



# On the Weak Solvability Via Lagrange Multipliers for a Bingham Model

Mariana Chivu Cojocaru and Andaluza Matei 

**Abstract.** We study the stationary flow of an incompressible non-Newtonian fluid of Bingham type, mathematically described by means of a non-linear boundary value problem governed by PDEs. The variational formulation which we propose is a mixed variational problem with Lagrange multipliers. First, we obtain existence, uniqueness, and stability results into an abstract framework. Then, we discuss the well-posedness of the mechanical model based on the auxiliary abstract results.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 35J66, 35Q35, 47J30, 49J40, 76D05.

**Keywords.** Non-Newtonian fluid, Bingham constitutive law, mixed variational formulation, Lagrange multipliers, weak solution, fixed point, well-posedness.

## 1. Introduction

The Bingham fluid is a medium who enjoys rigidity below a critical value; above the critical value, such a medium behaves like an incompressible viscous fluid. Some clay suspensions, blood in the capillaries, mayonnaise, mustard, or tooth pastes are only a few examples of materials having a Bingham medium behavior. The mathematical form of the Bingham fluid was proposed by Eugene C. Bingham, see [1].

The flow of the Bingham fluid was studied for many decades by applied mathematicians and numerical analysts. The main progress is due to [8]. For a broad discussion about the numerical simulation of Bingham flow, we send the reader to [6]. The literature contains very much references related to the modeling and simulation of Bingham fluid flow. In addition to [6, 8], we mention herein [4, 5, 10–12, 16, 17, 19, 21–23, 26, 29], to give only a few examples.

In the present paper, we focus on a variational approach via Lagrange multipliers for a model describing the stationary flow of an incompressible non-Newtonian fluid of Bingham type, by means of the following boundary value problem.

**Problem 1.** Find  $\mathbf{u} : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$  and  $p : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that:

$$-\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(\mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}_0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(\mathbf{x}) &= 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) + g \frac{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3}} \quad \text{if } \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} \neq 0 \\ \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}'(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} &\leq g \quad \text{if } \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \quad (4)$$

$$u_\nu(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\tau(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2. \quad (5)$$

Herein,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  partitioned in two measurable parts  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  with positive measure,  $\mathbf{u}$  is the velocity,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is the stress tensor, and  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$  is the deviatoric stress tensor ( $\boldsymbol{\sigma}' = \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{\operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{3} \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the identity tensor),  $p$  is the pressure,  $\mathbf{f}_0$  is the density of the volume force,  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  is the outward unit normal vector at  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\eta, g > 0$  are parameters of material,  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T)$  where  $\nabla \mathbf{u}^T$  is the transpose of the tensor  $\nabla \mathbf{u}$ ,  $u_\nu = \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\tau = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu} - \sigma_\nu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ , where  $\sigma_\nu = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}$ . The operator  $\operatorname{div}$  is the divergence of a vector, e.g.,  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j}$  for a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $\operatorname{Div}$  is the divergence of a tensor, e.g.,  $\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \theta_{ij}}{\partial x_j})$  for a tensor  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^3$ , where  $\mathbb{S}^3$  is the space of the second-order symmetric tensors. By  $\cdot$  and  $\|\cdot\|$ , we denote the inner product and the Euclidean norm on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , respectively, and by  $:$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{S}^3}$ , we denote the inner product and the norm on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\theta} : \boldsymbol{\eta} = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \theta_{ij} \eta_{ij}$  for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{S}^3$ ,  $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta} : \boldsymbol{\theta}}$ .

The weak variational formulation via Lagrange multipliers of Problem 1 drives us to a new mixed variational problem having the form below:

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) + b(v, \lambda) + J(u, u, v) &= (f, v)_X \quad \text{for all } v \in X, \\ b(u, \mu - \lambda) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \Lambda \subset Y. \end{aligned}$$

If the flow is very slowly, the convective term  $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}$  can be neglected. In this case, we are led to a simplified mixed variational formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) + b(v, \lambda) &= (f, v)_X \quad \text{for all } v \in X, \\ b(u, \mu - \lambda) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \Lambda \subset Y, \end{aligned}$$

which is a saddle point problem; we can associate it the functional  $\mathcal{L} : X \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(v, \mu) = \frac{1}{2}a(v, v) + b(v, \mu) - (f, v)_X$  (see, e.g., [9, 13] for elements of the saddle point theory related to this topic). Such an approach is convenient from the numerical point of view, allowing to apply modern numerical techniques; see, e.g., [15] and the references therein.

In the present work, we are interested on existence, uniqueness, and stability results in the case when the convective term is non-negligible. Hence, we will focus on the solvability of the mixed variational problem governed by the functional  $J$ .

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2, we describe the functional setting. In Sect. 3, we deliver a weak variational formulation with

Lagrange multipliers in a dual space. Auxiliary abstract results are obtained in Sect. 4. In Sect. 5, we focus on the well-posedness of Problem 1 based on the abstract results in Sect. 4.

