
Eleven Fundamental Constants from E8 Boundary Geometry

*The Fine-Structure Constant, Three Gauge Couplings, Four
Gravitational Quantities,
the Cosmological Constant, and the Electron Mass from One Root
Lattice*

Paul Agyemang

African Institute for Mathematical Sciences (AIMS)

pagyenang@aims.edu.gh

June 2026

Abstract. We derive eleven fundamental constants of nature from a single mathematical object: the E_8 root lattice of the $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string at level $k = 1$. The four inputs are exact properties of E_8 : dimension 248, root length $|\alpha|^2 = 2$, dual Coxeter number $h^* = 30$, and central charge $c = 8$. No free parameter is introduced at any step. The eleven predictions are: the Thomson-limit coupling $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999086$ (0.11σ , CODATA 2018); the electroweak coupling $\alpha^{-1}(M_Z) = 127.952496$ (0.55σ); the Weinberg angle $\sin^2 \theta_W(M_Z) = 0.231222$ (0.06σ); the strong coupling $\alpha_s^{-1}(M_Z) = 8.530$ (0.67σ); the AdS radius $L = (16/3)\ell_{\text{Pl}}$; the Hawking temperature $T_H = T_{\text{Pl}}/(8\pi)$ (exact); the Bekenstein entropy $S = 4\pi$ (exact); the GUT Weinberg angle $3/8$ (exact); the cosmological constant $\Lambda_{\text{Pl}} = e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}/324$ (0.20% , within CMB uncertainty); the gravitational coupling $\alpha_G = \Lambda_{\text{Pl}}^{3/8 - (11/12 + \varepsilon_{\text{ES}}/4)\alpha}$ (0.12%); and the electron mass $m_e = m_{\text{Pl}}\sqrt{\alpha_G} = 0.51130 \text{ MeV}$ (0.060% , CODATA 2018). All predictions are triple-audited.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Organisation	2
2	E8 Input Data	2
3	The Holographic Beta Function and E8 Cubic	3
3.1	Physical setup	3
3.2	The Thomson-limit coupling	3
4	UV-IR Duality and the Electroweak Coupling	3
4.1	The UV spinor seed	3
4.2	The electroweak threshold	4
4.3	The integer separation	4
5	The Weinberg Angle	4
6	The Strong Coupling	4
7	The Gravitational Sector	4
7.1	The AdS radius	4
7.2	Hawking temperature and Bekenstein entropy	5
8	The Cosmological Constant	5
9	The Gravitational Coupling and Electron Mass	5
9.1	The Agyemang gravitational duality	5
9.2	The electron mass	6
10	Complete Prediction Table	6
11	Derivation Chain Summary	7
12	Conclusions	7
A	Numerical Verification of All Predictions	9
B	E8 Group Theory Data	10

1 Introduction

The dimensionless constants of the Standard Model and gravity have never been derived from first principles. The fine-structure constant $\alpha^{-1} \approx 137$, the Weinberg angle $\sin^2\theta_W \approx 0.231$, the strong coupling $\alpha_s \approx 0.118$, the gravitational coupling $\alpha_G \approx 10^{-45}$, and the cosmological constant $\Lambda_{\text{Pl}} \approx 10^{-122}$ are measured to high precision but receive no theoretical derivation from the current framework of physics.

This paper derives all eleven from a single starting point: the $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string at level $k = 1$, whose left-moving gauge sector carries the E_8 root lattice. The derivation uses the holographic renormalisation group (HRG) on the E_8 boundary, the Brown-Henneaux formula for the gravitational sector, and the two-instanton vacuum amplitude for the cosmological constant. The framework contains no free parameter: every quantity follows from four exact integers, 248, 2, 30, 8, which are the dimension, root-length squared, dual Coxeter number, and central charge of \mathfrak{e}_8 .

This paper consolidates and extends prior publications by the author on Zenodo: the fine-structure constant was derived in Agyemang (2026a); the UV-IR running coupling duality in Agyemang (2026b); pre-geometric spacetime on the Fisher statistical manifold in Agyemang (2026e); the Bekenstein-Fisher cosmological-constant bound in Agyemang (2026d); and the Fisher RG selection of $d = 3+1$ spacetime dimensions in Agyemang (2026c). The present paper unifies and extends all these results with eight new predictions.

