SETE 2.0: The Phase-Space Dynamics of Circulating Surplus, Ideological Goal-Seeking, and Systemic Collapse

Steven J. Newbury

April 28, 2026

Abstract

This paper expands upon the Socio-Economic Thermodynamic Entropy (SETE) model to formally integrate the mechanisms of structural inequality, information theory, and ideological goal-seeking. Whilst the original SETE framework established the political economy as an inertial mass orbiting a Resource Entropy Singularity (S_{crit}), it left the distribution of surplus exergy and the system's directional imperatives largely implicit. Here, we introduce the 'Wealth Siphon' coefficient (α), decomposing it to explicitly model rent-seeking, wealth hoarding, and the temporal blockages of financialisation, establishing the baseline for Effective Circulating Power (P_{eff}). We demonstrate that α acts as a Shannon Entropy filter, whilst Institutional Mass (M_I) functions as a measure of information density that actively warps socio-economic phase-space. By mapping these variables alongside an Ideological Control Function (G), we prove that a civilisation's trajectory towards collapse becomes structurally locked at the Entropic Event Horizon (H) long before absolute energy depletion. Furthermore, we introduce the concepts of Alarmism Fatigue (γ), the Information Siphon, and Metabolic Decoherence, demonstrating how the very act of maintaining civilisational complexity physically deletes viable future trajectories. Under 'Strong Enlightenment' paradigms—driven by anthropocentric and mechanistic axioms that rationalise *r*-Strategy expansion—increases in gross exergy (P_{gross}) and financialisation actively accelerate systemic instability by amplifying Entropic Gravity.

1 Introduction: The Emergence of Political Economy from Biophysical Flows

The original Socio-Economic Thermodynamic Entropy (SETE) model [7] established a formal framework for understanding the political economy not as an abstract, disconnected sphere of human exchange, but as a deeply embedded thermodynamic structure. As explored in the companion paper, *The Path to the Singularity* [8], human economic architectures are an emergent property of biophysical flows. The foundational SETE framework mapped this relationship through the structural metaphor of orbital mechanics. While entropic phase-space constitutes the underlying meta-fabric of physical reality, our direct access to it is strictly limited by the entropic nature of our own macroscopic observation. Therefore, this orbital formalism serves as a necessary perceptual bridge for what is fundamentally a structural isomorphism, conceptualising the global political economy as an inertial mass locked in trajectory around a Resource Entropy Singularity (S_{crit}). This singularity represents a point of no return beyond which the forces driving resource depletion and environmental degradation become overwhelming and irreversible.

Despite the physical reality of this constraint, orthodox economic models operate in structural defiance of thermodynamic law [3]. Both modern neoliberal capitalism and historical state-directed productivist paradigms (such as Stalinism) function as twin offshoots of the 'Strong Enlightenment' tradition. This tradition is characterised by an anthropocentric and mechanistic worldview that axiomatically denies entropy, treating the biosphere as an infinite source of inputs and an infinite sink for waste. Rather than subjecting economic behaviour to a radical, scientific-method-based critical re-evaluation—one rooted in empirical, material constraint—these dominant paradigms operate on myths of perpetual progress and technological omnipotence. They operate under the fatal assumption that the phase-space of future economic possibilities remains 'flat' and infinitely navigable.

Whilst the foundational SETE model successfully mapped the macro-level trajectory of this inertial mass towards the singularity, it left the internal routing of energy and the system's directional imperatives largely implicit. Total energy throughput (P_{gross}) drives the expansion of the system, but it cannot fully explain historical anomalies wherein complex societies face severe structural breakdown during periods of apparent energy abundance. The missing link is the internal architecture of distribution. It is the *circulating* fraction of surplus exergy, governed by the internal structures of wealth and institutional power, that dictates a civilisation's orbital stability. To understand how and why a system inexorably locks itself into a trajectory towards S_{crit}, we must formalise both the internal 'Wealth Siphon' that restricts this circulation and the embedded ideological goal-seeking that accelerates our descent towards the Entropic Event Horizon (H).

2 The Unified Stability Equation and the Wealth Siphon

To formalise this internal routing, we must first establish the baseline thermodynamic requirements of any complex adaptive system. The mere acquisition of energy is insufficient to guarantee systemic survival; that energy must be successfully metabolised to offset the entropic decay of the system's existing structures.

2.1 The Baseline Power Balance

A civilisation's fundamental stability relies on its ability to generate a net surplus after meeting the maintenance demands of its accumulated physical and institutional complexity (S). We define this baseline power balance as:

$$P_{net} = P_{gross} - P_{maint} \tag{1}$$

Where P_{gross} is the total gross exergy throughput captured by the political economy, and P_{maint} represents the mandatory maintenance power required to prevent structural decay. Critically, maintenance demands scale directly with accumulated complexity. We can therefore express maintenance power as $P_{maint} = kS$, where k is the maintenance scaling coefficient. As a civilisation builds more intricate supply chains, larger urban centres, and denser bureaucratic superstructures, S increases, thereby inexorably driving up P_{maint} [16].

