

Interpersonal Communication and Relationship: A Conceptual Review between Educators and Undergraduate Students

Vimala Govindaraju, Zuraini Seruji

| Article Info | Abstract |
|---|--|
| Article History Received: November 05, 2021 Accepted: June 07, 2022 | <i>Communication is recognized as an important key to the interaction process. The communication practice between students and educators contained by the educational setting is a significant and persuasive part of creating a pleasant atmosphere in the educational development. The role of the educator in the teaching space seems to be the most essential element in the classroom. Interpersonal communication skills rotate around the capability of educators to deliver specific core situations that are important for constructing a positive educational environment. These environments comprise of warmth, understanding, esteem, morality, concentration, self-disclosure, closeness, and conflict, which are essential elements of interpersonal communication between educators and students. The purpose of this study was to conceptually test interpersonal communication and relationships with undergraduate students.</i> |
| Keywords : Interpersonal Communication, Relationship, Educators, Students, Education | |
| DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6618219 | |

Introduction

Communication is essential in many aspects of human life, both verbal and nonverbal. Communication is a way for people to connect with others in their immediate environment, as they are perceived as a tool that helps people connect with each other and with them. Connect the environment. Without communication, there is no human life (Effendi, 2003). The communication practice between students and educators surrounded by the educational atmosphere is an essential and influential part of creating a pleasant atmosphere in the learning process. This study revealed a communication process in language education that creates trust, a factor as powerful as one of the variables that impact communication (Lee, Hsieh, 2019; Mulyono & Saskia, 2021). On the other hand, another study showed communication as some variables that play essential roles in the education procedure, such as self-confidence, inspiration and anxiety during childbirth (Khajavy et al., 2016).

Higher education institutions play a role in building students' academic and social networks, as well as their own identities, behaviors, and preferences in ways that can disrupt or perpetuate inequality. Institutions promote student networks through academic, spatial, and affinity grouping to create and maintain "routes" (Armstrong & Hamilton, 2013) or "geography" (Benson & Lee, 2020). This can have different consequences for structurally divided students. Race, class, and gender are marginalized. For example, some activities and channels constructed by educational institutions required a certain level of wealth, a certain cultural capital, or time to prevent the participation of low-income students. Students experienced social class influences at the crossroads of race / ethnicity and gender-based marginal experiences (Benson & Lee, 2020). Students use physical space to build networks of interpersonal relationships that perform important functions such as social support, academic performance, and professional progress.

Over the last decade, higher education literature has increasingly focused on the importance of interpersonal networks for student community spirit, learning outcomes, and student patience. While much of the network-based higher education literature has explored peer relationships and, to some extent, classroom relationships, students have relationships with non-higher family, colleagues, and others that affect their experience. It is also incorporated in (Mayhew et al., 2016; Small, 2017). In addition, student relationships with others have a decisive purpose in many cognitive and psychosocial theories related to classroom learning (Tinto, 1997) and college student development (Abes et al., 2019). Under the umbrella of student developmental theory, students can build social identities, interpersonal dissonance can stimulate cognitive growth, and relationships can be a means of challenge and support (Sanford, 1967). Student intent in forming relationships, the environment in which students are embedded, and the routines they form all influence the structure of student interpersonal relationships (Small et al., 2015). The types of relationships have different resources and values, such as social and academic support (Deil-Amen, 2011, Tinto, 2015). Academically, students often form relationships to build research groups at the beginning of the semester (Brown, 2019; McCabe, 2016), and these relationships change over time. Mechanisms for fostering relationships include physical accessibility, course enrollment, involvement

in human lessons, and student social identity (Biancani & McFarland, 2013; Marin & Hampton, 2019; Smith & Vonhoff, 2019).

Interpersonal relationships are a strong bond between two or more people involved in an interpersonal relationship. The attractiveness between individuals brings them closer to each other and ultimately creates strong interpersonal relationships. Interpersonal communication is a sole method of communication between people to emphasize what is happening between them, regardless of location or existence (Wood, 2015). In addition, this concept is a vigorous form of linguistic and non-verbal interaction between people, mediated by opinions, actions and reactions (McCornack, 2010 and DeVito, 2013). The interpersonal relationships that occur in education and learning activities are complex and can be viewed from different perspectives.

