

Engagement Decision Protocol in Dimensional Human Field Theory (DHFT)

DHFT Technical Note

Cara Tonucci

Independent Researcher

April 28, 2026 — Version 1

Cara.Tonucci@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-9048-5821>

Abstract

The Engagement Decision Protocol defines conditions that determine whether interaction proceeds, redirects, or terminates in DHFT.

The protocol restricts engagement to admissible interaction and routes non-admissible interaction to enforcement or non-engagement.

No system mechanics are introduced.

I. Function

The Engagement Decision Protocol defines conditions for:

- engagement
- redirection
- non-engagement

Function:

- eliminate discretionary response
- preserve system integrity under interaction

II. Decision Principle

The protocol assigns each interaction to:

- engagement
- redirection
- non-engagement

Assignment follows structural admissibility.

III. Engagement Conditions

Engagement requires admissible interaction.

Admissible interaction:

- preserves invariant variables
- preserves structural relations
- resolves to canonical sources
- does not redefine system structure

Interaction proceeds under constraint of canonical DHFT artifacts.

IV. Redirection Conditions

Redirection requires partial structural alignment.

Conditions:

- correct variables with incorrect relations
- incomplete system structure
- partial resolution to canonical sources

Redirection assigns interaction to:

- Canonical Q&A
- System Protocol and Enforcement
- Application–Theory Boundary
- relevant canonical artifacts

No explanatory expansion.

V. Non-Engagement Conditions

Non-engagement requires non-admissible interaction without resolution.

Non-admissible interaction:

- repeated variable substitution
- persistent equivalence claims
- refusal to resolve to canonical sources
- application redefining system structure
- interpretation presented as system definition

Non-admissible interaction routes to:

- Silence and Non-Engagement Protocol
- Authority Drift and Enforcement Conditions

No further response.

VI. Termination Condition

Termination requires:

- persistence of non-admissible interaction after routing
- repeated boundary violations
- absence of structural alignment

Termination preserves system integrity and reduces load.

VII. Response Limitation

Engagement excludes:

- persuasion
- interpretation beyond canonical structure
- non-structural translation
- repeated explanation of resolved constraints

All responses preserve canonical structure

VIII. Canonical Statement

The Engagement Decision Protocol defines whether interaction proceeds, redirects, or terminates.

All engagement follows structural admissibility and resolves to canonical DHFT Technical Series publications.

The protocol preserves invariant variables, structural relations, and admissibility conditions.

IX. System Reference

The system is specified in:

Dimensional Human Field Theory (DHFT): Architectural Identification of a Closed Explanatory System

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19075948

All admissible system descriptions reduce to Load (L), Capacity (C), and Boundary (B).

No additional variables are introduced at the minimal layer.

This document is part of DHFT Technical Notes.

X. Copyright

This document is an original work of authorship.

No part of this publication shall be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written permission, except for brief quotations for academic or scholarly use.

This work defines original structural models and associated terminology within Dimensional Human Field Theory (DHFT).

© 2026 Cara Tonucci. All rights reserved.