



Documentation Regional development instruments

derived from DIAMONT Database www.diamont-database.eu



This project has received
European Regional
Development Funding
through the INTERREG III B
Community Initiative



Federal Ministry for the
Environment, Nature Conservation
and Nuclear Safety



Bavarian State
Ministry of the
Environment,
Public Health
and Consumer
Protection

Interreg III B

General Data

Name of instrument:	Associations for the coordinated execution of administrative tasks according to Regional Law 1/2006 . "Associazioni intercomunali"
Country / region:	IT
Spatial level:	regional
Type:	Laws and regulations
Subtype:	Laws
Description:	Foreseen by Regional Law no. 1/2006 (see instrument), Inter-municipal Associations (Associazioni intercomunali), created with the aim to manage several functions and services in association, are established between bordering municipalities characterized by homogeneous background from the territorial and cultural points of view, not belonging to other inter-municipal associations; they are provided with common offices. The Associations are established for a minimum duration of 6 years through a majority decision of Municipal Councils that adopt also the Framework Convention defining associated services and functions, and modes of coordination. Compared to Conventions (see instrument on Regional Law no. 1/2006), the Association requires some coordination structures: president, mayors# conference: the Convention regulates their activities, functions and responsibilities. For what concerns incentives granted to the associations, see the instrument on Regional Law no. 1/2006.
General objectives:	The aim of this rationalization is to make local bodies' actions more efficient and effective. The topics on which the "association" can decide are: finance and accountancy, local taxes, spatial planning, local police. The second step foreseen by the law is that these associations and unions can become ASTERs (see instrument).
General Objectives keywords:	rationalization; coordination; planning ;
Responsible:	Regional authority
Stakeholder Involved:	Federal state/Province authority
Stakeholder Involved:	Local authority/Municipal council
Reference:	http://lexview-int.regione.fvg.it/Lex/Dettaglio.jsp?ANN=2006&LEX=0001&ART=000&AG1=00&AG2=00&AL=0&IND=0&DBA=DB1&PA
General assessment of strength and weakness:	Streght: for the fist time regional administration is trying to face a delicate problem applying subsidiarity principle Weakness: there isn't a clear timing to create this non mandatory structures
Metadata:	Date of entry: 2007/12/07

	Contact: Ifuplan, Schleißheimer Str. 156, 80797 München
Implementation	
Legal status:	not-mandatory
Extension:	rarely (< 25%)
Type of monitoring:	Mixture of quantitative and qualitative reporting
Assessment	
Relevance	
Status:	Strong direct relevance
Ranking:	4
Acceptance	
Status:	Municipal administration, local economy, municipal residents, environmental NGOs, superordinate administrations
Ranking:	4
Implementation	
Status:	below 25% of municipalities
Ranking:	3
Feasibility	
Status:	staff, legislation, know-how, political will
Ranking:	2
Effectiveness	
Ranking:	0
Remark:	Instrument too recent to be judged