

## Comparing Approaches for Quality Evaluation of Software Engineering Controlled Experiments: A Controlled Experiment in the Software Product Lines Context

### Selected Papers for the Experiment:

1. SILVEIRA NETO, Paulo Anselmo da Mota et al. An experimental study to evaluate a SPL architecture regression testing approach. In: Information Reuse and Integration (IRI), 2012 IEEE 13th International Conference on. IEEE, 2012. p. 608-615. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6303065/>
2. OLIVEIRAJR, Edson; MALDONADO, Jose C.; GIMENES, Itana MS. Empirical validation of complexity and extensibility metrics for software product line architectures. In: Software Components, Architectures and Reuse (SBCARS), 2010 Fourth Brazilian Symposium on. IEEE, 2010. p. 31-40. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/5631689/>
3. MARCOLINO, Anderson; OLIVEIRAJR, Edson; GIMENES, Itana. Variability identification and representation in software product line UML sequence diagrams: Proposal and empirical study. In: Software Engineering (SBES), 2014 Brazilian Symposium on. IEEE, 2014. p. 141-150. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6943491/>
4. BÜRDEK, Johannes et al. Reasoning about product-line evolution using complex feature model differences. Automated Software Engineering, v. 23, n. 4, p. 687-733, 2016. Available in: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10515-015-0185-3>
5. SANTOS, Alcemir Rodrigues; MACHADO, Ivan do Carmo; ALMEIDA, Eduardo Santana de. RiPLE-HC: javascript systems meets spl composition. In: Proceedings of the 20th International Systems and Software Product Line Conference. ACM, 2016. p. 154-163. Available in: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2934486>
6. RODRIGUES, Ildevana Poltronieri et al. Evaluating the Representation of User Interface Elements in Feature Models: an Empirical Study. In: SEKE. 2016. p. 628-633. Available in: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/d6ff/890badcacbf51dce8b3fda79a7fc14f0cfd7.pdf>
7. BERA, Marcio HG; OLIVEIRAJR, Edson; COLANZI, Thelma E. Evidence-based smarty support for variability identification and representation in component models. In: Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems-Volume 2. SCITEPRESS-Science and Technology Publications, Lda, 2015. p. 295-302. Available in: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2981229>
8. LIZHANG, Xiaoli Lian. An Evolutionary Methodology for Optimized Feature Selection in Software Product Lines. 2014. Available in: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/5255/1d5ad7fc5de5bb5a6e570a65b0ae4a6f40b9.pdf>
9. ACCIOLY, Paola RG; BORBA, Paulo; BONIFACIO, Rodrigo. Controlled Experiments Comparing Black-box Testing Strategies for Software Product Lines. J. UCS, v. 20, n. 5, p. 615-639, 2014. Available in: [http://www.jucs.org/jucs\\_20\\_5/controlled\\_experiments\\_comparing\\_black/jucs\\_20\\_05\\_0615\\_0639\\_accioly.pdf](http://www.jucs.org/jucs_20_5/controlled_experiments_comparing_black/jucs_20_05_0615_0639_accioly.pdf)
10. EYAL-SALMAN, Hamzeh; SERIAI, Abdelhak-Djamel; DONY, Christophe. Feature location in a collection of product variants: Combining information retrieval and hierarchical clustering. In: SEKE: Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering. 2014. p. 426-430. Available in: <https://hal-lirmm.ccsd.cnrs.fr/lirmm-01291261/>
11. MACHADO, Ivan do Carmo et al. RiPLE-TE: A Process for Testing Software Product Lines. In: SEKE. 2011. p. 711-716. Available in: [http://www.ksi.edu/seke/Proceedings/seke11/168\\_Ivan\\_Machado.pdf](http://www.ksi.edu/seke/Proceedings/seke11/168_Ivan_Machado.pdf)