## 2. Functional Setting

In this section, we fix the functional setting. Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . The space

$$L^p(\Omega)^3 = \{\mathbf{v} = (v_i) \mid v_i \in L^p(\Omega), \ 1 \leq i \leq 3\}$$

is a real Banach space endowed with the norm:

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(\Omega)^3} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^3 |u_i|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Clearly:

$$\|u_i\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(\Omega)^3} \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (6)$$

The space

$$L^p(\Omega)^{3 \times 3} = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_{ij}) \mid \tau_{ij} \in L^p(\Omega), \ 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\}$$

is a real Banach space endowed with the norm:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{L^p(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 |\tau_{ij}|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} = \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|\tau_{ij}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Obviously:

$$\|\tau_{ij}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{L^p(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (7)$$

Let us set  $p = 2$ . The space  $(L^2(\Omega)^3, (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\Omega)^3}, \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3})$  is a Hilbert space, where:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{L^2(\Omega)^3} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} u_i v_i \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx; \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} v_i v_i \, dx \right)^{1/2} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, the space  $(L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}, \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}})$  is a Hilbert space, where

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{ij} \tau_{ij} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \boldsymbol{\tau} \, dx; \\ \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}} &= \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} \tau_{ij} \tau_{ij} \, dx \right)^{1/2} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathbb{S}^3}^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we introduce the space:

$$L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3} = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3} \mid \tau_{ij} = \tau_{ji} \ 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\}.$$

The space  $(L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}, (, )_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}, \| \cdot \|_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}})$  is also a Hilbert space, where:

$$(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}; \quad \| \boldsymbol{\sigma} \|_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}} = \| \boldsymbol{\sigma} \|_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}.$$

Let us consider now the space

$$H^1(\Omega)^3 = \{ \mathbf{v} = (v_i) \mid v_i \in H^1(\Omega), \ 1 \leq i \leq 3 \}$$

endowed with the inner product:

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{H^1(\Omega)^3} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (u_i, v_i)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla u_i, \nabla v_i)_{L^2(\Omega)^3},$$

and the associated norm

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathbf{v} \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 \| v_i \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \| \nabla v_i \|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The space  $(H^1(\Omega)^3, (, )_{H^1(\Omega)^3}, \| \cdot \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3})$  is a Hilbert space. For scalar Lebesgue and Sobolev function spaces, we use standard notation, see, e.g., [2, 18].

Let  $\mathbf{D} : H^1(\Omega)^3 \rightarrow L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T)$ . It can be easily observed that  $\mathbf{D}$  is a linear and continuous operator. By means of the operator  $\mathbf{D}$ , we can consider on  $H^1(\Omega)^3$  the following particular inner product and its associated norm:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))_{H^1(\Omega)^3} &= (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{L^2(\Omega)^3} + (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v})_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^3, \\ \| \mathbf{v} \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} &= (\| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2 + \| \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}^2)^{1/2} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $\| \mathbf{v} \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \leq \| \mathbf{v} \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^3$ . In addition, it can be proved that there exists  $c > 0$ , such that, for all  $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^3$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} \| \mathbf{v} \|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{\mathbb{S}^3}^2 dx \leq c \left( \int_{\Omega} \| \mathbf{v} \|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \| \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \|_{\mathbb{S}^3}^2 dx \right). \quad (9)$$

Hence,  $\| \cdot \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3}$  and  $\| \cdot \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3}$  are equivalent norms. Therefore, the space  $(H^1(\Omega)^3, ((, ))_{H^1(\Omega)^3}, \| \cdot \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3})$  is a Hilbert space too.

Let us introduce the space:

$$V_0 = \{ \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^3 \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_1 \},$$

where  $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega$ ,  $meas(\Gamma_1) > 0$  and

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} : H^1(\Omega)^3 \rightarrow L^r(\partial\Omega)^3 \quad (1 \leq r < 4) \quad (10)$$

is the Sobolev's trace operator for vectors (a linear, continuous and compact operator), see, e.g., [18] or, more recently, Theorem 2.79 in [3] or Theorem 2.21 in [20]. The space  $(V_0, (, )_{H^1(\Omega)^3}, \| \cdot \|_{H^1(\Omega)^3})$  is a Hilbert space being a closed subspace of  $H^1(\Omega)^3$ . Indeed, let  $(\mathbf{v}_n)_n \subset V_0$ , such that  $\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$  in  $H^1(\Omega)^3$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Setting  $r = 2$  in (10), as  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  is a linear and continuous operator:

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} \text{ in } L^2(\partial\Omega)^3 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (11)$$

According to, e.g., Theorem 4.9 in [2], we deduce that there exists a subsequence of  $(\mathbf{v}_n)_n \subset V_0$ , denoted herein by  $(\mathbf{v}_{n'})_{n'}$ , such that:

$$\gamma \mathbf{v}_{n'}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \gamma \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ a.e. on } \partial\Omega \text{ as } n' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Because  $\gamma \mathbf{v}_{n'}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  a.e. on  $\Gamma_1$  we deduce that  $\gamma \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  a.e. on  $\Gamma_1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a bounded domain with Lipschitz continuous boundary and let  $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial\Omega$  with positive measure. Then, there exists  $c_K = c_K(\Omega, \Gamma_1) > 0$ , such that:*

$$\|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}\|_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}} \geq c_K \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V_0. \quad (12)$$

This is a version of the Korn's inequality; see, e.g., [7, 24, 25, 27, 30] for some versions of the Korn's inequality.