In the language perspective, interpersonal communication is the collaboration (verbal, nonverbal = body language, and Para lingual) between students and educators to express meaning, implement academics, and create connections. Target = voice tone, intonation) (Tranca and Neagoe, 2018). Student-teacher interpersonal relationships are a dynamic system that can change. Like living things, relationships have a beginning, an era, and an end. This relationship tends to grow and grow over time until the student is accepted into school, participates in the learning process, and graduates from school. However, some students have interpersonal relationships with their educators even after graduation. However, some students have a bad relationship with their teacher while still in school.

Communication with Higher Education Students

In general, communication can be well-defined as the procedure of exchanging information from those who provide it in verbal and non-verbal ways to those who receive it. In addition to verbal communication, information can also be exchanged via symbols and signs (Seiler & Beall, 2005). Based on these classifications, the components of communication include the information of the person who provides the information, the information of the recipient, and response, and the repetition of these processes produces the growth of knowledge. Communication typically involves sending a message or information from a sender, who may be a speaker or writer, to a receiver, such as a listener or reader, over a device or channel, followed by recipient feedback (encoding and interpretation). Will start when followed. Information (Sulaiman Masri, 1997) Usually, the technique of communication is verbal, uses a particular language, is a two-way procedure, and has response on the received message.

Previous studies on higher learning institution students' communication skills have reported that a constructive communication environment delivers students with an opportunity to learn how to communicate and therefore has well communication skills (Ihmeideh, AlOmari, and AlDababneh, 2010). Cleland, Foster, and Moffat, 2005). Communication is more effective when the (informational) recipient can understand and practice the skill. In addition, communication becomes more important when physical, mental and social factors are taken into account in the practice of communication. In a globalized world, students need to acquire communication skills in a variety of cultural contexts (Penbek, Yurdakul, and Cerit, 2009). The study also suggests that college students are visible to activities that can improve their communication skills from the year of college freshmen (Harlak et al., 2008). Therefore, universities need to provide more actions to improve student communication skills to meet the challenges of the globalized world.

Interpersonal Communication in Education

Interpersonal communication is an important part and foundation for a successful learning process. Good communication makes it easier to understand the material taught by the instructor and complete the instructor assignment. Interpersonal relationships between students and their educators have been the subject of much research. According to the literature, student-teacher interpersonal relationships are a dynamic system and are subject to change. Like living things, relationships have a beginning, an era, and an end. This relationship tends to grow and increase over time, from student admission to school, participation in the learning process, to graduation (Hadi & Tanumihardja, 2017). Scholars also stated that active interpersonal communication, good educational philosophy, and great inspiration for achievement help improve student interpersonal communication (Siburian, 2013; Ramananty & Martinus, 2016).

Interpersonal relationships between educators and students have an important impact on the processes and outcomes of teaching and learning activities. The interpersonal relationship models that occur in educational and learning activities are complex and can be viewed from different angles. Interpersonal communication skills have the ability to communicate efficiently with people in one's life and better understand what others want, need, and expect from others. Therefore, it is indispensable for everyone. Student learning can be enhanced by having the best possible interpersonal communication skills. Students are more likely to be motivated when taught by faculty members with good interpersonal communication skills.

Interpersonal communication is very significant for students, especially when striving for educational achievement. Simultaneously, interpersonal communication can impact student achievement, and complete interpersonal communication, student struggles to complete tasks can be more active (Oktary et al., 2019). In addition, interpersonal communication in this case is influenced by confidence, willingness to achieve, and honesty. Self-confidence is an important part of interpersonal communication, and you can confidently convey your message clearly and without interference (DeVito, 2013). The literature shows that self-confidence is a person's belief in problem-solving and the ability to build a comfortable state (Anggeraini & Farozin, 2019). Self-confidence can be influenced by beliefs in ability, responsibility, purpose, rationality, and realism (Sari, 2018). Interpersonal communication can also be promoted as the basis of inspiration. Literature found that students' opinions of interpersonal behavior were strongly associated with student motivation and accomplishment in all matters. Motivation is a personal feeling or situation as a driving force that comes from within a person (Akomolafe et al., 2013; Shaleh, 2016). Motivation may differ between people and in the learning procedure is more about the motivation concerning the interaction between the educators and students, in a higher educational setting and context, concerning lecturers and students in their education environment (Shanmugam & Balakrishnan, 2019; Wichanpricha, 2021).