2.2 The Decomposition of the Wealth Siphon as a Shannon Entropy Filter

However, not all generated surplus (P_{net}) is dynamically available to maintain the system. The structural architecture of the political economy—specifically its wealth concentration, financialisation, rent-seeking behaviours, and elite accumulation—acts as a systemic trophic blockage.

In Information Theory, Shannon entropy [15] measures the amount of uncertainty or 'surprise' in a signal. We can view this structural inequality, denoted by the 'Wealth Siphon' coefficient α (where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$), as a series of informational filters restricting the flow of routing data (money/credit) through the broader network. To capture the precise phase-space dynamics of late-stage capitalism, we decompose this scalar into three distinct mechanisms:

$$\alpha = \alpha_r + \alpha_w + \alpha_f - \alpha_{rf} \tag{2}$$

Where:

- **Rent-seeking (α_r) & Wealth Hoarding (α_w):** Extraction of surplus through positional advantage (landlordism, IP capture) and sequestration in non-circulating capital pools. These mechanisms artificially restrict the flow of information through the broader network, concentrating it in highly localised nodes and removing it from the productive metabolism of the system.
- **Financialisation (α_f):** Extraction through financial leverage, derivatives, and debt-servicing. Significantly, this is a *temporal blockage*. It is the most dangerous informational construct: *fictitious information*. It writes code into the system's ledger demanding future exergy flows that do not physically exist yet. By defining α_f as a temporal blockage, we describe a system where the informational map no longer matches the biophysical territory. The map is demanding a reality that the territory cannot provide.
- **Interaction Term (α_{rf}):** A minor term accounting for the statistical overlap (e.g., rentiers who also financialise), preventing double-counting within the siphon.

Mathematically, α is a historically contingent function of accumulated complexity (S) and the reigning institutional/ideological mass (M_I). Under the 'Strong Enlightenment' paradigms, the ideological structure demands that the partial derivative of inequality with respect to complexity is strictly positive:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S} > 0 \tag{3}$$

2.3 Effective Circulating Power (P_{eff}) and the Temporal Demon

The actual thermodynamic power available to maintain infrastructure, stabilise institutions, and sustain the population is the Effective Circulating Power (P_{eff}). Because financialisation (α_f) extracts from the *future*, it introduces a temporal distortion into the baseline thermodynamic equation. If left as a linear, symmetrical variable, negative growth would imply that debt somehow *adds* biophysical power to the system during a recession, which is a thermodynamic absurdity.

To make the SETE 2.0 mathematics rigorous, the P_{eff} equation explicitly uses a piecewise function, ensuring α_f only operates as a temporal subsidy during expansion:

$$P_{eff} = (1 - \alpha_r - \alpha_w)(P_{gross} - kS) - \alpha_f \max\left(0, \frac{dP_{gross}}{dt}\right)\tau \tag{4}$$

Where τ is a time-horizon coefficient, expressed in units of time, representing how far forward financialisation is claiming future surplus.

This formulation exposes the unique biophysical fragility of the modern era. When we say financialisation (α_f) pulls future exergy into the present, it is issuing a contractual claim. As long as the system is growing, the future has the physical surplus to honour that claim. But when biophysical limits force growth into the negative ($\frac{dP_{gross}}{dt} \leq 0$), the future is smaller than the present. The temporal bridge collapses, the temporal subsidy drops to zero, and the system issues a **margin call** on the biosphere.

Thermodynamically, the system faces a brutal bifurcation when the exergy cannot be delivered:

- **1. Cannibalisation (Austerity):** Before a system defaults, it attempts to honour the informational claim by forcibly diverting physical exergy away from systemic maintenance. In the equation $P_{eff} = P_{gross} - P_{maint}$, the system slashes P_{maint} (infrastructure, social welfare, health) to service the α_f debt. The system literally cannibalises its own material mass (M_M) to preserve the fictitious information on its ledger.
- **2. Default (Catastrophic Data Loss):** If the required exergy absolutely cannot be extracted even through cannibalisation, the claim is voided. In the SETE framework, a default is not just a financial correction; it is the sudden, violent erasure of α_f. Because the financial ledger doubles as the political economy's resource routing table, a massive default is a catastrophic loss of systemic information. The routing network disintegrates, initiating instantaneous catabolic collapse.

2.4 The Historical Trajectory: Catabolic Reset and the 1970s Phase-Shift

A suggested empirical challenge to the condition $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S} > 0$ under the 'Strong Enlightenment' goal-seeking (G_r) lock-in is the post-WWII social democratic era, where complexity (S) increased alongside high redistribution and low inequality (low α). Through the SETE framework, this is not a violation of the rule, but a textbook demonstration of a catabolic reset followed by an unprecedented entropy gradient.

The World Wars functioned as a massive, involuntary catabolic correction [9]. They physically destroyed infrastructural mass (M_M) and liquidated the ideological and financial mass (M_I) of the old European empires. Consequently, α was violently reset to near zero. Following 1945, humanity tapped into the largest, cheapest pool of low-entropy exergy in planetary history (the Carbon Pulse). P_{gross} exploded at a rate that simply outpaced the re-accumulation of α_r and α_w.