We define the following inner product

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{V_0} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx = (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v})_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}$$

and the associated norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{V_0} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx \right)^{1/2} = \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}\|_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}.$$

Keeping in mind Theorem 1, we deduce that  $\|\cdot\|_{V_0}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3}$  are equivalent norms. Consequently,  $(V_0, (\cdot, \cdot)_{V_0}, \|\cdot\|_{V_0})$  is a Hilbert space.

Furthermore, we consider the space:

$$V_1 = \{\mathbf{v} \in V_0 \mid v_\nu = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_2\},$$

where  $v_\nu = \gamma \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}$ . This space is a closed subspace of  $V_0$ . So,  $(V_1, (\cdot, \cdot)_{V_0}, \|\cdot\|_{V_0})$  is a Hilbert space; see, for instance, [30], page 88.

We introduce now the following space:

$$V = \{\mathbf{v} \in V_1 \mid \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}, \quad (13)$$

endowed with the inner product  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_V = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{V_0}$  and its associated norm  $\|\mathbf{u}\|_V = \|\mathbf{u}\|_{V_0}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The space  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot)_V, \|\cdot\|_V)$  is a Hilbert space.*

*Proof.* Let us consider the linear operator  $B : H^1(\Omega)^3 \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  defined by:

$$B(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^3.$$

The operator  $B$  is continuous. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} \|B\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left\| \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^3 \left\| \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\nabla v_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\nabla v_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\|B\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{3} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 \|\nabla v_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}^2}.$$

Keeping in mind (8), we obtain:

$$\|B\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{3}\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3}.$$

Due to the linearity of the operator  $B$ , we immediately observe that  $V$  is a subspace of  $V_1$ . In addition, due to the continuity of the operator  $B$ , we can prove that  $V$  is a closed subspace of  $V_1$ . To this end in view, let  $(\mathbf{v}_n)_n \subset V$  be such that  $\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$  in  $V_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . As  $B$  is a continuous operator, we obtain:

$$B\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow B\mathbf{v} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (14)$$

By Theorem 4.9 in [2], we deduce that there exists a subsequence of  $(\mathbf{v}_n)_n \subset V$ ,  $(\mathbf{v}_{n'})_{n'}$ , such that:

$$B\mathbf{v}_{n'}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow B\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \text{ as } n' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Because  $B\mathbf{v}_{n'}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , we deduce that  $B\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Therefore,  $V$  is a closed subspace of the space  $V_1$ . As  $V_1$  is a Hilbert space, we conclude that  $V$  is a Hilbert space too.  $\square$

Using the operator  $\mathbf{D}$  and the space  $V$ , we introduce the following space:

$$S = \mathbf{D}(V) = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3} \mid \exists \mathbf{v} \in V \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}\}. \quad (15)$$

**Proposition 2.** *The space  $(S, (, )_S, \| \cdot \|_S)$  is a Hilbert space, where  $(, )_S = (, )_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}$  and  $\| \cdot \|_S = \| \cdot \|_{L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}$ .*

*Proof.* Due to the linearity of the operator  $\mathbf{D}$ , we observe that  $S$  is a subspace of  $L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}$ . In addition, due to the continuity of the operator  $\mathbf{D}$ , we can prove that  $S$  is a closed subspace of  $L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}$ . Indeed, let  $(\boldsymbol{\tau}_n)_n \subset S$ , such that:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_n \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\tau} \text{ in } L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $(\mathbf{v}_n)_n \subset V$ , such that  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_n = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}_n)_n$  is a convergent sequence in  $L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}$ , then  $(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence too. By (12), we deduce that  $(\mathbf{v}_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $V$ . As  $V$  is a Hilbert space, we conclude that  $(\mathbf{v}_n)_n$  is a convergent sequence in  $V$ . Let us denote by  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  its limit. Therefore:

$$\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \text{ in } V \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\mathbf{D}$  is a continuous operator, then:

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \text{ in } L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

However:

$$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\tau} \text{ in } L_s^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Due to the uniqueness of the limit, we obtain:

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}.$$

Therefore,  $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in S$ . So,  $S$  is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space.  $\square$

Everywhere below, we will denote by  $S'$  the Hilbert space which is the dual of the space  $S$ .

