

Average Ischemia Time in NSTEMI vs STEMI Among Local Population of Pakistan

Razia Perveen^{1*}, Zunaira Khalid², Maryam Jamil³

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shiekh Zayed Medical College, Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan

²WMO at Tehsil Headquarter Hospital, Ahmad Pur Sial, Pakistan

³WMO at BHU BarMusa tehsil Malakwal district Mandi Bahauddin

Article History:

Submitted: 12.05.2021

Accepted: 19.05.2021

Published: 26.05.2021

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Obesity has been recognized by the World Health Organization as 'a pandemic nutritional disorder which represents a rapidly growing threat to the health of populations of an increasing number of countries worldwide.

Objectives: The objective was to determine the obesity as a risk factor for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Gestational Hypertension when compared with non-obese patients.

Material & methods: A cohort study in which comparison of obese patients versus non-obese patients for developing gestational Diabetes Mellitus and gestational hypertension was done during November 2019 to October 2020 in DHQ teaching hospital, Sargodha.

Results: The results of the current study reveals mean

and SD was as 27.86 ± 4.21 in Group-1 and 26.33 ± 3.87 years in Group-2, mean and SD of body mass index in both groups was 33.47 ± 2.87 in Group-1 and 22.53 ± 3.21 in Group-2.

Conclusion: The frequency of maternal complications is higher among obese pregnant females as compare to normal weight females.

Keywords: Obesity, Gestational Hypertension, Diabetes Mellitus

***Correspondence:**

Razia Parveen, Shiekh Zayed Medical College, Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan, Pakistan,

E-mail: razia49562@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a growing global health problem (Hall LF *et al.*, 2005). Although it has been better studied in developed countries, obesity is now becoming a problem in developing countries as well. There are many reasons for advocating weight loss in overweight and obese woman who are planning to become pregnant (Villamor E *et al.*, 2006). Maternal obesity is associated with increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcome during antepartum, intrapartum and postpartum period (Usha Kiran TS *et al.*, 2005). These complications include Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, Pregnancy induced Hypertension, slow progress of labour, (Choudhary H *et al.*, 2009) (Sarvana Kumar K *et al.*, 2006) increased rate of cesarean section, (Barau G *et al.*, 2006) (Good all PT *et al.*, 2005) (Hammon C *et al.*, 2005) (Demsy JC *et al.*, 2005) deliveries of large for date babies and post-partum hemorrhage. According to a study the incidence of gestational hypertension in obese patients was 32.4% versus non obese i.e. 3.7% (RR=8.74) similarly the incidence of gestational Diabetes Mellitus was also high in obese patients i.e. 11.8% as compared to non-obese i.e. 1.9% (RR=6.75) (Salah *et al.*, 2009). For these reasons all obese woman are referred for evaluation of pregnancy and planning of labour and delivery by an anaesthetist and obstetrician to a physician. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), overweight and obesity are defined as "abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that presents a risk to health." Obesity is an excess proportion of total body fat. A person is considered obese when his or her weight is 20% or more above normal weight. The most common measure of obesity is the body mass index or BMI. A person is considered overweight if his or her BMI is between 25 and 29.9; a person is considered obese if his or her BMI is over 30.

Objectives

The objective was to determine the obesity as a risk factor for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Gestational Hypertension when compared with non-obese patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cohort study in which comparison of obese patients versus

non-obese patients for developing gestational Diabetes Mellitus and gestational hypertension was done during November 2019 to October 2020 in DHQ teaching hospital, Sargodha. The Data was collected through non-probability consecutive sampling technique.

Sample size

A Sample size of 300 cases (150 in each group) is calculated with 80 % power of test, 1 % level of significance and taking expected percentage of gestational Diabetes Mellitus (least among both) in both groups i.e. 11.8 % in obese group and 1.9 % in non-obese group. Moreover it is difficult to assess fetal presentation and fetal growth in the conventional way i.e. by measuring fundal height in obese women. Prevalence of any risk factor for a disease varies from population to population. Much data for my study is available at international level. I want to conduct this study because I have found only one local reference which is insufficient to explain the magnitude of HTN in our population. It will make us to take early interventional steps which would help to reduce the incidence of antepartum intrapartum and postpartum complications.

Inclusion criteria

Group 1

➤ Obese pregnant patients (BMI=30 or>30)

Group 2

➤ Non-obese pregnant patients (BMI=19.8-24.9)

➤ Gestational age for both groups=20 weeks (on dating scan)

➤ Age for both groups=20-35 years

➤ Willing patients

Exclusion criteria

➤ Patients having Diabetes on 1st antenatal visit or before 20 wks.

➤ Patients having Hypertension on 1st antenatal visit or before 20 wks.

Data collection procedure

A total of 300 patients 150 from obese and 150 from non-obese group visiting outpatient department of hospital fulfilling the inclusion criteria were included in the study. An informed consent was obtained for using their data in research. The study was conducted after clearance from ethical committee. The demographic information like name, age, Gravidity, Gestational amenorrhea was recorded. BMI was calculated by measuring weight and height of the patients all of this information was recorded on a proforma (Sample attached). An antenatal card was made for each patient on booking visit, patients were followed on OPD basis (every 4 weeks up till 28 weeks, every 2 to 3 weeks up till 36 weeks and then weekly until delivery). BP was recorded on each visit. GCT was performed at booking visit, 28 weeks and 36 weeks. If $>140\text{mg/dl}$ then GTT was performed (through a single laboratory of LWH). Data was collected and analysed by a single researcher to overcome the bias effects, Bias was addressed by having GCT and GTT performed from single lab of LWH.