12. JOHN, Isabel. Capturing product line information from legacy user documentation. In: Software Product Lines. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006. p. 127-159. Available in: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-540-33253-4\\_4](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-540-33253-4_4)
13. SAEED, Mazin et al. Empirical validating the cognitive effectiveness of a new feature diagrams visual syntax. Information and Software Technology, v. 71, p. 1-26, 2016. Available in: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0950584915001780>
14. SHATNAWI, Anas; SERIAI, Abdelhak; SAHRAOUI, Houari. Recovering architectural variability of a family of product variants. In: International Conference on Software Reuse. Springer, Cham, 2015. p. 17-33. Available in: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-14130-5\\_2](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-14130-5_2)
15. LOPEZ-HERREJON, Roberto E. et al. An assessment of search-based techniques for reverse engineering feature models. Journal of Systems and Software, v. 103, p. 353-369, 2015. Available in: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0164121214002349>
16. RODRIGUES, Genáína N. et al. Modeling and verification for probabilistic properties in software product lines. In: High Assurance Systems Engineering (HASE), 2015 IEEE 16th International Symposium on. IEEE, 2015. p. 173-180. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7027429/>
17. LIMA NETO, Crescencio Rodrigues; ALMEIDA, Eduardo Santana de; MEIRA, Silvio Romero de Lemos. A software product lines system test case tool and its initial evaluation. In: Information Reuse and Integration (IRI), 2012 IEEE 13th International Conference on. IEEE, 2012. p. 25-32. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6302986/>
18. SANTOS, Wylliams Barbosa; ALMEIDA, Eduardo Santana de; MEIRA, Silvio Romero de L. TIRT: A traceability information retrieval tool for software product lines projects. In: Software Engineering and Advanced Applications (SEAA), 2012 38th EUROMICRO Conference on. IEEE, 2012. p. 93-100. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6328134/>
19. REINHARTZ-BERGER, Iris; FIGL, Kathrin; HAUGEN, Øystein. Comprehending feature models expressed in CVL. In: International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems. Springer, Cham, 2014. p. 501-517. Available in: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-11653-2\\_31](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-11653-2_31)
20. SHI, Runyu; GUO, Jianmei; WANG, Yinglin. A preliminary experimental study on optimal feature selection for product derivation using knapsack approximation. In: Progress in Informatics and Computing (PIC), 2010 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2010. p. 665-669. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/5687874/>
21. MARCOLINO, Anderson et al. Towards Validating Complexity-Based Metrics for Software Product Line Architectures. In: Software Components, Architectures and Reuse (SBCARS), 2013 VII Brazilian Symposium on. IEEE, 2013. p. 69-79. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6685792/>
22. KOLESNIKOV, Sergiy et al. A comparison of product-based, feature-based, and family-based type checking. ACM SIGPLAN Notices, v. 49, n. 3, p. 115-124, 2014. Available in: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2517213>
23. ACHER, Mathieu et al. On extracting feature models from product descriptions. In: Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Variability Modeling of Software-Intensive Systems. ACM, 2012. p. 45-54. Available in: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2110153>
24. ASADI, Mohsen et al. The effects of visualization and interaction techniques on feature model configuration. Empirical Software Engineering, v. 21, n. 4, p. 1706-1743, 2016. Available in: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10664-014-9353-5>
25. RAHMAT, Azizah et al. Actor in Multi Product Line. In: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Ubiquitous Information Management and Communication. ACM, 2016. p. 61. Available in: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2857608>
26. RIBEIRO, Márcio; BORBA, Paulo; KÄSTNER, Christian. Feature maintenance with emergent interfaces. In: Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Software Engineering. ACM, 2014. p. 989-1000. Available in: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2568289>

27. YOSHIMURA, Kentaro et al. Model-based design of product line components in the automotive domain. In: Software Product Line Conference, 2008. SPLC'08. 12th International. IEEE, 2008. p. 170-179. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/4626851/>
28. BARREIROS, Jorge; MOREIRA, Ana. A cover-based approach for configuration repair. In: Proceedings of the 18th International Software Product Line Conference-Volume 1. ACM, 2014. p. 157-166. Available in: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2648528>
29. SILVEIRA NETO, Paulo Anselmo da Mota et al. A regression testing approach for software product lines architectures. In: Software Components, Architectures and Reuse (SBCARS), 2010 Fourth Brazilian Symposium on. IEEE, 2010. p. 41-50. Available in: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/5631684/>
30. OLIVEIRAJR, Edson; GIMENES, Itana Maria de Souza; MALDONADO, José Carlos. Empirical Validation of Variability-based Complexity Metrics for Software Product Line Architecture. In: SEKE. 2012. p. 622-627. Available in: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267633467\\_Empirical\\_Validation\\_of\\_Variability-based\\_Complexity\\_Metrics\\_for\\_Software\\_Product\\_Line\\_Architecture](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267633467_Empirical_Validation_of_Variability-based_Complexity_Metrics_for_Software_Product_Line_Architecture)