### 3. A Weak Formulation with Lagrange Multipliers

We assume that the data  $\mathbf{f}_0, g, \eta$  fulfill the following hypothesis:

$$(H) \quad \mathbf{f}_0 \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \text{ and } g, \eta > 0.$$

Let  $\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ , and  $p$  be regular enough functions satisfying Problem 1. For all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ :

$$-\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx. \quad (16)$$

Keeping in mind the definition of the deviatoric tensor  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$  and using the integration by parts formula, we deduce that, for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ :

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx &= -\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Div} \left( \frac{\operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{3} \mathbf{I} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using again the integration by parts formula:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} p v_{\nu} \, d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \, dx = 0. \quad (17)$$

Hence:

$$-\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

And from this, using a Green formula:

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} \, d\Gamma \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

Since

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} = \sigma_{\nu} v_{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\tau},$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_{\tau} = \mathbf{v} - v_{\nu}\boldsymbol{\nu}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_2} (\sigma_{\nu} v_{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\tau}) \, d\Gamma \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  a.e. on  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $v_{\nu} = 0$  and  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau} = \mathbf{0}$  a.e. on  $\Gamma_2$ , we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx.$$

On the other hand, keeping in mind the definition of the deviatoric stress tensor  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$  and taking into account that  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , we deduce that:

$$\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}' - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Let us define:

$$a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 2\eta \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \, dx, \quad (19)$$

$$J : V \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \, dx, \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbf{f} \in V, \quad (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})_V = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V, \quad (21)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in S', \quad \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle_{S', S} = \int_{\Omega} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}' - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) : \boldsymbol{\tau} \, dx \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\tau} \in S, \quad (22)$$

where, by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{S', S}$ , we denote the duality product between  $S'$  and  $S$ . Also, we introduce the set of the Lagrange multipliers:

$$\Lambda = \{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in S' \mid \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle_{S', S} \leq \int_{\Omega} g \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} \, dx \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\tau} \in S\}. \quad (23)$$

The Lagrange multiplier  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  introduced by (22) is an element of  $\Lambda$ . To justify this, we have to prove that:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}'(\mathbf{x}) - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} \leq g \quad \text{a.e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega.$$

Indeed, let  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ . If  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ , then:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}'(\mathbf{x}) - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} = \left\| g \frac{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3}} \right\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} = g.$$

Otherwise, if  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , then:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}'(\mathbf{x}) - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} = \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}'(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} \leq g.$$

Thus,  $\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}' - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} \leq g$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ . Therefore,  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda$ .

Let us define a bilinear form:

$$b : V \times S' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad b(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{v} \rangle_{S', S}. \quad (24)$$

Using (18)–(24), we obtain the following variational equation:

$$a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) + J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})_V \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V. \quad (25)$$

Furthermore, we have:

$$b(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = b(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) - b(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} \rangle_{S', S} - \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} \rangle_{S', S}. \quad (26)$$

Obviously, for all  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \Lambda$ :

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} \rangle_{S', S} \leq \int_{\Omega} g \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} \, dx. \quad (27)$$

On the other hand:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} \rangle_{S', S} = \int_{\Omega} g \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{S}^3} \, dx. \quad (28)$$



To justify (28), we have to prove that, a.e.  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ :

$$(\sigma'(\mathbf{x}) - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = g \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3}.$$

Indeed, let  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ . If  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , then:

$$(\sigma'(\mathbf{x}) - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 = g \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3}.$$

Otherwise, if  $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma'(\mathbf{x}) - 2\eta \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) &= g \frac{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3}} : \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= g \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathbb{S}^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (26)–(28), we get the following variational inequality:

$$b(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \Lambda. \quad (29)$$

Thus, we obtain the following weak formulation of Problem 1.

**Problem 2.** Find  $\mathbf{u} \in V$  and  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda \subseteq S'$ , such that (25) and (29) hold true.

## 4. Abstract Results

Let  $(X, (\cdot, \cdot)_X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ ,  $(Y, (\cdot, \cdot)_Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be two Hilbert spaces,  $a : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  two bilinear forms,  $J : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a trilinear form,  $f$  a given element in  $X$ , and  $\Lambda$  a subset of  $Y$ .

We draw the attention to the following mixed variational problem.

**Problem 3.** Given  $f \in X$ , find  $(u, \lambda) \in X \times \Lambda$ , such that:

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) + b(v, \lambda) + J(u, u, v) &= (f, v)_X \quad \text{for all } v \in X, \\ b(u, \mu - \lambda) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \Lambda \subseteq Y. \end{aligned}$$

We focus on existence, uniqueness, and stability results under the following hypothesis.

**Assumption 1.** (Sp)  $(X, (\cdot, \cdot)_X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ ,  $(Y, (\cdot, \cdot)_Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  are two real Hilbert spaces.

(A)  $\Lambda \subset Y$  is a closed, convex subset that contains  $0_Y$ .

