ultracentrifugation, (2) chromatin and cytosol enrichment fractions, and (3) accurate quantification using synchronous precursor selection (SPS)-MS ${ }^{3}$ for TMT 11-plex quantification.


Figure: E14TG2a LOPIT on 8 fractions (using iTRAQ 8-plex) and 1109 proteins vs. hyperLOPIT on 10 fractions (using TMT 11-plex) and SPS-MS ${ }^{3}$ for 5032 proteins.

## Computational advances: Transfer learning

What about using addition data, such as annotations from the Gene Ontology (GO), sequence features (pseudo aminoacid composition), signal peptide, trans-membrane domains (length, number, ...), images (IF, FP), interaction data, prediction software,

- From a user perspective: "free/cheap" vs. expensive and time-consuming experiments.
- Abundant (all proteins, 100s of features) vs. (experimentally) limited/targeted (1000s of proteins, 6-20 of features)
- For localisation in system at hand: low vs. high quality
- Static vs. dynamic


## Transfer learning

Support/complement the primary target domain (experimental data) with auxiliary data (annotation, imaging, PPI, ...) features without compromising the integrity of our primary data.


Breckels et al. (2016a) Learning from Heterogeneous Data Sources: An Application in Spatial Proteomics.


Application of transfer learning on the old E14TG2a embryonic stem cells (left, Breckels et al. (2013)) and GO cellular compartment, and validated using the new, better resolved, hyperLOPIT data (right, Christoforou et al. (2016)).

## Transfer learning results



Figure: From Breckels et al. (2016a) Learning from heterogeneous data sources: an application in spatial proteomics.

## Biological discoveries

- Multi-localisation
- Trans-localisation

Dependent on good sub-cellular resolution and adequate computational tools.

## Embracing uncertainty

A Bayesian Mixture Modelling Approach For Spatial Proteomics
We propose a Bayesian generative classifier based on Gaussian mixture models to assign proteins probabilistically to sub-cellular niches, thus proteins have a probability distribution over sub-cellular locations.

This methodology allows proteome-wide uncertainty quantification, thus adding a further layer to the analysis of spatial proteomics.

## Embracing uncertainty



Figure: V-ATPase subunit d1 (P51683) with uncertain localisation between the endosome and lysosome.

Dual-localisation Proteins may be present simultaneously in several organelles (e.g. trafficking). Simulation on A. thaliana data from Dunkley et al. (2006) (Gatto et al., 2014b) (left). Example from embryonic stem cells (Christoforou et al., 2016) (right).


Dual-localisation Proteins may be present simultaneously in several organelles (e.g. trafficking). Simulation on A. thaliana data from Dunkley et al. (2006) (Gatto et al., 2014b) (left). Example from embryonic stem cells (Christoforou et al., 2016) (right).



From Betschinger et al. (2013)
Mouse ESC (E14TG2a) in serum LIF


## Spatial dynamics

> Trans-localisation event during monocyte to macrophage differentiation
> Investigate the effect of lipopolysaccharides (LPS)-mediated inflammatory response in human monocytic cells (THP-1)

> Data

- Triplicate temporal profiling ( $0,2,4,6,12,24$ hours).
- Triplicate spatial profiling ( 0 vs 12 hours) - early trafficking, before actual morphological differentiation at 24 h .

Work lead by Dr Claire Mulvey at the Cambridge Centre for Proteomics.


Figure: Spatial maps of unstimulated and LPS-treated cells (combined triplicates).


Figure: Relocation of Protein Kinase $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ and $\beta$ from the cytosol to the plasma membrane, driving maturation into a differentiated macrophage phenotype.


Figure : Relocation of Signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6) from the cytosol to the Nucleus, activating anti-bacterial and anti-viral-like response. Validated by microscopy and see also Chen et al. (2011).

## Computational infrastructure

Reliance on computational biology to acquire reliable biological knowledge.

Beyond the figures ${ }^{1}$

- Software: infrastructure (MSnbase, Gatto and Lilley (2012)), dedicated machine learning (pRoloc, Gatto et al. (2014b)), interactive visualisation ${ }^{2}$ (pRolocGUI, Breckels et al. (2017)) and data (pRolocdata, Gatto et al. (2014b)) for spatial proteomics.
${ }^{1}$... which are all reproducible, by the way.
${ }^{2}$ https://lgatto.shinyapps.io/christoforou2015/
${ }^{3}$ between and within domains/software

Beyond the figures ${ }^{1}$

- Software: infrastructure (MSnbase, Gatto and Lilley (2012)), dedicated machine learning (pRoloc, Gatto et al. (2014b)), interactive visualisation ${ }^{2}$ (pRolocGUI, Breckels et al. (2017)) and data (pRolocdata, Gatto et al. (2014b)) for spatial proteomics.
- The Bioconductor (Huber et al., 2015) ecosystem for high throughput biology data analysis and comprehension: open source, and coordinated and collaborative ${ }^{3}$ open development, enabling reproducible research, enables understanding of the data (not a black box) and drive scientific innovation.

[^0]
## Conclusions

1. Protein sub-cellular localisation: technologies (hyperLOPIT) and opportunities (sub-cellular maps, multi- and translocalisation).

2. Reliance on computational biology and dedicated software to interpret data and acquire biological knowledge.
> library("pRoloc")
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Supplementary slides: Computational infrastructure


Figure: Collaboration between packages: Dependency graph containing 41 MS and proteomics-tagged packages (out of 100+) and their dependencies.

## MSnbase example

MSnbase contributors


Figure: Collaboration within packages: Contributions to the MSnbase package (1220 downloads from unique IP addresses in January 2018) since its creation, the last one leading to common proteomics/metabolomics infrastructure. More details: https://lgatto.github.io/msnbase-contribs/.

Supplementary slides: tranfer learning

## Application to PPI/Protein complexes



Figure : Data on proteasome complexes from Fabre et al. Mol Syst Biol (2015), DOI: $10.15252 / \mathrm{msb} .20145497$

Transfer learnig, based on Wu and Dietterich (2004):
Class-weighted kNN

$$
V\left(c_{i}\right)_{j}=\theta^{*} n_{i j}^{P}+\left(1-\theta^{*}\right) n_{i j}^{A}
$$



Linear programming SVM

$$
f\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} ; \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{P}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{A}, b\right)=\sum_{l=1}^{m} y_{l}\left[\alpha_{l}^{P} K^{P}\left(\mathbf{x}_{l}, \mathbf{x}\right)+\alpha_{l}^{A} K^{A}\left(\mathbf{v}_{l}, \mathbf{v}\right)\right]+b
$$



Data from mouse stem cells (E14TG2a).


Figure: From Breckels et al. (2016a) Learning from heterogeneous data sources: an application in spatial proteomics.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$... which are all reproducible, by the way.
    ${ }^{2}$ https://lgatto.shinyapps.io/christoforou2015/
    ${ }^{3}$ between and within domains/software