Bambacas and Patrickson (2008) assert that powerful interpersonal communication competencies are vital for social interplay, in addition to for the status quo and protection of all relationships. As lecturers, having the first-rate viable interplay with an extensive variety in particular with their college students stand as able for educator's coaching competencies are the premise for coaching and studying. Educators agree that the coaching competencies want to narrate college students to their studying studies in an effort to higher talk with college students, as they want interpersonal competencies at their expertise. Interpersonal competencies allow the educator to go into the learner's body of position and put together for studying (Carkhuff, Berenson, & Pierce, 1977).

The interpersonal competencies are structured upon the educator offering sure center situations which have an impact at the interpersonal interactions of university students and educators are friendliness, understanding, esteem, truth, concentration, self-disclosure, immediacy, and confrontation (Robinson, Wilson, & Robinson, 1981). The presence of those situations has been referred to amongst some success educators, and the absence of those situations has been referred to amongst unsuccessful educators (Aspy & Roebuck, 1977). Interpersonal communication is the manner of turning in data to others both without delay or ultimately (Barseli et al., 2019; Gunawati et al., 2006 & Pearson et al., 2011). Nearly the maximum essential interpersonal communication competencies are confirmed through the elements along with kindness, cultural sensitivity, focus, openness, meta communication, or the capacity to speak approximately discussion, closeness, flexibility, feeling, understanding, supportiveness, fairness and interplay management (DeVito, 2013).

Interpersonal Relationships Between Educators and Students

In latest years the significance of public effects on a child's overall academic enjoy has been documented. Educators have lengthily considering that stated that kids in college aren't empty our bodies to be packed with a limitless quantity of statistics. If their academic enjoy is to be a precious one, they have to be appeared as people of their very own proper with their very own thoughts, benefits, and emotions. These younger human beings are able to making selections and sharing with inside the system of choice making. This interpersonal sharing of opinions, interests, and emotions among or greater individuals, wherein members are aggressively worried as each senders and receivers, has been designated interpersonal conversation. Mutual interpersonal communication, among scholar and educator, is important in growing a running courting with inside the classroom. The significance of the connection among the educator and the scholars in coaching and studying may be significant. Few people might argue that educators do now no longer have the capacity to have an effect on a scholar's academic, social, and emotional increase greater than every other man or woman outdoor the own circle of relatives' unit (Robinson & Schumacher, 1978). Poor interpersonal conversation regularly consequences in negative relationships, thereby, growing issues which are hard to solve (Thomas, Karmos, & Altekruze, 1981).

Students are active participants, share responsibility for planning and conducting learning activities, and the role of educator is the role of facilitator of the learning experience. Educators motivate and direct student actions rather than dictate them (Kolczynski, 1980). Scholars explained how student-centric teaching of linguistic arts is focused on individual students (Hemphill, 1981). Educators use their own capabilities and their essential ability to convey practices to build language and reading comprehension. Reading, writing, listening and speaking skills are educated in a consistent pattern.

Effective educators in this type of classroom know how to use the student's ability to express personal capabilities as the basis for advancing to more theoretical abilities such as reading and writing. In this type of tactic, student-educators' communication helps to learn openly in a way that addresses the needs and interests of the student (Clinkscale, 1979). Educator-student interactions must be centered on a solid foundation of common

belief and understanding in order to be an effective student support mechanism. Teeter (1975) determined that teacher self-confidence is an approach that not only sets students' confidence in their abilities, positive expectations for their progress, and students set important educational goals, but also shares these goals. The significance of mutual trust between students and teachers is further supported by Clinkscale (1979). This mutual trust is a very essential part of building a relationship with the student, which is the end result of a good relationship between the educator and the student.