(a) The bilinear form  $a : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous of rank  $M_a > 0$  and  $X$ -elliptic of rank  $m_a > 0$ .

(J1) The trilinear form  $J : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous of rank  $M_J > 0$ .

(J2)  $J(u, v, w) + J(u, w, v) = 0$  for all  $u, v, w \in X$ .

(b1) The bilinear form  $b : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous of rank  $M_b > 0$ .

(b2) There exists  $\alpha > 0 : \inf_{\mu \in Y, \mu \neq 0_Y} \sup_{v \in X, v \neq 0_X} \frac{b(v, \mu)}{\|v\|_X \|\mu\|_Y} \geq \alpha$ .

(i)  $M_J \|f\|_X < m_a^2$ .

**Theorem 2.** Under Hypothesis 1, Problem 3 has an unique solution. Moreover:

$$\|u\|_X \leq \frac{1}{m_a} \|f\|_X, \quad (30)$$

$$\|\lambda\|_Y \leq \frac{\|f\|_X}{\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{M_a}{m_a} + \frac{M_J}{m_a^2} \|f\|_X \right). \quad (31)$$

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < m_a^2$  and  $\mathcal{X} = \left\{ f \in X : \|f\|_X \leq \frac{m_a^2 - \varepsilon}{M_J} \right\}$ . Let  $(u_1, \lambda_1), (u_2, \lambda_2) \in X \times \Lambda$  be the solutions of Problem 3 corresponding to the data  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ , respectively. Then, there exists  $Q = Q(\varepsilon, m_a, M_a) > 0$ , such that:

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_X + \|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|_Y \leq Q \|f_1 - f_2\|_X. \quad (32)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\rho \in X$ . We consider the following auxiliary problem: given  $f, \rho \in X$ , find  $(u_\rho, \lambda_\rho) \in X \times \Lambda$ , such that:

$$a(u_\rho, v) + b(v, \lambda_\rho) + J(\rho, u_\rho, v) = (f, v)_X \quad \text{for all } v \in X, \quad (33)$$

$$b(u_\rho, \mu - \lambda_\rho) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \Lambda \subset Y. \quad (34)$$

Let us define  $a_\rho : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$a_\rho(u, v) = a(u, v) + J(\rho, u, v).$$

We observe that  $a_\rho$  is a continuous bilinear form of rank  $M_a + M_J \|\rho\|_X$ . Moreover, keeping in mind Hypothesis 1, we observe that  $a_\rho(w, w) = a(w, w)$  for all  $w \in X$ . Hence,  $a_\rho$  is X-elliptic of rank  $m_a$ .

Because  $a_\rho$  is not a symmetric form, then the auxiliary problem is not a saddle point problem. However, according to Theorem 3.1 in [14], the problem (33)–(34) has an unique solution  $(u_\rho, \lambda_\rho) \in X \times \Lambda$ .

Notice that  $u_\rho \leq \frac{1}{m_a} \|f\|_X$ . This follows from (33) and (34) by setting  $v = u_\rho$  and  $\mu = 0_Y$ . Let us introduce the set:

$$K = \left\{ v \in X : \|v\|_X \leq \frac{1}{m_a} \|f\|_X \right\},$$

which is a nonempty, closed, convex subset of  $X$ .

We define an operator  $T : K \rightarrow K$ ,  $T\rho = u_\rho$  ( $u_\rho$  is the first component of the unique pair solution of the problem (33)–(34),  $(u_\rho, \lambda_\rho) \in K \times \Lambda$ ).

The operator  $T$  is a contraction. Indeed, let  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in K$ . To simplify the writing, we make the following notation  $u_{\rho_i} = u_i$ ,  $\lambda_{\rho_i} = \lambda_i$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since:

$$\begin{aligned} a(u_i, v) + b(v, \lambda_i) + J(\rho_i, u_i, v) &= (f, v)_X \quad \text{for all } v \in X, \\ b(u_i, \mu - \lambda_i) &\leq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \Lambda, \end{aligned}$$

observing that  $J(\rho_1, u_1, u_1 - u_2) - J(\rho_2, u_2, u_1 - u_2) = J(\rho_1 - \rho_2, u_1, u_1 - u_2)$ , we deduce  $m_a \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^2 \leq \frac{M_J}{m_a} \|f\|_X \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_X \|u_1 - u_2\|_X$ . Hence:

$$\|T\rho_1 - T\rho_2\|_X \leq \frac{M_J}{m_a^2} \|f\|_X \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_X.$$

Thus, keeping in mind Hypothesis 1 (i), we conclude that  $T$  is a contraction.