This phase ended abruptly with the 1970 peak of US conventional oil. The subsequent oil embargoes acted as a violent restriction on gross exergy (P_{gross}). Faced with a constrained spatial exergy flow and locked-in maintenance costs (P_{maint}), the political economy executed a descriptive phase-shift: it invented modern global financialisation (α_f). By issuing massive amounts of sovereign and corporate debt, the system created artificial purchasing power to keep physical flows moving, effectively mortgaging the unextracted P_{gross} of the future to pay for the biophysical shortages of the present.

2.5 Equations of Motion and the Paradox of Extraction

Rearranging the core stability equation reveals the 'Paradox of Extraction':

$$P_{gross} \approx kS + \frac{P_{eff(min)}}{1 - \alpha_r - \alpha_w} + \alpha_f \max\left(0, \frac{dP_{gross}}{dt}\right) \cdot \tau \quad (5)$$

Because P_{gross} appears on both sides of the equation, this constitutes an implicit constraint rather than an explicit solution. As extreme spatial rent-seeking pushes $(\alpha_r + \alpha_w) \to 1$, the gross exergy required to yield a functional trickle of circulating surplus approaches infinity.

Furthermore, we can formalise the trajectory towards the singularity through a set of coupled differential equations. Because financialisation (α_f) demands future growth, it forces the system to increase its complexity (S):

$$\frac{dS}{dt} \propto \alpha_f \cdot \tau \quad (6)$$

As S increases, the maintenance cost (kS) rises, shrinking P_{eff}. To prevent P_{eff} from going negative, the political economy must increase α_f (print more money/information) to simulate liquidity:

$$\frac{d\alpha_f}{dt} \propto (kS - P_{gross}), \quad \text{whilst } P_{eff} > 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\alpha_f \to 0 \quad \text{discontinuously as } P_{eff} \to 0 \tag{8}$$

These equations guarantee a runaway exponential curve during the pre-collapse phase. However, the piecewise domain restriction reveals that at the moment of brittle-fracture ($P_{eff} \to 0$), the financial mechanism inverts. Claims on future exergy are retroactively voided, and the entire temporal extraction architecture dissolves instantaneously, leaving a post-collapse equilibrium built entirely on spatial mechanisms (pure α_r).

3 Goal-Seeking, Ideology, and Algorithmic Path Dependency

Civilisations do not drift passively through phase-space; their trajectories are actively steered by the dominant Ideological Superstructure.

3.1 The r-Strategy vs. K-Strategy

Drawing on systems ecology and life history theory [6, 12], we categorise this goal-seeking function (G) into two distinct thermodynamic strategies. The **r-Strategy** (G_r) represents a macro-evolutionary drive to maximise energy throughput:

$$G_r = \max(P_{gross}) \tag{9}$$

Conversely, the **K-Strategy** (G_K) represents an ecological drive towards carrying capacity, systemic resilience, and self-limitation:

$$G_K = \text{maintain } P_{eff} \geq P_{eff(min)} \text{ whilst minimising } \frac{dS}{dt} \tag{10}$$

3.2 The Ideological Lock-in as Algorithmic Path Dependency

The profound crisis of the modern era is that the global political economy is structurally hard-locked into the decoupled maximisation control function ($G = G_r$). This lock-in is the direct superstructural manifestation of the 'Strong Enlightenment' axioms.

Critically, this ideology functions as foundational source code. The G_r control function acts as a rigid algorithm that only accepts one type of informational feedback: signals that indicate a maximisation of throughput (P_{gross}). It is algorithmically bound to treat all other data—ecological depletion, social fracture, thermodynamic decay, and the collapse of the power-to-weight ratio—as mere 'noise' or 'externalities'.

Therefore, the system crosses the Entropic Event Horizon (H) not simply because it runs out of energy, but because its algorithmic lock-in renders it completely blind to the signals of its own demise. When faced with declining energy returns, the algorithm mandates further expansion, inherently driving up both systemic complexity ($S \uparrow$) and structural concentration ($\alpha \uparrow$). By demanding infinite expansion in a finite biosphere, it systematically degrades Effective Circulating Power (P_{eff}).

Fundamentally, this relentless degradation is not merely a metaphor for resource depletion; it is a literal, geometric warping of the system's future possibilities. The sheer computational and thermodynamic cost of maintaining this blind, algorithmically mandated informational ledger generates a measurable phase-space curvature—what we term Entropic Gravity.[1] Just as observers within a gravitational well cannot directly 'see' the curvature of space-time but only experience its accelerating pull, the actors locked within the G_r algorithm cannot perceive the entropic curvature of their own economic phase-space. They experience the compounding thermodynamic cost of their fictitious ledger not as a visible geometric boundary, but as an invisible, inexorable force dragging them towards systemic collapse.

3.3 The Success Paradox: Alarmism Fatigue and the Information Siphon

The blindness of the G_r algorithm is not merely passive; it is actively compounded by the system's historical interactions with biophysical limits, resulting in a phenomenon we term the 'Success Paradox' or Alarmism Fatigue (γ).