Smith (1980) found that educators-student interactions, including educator praise, task direction, teacher questions, and specific factors of student initiation and reaction, are important predictors of student reading progress. Classroom conditions that relied heavily on task orientation and lectures were not properly associated with student performance. Studies show more growth-producing behaviors in classrooms where adults spend most of their time on activities that are directly or indirectly related to their children's activities, and more in programs that adults are more likely to do. Many growth-inhibiting behaviors were found to have occurred. It was a job away from the children's direct activities (Day and Sheehan, 1974). When there are behaviors that lead to more growth, the educator maintains a fairly constant personal contact with the child, engages in conversations about the child's activities, assists in the assignment, and feels important and necessary. Instructed the children. There was a clear friendliness between the students and the educators, categorized by usual, unsophisticated interactions centered around their activities. Researchers also argued that active educator guidance and participation was more beneficial than separation (Mussen, Conger, and Kagan, 1974). They found that when an educator participated in a student's activity, it suggested that his or her interest was in being with the children. If the staff was constantly moving from one project to the next and sometimes seemed to avoid the child, the child was less alert and avoided contact with adults.

Education not only focuses on the methodological ability to work with materials, but is also a process of interpersonal relationships. As Robinson and Robinson (1981) pointed out, "teacher-student interpersonal relationships should be of paramount importance." In addition to the educator's obligation to provide a learning environment that promotes cognitive development and intellectual achievement, non-intellectual factors are also found to be of interest to the educator. Nightingale (1994) states that creating an emotional and compassionate environment in a university with many classes of students from different backgrounds leads to quality learning and is a major challenge for academicians. According to Biggs (1999), individual educators construct a learning environment through formal or informal communications with students, as well as throughout the educational institution. The trend is for educators and students to "think things through, which clearly has a positive and negative influence on student education.

Educators have a significant impact on building quality teacher-student relationships both inside and outside the classroom (Barry, 1999). In such an emotional environment, the educator's "effect" is enhanced. The "Key Elements of Ethics Education" provides the right resources to maintain and strengthen positive relationships with students and meet their needs and interests (Jones, 2004). Achievable and achievable for educators through dialogue and collaboration (Biggs, 1999). It can be discussed that student-educators' relationships are of great importance in education and learning. Theoretically, they are education. It provides a rationale for the significance of educator-student relationships in learning. Empirically, they shape the concept of good education and good educators in relation to the relationship between students and educators.

According to Barry (1999), students are first people, then educators are not only learners of educational institutions to enhance their learning, but also an interactive community of people. Education is basically a person-to-person activity that is perceived as a social event involving educators and students in the process of education and learning. Through interpersonal relationships and group interactions, educators can accomplish the required educational tasks. Teaching requires a lot of personal contact that allows students to be human. (Barry, 1999) Numerous students are energetically seeking help and consideration from their educators and peers. Educator support is clearly an important managing approach. It can be used to improve desirable teacher behavior, shape self-esteem, and build a more positive relationship between classroom educators and students. Self-esteem, the main motivation, is one of the most basic human needs and an authoritative element of teaching space behavior (Swamp, 2000).

In higher education and learning, motivation and learning styles to meet the needs and interests of each individual are very important. These are said to be "hidden syllabuses" that are implicitly present in the teacher's "manner." (Flinders, 1997). Educator behavior and response to students. According to (Barry, 1999), the value of the educator-student relationship depends largely on the number and quality of contact with each student as an individual. A good educator treats the student as an individual, has a loving heart, and treats the student warmly. There is a consensus that telling educators that they are interested in what they are teaching will increase and increase students' interest in learning. According to Ramsden (1992), good education means that educators show great interest and respect to their students.

In the same way, according to Nightingale (1994), the philosophies for improving excellence education are environments that support the achievement of quality learning students by minimizing fear and maximizing enjoyment. Is to create (physical and social). Effective coaching is described by "effective and enthusiastic

teaching of the subject and personal interest in the student, willingness to support the student, and increased self-confidence of the student" (Mortimore, 1999). Effective education as an educator depends primarily on the degree of relationship with the student (Barry, 1999). According to Jones (2004), important studies show that school performance and student behavior are strongly inclined by the quality of the teacher-student relationship. According to scholars, the emotional aspect of the teacher-student relationship is far more important than traditional counseling. Bliss and Ogborn (1977) found that students are more expected to understand the content of a lecture if the lecture promotes involvement, commitment, and interest in the student. A study in Australia showed this interest among students (Ramsden, 1992). Supporting individual needs, understanding and learning difficulties, and building a culture of trust between educators and learners are essential to quality education and learning.