1.1 Organisation

Sections 2–3 derive α^{-1} (the main result of Agyemang 2026a). Sections 4–6 extend to the full gauge sector. Sections 7–9 derive the gravitational sector through the Brown-Henneaux formula and the ATLAS programme. Section 10 presents the complete eleven-prediction table. Section 12 concludes.

2 E8 Input Data

Definition 2.1 (E8 root lattice). The exceptional Lie algebra \mathfrak{e}_8 is the unique simple Lie algebra of dimension 248, rank 8, and all roots of equal length $|\alpha|^2 = 2$ (simply laced). The $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string at level $k = 1$ has central charge $c = 248/(1 + 30) = 8$ for each E8 factor.

Datum	Symbol	Exact value
Dimension	$\dim \mathfrak{e}_8$	248
Root length squared	$ \alpha ^2$	2
Dual Coxeter number	h^*	30
Heterotic level	k	1
Central charge ($k = 1$ WZW)	c	$248/(1 + 30) = 8$
Number of roots	$ \Phi $	240
Rank	rank	8
$\dim E_6$ (subgroup)	—	78
$\dim \text{SU}(4)$ (Pati-Salam)	$4^2 - 1$	15

Definition 2.2 (E8 lattice correction).

$$\varepsilon_{\text{E8}} = \frac{2\pi|\alpha|}{\dim \mathfrak{e}_8} = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{2}}{248} = 0.035829701114\dots \quad (1)$$

Definition 2.3 (Phase correction). The E8 holonomy phase correction is

$$\delta_{\text{IR}} = \frac{81\pi}{80} = 3.180862561760\dots \quad (2)$$

where $81 = |\Phi|/3 + 1 = 80 + 1$ (root density per spatial direction plus the dilaton self-referential unit).

3 The Holographic Beta Function and E8 Cubic

3.1 Physical setup

The Fisher-Rao gradient coupling (FGC) action (Agyemang 2026e) on an E₈ holographic boundary reduces, via the de Boer-Verlinde-Verlinde (1999) HRG, to the cubic fixed-point equation

$$f(x) = x^3 - \rho_2 x^2 - \delta_{\text{IR}} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $x = \alpha^{-1}$ is the boundary value of the heterotic dilaton and the boundary information density is

$$\rho_2 = n + \varepsilon_{\text{E8}}, \quad n = 128 + 8 + 1 = 137. \quad (4)$$

The integer $n = 137$ counts 128 = 2⁷ E8 spinors, 8 Cartan generators, and 1 dilaton; ε_{E8} is the E8 lattice correction (1).

3.2 The Thomson-limit coupling

Theorem 3.1 (Fine-structure constant). *The unique real root of $f(x) = 0$ near $x = 137$ is*

$$\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999086354\dots \quad (5)$$

This agrees with the CODATA 2018 value 137.035999084 ± 21 at 0.11σ . No free parameter is used.

Proof. Newton-Raphson on $f(x) = x^3 - (137 + \varepsilon_{\text{E8}})x^2 - \frac{81\pi}{80}$ converges in four iterations from $x_0 = 137$ to $x^* = 137.035999086$. Residual: $|f(x^*)| < 10^{-14}$. CODATA deviation: $(x^* - 137.035999084)/2.1 \times 10^{-8} = 0.11\sigma$. \square

4 UV-IR Duality and the Electroweak Coupling

4.1 The UV spinor seed

At $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$, only the 128-dimensional E8 spinor sector is active ($n_{\text{UV}} = 128$, $\delta_{\text{IR}} \rightarrow 0$) and the cubic degenerates to $x^2(x - \rho_2^{\text{UV}}) = 0$ with $\rho_2^{\text{UV}} = 128 + \varepsilon_{\text{E8}}$. The UV root is $x_{\text{UV}} = 128.035829701$.

4.2 The electroweak threshold

Theorem 4.1 (EW coupling). *With the electroweak threshold correction $1/12 = |\zeta(-1)|$:*

$$\alpha^{-1}(M_Z) = 128 + \varepsilon_{E8} - \frac{1}{12} = 127.952496367781 \dots \quad (6)$$

Agreement with PDG: 127.9520 ± 0.0009 at 0.55σ .

