

# Relationships between crop yield and landscape features

Ashley Kissick, James Camberato, and Robert Nielsen


Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

## Introduction



Sound agronomic recommendations are crucial for today's agronomists as they strive for improved yields, profits, and sustainability. Determining the spatial relationships between yield and landscape variation including soil properties, soil texture, and terrain attributes may improve management decisions, particularly with regards to proper nitrogen application for minimizing both costs to farmers and environmental impacts. Here, we investigate relationships between landscape features and corn yield as part of a preliminary study to model corn yield with variations in landscape attributes, soil properties, and weather.

## Materials and Methods

Davis Purdue Agricultural Center  
12 ha, continuous corn



**Principal soil series**  
**Blount series**  
-On till planes of Wisconsin age, formed in silty clay loam or clay loam till  
-Fine, illitic, mesic Aeric Epiaqualfs  
**Pewamo series**  
-On moraines, near-shore zones, and lake planes, formed in till  
-Fine, mixed, active, mesic Typic Epiaqualfs




**Run two models for spatial processes:**

**1. Spatial lag model:**  
 $Y = \rho WY + X\beta + \epsilon$


**2. Spatial error model:**  
 $Y = X\beta + \eta$   
 $\eta = \lambda W\eta + \epsilon$

**Lowest AIC (Akaike Information Criterion)**




- Catchment area
- Catchment slope
- Convergence index
- Cross-sectional curvature
- Diurnal anisotropic heating
- Flow accumulation
- Longitudinal curvature
- Modified catchment area
- Relative slope position
- Slope
- Topographic position index
- Topographic wetness index
- SAGA topographic wetness index
- Valley depth
- Vertical distance to channel network


**Select most important variables for model using Random Forest.**



**Use cluster analysis to select unique terrain attributes.**



## Results



	AIC	Standardized coefficient	Estimate	p-value
<b>2011</b>	Lag: 309.30	Topographic position index	-0.49	5.51e-05
	Error: 303.47	Catchment slope	-0.19	0.03
<b>2012</b>	Lag: 203.05 Error: 199.22	Silt	0.70	2.20e-16
		Topographic wetness index	0.30	9.00e-04
		Longitudinal curvature	-0.34	4.70e-07
		Diurnal anisotropic heating	0.28	1.28e-08
		Convexity	0.12	5.42e-02
		Organic matter	0.31	6.68e-04
<b>2015</b>	Lag: 239.97	Topographic wetness index	-0.25	2.00e-03
	Error: 240.08			
<b>2015</b>	Lag: 329.36 Error: 330.45	Silt	0.15	0.14
		Topographic wetness index	-0.69	3.00e-11
		Diurnal anisotropic heating	0.19	0.02
		% Carbon	0.56	5.89e-06
		Surface area	-0.13	0.21

- Terrain attributes only**
- Terrain attributes with soil texture and soil properties**

Model	Nagelkerke pseudo-R-squared	
2010	0.18	0.50
2011	0.32	0.62
2012	0.18	0.55
2013	0.15	0.36
2014	0.32	0.43
2015	0.14	0.40

## Discussion

The most important terrain attributes for predicting corn yield were relative slope position, topographic wetness index, topographic position index, and catchment slope. These results demonstrate that models for predicting corn yield in Indiana need to include landscape features for increased model performance. Since this preliminary study, we have added soil texture and soil properties to the models at the Davis Purdue Agricultural Center. This has substantially improved all models. This analysis met one objective of a larger investigation that will incorporate soil properties, soil texture, and weather patterns into models of corn yield across Indiana landscapes. The focus of future work will investigate relationships between yield and terrain attributes, soil properties, and weather patterns across Indiana, USA.

## Questions?

Ashley L. Kissick, Ph.D.  
akissick@purdue.edu