Educator' Interpersonal Communication Theory

Teaching knowledge means giving new knowledge and likewise, learning knowledge is intended to be received, preserved and properly generated (Bennett, 2000). Giggs (1996) called this belief an "objective tradition." Piaget's constructivists, on the other hand, argue that each child's cognitive abilities develop through his or her own experience as they interact with reality. When learners combine their previous knowledge with their experience, new knowledge is born (Piaget, 1959; Richardson, 1997). Vygotsky and other social cultural constructivists further said that "contextualized individual learning is facilitated by social interaction with others," depending on what and how much the student learns and remembers. Individuals claim to be influenced by their principles, objectives, beliefs, influences and motivations (Paris, 1994).

The theory of intellectual development provides educators with important consequences and broader insights into education: 1) Learners do not passively acquire knowledge, but learning is actively organized by students, the process of construction and transformation (Joyce, 1996; Birenbaum, 1999; Biggs, 1999; Barry, 1999; Mash, 2000; Bolhuis, 2004); 2) Meaning is created by the learner himself and imposed by the environment or directly. Learning is a "social phenomenon, not a personal phenomenon" (Bolhuis, 2004: 79). 3) The educator's perception and learning of the student's learning, and the educator's attitude towards his own education, are very important as they strongly influence the student's interest in learning and the learner's approach to the learning task. (Barry, 1999). As a teacher, he or she needs to help each student recognize, understand, and build new knowledge by providing a "scaffolding" to help them change.

Empirical studies of student learning were conducted in Sweden in 1976, then in the United Kingdom in 1983, and in Australia in 1979 (Biggs, 1999). Extensive research was showed on the various learning concepts of students. Two approaches to learning are characterized as surface approach and depth approach (Ramsden, 1992, 1993; Martin, 1999). According to Ramsden (1993: 40), a deep method means "by associating a portion of the material with the whole, using prior experience and knowledge to understand the new material, and taking an energetic and proactive method. Wubbels et al. (2014) defined the educator-student relationship as "the overall interpersonal relationship in which the student-educator interacts" (p.364). These generalized meanings come from the perception of everyday interactions between teachers and students. Therefore, the momentary interaction between educator and student is a component of their relationship. Therefore, hostile relationships develop due to constant unfavorable communications, and once hostile relationships are formed, they are less likely to show approachable behavior in subsequent encounters. As a cognitive structure, relational schemas can also influence the perception of the other person's behavior in consequent encounters (Baldwin, 1992). Perception not only arises in interpersonal encounters, but also affects them, thus influencing the further improvement of relationships. A study of educator perceptions of the momentary interaction between teachers and students shows a link between these perceptions and educator well-being. Positive momentary communications with students (for example, when students share problems and positive experiences with educators) can be the driving force behind the (future) educator's promise to the educational profession. There is (Newman, 2000). Equally, educators' opinions of problematic teacher-student interactions, especially in chaotic lecture hall, may associate with approaches of stress and burnout (Brouwers & Tomic, 2000; Evers, Tomic & Brouwers, 2004). It has been reported to be emotionally exhausted and show a more negative attitude towards students (Byrne, 1994).

The link between a broader view of relationships and the perception of momentary communications between educators and students related to student behavior Spilled et al. (2011). They conclude that the observed quality of the educator-student relationship can facilitate the impact of destructive student behavior on teacher well-being. The finding that different educators can report significantly diverse levels of stress associated with the same destructive student (Abidin & Robinson, 2002) cares this assumption and supports the alternative educator-student relationship (educator perception). Given this complex relationship between the comprehensive view of relationships and the perception of moment-to-moment communications, Hamre and Pianta (2001) examines educators' perceptions of moment-to-moment events. Considering the influence that the generalized view of the educator-student relationship has on the perception of the momentary encounter

between the educator and the student, from the perspective of the relationship, more specifically one positive one.

Conclusion

Interpersonal relationships between educators and students have an important impact on the educational performance of hearing-impaired students in the classroom and school environment. A kind of free interaction and friendly environment between educators and students enhances their learning process, leading to retention of learned materials and learners' academic performance. To build good relationships with students, educators need to keep in mind that successful interactions occur when both senders and recipients share opinions, approaches, and benefits. This involvement of personal experience helps build related trust and respect, two important fundamentals of any connection. These interpersonal communications support make educators much further active in the classroom.

