



Documentation Regional development instruments

derived from DIAMONT Database www.diamont-database.eu



This project has received
European Regional
Development Funding
through the INTERREG III B
Community Initiative



Federal Ministry for the
Environment, Nature Conservation
and Nuclear Safety



Bavarian State
Ministry of the
Environment,
Public Health
and Consumer
Protection

Interreg III B

General Data	
Name of instrument:	Memorandum of Understanding for the Protection and Conservation of the Architectural-Historical Heritage
Country / region:	IT
Spatial level:	regional
Type:	Voluntary approaches and agreements / cooperation
Subtype:	Voluntary cooperation and commitments, not legally binding
Description:	Signing this type of agreement, a group of mayors pledge to undertake a wide dissemination campaign among the population, institutions and authorities with the aim of highlighting the importance of preservation, recovery and enhancement of the architectural-historical heritage, acknowledging their fundamental role for the conservation of cultural identity and socio-economic development.
General objectives:	1) Spread a culture of preservation and recovery of the rural historical settlements, esp. regarding their original architectural features; 2) Enhance effectiveness of the incentive policies by means of the definition of specific and integrated actions based on priority criteria; 3) Create an instrument allowing factual support for decision-making related to the management of rural areas.
General Objectives keywords:	Enhancement and re-conversion of the existing heritage ; economic development of marginal areas ;
Responsible:	Local authority/Municipal council
Responsible:	Planners
Stakeholder Involved:	Local authority/Municipal council
Stakeholder Involved:	Planners
Stakeholder Involved:	Private individuals
Reference:	RECOVER project (see best practice below) material at the moment is not available on the web site.
General assessment of strength and weakness:	Strength: Its non binding character and the absence of strict restrictions could represent the instrument's strength: citizens are less reluctant to follow non-binding prescriptions. Weakness: It could be difficult to strike a sustainable balance between tourism development and heritage conservation.
Metadata:	Date of entry: 2007/06/29 Contact: Ifuplan, Schleißheimer Str. 156, 80797 München

Implementation	
Legal status:	not-mandatory
Extension:	pilot status
Type of monitoring:	none
Best practise example (1):	Memorandum of Understanding for the Protection and Conservation of the Architectural-Historical Heritage of Carnia.
Example Abstract (1):	This document was drafted in the framework of the RECOVER Project (subproject of INNOREF INTERREG IIIC RFO), which has implemented various activities at local level aimed at raising the stakeholders' awareness regarding the protection and conservation of the traditional historical-architectural heritage. The memorandum was approved by 28 mayors of the municipalities situated in the area.
Assessment	
Relevance	
Acceptance	
Implementation	
Feasibility	
Effectiveness	