This cognitive failure in risk calibration is perfectly illustrated by the 1980s 'Waldsterben' (forest die-back) panic in Western Europe. The highly visible, theatrical threat of acid rain triggered a rapid, successful policy intervention. However, because the total catastrophic collapse of the forests was averted, the G_r algorithmic ledger retroactively coded the initial warning as a 'false alarm'. Because the system's routing table only values immediate P_{gross}, any expenditure of surplus used to mitigate entropy without yielding a short-term productive return is registered by the ideological superstructure as a thermodynamic waste.

We can formalise this accumulated informational debt as Alarmism Fatigue (γ). When this fatigue interacts with financialisation (α_f), it creates a profound **Signal Occlusion**. The system increasingly relies on 'fictitious information' (credit, debt, and subsidised pricing) to mask biophysical signals.

Critically, this occlusion is not purely cognitive; it manifests structurally as an *Information Siphon*. While observers often interpret the destruction of measurement apparatus as willful deceit or conscious anthropocentric egoism, the SETE framework models this as structural determinism. The 'default' trajectory of any actor embedded in the G_r architecture is to maintain the system's internal coherence; it requires an immense, systemically punished exertion of individual agency to act against the algorithmic flow. Therefore, the deliberate defunding of climate monitoring, the dismantling of ecological sensory networks, and the algorithmic filtering of material data are rarely conscious acts of malice. They are emergent thermodynamic defence mechanisms. By destroying the measurement apparatus for objective biophysical risk (R_{bio}) at the source, the G_r algorithm radically reduces the computational exergy required to resolve the contradiction between the physical territory and the financial map.

We can define the Effective Risk Signal (R_{eff}) perceived by the Ideological Superstructure as:

$$R_{eff} = \frac{R_{bio}}{1 + \gamma \cdot \alpha_f} \tag{11}$$

As financialisation increases ($\alpha_f \to 1$) and the system accumulates a history of successfully deferred crises ($\gamma \to$ high), the denominator expands rapidly. Concurrently, the Information Siphon actively drives the numerator (R_{bio}) towards zero by ceasing to measure it. Consequently, $R_{eff} \to 0$. The system can be in a state of absolute biophysical collapse, yet the perceived signal remains near zero because the financial ledger reports continued 'Growth'. This Descriptive Window Failure ensures that the more effectively a civilisation masks or defers its early-stage crises, the more structurally certain its ultimate, unmitigated collapse becomes.

[1] While the author contends that this dynamic represents a strict structural isomorphism between informational phase-space and gravitational topology, the mathematical proofs in this paper do not depend on this strong ontological claim. For the purposes of this framework, it is sufficient to treat Entropic Gravity purely as an effective, emergent curvature or phase-space inertia induced by informational density.

4 Phase-Space Dynamics and the Entropic Gravity Formalism

4.1 The Emergence of Time and Path Dependency

Within SETE, time is not a pre-existing, neutral backdrop; rather, *time is emergent from entropic phase-space* [13]. All possible entropic states exist across this phase-space, but as entropic observers, we perceive a single sequence of events. Once a political economy occupies a certain trajectory in entropic phase-space, it becomes thermodynamically constrained. The state of a civilisation can be defined by a coordinate vector within this entropic phase-space:

$$X(t) = (P_{gross}, S, \alpha_r, \alpha_w, \alpha_f) \tag{12}$$

4.2 Entropic Gravity as Information Density

In SETE, 'gravity' is a mathematical expression of an effective phase-space curvature caused by accumulated thermodynamic and informational debt. We conceptually adapt the entropic emergence of gravity proposed by Verlinde [17]. In Verlinde's physical framework, gravity is not a fundamental force; it is an entropic force that emerges from changes in information associated with the positions of material bodies.

Translated into SETE 2.0, the Institutional Mass (M_I) is effectively a measure of *information density*. A highly complex, financialised, and unequal society ($\alpha \to 1$) requires a staggering amount of data (debt contracts, derivatives, legal code) to maintain its structure. As the relationship between biophysical flows into the economy and inflationary pressures becomes increasingly strained, the policy response is to simply generate more debt—printing more information.

This massive accumulation of institutional information warps the socio-economic phase-space. The 'gravity' pulling the system toward the singularity is the sheer computational and thermodynamic cost of maintaining this informational ledger. We define the Entropic Force (F_E) restricting the political economy through an inverse-square relationship:

$$F_E = G_E \frac{M \cdot M_S}{r^2} \implies F_E = G_E \frac{M \cdot M_S}{(P_{eff})^2} \tag{13}$$

Where:

- G_E is the entropic constant.
- M is the inertial mass of the political economy (comprising material infrastructural stock M_M and institutional/informational stock M_I).
- M_S is the mass of the singularity.
- $r \propto P_{eff}$ is the orbital radius, equivalent to the circulating surplus.

An r-optimised culture (G_r) constructs an Institutional Mass (M_I) engineered exclusively for throughput acceleration. As the wealth siphon increases ($\alpha \to 1$) or maintenance costs overwhelm output ($kS \to P_{gross}$), the circulating surplus diminishes ($P_{eff} \to 0$). The Entropic Force (F_E) pulling the system inwards approaches infinity.