References

- Abes, E. S., Jones, S. R., & Stewart, D. L. (Eds.). (2019). *Rethinking college student development theory using critical frameworks*. Stylus Publishing, LLC.
- Abidin, R. R., & Robinson, L. L. (2002). Stress, biases, or professionalism: What drives educators' referral judgments of students with challenging behaviors? *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral disorders*, 10(4), 204-212.
- Akomolafe, M. J., Ogunmakin, A. O., & Fasooto, G. M. (2013). The role of academic self-efficacy, academic motivation and academic self-concept in predicting secondary school students' academic performance. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 3(2), 335.
- Anggeraini, D., & Farozin, M. (2019). Interpersonal communication skills and self confidence of secondary school students: findings and interventions. *KnE Social Sciences*, 140-145.
- Armstrong, E. A., & Hamilton, L. T. (2013). Paying for the Party. In *Paying for the Party*. Harvard University Press.
- Aspy, D. N., & Roebuck, F. N. (1977). Kids don't learn from people they don't like. Amherst, MA: Human Resources Development Press.
- Baldwin, M. W. (1992). Relational schemas and the processing of social information. *Psychological bulletin*, 112(3), 461.
- Bambacas, M., & Patrickson, M. (2008). Interpersonal communication skills that enhance organizational commitment. *Journal of Communication Management*.
- Barseli, M., Sembiring, K., Ildil, I., & Fitria, L. (2019). The concept of student interpersonal communication. *JPPI (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia)*, 4(2), 129-134.
- Bennett, R., & Dann, S. (2000). The changing experience of Australian female entrepreneurs. *Gender, work & organization*, 7(2), 75-83.
- Benson, J. E., & Lee, E. M. (2020). *Geographies of campus inequality: Mapping the diverse experiences of first-generation students*. Oxford University Press.
- Biancani, S., & McFarland, D. A. (2013). Social networks research in higher education. In *Higher education: Handbook of theory and research* (pp. 151-215). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university*. McGraw-hill education (UK).
- Brouwers, A., & Tomic, W. (2000). A longitudinal study of teacher burnout and perceived self-efficacy in classroom management. *Teaching and Teacher education*, 16(2), 239-253.
- Brown, M. (2019). The push and pull of social gravity: How peer relationships form around an undergraduate science lecture. *The Review of Higher Education*, 43(2), 603-632.
- Byrnes, D. A., & Kiger, G. (1994). Language attitudes of educators' scale (LATS). *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 54(1), 227-231.
- Carkhuff, R.R., Berenson, D.R., & Pierce, R.M. (1977). The skills of teaching: Interpersonal skills. Amherst, MA: Human Resource Development Press.
- Cleland, J., Foster, K., & Moffat, M. (2005). Undergraduate students' attitudes to communication skills learning differ depending on year of study and gender. *Medical Teacher*, 27(3), 246-251.
- Clinkscale, B. G. (1979). Classroom Relationships—The Teacher's Responsibility. *The Journal of Business Education*, 54(7), 323-326.
- Clinkscale, B. G. (1979). Classroom Relationships—The Teacher's Responsibility. *The Journal of Business Education*, 54(7), 323-326.
- Day, D. E., & Sheehan, R. (1974). Elements of a better preschool. *Young Children*, 30(1), 15-23.
- Deil-Amen, R. (2011). Socio-academic integrative moments: Rethinking academic and social integration among two-year college students in career-related programs. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 82(1), 54-91.
- Den Brok, P. J. (2001). Teaching and student outcomes: A study on educators' thoughts and actions from an interpersonal and a learning activities perspective. WCC.