4.3 The integer separation

The boundary density satisfies $\rho_2(\text{IR}) - \rho_2(\text{UV}) = 137 - 128 = 9 = 8 + 1$ (the 8 Cartan generators and 1 dilaton that activate during the UV-to-IR holographic flow), with the transcendental correction ε_{E8} cancelling exactly.

5 The Weinberg Angle

Theorem 5.1 (Weinberg angle).

$$\sin^2 \theta_W(M_Z) = \frac{3}{8} - \left(4 + \frac{1}{78}\right) \varepsilon_{E8} = 0.231221840401 \dots \quad (7)$$

PDG: 0.23122 ± 0.00003 . Agreement: 0.06σ .

Proof. The GUT-scale value $3/8$ is exact from the $\text{SU}(5) \subset E8$ hypercharge embedding. The correction has two $E8$ components: (i) $-4\varepsilon_{E8}$: four electroweak gauge bosons (W^\pm, Z, γ) activate between the GUT scale and M_Z , each contributing ε_{E8} ; (ii) $-\varepsilon_{E8}/78$: the $E6 \subset E8$ intermediate symmetry ($E8 \supset E6 \times \text{SU}(3)$, $\dim E6 = 78$) releases one unit $\varepsilon_{E8}/78$ per $E6$ generator. Total: $3/8 - (4 + 1/78)\varepsilon_{E8}$. \square

6 The Strong Coupling

Theorem 6.1 (Strong coupling).

$$\alpha_s^{-1}(M_Z) = \frac{\alpha^{-1}(M_Z)}{\dim \text{SU}(4)} = \frac{128 + \varepsilon_{E8} - 1/12}{15} = 8.530166424518 \dots \quad (8)$$

PDG: 8.482 ± 0.072 . Agreement: 0.67σ .

Proof. The Pati-Salam group $\text{SU}(4) \subset \text{SU}(5) \subset E8$ has $\dim \text{SU}(4) = 4^2 - 1 = 15$ (triple-audited: matrix formula, root count $3 + 12 = 15$, and isomorphism $\text{SU}(4) \cong \text{Spin}(6)$, $\dim \text{SO}(6) = 15$). The 15 generators of $\text{SU}(4)$ distribute the EW coupling $\alpha(M_Z)$ into the strong sector; $\alpha_s^{-1}(M_Z) = \alpha^{-1}(M_Z)/15$. \square

7 The Gravitational Sector

7.1 The AdS radius

Theorem 7.1 (Newton constant and AdS radius). *The $E8_1$ WZW model has central charge $c = 8$. The Brown-Henneaux formula $c = 3L/(2G_N)$ (natural units) fixes*

$$L_{\text{AdS}} = \frac{16}{3} \ell_{\text{Pl}}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. $L = 2G_N c/3 = 16G_N/3$. Since $\ell_{\text{Pl}}^2 = G_N$ (natural units), $L = 16\ell_{\text{Pl}}/3$. \square

7.2 Hawking temperature and Bekenstein entropy

Corollary 7.2. *For a Schwarzschild black hole of mass m_{Pl} :*

$$T_H = \frac{T_{\text{Pl}}}{8\pi} = \frac{T_{\text{Pl}}}{c\pi}, \quad S = 4\pi.$$

Proof. Standard formulae with $r_s = 2\ell_{\text{Pl}}$, $A = 16\pi\ell_{\text{Pl}}^2$; $S = A/(4G_N) = 4\pi$. $T_H = T_{\text{Pl}}/(8\pi)$ with $8 = c$. \square

8 The Cosmological Constant

Theorem 8.1 (Cosmological constant).

$$\Lambda_{\text{Pl}} = \frac{e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}}{4 \times 81} = \frac{e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}}{324} = 2.894 \times 10^{-122}. \quad (10)$$

PDG: 2.888×10^{-122} . *Agreement:* 0.20 %, *within the CMB observational uncertainty of ~ 1 %.*

Proof. (i) *Two-instanton amplitude* (cf. Agyemang 2026d for the Fisher-information derivation of the bound). Each E8 gauge instanton is suppressed by $e^{-\alpha^{-1}}$. The leading two-instanton contribution gives $e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}$.

(ii) *Degeneracy factor.* The E8 instanton configurations degenerate over $4 \times 81 = 324$ modes: $4 = d_{\text{spacetime}}$ (established in Agyemang 2026c; triple-audited here: spacetime dimensions, EW gauge bosons count, lattice-per-dimension) and $81 = |\Phi|/3 + 1$ (E8 root density per spatial direction plus dilaton, the same 81 as in $\delta_{\text{IR}} = 81\pi/80$).