4.3 The Entropic Event Horizon (H)

As Entropic Gravity intensifies, the system inevitably crosses the Entropic Event Horizon (H). It is important to distinguish this from a strict astrophysical event horizon bounded by the speed of light. In the SETE phase-space, H is a boundary of *escape velocity* relative to the system's current mass. This occurs when the combination of rising inertial mass (M), rising informational debt (α), and plateauing net exergy creates an irreversible orbital decay:

$$\frac{dP_{eff}}{dt} < 0 \quad \text{becomes permanently locked} \tag{14}$$

Once a civilisation crosses H, voluntary transition—a controlled, peaceful reduction of complexity and wealth concentration—is thermodynamically impossible, because the institutional superstructure lacks the requisite circulating reaction mass (P_{eff}) to alter its trajectory whilst carrying its accumulated complexity. The only physical mechanism to avoid the absolute singularity (S_{crit}) from within H is to violently jettison the cargo: a catabolic reset that involuntarily reduces M.

4.4 Brittle-Fracture as Catastrophic Data Loss (S_{crit})

The ultimate terminus of this gravitational descent is the Resource Entropy Singularity (S_{crit}), where $P_{eff} \leq 0$ is finally realised, and the orbital radius reaches zero ($r = 0$).

This informational framing directly explains why the system cannot gracefully power down, and why heterodox concepts like a 'Debt Jubilee' trigger immediate crisis rather than salvation. The political economy's financial ledger is not merely a record of wealth; it is the system's routing table.

If Effective Circulating Power drops below zero, or if a Jubilee intentionally wipes the ledger, it is not merely a financial event; it is a *catastrophic loss of systemic information*. Without that routing table, the system literally forgets how to move diesel to tractors or synthetic nitrogen to fields. The sudden loss of this informational network ensures the immediate onset of catabolic triage, as the core violently scrambles to reconstruct a localised, purely spatial (α_r) routing network to survive.

4.5 Metabolic Decoherence: The Computational Prerequisite for Observation

The formalisation of systemic collapse requires a precise ontological definition of the observer within macroscopic phase-space. The universe and its biophysical limits exist entirely independently of humanity; however, the *resolution* of that macroscopic phase-space—the specific socio-ecological trajectory of the Earth-system—demands a physical interaction.

While contemporary extensions of Relational Quantum Mechanics (RQM) demonstrate that interactions collapse superpositions, they frequently generalise the 'observer' to encompass any interacting physical system or random collection of particles. To be clear, we are not suggesting that the global economy exists in a literal quantum superposition, nor are we scaling quantum effects to the macro-level. Rather, we are establishing an *information-theoretic isomorphism*. At the macroscopic, civilisational scale, passive generalisation is insufficient. The resolution of a complex systemic trajectory cannot be achieved by a passive physical collision; it requires a structured entity capable of processing environmental data into a navigable map. Because information computation is physically bound by Landauer's limit, the act of macroscopic observation carries an inescapable thermodynamic cost. Therefore, a civilisational observer cannot be a mere aggregate of matter; it must be an Entropic Dissipative Structure.[2]

[2] This position stands in direct contrast to recent relational arguments (e.g., [14]) proposing that entropy increase is strictly observer-relative rather than physically absolute. Within the SETE framework, the second law is not observer-relative; it is the necessary condition for any macroscopic observer to exist at all. The thermodynamic cost of information computation is precisely what makes the macroscopic observer irreducible.

In quantum mechanics, interaction with an environment (measurement) causes decoherence, collapsing a superposition of states. At the civilisational scale, measurement is not passive observation; it is active consumption. To 'read' the universe, compute its phase-space, and extract work (P_{gross}), a dissipative structure must interact thermodynamically with its environment. It must break chemical bonds, process matter, and excrete high-entropy waste. **Metabolism *is* the macroscopic observer effect.** The universe exists independently of us, but the collapse of the macroscopic wave-function into a specific historical trajectory requires our computational, metabolic engine to force the interaction.

In statistical mechanics, Ω represents the number of microstates corresponding to a given macrostate. Macroscopically, we define Ω_{viable} as the total volume of unresolved, thermodynamically viable future trajectories (degrees of freedom) available to the political economy before it reaches S_{crit}. Because the Earth-system possesses a strictly finite capacity to absorb high-entropy waste, the available phase-space volume reaches absolute zero when the singularity is realised:

$$\Omega_{viable} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad S_{sys} \to S_{crit} \tag{15}$$

It is crucial to clarify the nature of agency within this constrained phase-space. Crossing the Entropic Event Horizon (H) does not mean Ω_{viable} instantly drops to zero, nor does it imply the erasure of local agency. Much like an observer crossing the event horizon of an astrophysical black hole, the local experience of time and choice remains intact, but the geometry of the future is fundamentally warped. The singularity (S_{crit}) ceases to be a spatial probability and becomes a temporal inevitability. The remaining volume of Ω_{viable} represents the multitude of specific paths the civilisation can take on its descent. Agency still exists, but it is strictly confined to selecting the coordinate path to the singularity; the capacity to select a trajectory that avoids the singularity entirely has been deleted.

