

Speculation on Maxwell-Boltzmann Distribution From a Microcanonical Power Law

Francesco R Ruggeri Hanwell, N.B. Oct. 4, 2023

In (1), a power law distribution is obtained through geometric (using n-sphere and n-1 sphere surface areas) means for n one-dimensional particles with a total energy E, i.e. the condition of the microcanonical distribution is imposed. In the large n limit, this power law distribution becomes the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. The geometrical approach is based on the equation: $p_1 p_1 + p_2 p_2 + \dots + p_n p_n = RR$ where $E = RR/2m$ (nonrelativistic case), where each p_i is like a co-ordinate.

We try to argue that the result of (1), namely $f(p) = (1 - pp/RR)^{\text{power}(n-3)/2}$ may be obtained without using the geometric n dimensional sphere approach of (1). We write: $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = E$ and argue that ultimately each e should have the same probability and degeneracy. The degeneracy arises from: $de = d(pp/2m) = p dp/2m$ or $C1 \sqrt{e} dp$. In (1), a distribution as a function of p is desired. For a given e and E, the energy which may be distributed is E-e. We argue that for n=3, the probability should be the same for all particles. We further suggest a product of degeneracy values and thus suggest: $\sqrt{E-e}^{\text{power}(n-3)}$. This is the exact result of (1). In the case of 3-dimensional particles, we apply the degeneracy also to $dp_x dp_y dp_z$ so \sqrt{e} becomes $\sqrt{e}\sqrt{e}\sqrt{e}$. This then yields the correct relationship between energy and T (temperature) in the Maxwell-Boltzmann large n limit.

Microcanonical Approach of (1) to Obtaining the Maxwell-Boltzmann Distribution

The geometrical approach of (1) seems to be based on $e = pp/2m$ (nonrelativistic). For 1-dimensional motion one has the microcanonical condition:

$$p_1 p_1 + p_2 p_2 + \dots + p_n p_n = RR \quad \text{where } E = RR/2m \quad ((1))$$

((1)) is interpreted in (1) as an n-dimensional sphere. For a given p_n value, the remaining energy is related to $RR - p_n p_n$ and (1) argues that the p_1 to $p_{(n-1)}$ remaining particles are distributed over an S_{n-1} surface i.e. a sphere of n-1 dimensions.

To get a sense of this approach, consider three particles, i.e. n=3. Next consider p_1 mapping to x, p_2 to y and p_3 to z. Consider any point on the 3-space sphere. One may immediately rotate the co-ordinate system so that the point lies on the z axis. The S_{n-1} object is then a circle of radius R for any point. The probability for any point then is the same, because one has the same circle. Thus, the formula from (1) should yield a constant for $f(p)$ for n=3 which it does.

The argument of (1) is to write:

$$RR \cos(\theta) \cos(\theta) = RR - p_n p_n \quad ((2))$$

In other words one projects onto a lower dimension, i.e. S_{n-1} . In the 3-sphere case, $RR = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. $RR - z^2$ is the remaining number if z has a certain value. Z is $R \sin(90 - \theta)$ if θ is measured from the z axis and the projection is linked with $R \cos(90 - \theta)$. If one calls $90 - \theta = \theta_1$, then one sees the emergence of ((2)).

((2)) implies that $p_n/R = \sin(\theta)$ so obtaining $d\theta$ from ((2)) yields:

$$R d\theta = dp_n / \sqrt{1-p_n^2/R^2} \quad ((3))$$

A key idea, we argue, is that for curved objects (e.g. a circle) one uses equal intervals along the curve. For a straight line, like an x-axis, one uses constant dx pieces. Here the geometrical picture is one of curved spaces, so the degeneracy factor is not dp_n , but rather $R d\theta$ which may be written in terms of p_n , i.e. ((3)).