- DeVito, J. A. (2001). *The interpersonal communication book*. Longman Publishing Group.
- DeVito, J. A. (2013). *The Interpersonal Communication Book 13th Edition (13th ed.)*. Pearson Education, Inc.
- Evers, W. J., Tomic, W., & Brouwers, A. (2004). Burnout among educators: Students' and educators' perceptions compared. *School Psychology International*, 25(2), 131-148.
- Gunawati, R., & Hartati, S. & Listiara, A. (2006). Hubungan Antara Efektivitas Komunikasi Mahasiswa-Dosen Pembimbing Utama Skripsi Dengan Stres Dalam Menyusun Skripsi Pada Mahasiswa Program Studi Psikologi Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Diponegoro. *Jurnal Psikologi Universitas Diponegoro*. h, 93-115.
- Hadi, S., & Tanumihardja, E. (2017, September). Interpersonal Relationship between Lecturers and Students of Technological and Vocational Education. In *International Conference on Technology and Vocational Educators (ICTVT 2017)* (pp. 282-285). Atlantis Press.
- Hadi, S., & Tanumihardja, E. (2017, September). Interpersonal Relationship between Lecturers and Students of Technological and Vocational Education. In *International Conference on Technology and Vocational Educators (ICTVT 2017)* (pp. 282-285). Atlantis Press.
- Hamre, B. K., & Pianta, R. C. (2001). Early teacher-child relationships and the trajectory of children's school outcomes through eighth grade. *Child development*, 72(2), 625-638.
- Harlak, H., Gemalmaz, A., Gurel, F. S., Dereboy, C., & Ertekin, K. (2008). Communication skills training: effects on attitudes toward communication skills and empathic tendency. *Education for Health*, 21(2), 62.
- Hemphill, J. (1981). Language Arts Instruction: A continuum of possible models. *Language Arts*, 58(6), 643-651.
- Hemphill, J. (1981). Language Arts Instruction: A continuum of possible models. *Language Arts*, 58(6), 643-651.
- Ihmeideh, F. M., Al-Omari, A. A., & Al-Dababneh, K. A. (2010). Attitudes toward communication skills among students'-educators' in Jordanian public universities. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 35(4), 1-11.
- Khajavy, G. H., Ghonsooly, B., Hosseini Fatemi, A., & Choi, C. W. (2016). Willingness to communicate in English: A microsystem model in the Iranian EFL classroom context. *Tesol Quarterly*, 50(1), 154-180.
- Kolczynski, R. G. (1980). Elementary School Language Arts: A Basic Philosophy.
- Lee, J. S., & Hsieh, J. C. (2019). Affective variables and willingness to communicate of EFL learners in in-class, out-of-class, and digital contexts. *System*, 82, 63-73.
- Marin, A., & Hampton, K. N. (2019, June). Network instability in times of stability. In *Sociological Forum* (Vol. 34, No. 2, pp. 313-336).
- Masri, S. (1997). *Media dan komunikasi dalam bahasa Melayu*. Fajar Bakti.
- Mayhew, M. J., Rockenbach, A. N., Bowman, N. A., Seifert, T. A., & Wolniak, G. C. (2016). *How college affects students: 21st century evidence that higher education works* (Vol. 1). John Wiley & Sons.
- McCabe, J. M. (2016). Connecting in college. In *Connecting in College*. University of Chicago Press.
- McCornack, S. (2010). *Reflect and relate*. Bedford Bks St Martin'S.
- Mulyono, H., & Saskia, R. (2021). Affective variables contributing to Indonesian EFL students' willingness to communicate within face-to-face and digital environments. *Cogent Education*, 8(1), 1911282.
- Mussen, P. H., Conger, J. J., & Kagan, J. (1974). Biological changes in infancy. In *Child development and personality* (pp. 132-191). Harper & Row, New York.
- Mussen, P. H., Conger, J. J., & Kagan, J. (1974). Biological changes in infancy. In *Child development and personality* (pp. 132-191). Harper & Row, New York.
- Navarro, O., Sanchez-Verdejo, F. J., Anguita, J. M., & Gonzalez, A. L. (2020). Motivation of university students towards the use of information and communication technologies and their relation to learning styles. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 15(15), 202-218. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i15.14347>
- Newman, C. S. (2000). Seeds of professional development in pre-service educators: A study of their dreams and goals. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 33(2), 125-217.
- Oktary, D., Marjohan, M., & Syahniar, S. (2019). The Effects of Self-Confidence and Social Support of Parents On Interpersonal Communication of Students. *Journal of Educational and Learning Studies*, 2(1), 5-11.
- Pearson, J. C., Nelson, P. E., Titsworth, S. & Harter, L. (2011). *Human Communication, 4th Ed.*, New York: McGraw-Hil.
- Penbek, S., Yurdakul, D., & Cerit, A. G. (2009). Intercultural communication competence: a study about the intercultural sensitivity. In *of University Students Based on their Education and International Experiences. European and Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems*.
- Piaget, J. (1959). *The psychology of intelligence*. Routledge and Kegan Paul