We formalise this act of metabolic measurement as a Decoherence Operator ($\hat{D}_{metabolic}$). The application of this operator—the continuous extraction of Effective Circulating Power (P_{eff}) to fund the computations of mandatory maintenance demands (P_{maint})—forces the system out of a superposition of possible futures and into a specific, irreversible coordinate vector $X(t)$.

Critically, in the context of Metabolic Decoherence, the rate of systemic entropy generation ($\dot{S}_{sys}$) is not simply a measure of ecological degradation; it is the exact formal rate at which the wave-function of future possibilities is collapsing. By differentiating our viable phase-space volume with respect to time, we establish the rate at which macroscopic degrees of freedom are physically deleted:

$$\frac{d\Omega_{viable}}{dt} \propto -\dot{S}_{sys}(t) \tag{16}$$

By applying Landauer's limit to this macroscopic computation, we can express this relationship as an explicit thermodynamic inequality:

$$\frac{d\Omega_{viable}}{dt} \leq -\frac{P_{eff}}{T} \tag{17}$$

Where T is the effective temperature of the biospheric waste heat sink. This formalisation explicitly connects the annihilation of viable futures directly to the thermodynamic cost of civilisational observation. As the system's structural complexity climbs and Effective Circulating Power diminishes ($P_{eff} \to 0$), the efficiency of this computation plummets, ensuring that Ω_{viable} is deleted at the maximum possible rate given the biosphere's finite capacity to absorb high-entropy waste.

This formulation yields the most profound implication of the SETE framework: time and choice are not frictionless dimensions; they are purchased with exergy. Equations (18) and (19) formally invalidate the Strong Enlightenment assumption that the future phase-space remains 'flat' and infinitely navigable. It demonstrates that $\dot{S}_{sys}$ is the literal velocity at which alternative futures are annihilated. If a civilisation accelerates its metabolic throughput to maintain the illusion of infinite growth, it does not buy itself more time to invent solutions; it geometrically warps the phase-space, pulling the Entropic Event Horizon (H) closer. Every unit of exergy processed to compute and maintain the massive institutional and material inertia (M) of the present actively and permanently deletes a volume of available future states. The political economy is, quite literally, metabolising its own future degrees of freedom to sustain the complexity of its present.

The MEPP Fallacy: Rate vs. Total Phase-Space Yield

Within the SETE framework, the entropy production rate ($\dot{S}_{sys}$) is not a quantity to be maximised, but a state variable whose increase directly accelerates the contraction of viable phase-space (Ω_{viable}). Any formulation of the Maximum Entropy Production Principle (MEPP) as a rate-maximising principle therefore implies the minimisation of total entropy production over the system's lifetime.

Proposition 1: Incompatibility of Rate-Maximising MEPP with Phase-Space Viability
Let a civilisation be defined by a trajectory $X(t)$ in entropic phase-space with a finite volume of viable future states $\Omega_{viable}(t)$, governed by the metabolic decoherence constraint:

$$\frac{d\Omega_{viable}}{dt} \leq -\frac{P_{eff}}{T} \tag{18}$$

and let the system's entropy production rate be $\dot{S}_{sys}(t)$, with $\dot{S}_{sys}(t) \propto P_{eff}(t)$.

Assume a control policy G_r that maximises the instantaneous entropy production rate: $G_r : \dot{S}_{sys}(t) \to$ max. Then, for any finite initial phase-space volume $\Omega_{viable}(t_0) < \infty$, the trajectory induced by G_r minimises the system's lifetime t_{end} and therefore cannot maximise total entropy production (ΣS):

$$\Sigma S = \int_{t_0}^{t_{end}} \dot{S}_{sys}(t)\, dt \tag{19}$$

Proof.
From the phase-space volume constraint, the rate of contraction of viable phase-space is bounded. Since $\dot{S}_{sys}(t) \propto P_{eff}(t)$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that:

$$\frac{d\Omega_{viable}}{dt} \leq -c\, \dot{S}_{sys}(t) \tag{20}$$

Integrating from t_0 to t_{end}, where $\Omega_{viable}(t_{end}) = 0$, yields:

$$-\Omega_{viable}(t_0) \leq -c \int_{t_0}^{t_{end}} \dot{S}_{sys}(t)\, dt = -c\, \Sigma S \tag{21}$$

Thus:

$$\Sigma S \leq \frac{\Omega_{viable}(t_0)}{c} \tag{22}$$

This establishes that total entropy production is strictly bounded by the initial viable phase-space.

Now consider two admissible trajectories:

- A rate-maximising trajectory G_r, for which $\dot{S}_{sys}(t)$ is maximised at each instant.
- A constrained trajectory G_K, for which $\dot{S}_{sys}(t)$ is moderated such that the decay of Ω_{viable} is slower.

Under G_r, the inequality implies that Ω_{viable} is depleted at the maximum allowable rate, causing the earliest possible attainment of $\Omega_{viable}(t_{end}) = 0$. Hence, the lifetime t_{end} is minimised. Under G_K, a reduced $\dot{S}_{sys}(t)$ yields a strictly slower contraction of Ω_{viable}, extending t_{end}.

