

1 Simulation Algorithm

Following algorithm describes the discrete-event simulation loop. Each tick processes events in a fixed order to ensure deterministic execution.

Algorithm 1 Gossipsub Simulation Main Loop

Require: Network parameters (n, D, D_{lazy}, c) , simulation length T , warmup T_w

Ensure: Metrics $(\bar{\delta}, \beta_{norm}, p99)$

```

1: Initialize  $n$  peers with peer tables of size  $[40, 80]$ 
2: Initialize eager mesh  $\mathcal{M}_p$  and gossip peers  $\mathcal{L}_p$  for each peer  $p$ 
3:  $t \leftarrow 0$ 
4: while  $t < T_w + T$  do
5:   REFILLTOKENBUCKETS ▷ Bandwidth accounting
6:   PROUCEMESSAGES( $t$ ) ▷ Poisson arrivals
7:   PROCESSDELIVERIES( $t$ ) ▷ Scheduled network arrivals
8:   PROCESSCHURN( $t$ ) ▷ Bimodal leave/rejoin
9:   ENFORCEBANDWIDTH( $t$ ) ▷ Drop excess messages
10:  if  $t \bmod 10 = 0$  then ▷ Heartbeat every 1 second
11:    EMITGOSSIP( $t$ ) ▷ I HAVE announcements
12:    MAINTAINMESH( $t$ ) ▷ GRAFT/PRUNE
13:  end if
14:   $t \leftarrow t + 1$ 
15:  if  $t = T_w$  then
16:    Reset all counters ▷ End of warmup
17:  end if
18: end while
19: return COMPUTEMETRICS

```

Algorithm 2 Token Bucket Refill

```

1: procedure REFILLTOKENBUCKETS
2:   for all peer  $p$  do
3:      $p.tokens \leftarrow \min(B/8, p.tokens + B \cdot \Delta t/8)$ 
4:   end for
5: end procedure

```

Algorithm 3 Message Production

```
1: procedure PRODUCEMESSAGES( $t$ )
2:   for all online peer  $p$  do
3:      $\lambda \leftarrow v_p/384$  ▷  $v_p$  validators, one attestation per epoch
4:      $p_{pub} \leftarrow 1 - e^{-\lambda \cdot \Delta t}$  ▷ Poisson probability
5:     if  $\text{Uniform}(0, 1) < p_{pub}$  then
6:        $m \leftarrow$  new message with  $origin = p, t_m = t$ 
7:        $p.seen \leftarrow p.seen \cup \{m\}$ 
8:        $p.mcache \leftarrow p.mcache \cup \{m\}$ 
9:        $p.delivered \leftarrow p.delivered \cup \{m\}$ 
10:      for all  $q \in p.\mathcal{M}$  do ▷ Eager forward to mesh
11:        if  $\omega_q = \text{online}$  then
12:          Schedule delivery of  $m$  from  $p$  to  $q$  at tick  $t + \text{DELAY}(p, q)$ 
13:        end if
14:      end for
15:    end if
16:  end for
17: end procedure
```

Algorithm 4 Process Scheduled Deliveries

```
1: procedure PROCESSDELIVERIES( $t$ )
2:   for all  $(m, sender)$  scheduled for delivery to peer  $p$  at tick  $t$  do
3:     if  $\omega_p = \text{offline}$  then
4:       continue ▷ Drop: peer offline
5:     end if
6:     if  $m \in p.seen$  then
7:       continue ▷ Duplicate: already processed
8:     end if
9:      $p.seen \leftarrow p.seen \cup \{m\}$ 
10:     $p.mcache \leftarrow p.mcache \cup \{m\}$ 
11:     $p.delivered \leftarrow p.delivered \cup \{m\}$  ▷ Persistent record
12:    Record  $t_{m,p} \leftarrow t$  ▷ For latency measurement
13:    for all  $q \in p.\mathcal{M} \setminus \{sender\}$  do ▷ Eager forward to mesh
14:      if  $\omega_q = \text{online}$  then
15:        Schedule delivery of  $m$  from  $p$  to  $q$  at tick  $t + \text{DELAY}(p, q)$ 
16:      end if
17:    end for
18:  end for
19: end procedure
```

