



## Documentation Regional development instruments

derived from DIAMONT Database [www.diamont-database.eu](http://www.diamont-database.eu)



This project has received  
European Regional  
Development Funding  
through the INTERREG III B  
Community Initiative



Federal Ministry for the  
Environment, Nature Conservation  
and Nuclear Safety



Bavarian State  
Ministry of the  
Environment,  
Public Health  
and Consumer  
Protection

Interreg III B

### General Data

<b>Name of instrument:</b>	ATO District Plan (Piano d'Ambito)
<b>Country / region:</b>	IT
<b>Spatial level:</b>	regional
<b>Type:</b>	Economic instruments
<b>Subtype:</b>	Access fees / supply fees
<b>Description:</b>	<p>The national Law 36/94 concerning water management has established that water services must be provided in an integrated way by a unique management body which operates over an intermunicipal area called "Optimum Territorial Area" (ATO). Each ATO district has to prepare its own ATO Plan.</p> <p>The Plan consists of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Survey of existing infrastructure</li> <li>- Programme of measures</li> <li>- Financial plan</li> <li>- Organisation and management scheme</li> <li>- Identification of available resources and tariff income.</li> </ul> <p>Note that the standard implementation procedure of Italian spatial planning tools comprises a phase (typically lasting 60 days), after an initial Adoption ('Adozione') and before the final Approval ('Approvazione'), when any stakeholders can submit their comments.</p>
<b>General objectives:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Full intermunicipal responsibility with the aim of increasing size of management areas in order to redistribute water resources and costs, minimize the need of inter-area transfers, make economies of scale achievable.</li> <li>- Vertical integration of responsibility on the whole urban water cycle under the same authority.</li> <li>- Delegate a single operator for the management of the whole IWS. Alternative models range from publicly-owned companies to delegation; mixed venture solutions were also allowed.</li> <li>- Full-cost recovery through tariffs (although some margin remains for the State to subsidize large projects judged to be in the general interest).</li> </ul>
<b>General Objectives keywords:</b>	infrastructure costs ; inter-municipal cooperation ; water management ;
<b>Responsible:</b>	District authority/District parliament
<b>Stakeholder Involved:</b>	Local authority/Municipal council
<b>Stakeholder Involved:</b>	Public service providers
<b>Stakeholder Involved:</b>	Private individuals

<b>Reference:</b>	<a href="http://www.atobacchiglione.it/">http://www.atobacchiglione.it/</a> <a href="http://mapserver3.ldpassociati.it/arezzo/glossario.cfm#def2">http://mapserver3.ldpassociati.it/arezzo/glossario.cfm#def2</a>
<b>General assessment of strength and weakness:</b>	Strength: Efficiency of water cycle management. Weakness: Delay of enforcement. Poor applicability in some small marginal mountain contexts.
<b>Metadata:</b>	Date of entry: 2007/04/04 Contact: Ifuplan, Schleißheimer Str. 156, 80797 München
<b>Implementation</b>	
<b>Legal status:</b>	mandatory
<b>Extension:</b>	frequent (<50% and >25%)
<b>Type of monitoring:</b>	Mixture of quantitative and qualitative reporting
<b>Assessment</b>	
Relevance	
<b>Status:</b>	weak indirect relevance
<b>Ranking:</b>	1
<b>Remark:</b>	water cycle management not directly relevant for land resource management
Acceptance	
<b>Status:</b>	municipal administration. Local economy, environmental NGOs, municipal residents, superordinate administrations
<b>Ranking:</b>	5
Implementation	
<b>Status:</b>	-
<b>Ranking:</b>	3
<b>Remark:</b>	-
Feasibility	
<b>Status:</b>	Budget, staff, legislation, know-how
<b>Ranking:</b>	2
<b>Remark:</b>	-
Effectiveness	
<b>Status:</b>	-
<b>Ranking:</b>	0
<b>Remark:</b>	No clear relation to land resource management