



Documentation Regional development instruments

derived from DIAMONT Database www.diamont-database.eu



This project has received
European Regional
Development Funding
through the INTERREG III B
Community Initiative



Federal Ministry for the
Environment, Nature Conservation
and Nuclear Safety



Bavarian State
Ministry of the
Environment,
Public Health
and Consumer
Protection

Interreg III B

General Data	
Name of instrument:	Housing aid (Wohnbauförderung)
Country / region:	AT
Spatial level:	federal state
Type:	Economic instruments
Subtype:	Subsidies and local business development
Description:	The housing aid is a controlling instrument for many sociopolitical areas by offering diverse subsidies in terms of low-interest-loans, benefits and grants to make the basic need of housing more affordable for the Tyrolean population
General objectives:	It is deemed to be a very important, sociopolitical goal to provide the Tyrolean population with affordable and high-quality accomodation. Wherease single family houses are still receiving funding, additional funds are given for densely constructed or localised houses (twin houses, row houses or group houses), if they are built as part of a housing estate and the property fraction per home, which is meant for the satisfaction of a regular housing need, does not exceed 400 m².
General Objectives keywords:	provision of living space for families and locals ; building land ; ;
Responsible:	Federal state/Province authority
Stakeholder Involved:	others
Reference:	http://www.tirol.gv.at/themen/bauen-und-wohnen/wohnbauforderung/
General assessment of strength and weakness:	Creates affordable living space and boosts concentrated design
Metadata:	Date of entry: 22.03.2007 Contact: Ifuplan, Schleißheimer Str. 156, 80797 München
Implementation	
Legal status:	not-mandatory
Extension:	very frequent (> 50 % municipalities)
Comment:	widely spread, everyone can apply
Type of monitoring:	Mixture of quantitative and qualitative reporting
Assessment	
Relevance	

Status:	strong direct relevance
Ranking:	5
Remark:	-
Acceptance	
Status:	municipal administration. Local economy, environmental NGOs, municipal residents, superordinate administrations
Ranking:	5
Implementation	
Status:	-
Ranking:	4
Remark:	-
Feasibility	
Status:	Budget, political will, legislation
Ranking:	3
Remark:	-
Effectiveness	
Status:	Acceptability, perpetuity
Ranking:	2
Remark:	Direction and type of effect are not steerable or counterproductive in the sense of sustainable land resource management.