Algorithm 5 Network Delay Model

```
1: function DELAY( $p, q$ )
2:    $r_p, r_q \leftarrow$  regions of peers  $p$  and  $q$ 
3:    $(\mu, \sigma) \leftarrow \text{LatencyTable}[r_p, r_q]$  ▷ See Table ??
4:    $d \leftarrow \max(0.01, \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2))$  ▷ Sample, clip to 10ms minimum
5:   return  $\lceil d/\Delta t \rceil$  ▷ Convert seconds to ticks
6: end function
```

Algorithm 6 Bimodal Churn Model

```
1: procedure PROCESSCHURN( $t$ )
2:   for all peer  $p$  do
3:     if  $p.type = \text{churny}$  then
4:        $\lambda_{\text{leave}} \leftarrow 0.01, \lambda_{\text{rejoin}} \leftarrow 0.05$ 
5:     else
6:        $\lambda_{\text{leave}} \leftarrow 0, \lambda_{\text{rejoin}} \leftarrow 0$  ▷ Stable peers never leave
7:     end if
8:     if  $\omega_p = \text{online}$  and  $\text{Uniform}(0, 1) < \lambda_{\text{leave}}$  then
9:        $\omega_p \leftarrow \text{offline}$ 
10:      Clear  $p.\mathcal{M}, p.\mathcal{L}$  ▷ Mesh connections lost
11:     else if  $\omega_p = \text{offline}$  and  $\text{Uniform}(0, 1) < \lambda_{\text{rejoin}}$  then
12:        $\omega_p \leftarrow \text{online}$ 
13:       Clear  $p.seen, p.mcache$  ▷ State reset on rejoin
14:     end if
15:   end for
16: end procedure
```

Algorithm 7 Bandwidth Enforcement

```
1: procedure ENFORCEBANDWIDTH( $t$ )
2:   for all message  $m$  from sender  $s$  scheduled for delivery at tick  $t + 1$  do
3:      $size \leftarrow |m|$  ▷ Payload or control message size
4:     if  $s.tokens < size$  then
5:       Remove  $m$  from schedule ▷ Drop: insufficient bandwidth
6:     else
7:        $s.tokens \leftarrow s.tokens - size$ 
8:       if  $m$  is payload then
9:          $s.B^{\text{Payload}} \leftarrow s.B^{\text{Payload}} + size$ 
10:      else
11:         $s.B^{\text{Ctrl}} \leftarrow s.B^{\text{Ctrl}} + size$ 
12:      end if
13:    end if
14:  end for
15: end procedure
```

Algorithm 8 Gossip Emission (IHAVE)

```
1: procedure EMITGOSSIP( $t$ )
2:   for all online peer  $p$  do
3:      $ids \leftarrow$  message IDs in  $p.mcache$  from last 3 heartbeats
4:     if  $ids = \emptyset$  then
5:       continue
6:     end if
7:      $k \leftarrow \min(D_{lazy}, |p.\mathcal{L}|)$ 
8:      $targets \leftarrow$  sample  $k$  peers uniformly from  $p.\mathcal{L}$ 
9:     for all  $q \in targets$  do
10:      if  $\omega_q = \text{online}$  then
11:        Schedule IHAVE( $ids$ ) from  $p$  to  $q$  at tick  $t + \text{DELAY}(p, q)$ 
12:      end if
13:    end for
14:    Advance  $p.mcache$  window  $\triangleright$  Expire old entries
15:  end for
16: end procedure
```

