



Documentation Regional development instruments

derived from DIAMONT Database www.diamont-database.eu



This project has received
European Regional
Development Funding
through the INTERREG III B
Community Initiative



Federal Ministry for the
Environment, Nature Conservation
and Nuclear Safety



Bavarian State
Ministry of the
Environment,
Public Health
and Consumer
Protection

Interreg III B

General Data

Name of instrument:	Fund for small-scale business promotion (= Wirtschaftsförderungsfonds)
Country / region:	AT
Spatial level:	federal state
Type:	Economic instruments
Subtype:	Subsidies and local business development
Description:	Promotion of individual operations, settlements of small and medium-sized enterprises or large firms in disadvantaged areas to promote economic development and the creation of jobs. For the assignment of the promotions the economical and optimal expanse usage according to the Tyrolean Spatial Planning Law is very important.
General objectives:	Settlements of enterprises and enlargement, job creation, promotion of disadvantaged regions and projects who act in a responsible way to land consumption, according to the main goal of the Tyrolean spatial planning law.
General Objectives keywords:	financial incentives ; economic development ;
Responsible:	Federal state/Province authority
Responsible:	National state authority
Stakeholder Involved:	Entrepreneurs/businessmen
Stakeholder Involved:	Private individuals
Reference:	no official link!
General assessment of strength and weakness:	Strength: diverse criteria in differently equipped regions within the Tyrolean law of regional planning; Weakness: dependancy from the yearly changing budget
Metadata:	Date of entry: 23.03.2007 Contact: Ifuplan, Schleißheimer Str. 156, 80797 München

Implementation

Legal status:	not-mandatory
Extension:	all municipalities
Type of monitoring:	none

Preconditions for implementation:	Depends on the project and the specific requirements of the region.
Period of validity:	unlimited
General comment:	Unofficially, protection of data privacy, therefore no examples; no statistics about the relevance concerning regional development, but nevertheless important instrument for this purpose.
Assessment	
Relevance	
Status:	strong indirect relevance
Ranking:	2
Acceptance	
Status:	municipal administration. Local economy, environmental NGOs, municipal residents, superordinate administrations
Ranking:	5
Remark:	not mandatory, but extension over all municipalities indicate a very high acceptance
Implementation	
Ranking:	5
Feasibility	
Status:	Budget, political will
Ranking:	4
Effectiveness	
Status:	Direction of effect, type of effect, acceptability, perpetuity
Ranking:	4
Remark:	Tied to spatial planning objectives and therefore assessed positively concerning its effects