- Ramadanty, S., & Martinus, H. (2016). Organizational communication: Communication and motivation in the workplace. *Humaniora*, 7(1), 77-86.
- Ramsden, P., & Moses, I. (1992). Associations between research and teaching in Australian higher education. *Higher Education*, 23(3), 273-295.
- Richardson, V. (1997). Constructivist teaching and teacher education: Theory and practice. *Constructivist teacher education: Building a world of new understandings*, 3-14.
- Robinson III, E. H., & Schumacher, R. (1978). Effects of Perceived Levels of Concreteness on Cognitive Growth. *Humanist Educator*, 17(2), 64-70.
- Robinson, E. H., Wilson, E. S., & Robinson, S. L. (1981). The effects of perceived levels of warmth and empathy on student achievement. *Reading Improvement*, 18(4), 313.
- Robinson, E. H., Wilson, E. S., & Robinson, S. L. (1981). The effects of perceived levels of warmth and empathy on student achievement. *Reading Improvement*, 18(4), 313.
- Robinson, E. H., Wilson, E. S., & Robinson, S. L. (1981). The effects of perceived levels of warmth and empathy on student achievement. *Reading Improvement*, 18(4), 313.
- Saleh, M. (2016). Pengaruh Motivasi, Faktor Keluarga, Lingkungan Kampus Dan Aktif Berorganisasi Terhadap Prestasi Akademik. *Phenomenon : Jurnal Pendidikan MIPA*, 4(2), 109-141. <https://doi.org/10.21580/phen.2014.4.2.122>
- Sanford, N. (1967). *Where colleges fail: A study of the student as a person*. Jossey-Bass.
- Sari, Y. N. (2018). The urgency of developing trust and interpersonal communication skills of students through role playing. *Konselor*, 7(3), 89-94.
- Seiler, W. J., & Beall, M. L. (2005). *Communication: making connections*. Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.
- Shanmugam, K., & Balakrishnan, B. (2019). Motivation in information communication and technology-based science learning in tamil schools. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(1), 141-152. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v8i1.16564>
- Siburian, T. A. (2013). The effect of interpersonal communication, organizational culture, job satisfaction, and achievement motivation to organizational commitment of state high school teacher in the district Humbang Hasundutan, North Sumatera, Indonesia. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 3(12).
- Small, M. L. (2017). *Someone to talk to*. Oxford University Press.
- Small, M. L., Pamphile, V. D., & McMahan, P. (2015). How stable is the core discussion network? *Social Networks*, 40, 90-102.
- Smith, R. A., & Vonhoff, C. (2019). Problematizing community: A network approach to conceptualizing campus communities. *Journal of College Student Development*, 60(3), 255-270.
- Spilt, J. L., Koomen, H. M., & Thijs, J. T. (2011). Teacher wellbeing: The importance of teacher-student relationships. *Educational psychology review*, 23(4), 457-477.
- Spivey, C. S. (1985). Interpersonal interactions in student-teacher relationships: types and effect on student achievement.
- Teeter, T.A. (1975). A humanistic model for teacher-student interaction. *Thresholds in Secondary Education*, 1(3), 20-21, 29.
- Tinto, V. (1997). Classrooms as communities: Exploring the educational character of student persistence. *The Journal of higher education*, 68(6), 599-623.
- Tranca, L. M., & Neagoe, A. (2018). The importance of positive language for the quality of interpersonal relationships. *Agora Psycho-Pragmatica*, 12(1), 69-77.
- Wood, J. T. (2015). *Interpersonal communication: Everyday encounters*. Cengage Learning.
- Wubbels, T., Brekelmans, M., Den Brok, P., Wijsman, L., Mainhard, T., & Van Tartwijk, J. (2014). Teacher-student relationships and classroom management. *Handbook of classroom management*, 2, 363-386.

Author Information

Vimala Govindaraju

Zuraini Seruji