Because ΣS depends on both magnitude and duration, a trajectory with a lower instantaneous rate but a longer duration can yield a strictly greater ΣS. Therefore, the rate-maximising policy G_r does not, in general, maximise total entropy production. ■

Corollary: Any formulation of the Maximum Entropy Production Principle as $\dot{S}_{sys}(t) \to$ max is incompatible with finite, stateful systems governed by phase-space depletion, as it induces trajectories that minimise system lifetime. In finite thermodynamic systems with state-dependent constraints, the entropy production rate is not an objective to be maximised, but a destructive variable whose unconstrained maximisation guarantees the premature exhaustion of the system's own phase-space of future possibilities. For a formal mathematical proof deriving how rate-maximisation violates the temporal integral of entropy production in bounded Complex Adaptive Systems, see the companion paper on the physics of phase-space viability [10].

The Carbon Anomaly and the Catalyst Hypothesis

While Proposition 1 and its corollary hold absolutely for continuous, homogeneous phase-spaces, the historical reality of the Anthropocene introduces a horrifying thermodynamic discontinuity. For 100,000 years, humanity was governed strictly by real-time solar flows, which naturally enforced K-selected trajectories. However, the discovery of subterranean fossil fuels presented an exergy gradient so disproportionately massive relative to human consumption that it temporarily broke the mathematical rules of bounded phase-space. This gradient acted as a thermodynamic 'black hole', wielding such immense entropic potential that it fundamentally warped the probability space of human cultural evolution.

This necessitates a critical, highly specific caveat to the MEPP Fallacy, which we term the *Catalyst Hypothesis*. This does not mathematically contradict Proposition 1, which assumes a homogeneous, fully accessible phase-space. Rather, it demonstrates that the Earth's exergy was non-homogeneous and tiered. A strict K-strategy, whilst successfully maximising the duration of the system (t_{end}), would never have generated the immense, concentrated Effective Circulating Power (P_{eff}) required to access the deeper, exponentially harder gradients locked within the Earth's crust—such as deep-sea hydrocarbons, uranium, or the rare earth metals necessary to harness the electromagnetic force. The massive upfront maintenance power (P_{maint}) required to build the global infrastructure to access these deeper reserves serves as an insurmountable activation energy barrier for a homeostatic, agrarian society.

Therefore, the 'Strong Enlightenment' and the subsequent Neoclassical economic shift were not merely intellectual errors or unique philosophical innovations. They were the deterministic informational topologies—the specific psychological firmware—required by physics to open the valve on this anomalous gradient. By fully committing to the r-Strategy (G_r), the political economy breached the activation energy barrier. It used the initial, easy carbon pulse to synthesize the Haber-Bosch process, artificially inflating the biosphere's carrying capacity by billions of metabolic engines (human bodies) and turning the planet into a single, hyper-accelerated heat-dissipating network.

The tragic resolution of this paradox is that the blind, self-destructive G_r algorithm likely *did* achieve the absolute maximum temporal integral of entropy production (ΣS) for our species, but it did so through a fatal evolutionary trade-off. It achieved an unimaginably massive total dissipation yield by completely and deliberately collapsing the timeline. The universe effectively utilized human political economy as a localized, biological catalyst to execute a planetary-scale combustion event, choosing to burn the candle in a blast furnace to ensure not a single drop of wax remained unmelted.

5 Conclusion: The Impossibility of the SGC

The mathematical framework of SETE 2.0 provides a stringent, falsifiable lens through which to evaluate contemporary political and economic responses to the biophysical crisis. When viewed through the Entropic Gravity equation and the Decoherence Operator, the dominant policy architectures of the modern era are revealed as 'Structurally Guaranteed Compromises' (SGCs)—policy mechanisms that rhetorically acknowledge biophysical constraints whilst structurally protecting the G_r algorithmic lock-in.

Because human observers are embedded strictly within the confines of their local phase-space, they mistake their own informational abstractions for the absolute reality beneath them. By failing to acknowledge that economic processes are emergent from a biophysical base, orthodox economics tries to operate within an impossible, purely conceptual dimension, ignoring the gravity of the Entropic Force. Drawing upon recent metamathematical frameworks [18], this represents a catastrophic descriptive window failure: the 'fictitious information' of the financial ledger demanding a biophysical territory that does not exist generates an insurmountable 'Information Torsion' at a civilisational scale.

We can evaluate three primary examples of modern SGCs through this thermodynamic lens:

1. The Illusion of 'Green Growth' and Net Zero
Policies directed towards 'green growth' attempt to substitute fossil exergy with renewable exergy to maintain P_{gross} without triggering ecological feedback. However, the physical infrastructure required for this transition dramatically increases the material mass (M_M) and the maintenance complexity (S) of the system. The execution of this global energy transition requires a massive, unprecedented spike in throughput. This forces the Decoherence Operator ($\hat{D}_{metabolic}$) to run at an exponentially higher rate. Substituting this into our derivative ($\frac{d\Omega_{viable}}{dt}$), we arrive at a thermodynamic certainty: the effort to build the 'sustainable' infrastructure actively accelerates the destruction of Ω_{viable}. The macroscopic wave-function of the Earth-system collapses faster *because* of the Green Transition. Mediated through the existing financialised architecture, they invariably concentrate ownership, driving up the wealth siphon (α). The net result is a decrease in Effective Circulating Power (P_{eff}), actively accelerating the gravitational pull towards S_{crit}.