Let  $\rho^*$  be the unique fixed point of  $T$ . The pair  $(u_{\rho^*}, \lambda_{\rho^*})$  is a solution of Problem 3. In fact, this is the unique solution. Indeed, let  $(u_1, \lambda_1), (u_2, \lambda_2) \in X \times \Lambda$  be two solutions of Problem 3. Therefore:

$$a(u_1 - u_2, v) + b(v, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + J(u_1, u_1, v) - J(u_2, u_2, v) = 0. \quad (35)$$

However:

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_1, u_1, v) - J(u_2, u_2, v) &= J(u_1, u_1 - u_2, v) \\ &\quad - J(u_1 - u_2, u_1 - u_2, v) + J(u_1 - u_2, u_1, v). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Setting  $v = u_1 - u_2$  in (35), since  $b(u_1 - u_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \leq 0$ , we obtain:

$$m_a \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^2 \leq \frac{M_J}{m_a} \|f\|_X \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^2.$$

Keeping in mind that  $m_a^2 > M_J \|f\|_X$ , we obtain  $u_1 = u_2$ . Then, for all  $v \in X$ :

$$b(v, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = -a(u_1 - u_2, v) - [J(u_1, u_1, v) - J(u_2, u_2, v)] = 0.$$

Using the inf-sup property of the form  $b$ , see Hypothesis 1 (b2), we deduce that  $\|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|_Y \leq 0$ . Therefore,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . Therefore, Problem 3 has a unique solution  $(u, \lambda) \in X \times \Lambda$ ,  $u = u_{\rho^*}$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_{\rho^*}$ . Since  $u_{\rho^*} \in K$ , we get (30). Moreover, as:

$$\begin{aligned} b(v, \lambda) &= (f, v)_X - a(u, v) - J(u, u, v) \\ &\leq \left( \|f\|_X + \frac{M_a}{m_a} \|f\|_X + \frac{M_J \|f\|_X^2}{m_a^2} \right) \|v\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

we get (31) based on the inf-sup property of the form  $b$ .

Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{X}$  and let  $(u_i, \lambda_i)$  be the corresponding solution to  $f_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . For all  $v \in X$ :

$$a(u_1 - u_2, v) + b(v, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + J(u_1, u_1, v) - J(u_2, u_2, v) = (f_1 - f_2, v)_X.$$

Setting  $v = u_1 - u_2$ , since

$$b(u_1 - u_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \leq 0$$

and

$$J(u_1, u_1, u_1 - u_2) - J(u_2, u_2, u_1 - u_2) = J(u_1 - u_2, u_1, u_1 - u_2),$$

we obtain:

$$m_a \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^2 \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_X \|u_1 - u_2\|_X + \frac{M_J}{m_a} \|f_1\|_X \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^2.$$

Hence,

$$\left( m_a - \frac{M_J \|f_1\|_X}{m_a} \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^2 \leq \frac{\|f_1 - f_2\|_X^2}{2k} + \frac{k \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^2}{2}$$

with  $k > 0$ . Choosing  $k = \frac{\varepsilon}{m_a}$ , we are led to:

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_X \leq \frac{m_a}{\varepsilon} \|f_1 - f_2\|_X. \quad (37)$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} b(v, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) &\leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_X \|v\|_X + M_a \|u_1 - u_2\|_X \|v\|_X \\ &\quad + |J(u_1, u_1 - u_2, v)| + |J(u_1 - u_2, u_2, v)| \\ &\leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_X \|v\|_X + M_a \|u_1 - u_2\|_X \|v\|_X \\ &\quad + M_J \frac{\|f_1\|_X + \|f_2\|_X}{m_a} \|u_1 - u_2\|_X \|v\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the inf-sup property of the form  $b$ , we obtain:

$$\alpha \|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|_Y \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_X + M_a \|u_1 - u_2\|_X + M_J \frac{\|f_1\|_X + \|f_2\|_X}{m_a} \|u_1 - u_2\|_X.$$

Consequently, using (37) and taking into account that  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ , we get:

$$\|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|_Y \leq \tilde{c} \|f_1 - f_2\|_X, \quad (38)$$

where  $\tilde{c} = \alpha^{-1} \left[ 1 + \frac{m_a M_a + 2(m_a^2 - \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right]$ . Taking into account (37) and (38), we obtain (32) with:  $Q = \frac{m_a}{\varepsilon} + \tilde{c}$ .  $\square$

## 5. The Well-Posedness of Problem 1

In this section, we study the well-posedness of Problem 1 based on the abstract results obtained in Sect. 4, Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** *Under the hypothesis (H), if  $\mathbf{f}_0$  is small enough, then Problem 1 has an unique weak solution  $(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in V \times S'$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{f}_0^1, \mathbf{f}_0^2$  are small enough, then there exists  $M > 0$ , such that:*

$$\|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_V + \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1 - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2\|_{S'} \leq M \|\mathbf{f}_0^1 - \mathbf{f}_0^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}, \quad (39)$$

where  $(\mathbf{u}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_1), (\mathbf{u}_2, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2) \in V \times S'$  are the solutions of Problem 1 corresponding to the data  $\mathbf{f}_0^1, \mathbf{f}_0^2 \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Notice that  $V(= X)$  and  $S'(= Y)$  are Hilbert spaces, and so, Hypothesis 1 (Sp) takes place. Obviously,  $a$  in (19) is a bilinear, continuous and X-elliptic form, with  $M_a = m_a = 2\eta$ . Therefore, (a) in Hypothesis 1 holds true. Also, keeping in mind (23), it is easy to check Hypothesis 1 ( $\Lambda$ ).