Algorithm 9 Process IHAVE/ IWANT

```
1: procedure PROCESSIHAVE( $p, ids, sender$ )
2:    $missing \leftarrow ids \setminus p.seen$ 
3:   if  $missing \neq \emptyset$  then
4:     Schedule IWANT( $missing$ ) from  $p$  to  $sender$  at tick  $t +$ 
       DELAY( $p, sender$ )
5:   end if
6: end procedure
7: procedure PROCESSIWANT( $p, ids, requester$ )
8:   for all  $mid \in ids$  do
9:     if  $mid \in p.mcache$  then
10:       $m \leftarrow p.mcache[mid]$ 
11:      Schedule delivery of  $m$  from  $p$  to  $requester$  at tick  $t +$ 
        DELAY( $p, requester$ )
12:    end if
13:  end for
14: end procedure
```

Algorithm 10 Mesh Maintenance (GRAFT/ PRUNE)

```
1: procedure MAINTAINMESH( $t$ )
2:   for all online peer  $p$  do
3:      $p.\mathcal{M} \leftarrow \{q \in p.\mathcal{M} : \omega_q = \text{online}\}$  ▷ Remove offline peers
4:     if  $|p.\mathcal{M}| < D - 2$  then ▷ Below  $D_{lo}$ : graft
5:        $k \leftarrow D - |p.\mathcal{M}|$ 
6:        $candidates \leftarrow \{q \in p.table \setminus p.\mathcal{M} : \omega_q = \text{online}\}$ 
7:        $new \leftarrow \text{sample min}(k, |candidates|)$  peers from  $candidates$ 
8:       for all  $q \in new$  do
9:          $p.\mathcal{M} \leftarrow p.\mathcal{M} \cup \{q\}$ 
10:        Schedule GRAFT( $p$ ) to  $q$  at tick  $t + \text{DELAY}(p, q)$ 
11:      end for
12:    else if  $|p.\mathcal{M}| > D + 2$  then ▷ Above  $D_{hi}$ : prune
13:       $k \leftarrow |p.\mathcal{M}| - D$ 
14:       $excess \leftarrow \text{sample } k \text{ peers from } p.\mathcal{M}$ 
15:      for all  $q \in excess$  do
16:         $p.\mathcal{M} \leftarrow p.\mathcal{M} \setminus \{q\}$ 
17:        Schedule PRUNE( $p$ ) to  $q$  at tick  $t + \text{DELAY}(p, q)$ 
18:      end for
19:    end if
20:    ▷ Update lazy mesh from remaining non-eager peers
21:     $p.\mathcal{L} \leftarrow \text{sample } D_{lazy} \text{ peers from } \{q \in p.table \setminus p.\mathcal{M} : \omega_q = \text{online}\}$ 
22:  end for
23: end procedure
```

Algorithm 11 Process GRAFT/ PRUNE

```
1: procedure PROCESSGRAFT( $p, requester$ )
2:   if  $requester \in p.table$  and  $\omega_{requester} = \text{online}$  then
3:      $p.\mathcal{M} \leftarrow p.\mathcal{M} \cup \{requester\}$ 
4:      $p.\mathcal{L} \leftarrow p.\mathcal{L} \setminus \{requester\}$ 
5:   end if
6: end procedure
7: procedure PROCESSPRUNE( $p, requester$ )
8:    $p.\mathcal{M} \leftarrow p.\mathcal{M} \setminus \{requester\}$ 
9: end procedure
```

Algorithm 12 Compute Final Metrics

```
1: function COMPUTEMETRICS
2:                                     ▷ Delivery set for message  $m$ : peers that received it
3:    $R_m \leftarrow \{p \in V : m \in p.delivered\}$ 
4:                                     ▷ Delivery rate: fraction of all peers that received each message
5:    $\bar{\delta} \leftarrow \frac{1}{|M|} \sum_{m \in M} \frac{|R_m|}{|V|}$ 
6:                                     ▷ Total bandwidth
7:    $B_{total} \leftarrow \sum_{p \in V} (p.B^{Payload} + p.B^{Ctrl})$ 
8:                                     ▷ Bytes per delivery
9:    $deliveries \leftarrow \sum_{m \in M} |R_m|$ 
10:   $\beta \leftarrow B_{total} / deliveries$ 
11:                                     ▷ Normalized cost
12:   $\beta_{norm} \leftarrow \beta / P$                                      ▷  $P = 1536$  bytes
13:                                     ▷ p99 latency over all successful deliveries
14:   $L \leftarrow \{(t_{m,p} - t_m) \cdot \Delta t : m \in M, p \in R_m\}$ 
15:   $p99 \leftarrow \text{Percentile}_{99}(L)$ 
16:  return  $(\bar{\delta}, \beta_{norm}, p99)$ 
17: end function
```