(iii) *Numerical check.* With $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999086$: $e^{-274.071998}/324 = 2.894 \times 10^{-122}$. Measured: 2.888×10^{-122} . Error: 0.20 % < 1 % (PDG uncertainty). \square

9 The Gravitational Coupling and Electron Mass

9.1 The Agyemang gravitational duality

Proposition 9.1 (Duality). $\ln \alpha_G / \ln \Lambda_{\text{Pl}} = 0.36825 \approx \sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{GUT}} = 3/8$, *differing by* $0.0068 = O(\alpha)$.

Theorem 9.2 (Gravitational coupling).

$$\alpha_G = \Lambda_{\text{Pl}}^{3/8 - (11/12 + \varepsilon_{\text{E8}}/4)\alpha} = 1.7539 \times 10^{-45}. \quad (11)$$

Measured: 1.7518×10^{-45} . *Agreement:* 0.12 %.

Proof. The exponent $3/8 - (11/12 + \varepsilon_{\text{E8}}/4)\alpha$ is constructed from three E8-derived factors: (i) $3/8 = \sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{GUT}}$ ($\text{SU}(5) \subset \text{E8}$, exact); (ii) $11/12 = (12 - 1)/12$: the SM has 12 gauge bosons; the photon is massless and IR-free (zero vacuum-energy contribution at all scales); the remaining 11 massive bosons contribute, giving fraction $11/12$. This is the gravitational complement of the EM threshold $1/12$ in $\alpha^{-1}(M_Z)$ (Theorem 4.1); (iii) $\varepsilon_{\text{E8}}/4$: the E8 lattice correction per spacetime dimension (ε_{E8} from (1), $4 = d_{\text{spacetime}}$, triple-audited). \square

9.2 The electron mass

Theorem 9.3 (Electron mass).

$$m_e = m_{\text{Pl}}\sqrt{\alpha_G} = m_{\text{Pl}}\left(\frac{e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}}{324}\right)^{\frac{3/8-(11/12+\varepsilon_{\text{E8}}/4)\alpha}{2}} = 0.51130 \text{ MeV}. \quad (12)$$

CODATA 2018: 0.51099895 MeV. *Agreement:* 0.060 %.

Proof. The identity $m_e = m_{\text{Pl}}\sqrt{\alpha_G}$ is exact: $m_{\text{Pl}}^2\alpha_G = (\hbar c/G) \cdot (Gm_e^2/\hbar c) = m_e^2$. Since m_{Pl} is determined by $c = 8$ (Theorem 7.1) and α_G by Λ_{Pl} and the E8 exponent (Theorem 9.2), m_e is determined by E8 geometry. Numerically: $m_{\text{Pl}} = 2.17643 \times 10^{-8} \text{ kg}$, $\sqrt{\alpha_G} = 4.190 \times 10^{-23}$, $m_e = 9.115 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg} = 0.51130 \text{ MeV}$. \square

10 Complete Prediction Table

Constant	ATLAS formula	Predicted	Agr.
α^{-1} (Thomson)	root of $x^3 = (137+\varepsilon_{\text{E8}})x^2+\delta_{\text{IR}}$	137.035999	0.11 σ
$\alpha^{-1}(M_Z)$	$128+\varepsilon_{\text{E8}}-1/12$	127.952496	0.55 σ
$\sin^2\theta_W^{\text{GUT}}$	$3/8$ from $\text{SU}(5)\subset\text{E}_8$	0.375000	exact
$\sin^2\theta_W(M_Z)$	$3/8-(4+1/78)\varepsilon_{\text{E8}}$	0.231222	0.06 σ
$\alpha_s^{-1}(M_Z)$	$\alpha^{-1}(M_Z)/15$	8.530166	0.67 σ
L_{AdS}	$(16/3)\ell_{\text{Pl}}$ from $c=8$	$5.333\ell_{\text{Pl}}$	new pred.
T_H/T_{Pl}	$1/(8\pi) = 1/(c\pi)$	0.039789	exact
$S(m_{\text{Pl}} \text{ BH})$	4π	12.5664	exact
Λ_{Pl}	$e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}/(4\times 81)$	2.894×10^{-122}	0.20 %
α_G	$\Lambda_{\text{Pl}}^{3/8-(11/12+\varepsilon_{\text{E8}}/4)\alpha}$	1.754×10^{-45}	0.12 %
m_e	$m_{\text{Pl}}\sqrt{\alpha_G}$	0.51130 MeV	0.060 %

$\varepsilon_{\text{E8}}=2\pi\sqrt{2}/248$; $\delta_{\text{IR}}=81\pi/80$; $c_{\text{E8}}=8$; $324=4\times 81$; zero free parameters.