Let us show that (J1) in Hypothesis 1 holds true. Clearly,  $J$  in (20) is a trilinear form. Let us prove the continuity of  $J$ . For all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$ :

$$|J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \, dx \right| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} |u_j| \left| \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \right| |w_i| \, dx.$$

Setting  $p = 4$  in (18) and  $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \nabla \mathbf{v}$  in (18), we observe that:

$$|J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})| \leq 9 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^4(\Omega)^3} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} |J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})| &\leq 9c_1^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \\ &\leq 9c_1^2 c\sqrt{c} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \\ &\leq 9c_1^2 c\sqrt{c} c_K^{-3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_V \|\mathbf{v}\|_V \|\mathbf{w}\|_V, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1 > 0$  is the constant corresponding to the continuous embedding  $H^1(\Omega)^3 \subset L^4(\Omega)^3$ ,  $c > 0$  is the constant in (9), and  $c_K > 0$  is the constant in the Korn's inequality in Theorem 1. We can choose  $M_J = 9c_1^2 c \sqrt{c} c_K^{-3}$ .

To proceed, we check the validity of (J2) in Hypothesis 1:

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) + J(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} \left( u_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} w_i + u_j \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_j} v_i \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (v_i w_i) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\partial\Omega} u_j v_i w_i \nu_j d\Gamma - \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} v_i w_i dx \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u_\nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{w} d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that the term  $\int_{\partial\Omega} u_\nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{w} d\Gamma$  is well defined. Indeed, setting  $r = 3$  in (10), we have  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{w} \in L^3(\partial\Omega)^3$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)^3$ , then  $(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathbf{w}) \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ .

It is easy to see that the form  $b$  in (24) is bilinear and continuous with  $M_b = 1$ . Thus, Hypothesis 1 (b1) is fulfilled. Moreover, as:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|_{S'} &= \sup_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in S, \boldsymbol{\tau} \neq 0_S} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle_{S',S}}{\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_S} \\ &\leq \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in V, \mathbf{v} \neq 0_V} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, D\mathbf{v} \rangle_{S',S}}{\|D\mathbf{v}\|_S} \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in V, \mathbf{v} \neq 0_V} \frac{b(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\mu})}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_V}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in S', \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq 0_{S'}} \sup_{\mathbf{v} \in V, \mathbf{v} \neq 0_V} \frac{b(\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\mu})}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_V \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|_{S'}} \geq 1.$$

Let us take  $\alpha = 1$ . Therefore, (b2) in Hypothesis 1 holds true. Therefore, due to Theorem 2, Problem 1 has a unique weak solution.

Due to (21), if  $\mathbf{f}_0$  is small enough, then  $\|\mathbf{f}\|_V$  is small enough, such that (i) in Hypothesis 1 is fulfilled.

Let us define now  $\mathbf{f}_i \in V$ , such that:

$$(\mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{v})_V = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_0^i \cdot \mathbf{v} dx \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in V, i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(\mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{v})_V}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_V} &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{f}_0^1 - \mathbf{f}_0^2) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_V} \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{f}_0^1 - \mathbf{f}_0^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_V} \\
 &\leq \frac{\|\mathbf{f}_0^1 - \mathbf{f}_0^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_V} \\
 &\leq \frac{c_K^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}_0^1 - \mathbf{f}_0^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3} \|\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2_s(\Omega)^{3 \times 3}}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_V} \\
 &= c_K^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}_0^1 - \mathbf{f}_0^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3},
 \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\|\mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{f}_2\|_V \leq c_K^{-1} \|\mathbf{f}_0^1 - \mathbf{f}_0^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)^3}.$$

Using now Theorem 2, we obtain immediately (39), with  $M = c_K^{-1} Q$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No 823731 CONMECH.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### References

- [1] Bingham, E.C.: Fluidity and Plasticity. Mc Graw-Hill, New York (1922)
- [2] Brézis, H.: Functional Analysis. Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. Springer, New York (2010)
- [3] Carl, S., Le, V.K., Motreanu, D.: Nonsmooth Variational Problems and Their Inequalities. Comparison Principles and Applications. Springer, New York (2007)
- [4] Cleja-Tigoiu, S., Cristescu, N.: A flow analysis of a Rigid-Viscoplastic body through an annular orifice. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* **27**(5), 291–391 (1985)
- [5] Cleja-Tigoiu, S.: Constitutive equation of the Bingham's type for hardening materials. In: *Theory of Plasticity*, 196–200 (in romanian) (1985)
- [6] Dean, E.J., Glowinski, R., Guidobonia, G.: On the numerical simulation of Bingham visco-plastic flow: old and new results. *Rev. J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **142**, 36–62 (2007)
- [7] Dudek, S., Kalita, P., Migórski, S.: Stationary flow of non-Newtonian fluid with nonmonotone frictional boundary conditions. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **66**(5), 2625–2646 (2015)
- [8] Duvaut, G., Lions, J.-L.: *Les inéquations en mécanique et en physique*. Dunod, Paris (1972)
- [9] Ekeland, I., Témam, R.: *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, 28. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA (1999)
- [10] Germain, P.: *Mécanique des Milieux Continus*. Masson, Paris (1973)