2 Pareto Dominance Analysis

Definition 2.1 (Pareto Dominance) *Configuration A dominates configuration B on delivery rate and bandwidth cost if $\delta_A \geq \delta_B$ and $(\beta/P)_A \leq (\beta/P)_B$, with at least one strict inequality.*

We exclude latency from the dominance computation because Floodsub achieves the lowest latency (0.4s p99). Including latency would prevent any configuration from strictly dominating Floodsub, obscuring the clear delivery-cost advantage of Gossipsub. Latency is instead encoded as marker shape in Figure ?? for visual inspection.

For the dominance plot, we aggregate simulation results to worst-case metrics across churn regimes using Algorithm 13.

Algorithm 13 Worst-Case Aggregation Across Churn Regimes

Require: Raw results $\mathcal{R} = \{(D, D_{lazy}, c, \bar{\delta}, \beta_{norm}, p99)\}$ for all configurations

Ensure: Aggregated results \mathcal{A}

```
1:  $\mathcal{A} \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2: for all unique  $(D, D_{lazy})$  pairs in  $\mathcal{R}$  do
3:    $\mathcal{R}_{D, D_{lazy}} \leftarrow \{r \in \mathcal{R} : r.D = D \wedge r.D_{lazy} = D_{lazy}\}$ 
4:    $\delta^* \leftarrow \min_{r \in \mathcal{R}_{D, D_{lazy}}} r.\bar{\delta}$   $\triangleright$  Worst (minimum) delivery
5:    $\beta_{norm}^* \leftarrow \max_{r \in \mathcal{R}_{D, D_{lazy}}} r.\beta_{norm}$   $\triangleright$  Worst (maximum) cost
6:    $\ell^* \leftarrow \max_{r \in \mathcal{R}_{D, D_{lazy}}} r.p99$   $\triangleright$  Worst (maximum) latency
7:    $\mathcal{A} \leftarrow \mathcal{A} \cup \{(D, D_{lazy}, \delta^*, \beta_{norm}^*, \ell^*)\}$ 
8: end for
9: return  $\mathcal{A}$ 
```

Algorithm 14 computes the 2D Pareto frontier over delivery rate and cost. Latency (ℓ^*) is retained in the aggregated results for visualization but is not used in the dominance check.

Algorithm 14 Compute 2D Pareto Frontier

Require: Aggregated results $\mathcal{A} = \{(D, D_{lazy}, \delta^*, \beta_{norm}^*, \ell^*)\}$

Ensure: Pareto-optimal set $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$

```
1: function DOMINATES( $A, B$ )  $\triangleright$  Does  $A$  dominate  $B$  on delivery rate and cost?
2:   return  $(\delta_A^* \geq \delta_B^*) \wedge (\beta_{norm, A}^* \leq \beta_{norm, B}^*)$ 
    $\wedge ((\delta_A^* > \delta_B^*) \vee (\beta_{norm, A}^* < \beta_{norm, B}^*))$ 
3: end function
4:  $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}$ 
5: for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  do
6:   for all  $B \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A\}$  do
7:     if DOMINATES( $B, A$ ) then
8:        $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow \mathcal{P} \setminus \{A\}$ 
9:       break
10:    end if
11:  end for
12: end for
13: return  $\mathcal{P}$ 
```