Data analysis

Data was analysed in SPSS version 17. Quantitative variables like age, BMI was presented in the form of mean \pm SD. Qualitative variables like gestational Diabetes Mellitus and gestational HTN was presented in the form of

frequency and percentage. Relative Risk was calculated to see the association between obesity and gestational DM and gestational HTN. Relative Risk greater than 2 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

AA total of 300 patients (150 in each group) fulfilling the inclusion/exclusion criteria were enrolled to determine the obesity as a risk factor for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and Gestational Hypertension when compared with non-obese patients.

Age distribution of the patients was done in both groups, it shows 22 % (n=33) in Group-1 and 28.67 % (n=43) in Group-2 were between 20-25 years, 42.67 % (n=64) in Group-1 and 38.67 % (n=58) in Group-2 were between 26-30 years, 35.33 % (n=53) in Group-1 and 32.66 % (n=49) in Group-2 were between 31-35 years of age, Mean and SD was calculated as 27.86 ± 4.21 and 26.33 ± 3.87 years respectively.

Comparison of risk factors (Gestational hypertension) in both groups was done which reveals 37.33 % (n=56) in Group-1 and 5.33 % (n=8) in Group-2, relative risk was calculated as 7.000, which is significant (Table 1).

Comparison of risk factors (Gestational diabetes mellitus) in both groups was done which reveals 15.33 % (n=23) in Group-1 and 3.33 % (n=6) in Group-2, relative risk was shown 3.83, which is significant (Table 2).

Table 1: Comparison of risk factors (Gestational Hypertension) in both groups (n=300)

| Gestational hypertension | Group-1 (n=150) | | Group-2 (n=150) | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| | No. of patients | % | No. of patients | % |
| Yes | 56 | 37.33 | 8 | 5.33 |
| No | 94 | 62.67 | 142 | 94.67 |
| Total | 150 | 100 | 150 | 100 |
| R.R=7.000 | | | | |

Table 2: Comparison of risk factors (Gestational Diabetes Mellitus) in both groups (n=300)

| Gestational Diabetes Mellitus | Group-1 (n=150) | | Group-2 (n=150) | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| | No. of patients | % | No. of patients | % |
| Yes | 23 | 15.33 | 6 | 3.33 |
| No | 127 | 84.67 | 144 | 96.67 |
| Total | 150 | 100 | 150 | 100 |
| R.R= 3.83 | | | | |

DISCUSSION

Obesity in pregnancy is associated with increased risk for adverse pregnancy outcome such as Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM), gestational hypertension, preeclampsia and fetal macrosomia etc. The prevalence of any risk factor for a disease varies from population to population, the objective of the current study is well recorded and understood internationally, but national data is found constrained, however, I planned to conduct this study because I found only one local reference which is insufficient to explain the magnitude of HTN in our population. It may make us to take early interventional steps which would help to reduce the incidence of antepartum inapartum and postpartum complications.

The results of the current study reveals mean and sd was as 27.86 ± 4.21 in Group-1 and 26.33 ± 3.87 years in Group-2, mean and sd of body mass index in both groups was 33.47 ± 2.87 in Group-1 and 22.53 ± 3.21 in Group-2, comparison of risk factors (Gestational hypertension) in both groups was done which reveals 37.33 % (n=56) in Group-1 and 5.33 % (n=8) in Group-2, relative risk was calculated as 7.000, which is significant while comparison of risk factors (Gestational diabetes mellitus) reveals 15.33 % (n=23) in Group-1 and 3.33 % (n=6) in Group-2, relative risk was shown 3.83, which is significant.

These findings are revealed found in agreement with a study showing the incidence of gestational hypertension in obese patients 32.4 % versus non obese i.e. 3.7 % (RR=8.74) similarly the incidence of gestational Diabetes Mellitus was also high in obese patients i.e. 11.8 % as compared to non-obese i.e. 1.9 % (RR=6.75) (Salah *et al.*, 2009).

CONCLUSION

The frequency of maternal complications is higher among obese pregnant females as compare to normal weight females. So, it is recommended that every pregnant female who present with increased BMI, should be sorted out for maternal complications. However, it is also required that every setup should have their surveillance in order to know the frequency of the problem.

REFERENCES

- Hall LF, Neubert G. Obesity and pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 2005; 60: 253-260.
- Villamor E, Cnattingive S. Interpregnancy weight changes and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: A population based study. *Lancet.* 2006; 368: 1164-1172.

3. Usha Kiran TS, Hemmadi S, Bethal J, Evans J. Outcome of pregnancy in a women with an increased body mass index. *BR J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2005; 112: 768-772.
4. Choudhary H, Choudhary A, Azam N, Jan S. Effects of obesity on pregnancy and its outcome. *Pak armed forces Med J.* 2009; 4: 1-5.
5. Sarvana Kumar K, Rao SG, Cooper GM. Obesity and obstetrics. *Anasth.* 2006; 61: 36-48.
6. Barau G, Robillard P-Y, Husley TC. Linear association between maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and risk of cesarean section in term deliveries. *Obstet Gynecol .* 2006; 113: 1173-1177.
7. Good all PT, Ahn JT, Chapa JB, Hibbard JU. Obesity as a risk factor for failed trial of labour in patients with previous caesarean delivery. *Am Obstet Gynecol.* 2005; 192: 1423-1426.
8. Hammon C, Fanello S, Catal L, Parot E. Maternal obesity: effect on labour and delivery “ Exclusion other diseases that might modify obstetrical management.” *J Obstet Gynecol.* 2005; 34(2): 109-114.
9. Demy JC, Ashiny Z, Qiu CF. Maternal pregnancy overweight status and obesity as risk factor for caesarean delivery. *J Maternal Fetal Med.* 2005; 17:3: 179-185.
10. Salah R, Mustafa A, Osman A, Hesham M. Pregnancy Obesity and Pregnancy Outcome. *Int J health Sci.* 2009; 3(2): 203-208.