



Documentation Regional development instruments

derived from DIAMONT Database www.diamont-database.eu



This project has received
European Regional
Development Funding
through the INTERREG III B
Community Initiative



Federal Ministry for the
Environment, Nature Conservation
and Nuclear Safety



Bavarian State
Ministry of the
Environment,
Public Health
and Consumer
Protection

Interreg III B

General Data	
Name of instrument:	Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional (Federal) Development Plan 2006-08 (Piano Regionale di Sviluppo PRS)
Country / region:	IT
Spatial level:	federal state
Type:	Spatial planning instrument
Subtype:	Formal planning instrument
Description:	Approved on Dec. 2005, it includes a comprehensive survey of the regional situation (economic trend and indicators, production system, import-export, infrastructure and transportation, tourism, labour market, demography); the key sections are devoted to the guidelines of regional programming and to investment priorities, respectively; the former section dwells on compatibility with EU and national programs, and summarises already undertaken actions identifying prospect evolution with special reference to the following strategic pillars: Institutional, Health and Social, Innovation, Economy, Labour and Development, Environment, Sports and Culture, Education; the latter section bears the allocated investments per programming line/objective.
General objectives:	Opposing to economic decline/stagnation; developing a knowledge economy/society. Selected objectives per strategic pillar: Institutional: enhancing subsidiarity and participation, as well as efficiency of the regional administration. Health: enhancing prevention. Social: promoting transnational cooperation. Innovation: easing transfer from R&D institutions to productive enterprises; creating networks open to both enterprises and public administrations. Economy: increasing infrastructure (transportation esp. the Lisbon-Kiev EU Corridor no. 5 communication and logistics); granting incentives to enhance enterprise competitiveness and sustainability; regulating trade, also by sustaining disadvantaged/marginal locations; encouraging integration of tourist offer, also by establishing a unified regional label. Labour Market: supporting upgrading of professional skills, in order to adapt offer to demand. Agriculture: promoting quality of production rather than quantity, diversifying crops, the environmental and landscape resources to be considered as strategic anyway; protecting forest heritage. Mountain areas: encouraging synergy between public interventions and between public and private resources. Environment: promoting public awareness; ensuring integration of water services; implementation of the polluter pays principle; following up emergency stemming from Tolmezzo paper mill; enhancing attractiveness of towns. Culture: coordinating cultural offer. Education: restructuring the educational system.
General Objectives keywords:	socio-economic development ; sustainable regional development ;
Responsible:	Federal state/Province authority
Stakeholder Involved:	Federal state/Province authority

Stakeholder Involved:	Local authority/Municipal council
Stakeholder Involved:	District authority/District parliament
Stakeholder Involved:	Associations/interest groups
Stakeholder Involved:	Entrepreneurs/businessmen
Stakeholder Involved:	Private individuals
Stakeholder Involved:	Public service providers
Stakeholder Involved:	Research
Reference:	The official website of the Regional Administration provides a downloadable version of the PRS. See http://www.regione.fvg.it/istituzionale/programmazione/programmazione.htm
General assessment of strength and weakness:	Strength: Comprehensive assessment of the regional situation. Weakness: The concept of development as promoted by the region appears somewhat outdated: the environment still tends to be regarded as a constraint limiting development, overlooking its very potential in terms of development. A typical instance of this: watercourses are regarded as elements that need training and regulation in order to protect from flooding, thus discarding the widely acknowledged concept that flooding accomplishes many beneficial tasks.
Metadata:	Date of entry: 2007/05/07 Contact: Ifuplan, Schleißheimer Str. 156, 80797 München
Implementation	
Legal status:	mandatory
Extension:	all municipalities
Type of monitoring:	Mixture of quantitative and qualitative reporting
Assessment	
Relevance	
Status:	strong indirect relevance
Ranking:	2
Remark:	financial resource for construction, renovation and maintainance of buildings, but municipalities decide over the land used
Acceptance	
Ranking:	3
Remark:	as a not-mandatory and rarely used instrument it is estimated to have a middle acceptance
Implementation	
Ranking:	5
Feasibility	
Status:	Budget, legislation, Know-how

Effectiveness	
Status:	Direction of effect, type of effect, acceptability, perpetuity
Ranking:	4
Remark:	Effects depend on the objectives of the instrument.