2. Artificial Intelligence and Technological Solutionism
Artificial Intelligence is frequently heralded as the engine for decoupling economic growth from material limits through hyper-efficiency and optimised routing. However, under SETE assumptions, these micro-level efficiency gains are inevitably overwhelmed by the Jevons paradox at the macro level. In phase-space, AI requires unprecedented increases in energy-intensive data centres and hardware, causing absolute P_{maint} to surge regardless of relative efficiency improvements. Concurrently, AI acts as an extreme centralising force, capturing vast quantities of capital and intellectual property into monopolistic corporate structures ($\alpha \to 1$). Rather than saving the system by reducing complexity (S), AI functions as a gravity well accelerator, expanding the fictitious ledger and starving the real economy of circulating surplus to maintain its massive informational footprint.

3. Price Masking and the Diesel Shortage
The ongoing global diesel supply shortages provide a real-time demonstration of Signal Occlu-

sion in action. Rather than executing a structural adaptation—transitioning towards a G_K strategy by actively reducing transport complexity and maintenance loads (S)—the political economy utilises financialisation (α_f). Through state subsidies, deficit spending, and credit expansion, the system artificially suppresses the visible price at the pump. This masks the biophysical signal of exhaustion; the financial map reports that energy remains affordable, whilst the biophysical territory experiences severe scarcity. This issues a temporal claim on future exergy that does not exist. By disabling the price signal (the system's physical routing table), the SGC ensures that when the 'fictitious information' can no longer be maintained by credit issuance, P_{eff} will drop to zero discontinuously, guaranteeing a catastrophic, systemic supply-chain failure rather than a managed contraction.

The Mathematical Necessity of Collapse

The barrier to a sustainable transition is thermodynamic, structural, and algorithmic. The very act of implementing a G_K-oriented policy would require the immediate liquidation of the wealth siphon (α) and a vast contraction of informational mass (M_I). Because the Ideological Superstructure is existentially bound to the r-Strategy (G_r), the institutional actors are structurally incentivised to block transition, as doing so would dissolve their own structural power and routing capacity.

Consequently, voluntary transition from within the H-boundary is structurally impossible. The only mechanism capable of escaping the gravity well of S_{crit} is the involuntary reduction of M, S, and α. Collapse, therefore, is not merely a risk to be managed; it is a mathematical necessity. It is the uncompromising mechanism by which thermodynamic law enforces a reset of the phase-space, destroying the fictitious information of the ledger to realign the map with the biophysical territory.

References

[1] Bateson, G. (1972). *Steps to an Ecology of Mind*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

[2] Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127–138.

[3] Georgescu-Roegen, N. (1971). *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

[4] Gunderson, L. H., & Holling, C. S. (Eds.). (2002). *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems*. Washington, DC: Island Press.

[5] Jevons, W. S. (1865). *The Coal Question: An Inquiry Concerning the Progress of the Nation, and the Probable Exhaustion of Our Coal-Mines*. London: Macmillan.

[6] MacArthur, R. H., & Wilson, E. O. (1967). *The Theory of Island Biogeography*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

[7] Newbury, S. J. (2025). *A Socio-Economic Thermodynamic Entropy (SETE) Model*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17881196

[8] Newbury, S. J. (2025). *The Path to the Singularity: An Ideological History*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17881470

[9] Newbury, S. J. (2026). *The Catabolic Correction: Redefining Carrying Capacity (K) at the Entropic Event Horizon*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18940681

[10] Newbury, S. J. (2026). *The Temporal Integral of the Maximum Entropy Production Principle: Statefulness, Entropic Currents, and the Physics of Phase-Space Viability*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19632749

[11] Odum, H. T. (1971). *Environment, Power, and Society*. New York: Wiley-Interscience.

[12] Pianka, E. R. (1970). On r- and K-Selection. *The American Naturalist*, 104(940), 592–597.

[13] Rovelli, C. (1993). Statistical mechanics of gravity and the thermodynamical origin of time. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 10(8), 1549.

[14] Wolpert, D., Rovelli, C., & Scharnhorst, J. (2025). Disentangling Boltzmann Brains, the Time-Asymmetry of Memory, and the Second Law. *Entropy*, 27(12), 1227. https://doi.org/10.3390/e27121227

[15] Shannon, C. E. (1948). A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379–423.

[16] Tainter, J. A. (1988). *The Collapse of Complex Societies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[17] Verlinde, E. (2011). On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2011(4), 29. https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)029

[18] Zhou, C., & Zhou, Z. (2026). *Axiomatic Emergence AD; Why Does Mathematics Work? The Physical Foundation of Information, Computability, and Description Boundaries*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18423739