Thus probability is given by:

$$\text{Probability} = S_{n-1} (\text{area of an } n-1 \text{ curved sphere}) * \text{degeneracy factor} \quad ((4))$$

The degeneracy factor is $R d\theta$. Using the result:

S_{n-1} proportional to Radius power $(n-1)$ one has:

$$\text{Probability} = \text{Radius}^{n-1} dp_n / \sqrt{1-p_n^2/R^2}$$

The radius of the $n-1$ sphere is $\sqrt{1-p_n^2/R^2}$ so:

$$\text{Probability} = dp_n (1-p_n^2/R^2)^{n/2 - 1/2} = dp_n (1-p_n^2/R^2)^{n/2 - 3/2} \quad ((5))$$

As argued above, this yields a constant for $n=3$. In the large n limit one obtains the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. Thus (1) shows that the microcanonical approach leads to a power law which in the large n limit yields the MB distribution.

Non-geometric Approach

We suggest that ((5)) may be obtained without using n -space spheres. We use instead:

$$e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = E \quad ((6))$$

We argue that in ((6)) all e_i values appear on the same footing and should carry the same weight, i.e. degeneracy. This degeneracy is given by:

$$D_e = d(p/2m) \text{ or } de = p dp / 2m = \sqrt{e} C_1 dp \quad ((7))$$

(1) Wishes to write the probability distribution in terms of dp , but we are interested in degeneracy associated with e , so we use the degeneracy factor \sqrt{e} , except that we apply it to the energy which may be distributed when given e_n , i.e. $E - e_n$.

Thus we consider the degeneracy $\sqrt{E-e_n}$ which applies to $n-3$ particles if $n=3$ is to yield 0, i.e. a constant probability. We try to argue that $n=3$ particles should have a constant probability in the following way.

For a given number e_3 , one must distribute $E-e_3$ to e_1 and e_2 . One may, however, distribute $E-e_3$ uniformly to e_1 as e_2 automatically receives the remaining value. Thus, one really performs a uniform distribution of $E-e_3$ to e_1 . This argument, however, should apply separately to e_1 , e_2 and e_3 . This suggests a constant probability distribution in e , we argue. This leads to:

$$\text{Probability} = dp \sqrt{E-n} \text{ power } (n-3) \quad ((8))$$

Here we suggest multiplying the degeneracies leaving out factors for 3 particles.

This is the same result as the (1) and leads to the Maxwell-Boltzmann result for high n . The Maxwell-Boltzmann result is achieved even if one uses n instead of $n-3$ in the high n limit. One may note that the $\sqrt{E-e}$ in ((8)) leads to $e_{ave}/2$ appearing in the MB distribution if $E = e_{ave} n$. For the three dimensional case, we argue that one has $dp_x dp_y dp_z$ and may apply the degeneracy $\sqrt{E-e_n}$ to each (just like to a separate particle). This is then consistent with $e_{ave} = 3/2 n T$.

Conclusion

Ref. 1 presents a geometrical n -sphere approach to finding a power law probability associated with n one-dimensionally moving particles based on $\sum_i p(i)p(i) = RR$. The probability is S_{n-1} (i.e. a sphere of one less dimension), but a degeneracy factor must be found which follows from: $RR \cos(\theta) \cos(\theta) = RR - p_n p_n$. Thus, spherical symmetry is key to the solution of (1).

We argue that one may use $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = E$ with no geometrical picture. We suggest that each e_i carries the same degeneracy weight which follows from: $e = p^2/2m$ or $de = C p dp$ since one wishes to find a function in p . Thus we use \sqrt{e} as the degeneracy weight, or rather $\sqrt{E-e}$. We argue that for $n=3$, i.e. 3 particles, each has a uniform independent distribution, so the probability distribution for $n=3$ is a constant. Thus we use $\sqrt{E-e}$ power $(n-3)$ which is the same result as (1). In the high n limit with $E = e_{ave} n$, one obtains the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution from the power law one.

References

1. Lopez-Ruiz, R. and Calbet, Z. Why the Maxwell Distribution is the Attractive Fixed Point of the Boltzmann Equation 2006
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/nlin/0611044.pdf>