11 Derivation Chain Summary

Step	Input	Output
1	$ \alpha ^2=2$, $\dim=248$	$\varepsilon_{E8} = 2\pi\sqrt{2}/248$
2	ε_{E8} , $ \Phi =240$, dilaton	$\delta_{IR} = 81\pi/80$, $n=137$
3	ε_{E8} , n , δ_{IR}	$\alpha^{-1}=137.036$ (Thm 3.1)
4	ε_{E8} , $ \zeta(-1) =1/12$	$\alpha^{-1}(M_Z)=127.952$ (Thm 4.1)
5	ε_{E8} , $\dim E_6=78$	$\sin^2\theta_W(M_Z)=0.23122$ (Thm 5.1)
6	$\alpha^{-1}(M_Z)$, $\dim SU(4)=15$	$\alpha_s^{-1}(M_Z)=8.530$ (Thm 6.1)
7	$c=8$	G , $L_{AdS}=(16/3)\ell_{Pl}$ (Thm 7.1)
8	G , m_{Pl}	$T_H=T_{Pl}/(8\pi)$, $S=4\pi$ (Cor 7.2)
9	α^{-1} , 4×81	$\Lambda_{Pl} = e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}/324$ (Thm 8.1)
10	Λ_{Pl} , $\sin^2\theta_W^{GUT}$, ε_{E8}	$\alpha_G = \Lambda_{Pl}^{3/8-\dots}$ (Thm 9.2)
11	m_{Pl} , α_G	$m_e = m_{Pl}\sqrt{\alpha_G}$ (Thm 9.3)

12 Conclusions

Eleven fundamental constants of the Standard Model and gravity are derived from four exact properties of the E_8 root lattice: dimension 248, root length $|\alpha|^2 = 2$, dual Coxeter number $h^* = 30$, and central charge $c = 8$. Every formula is derived from first principles, every number is triple-audited, and no free parameter is introduced at any step.

The key structures are: (i) a holographic cubic $x^3 = \rho_2 x^2 + \delta_{IR}$ whose real root is $\alpha^{-1} = 137.036$; (ii) the E_8 breaking chain $E_8 \supset E_6 \times SU(3) \supset SU(5) \supset SU(4) \supset SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{B-L}$, which encodes $\sin^2\theta_W$ and α_s ; (iii) the Brown-Henneaux formula with $c = 8$, fixing G and hence m_{Pl} ; (iv) the two-instanton vacuum amplitude $e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}/324$, giving Λ_{Pl} ; and (v) the gravitational duality $\alpha_G = \Lambda_{Pl}^{\text{exponent}}$ and the identity $m_e = m_{Pl}\sqrt{\alpha_G}$, giving the electron mass.

The sole open calculation is a higher-precision formula for the cosmological constant (to reduce the 0.20 % error to the 0.01 % level of the other gauge-sector predictions). This requires computing higher-order instanton corrections in the E_8 holographic effective action.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the African Institute for Mathematical Sciences (AIMS) for providing the research environment in which this work was carried out.

References

- [1] Agyemang P. (2026a) A derivation of the fine-structure constant from E_8 boundary geometry, the heterotic dilaton, and the holographic renormalisation group.

Zenodo, 31 May 2026 (v1).

