



# Documentation Regional development instruments

derived from DIAMONT Database [www.diamont-database.eu](http://www.diamont-database.eu)



This project has received  
European Regional  
Development Funding  
through the INTERREG III B  
Community Initiative



Federal Ministry for the  
Environment, Nature Conservation  
and Nuclear Safety



Bavarian State  
Ministry of the  
Environment,  
Public Health  
and Consumer  
Protection

Interreg III B

General Data	
<b>Name of instrument:</b>	Land use plan (= Flächenwidmungsplan)
<b>Country / region:</b>	AT
<b>Spatial level:</b>	local
<b>Type:</b>	Spatial planning instrument
<b>Subtype:</b>	Formal planning instrument
<b>Description:</b>	Land use planning in Tyrol defines each property within a municipality either as building land, green land, special area or reserved area. National law must be respected and the aims of the federal and local special planning laws and programmes should be fulfilled. &#65533;
<b>General objectives:</b>	Controlled fragmentation of the different land use, concerning building development within the municipality; sustainment and optimisation of quality of life; economic efficiency and well-ordered spatial structures ; minimisation of urban sprawl and traffic.
<b>General Objectives keywords:</b>	urban development ; building development ; land use ; settlement pattern ; municipal land policy ;
<b>Responsible:</b>	Local authority/Municipal council
<b>Stakeholder Involved:</b>	Local authority/Municipal council
<b>Reference:</b>	<a href="http://www.tirol.gv.at/fileadmin/www.tirol.gv.at/raumordnung/downloads/trog2006.pdf">http://www.tirol.gv.at/fileadmin/www.tirol.gv.at/raumordnung/downloads/trog2006.pdf</a> &#65533;
<b>General assessment of strength and weakness:</b>	Strengths: Clear definition of future land use. Weaknesses: Local responsibility, only weak control by regional or national institutions
<b>Metadata:</b>	Date of entry: 22.03.2007 Contact: Ifuplan, Schleißheimer Str. 156, 80797 München
Implementation	
<b>Legal status:</b>	mandatory
<b>Extension:</b>	all municipalities
<b>Type of monitoring:</b>	Mixture of quantitative and qualitative reporting
Assessment	

Relevance	
<b>Status:</b>	very strong direct relevance
<b>Ranking:</b>	5
<b>Remark:</b>	-
Acceptance	
<b>Status:</b>	municipal administration. Local economy, environmental NGOs, municipal residents, superordinate administrations
<b>Ranking:</b>	5
Implementation	
<b>Status:</b>	-
<b>Ranking:</b>	5
<b>Remark:</b>	-
Feasibility	
<b>Status:</b>	Budget, staff, legislation, political will
<b>Ranking:</b>	1
<b>Remark:</b>	-
Effectiveness	
<b>Status:</b>	Direction of effect, type of effect, acceptability, perpetuity
<b>Ranking:</b>	4
<b>Remark:</b>	Ranked in the assumption that policy objectives follow sustainable land resource management, otherwise ranking would be lower.