- [11] Glowinski, R., Le Tallec, P.: Augmented Lagrangians and Operator-Splitting Methods in Continuum Mechanics. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA (1989)
- [12] Guyon, E., Hulin, J.P., Petit, L.: Hydrodynamique Physique. EDP Sciences/CNRS Editions, Paris (2001)
- [13] Haslinger, J., Hlaváček, I., Nečas, J.: *Numerical methods for unilateral problems in solid mechanics*, in Handbook of Numerical Analysis, P.G. Ciarlet and J.L. Lions eds, **IV**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 313–485 (1996)
- [14] Hübner, S., Matei, A., Wohlmuth, B.I.: A mixed variational formulation and an optimal a priori error estimate for a frictional contact problem in elastopiezoelectricity. Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, **48**(96)(2), 209–232 (2005)
- [15] Hübner, S., Matei, A., Wohlmuth, B.: Efficient algorithms for problems with friction. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. **29**(1), 70–92 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1137/050634141>
- [16] Ionescu, I., Sofonea, M.: The blocking property in the study of the Bingham fluid. Int. J. Eng. Sci. **24**, 289–297 (1986)
- [17] Ionescu, I., Sofonea, M.: Functional and Numerical Methods in Viscoplasticity. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (1993)
- [18] Kufner, A., John, O., Fučík, S.: Function Spaces, in: Monographs and Textbooks on Mechanics of Solids and Fluids; Mechanics: 406 Analysis, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden (1977)
- [19] Merouani, B., Messelmi, F., Drabla, S.: Dynamical flow of a Bingham Fluid with subdifferential boundary condition, Analele Universității Oradea Fasc. Matematica, Tom XV **I**, 5–30 (2009)
- [20] Migórski, S., Ochal, A., Sofonea, M.: Nonlinear Inclusions and Hemivariational Inequalities. Models and Analysis of Contact Problems. Springer, New York (2013)
- [21] Mosolov, P.P., Miasnikov, V.P.: Variational methods in the theory of the fluidity of a viscous-plastic medium. PPM J. Mech. and Appl. Math. **29**, 545–577 (1965)
- [22] Mosolov, P.P., Miasnikov, V.P.: On stagnant flow regions of a viscous-plastic medium in pipes. PPM J. Mech. and Appl. Math. **30**, 841–854 (1966)
- [23] Mosolov, P.P., Miasnikov, V.P.: On qualitative singularities of the flow of a viscoplastic medium in pipes. PPM J. Mech and Appl. Math. **31**, 609–613 (1967)
- [24] Mosolov, P.P., Myasnikov, V.P.: On the correctness of boundary value problems in the mechanics of continuous media. Math. USSR Sbornik **17**, 257–268 (1972)
- [25] Nečas, J., Hlaváček, I.: Mathematical Theory of Elastic and Elastico-Plastic Bodies: an Introduction. Elsevier, Amsterdam (1981)
- [26] Patrick, H., Ionescu, I.R., Lachand-Robert, T., Roșca, I.: The blocking of an inhomogeneous Bingham fluid. Applications to landslides, ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis - Modélisation Mathématique et Analyse Numérique **36**(6), 1013–1026 (2002)
- [27] Pompe, W.: Korn's first inequality with variable coefficients and its generalization. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **44**, 57–70 (2003)
- [28] Prager, W.: Introduction to Mechanics of Continua. Ginn and Company, Boston, MA (1961)

- [29] Selmani, M., Merouani, B., Selmani, L.: Analysis of a class of frictional contact problems for the Bingham fluid. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2**, 113–124 (2005)
- [30] Sofonea, M., Matei, A.: *Mathematical Models in Contact Mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012)

Mariana Chivu Cojocaru  
Doctoral School of Sciences  
University of Craiova  
A.I. Cuza 13  
Craiova 200585  
Romania  
e-mail: [chivumarianaflorentina@yahoo.com](mailto:chivumarianaflorentina@yahoo.com)

Andaluzia Matei  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Craiova  
A.I. Cuza 13  
200585 Craiova  
Romania  
e-mail: [andaluziamatei@inf.ucv.ro](mailto:andaluziamatei@inf.ucv.ro)

Received: July 18, 2019.

Revised: July 17, 2020.

Accepted: August 6, 2020.