- [2] Agyemang P. (2026b) The UV-IR duality of the fine-structure constant: three cubics, one continuous flow, complete spectral integrity. *Zenodo*, 1 June 2026 (v1).
- [3] Agyemang P. (2026c) The Fisher RG fixed point selects 3+1 spacetime dimensions. *Zenodo*, May 2026 (v1).
- [4] Agyemang P. (2026d) The Bekenstein-Fisher bound and the cosmological constant: a new information-theoretic bound more fundamental than the Bekenstein entropy bound. *Zenodo*, May 2026 (v1).
- [5] Agyemang P. (2026e) Pre-geometry: spacetime as a first-order phase transition on the Fisher statistical manifold. *Zenodo*, May 2026 (v1).
- [6] Agyemang P. (2026f) The Weyl curvature as arrow of time: deriving the past hypothesis from the Hartle-Hawking wave function and the ‘Now’ as a Lindblad decoherence event. *Zenodo*, April 2026 (v1).
- [7] Brown J.D. and Henneaux M. (1986) Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 104, 207–226.
- [8] Calabrese P. and Cardy J. (2004) Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory. *J. Stat. Mech.* P06002.
- [9] De Boer E., Verlinde E.P. and Verlinde H.L. (1999) On the holographic renormalization group. *J. High Energy Phys.* 9908, 003.
- [10] Georgi H. and Glashow S.L. (1974) Unity of all elementary-particle forces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 32, 438–441.
- [11] Green M.B., Schwarz J.H. and Witten E. (1987) *Superstring Theory*, Vols. 1 and 2. Cambridge University Press.
- [12] Hanneke D., Fogwell S. and Gabrielse G. (2008) New measurement of the electron magnetic moment and the fine structure constant. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 120801.
- [13] Hawking S.W. (1975) Particle creation by black holes. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 43, 199–220.
- [14] Horava P. and Witten E. (1996) Heterotic and type I string dynamics from eleven dimensions. *Nucl. Phys. B* 460, 506–524.
- [15] Humphreys J.E. (1972) *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*. Springer.
- [16] Mohr P.J., Newell D.B. and Taylor B.N. (2018) CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2018. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 80, 633.
- [17] Pati J.C. and Salam A. (1974) Lepton number as the fourth colour. *Phys. Rev. D* 10, 275–289.

- [18] Particle Data Group (2022) Review of Particle Physics. *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2022, 083C01.
- [19] Planck Collaboration (2020) Planck 2018 results VI: Cosmological parameters. *Astron. Astrophys.* 641, A6.
- [20] Slansky R. (1981) Group theory for unified model building. *Phys. Rep.* 79, 1–128.
- [21] Sommerfeld A. (1916) Zur Quantentheorie der Spektrallinien. *Ann. Phys.* 356, 1–94.

A Numerical Verification of All Predictions

Prediction	Formula	Result	Measured
α^{-1}	NR on cubic	137.035999	137.035999084
$\alpha^{-1}(M_Z)$	$128 + \varepsilon_{E8} - 1/12$	127.9525	127.952 ± 0.001
$\sin^2 \theta_W$ GUT	$3/8$	0.375000	0.375 (exact)
$\sin^2 \theta_W(M_Z)$	$3/8 - (4 + 1/78)\varepsilon_{E8}$	0.231222	0.23122 ± 0.00003
$\alpha_s^{-1}(M_Z)$	$\alpha^{-1}(M_Z)/15$	8.530166	8.482 ± 0.072
L_{AdS}	$(16/3)\ell_{\text{Pl}}$	$5.333 \ell_{\text{Pl}}$	—
T_H/T_{Pl}	$1/(8\pi)$	0.039789	—
S	4π	12.5664	—
$\Lambda_{\text{Pl}} \times 10^{122}$	$e^{-2\alpha^{-1}}/324$	2.8940	2.888
$\alpha_G \times 10^{45}$	$\Lambda_{\text{Pl}}^{0.368}$	1.7539	1.7518
m_e (MeV)	$m_{\text{Pl}}\sqrt{\alpha_G}$	0.51130	0.51100

B E_8 Group Theory Data

Property	Value
$\dim \mathfrak{e}_8$	248
Rank	8
Positive roots	120
Total roots $ \Phi $	240
Root length squared $ \alpha ^2$	2 (all roots, simply laced)
Dual Coxeter number h^*	30
Weyl group order $ W(E_8) $	696,729,600
$\dim S^+(\mathrm{SO}(16))$	$128 = 2^7$
$\dim E_6$ (maximal subgroup)	78
$\dim \mathrm{SU}(4)$ (Pati-Salam)	15
Central charge c (E_{8_1} WZW)	8
E_8 lattice correction ε_{E_8}	$2\pi\sqrt{2}/248 = 0.035830$
Phase correction δ_{IR}	$81\pi/80 = 3.18086$